



EMORY
LIBRARIES &
INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY

OpenEmory

Dietary fried fish intake increases risk of CVD: the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study

[Fadi Nahab](#), *Emory University*

[Keith Pearson](#), *University of Alabama Birmingham*

[Michael Frankel](#), *Emory University*

[Jamy Ard](#), *Wake Forest University*

[Monika M. Safford](#), *University of Alabama Birmingham*

[Dawn Kleindorfer](#), *University of Cincinnati*

[Virginia J. Howard](#), *University of Alabama Birmingham*

[Suzanne Judd](#), *University of Alabama Birmingham*

Journal Title: PUBLIC HEALTH NUTRITION

Volume: Volume 19, Number 18

Publisher: CAMBRIDGE UNIV PRESS | 2016-12-01, Pages 3327-3336

Type of Work: Article | Final Publisher PDF

Publisher DOI: 10.1017/S136898001600152X

Permanent URL: <https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/vhhz9>

Final published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S136898001600152X>

Copyright information:

© The Authors 2016.

This is an Open Access work distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Accessed December 8, 2021 10:49 AM EST

Dietary fried fish intake increases risk of CVD: the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study

Fadi Nahab^{1,*}, Keith Pearson², Michael R Frankel¹, Jamy Ard³, Monika M Safford⁴, Dawn Kleindorfer⁵, Virginia J Howard⁶ and Suzanne Judd⁷

¹Department of Neurology, Emory University, 1365 Clifton Road NE, Clinic B, Suite 2200, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA:

²Department of Nutrition Sciences, School of Health Professions, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA:

³Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC, USA:

⁴Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA:

⁵Department of Neurology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA:

⁶Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA:

⁷Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

Submitted 30 December 2015: Final revision received 3 May 2016: Accepted 9 May 2016: First published online 24 June 2016

Abstract

Objective: The objective of the present study was to examine the relationship of dietary fried fish consumption and risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality.

Design: Prospective cohort study among participants of the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study who resided in the USA.

Setting: The primary outcome measures included the hazard ratios (HR) of incident CVD including first incident fatal or non-fatal ischaemic stroke or myocardial infarction and all-cause mortality, based on cumulative average fish consumption ascertained at baseline.

Subjects: Participants (n 16 479) were enrolled between 2003 and 2007, completed the self-administered Block98 FFQ and were free of CVD at baseline.

Results: There were 700 cardiovascular events over a mean follow-up of 5.1 years. After adjustment for sociodemographic variables, health behaviours and other CVD risk factors, participants eating ≥ 2 servings fried fish/week ($v.$ < 1 serving/month) were at a significantly increased risk of cardiovascular events (HR = 1.63; 95% CI 1.11, 2.40). Intake of non-fried fish was not associated with risk of incident CVD. There was no association found with dietary fried or non-fried fish intake and cardiovascular or all-cause mortality.

Conclusions: Fried fish intake of two or more servings per week is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Given the increased intake of fried fish in the stroke belt and among African Americans, these data suggest that dietary fried fish intake may contribute to geographic and racial disparities in CVD.

Keywords:

Fish
Fried
Stroke
Stroke belt
Myocardial infarction

For more than 70 years, excess stroke mortality rates have existed in the Southeastern USA giving rise to the label 'stroke belt' for this region^(1–3). Overall stroke mortality rates have been estimated to be about 20% higher in the stroke belt compared with the rest of the country and various factors have been proposed to explain this geographic disparity in stroke, including increased stroke case fatality rates, prevalence of traditional stroke risk factors, socio-economic status, quality of health care and dietary factors^(3,4). Recent data have suggested that the increased stroke mortality in this region can be partially attributed to an increased incidence of stroke although a significant part of this excess risk remains unexplained⁽⁵⁾.

Racial disparities in stroke incidence have also been recognized as the likely cause of increased stroke mortality rates among African Americans compared with white Americans aged ≥ 45 years^(5–8).

While regional and racial differences in diet have been proposed as potential contributors to the disparities in stroke, there have been limited data evaluating dietary habits and their potential contribution to excess CVD incidence in the stroke belt and among African Americans. Fish represents the main dietary source of the long-chain $n-3$ fatty acids EPA and DHA. These $n-3$ fatty acids have been shown to have favourable effects on platelet aggregation, blood pressure, lipid profile, endothelial

function and ischaemic stroke risk^(9–26). Supplementation with *n*-3 fatty acids has been evaluated in randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials in CVD, with some studies showing a reduction in myocardial infarction (MI) among patients with known CVD, sudden death after MI and mortality in congestive heart failure patients^(27–31), while other studies have shown no benefit^(32–35). The American Heart Association currently recommends consumption of at least two fish meals per week with an emphasis on oily fish species high in *n*-3 fatty acids for persons without documented CVD and to consider additional supplements of EPA and DHA for those with documented CVD⁽³⁶⁾.

We previously reported that persons who were living in the stroke belt were less likely to consume at least two weekly servings of non-fried fish but more likely to consume at least two weekly servings of fried fish than those living in the non-belt region⁽³⁷⁾. We also found that African Americans were more likely than whites to consume two or more weekly servings of fried fish. These differences remained evident even after adjusting for potential confounders including such as age, sex, socio-economic status and total energy intake. The objective of the present study was to examine how fish consumption and the mode of cooking of the fish are associated with incident CVD, cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality in African Americans and whites living inside and outside the stroke belt.

Participants and methods

Study population

The REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study is a national longitudinal cohort study with oversampling of African Americans and persons living in the stroke belt region of the USA, an area that has stroke mortality rates higher than the rest of the country⁽⁴⁾. Between January 2003 and October 2007, 30 239 individuals were enrolled, including 58% whites and 42% African Americans, 55% women and 45% men. The sample included 21% of participants from the 'stroke belt buckle' (coastal plain region of North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia), 35% from the stroke belt states (remainder of North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia, plus Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Arkansas and Louisiana) and the remaining 44% from the other forty contiguous states (referred to as 'non-belt').

Individuals were identified from commercially available lists of residents and recruited using an initial mailing followed by telephone contact. Using a computer-assisted telephone interview, trained interviewers obtained demographic information, medical history and an array of other potential risk factors. A brief physical examination including blood pressure measurements, blood samples and an electrocardiogram (ECG) was conducted

in-person, 3–4 weeks after the telephone interview. Self-administered questionnaires including the Block98 FFQ (NutritionQuest™, Berkeley, CA, USA) were left with the participant to gather additional information. The telephone response rate was 33% and the cooperation rate was 49%, similar to other epidemiological studies. Additional methodological details are provided elsewhere^(4,5).

Dietary assessment

The FFQ assessed usual dietary intake over the past year. The five questions specific to fish intake were the following: (i) 'How often do you eat oysters?' (ii) 'How often do you eat other shellfish like shrimp, scallops or crabs?' (iii) 'How often do you eat tuna, tuna salad or tuna casserole?' (iv) 'How often do you eat fried fish or a fish sandwich, at home or in a restaurant?' (v) 'How often do you eat other fish, not fried?' Participants selected from the following options for each question: 'never', 'a few times per year', 'once per month', '2–3 times per month', 'once per week', 'twice per week', '3–4 times per week', '5–6 times per week' and 'every day'. The study had an active tracking process to contact participants to return languishing questionnaires. For the current analysis we excluded the top and bottom 1% of total energy intake (kcal/d) and individuals who did not answer 85% or more of the questions on the FFQ (*n* 8547), leaving 72% of the original cohort with usable dietary data.

Fish intake was categorized as <1 serving/month, 1–3 servings/month, 1–1.99 servings/week and ≥2 servings/week to evaluate the current American Heart Association guideline recommendations of at least two weekly servings of fish with a high concentration of *n*-3 fatty acids⁽²⁸⁾. Fried fish consumption was the sum of all fried fish and fish sandwich. Non-fried fish consumption was the sum of all fish intake excluding the fried fish or fish sandwich.

Outcome assessment

The primary outcome of the present study was a composite cardiovascular outcome including first incident of fatal or non-fatal ischaemic stroke or MI. Secondary outcomes included all-cause and CVD mortality. All outcomes occurring through 31 December 2010 were available for the analysis.

Participants or their proxies were contacted every 6 months by telephone to identify hospitalizations, emergency department visits, overnight stays in nursing homes or rehabilitation centres, or death during the previous 6 months. For proxy-reported deaths, interviews were conducted with the next of kin. Procedures for adjudication of ischaemic stroke events have been reported previously⁽⁵⁾. Briefly, a nurse performed an initial review to exclude obvious non-cases. Medical records were then reviewed by at least two physician members of a committee of stroke experts to validate and classify potential

ischaemic strokes. Disagreements were resolved by full committee review.

Ischaemic stroke events were defined following the WHO as 'rapidly developing clinical signs of focal, at times global, disturbance of cerebral function, lasting more than 24 hours or leading to death with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin'⁽³⁸⁾ and were classified as ischaemic or haemorrhagic. Events not meeting this definition, but characterized by symptoms lasting <24 h with neuroimaging consistent with acute ischaemia or haemorrhage, were considered clinical strokes and also counted as events in the present analysis. Only ischaemic strokes were included in the composite CVD outcome in the analysis.

Procedures for adjudication of MI events have also been reported previously⁽³⁹⁾. Briefly, the MI events were expert-adjudicated, examining medical records for clinical signs or symptoms consistent with ischaemia, enzymatic signs of ischaemia characterized by a rising and/or falling pattern of troponin (or, if unavailable, creatine phosphokinase MB fraction) with a peak at least twice the upper limit of normal, or ECG results suggesting ischaemia/MI. Events were adjudicated independently, with a κ statistic for agreement between adjudicators of >0.8. Definite MI events possessed diagnostic enzymes or ECG. Probable MI events were those in which the participant possessed elevated but not diagnostic enzyme levels with a positive but not diagnostic ECG. A positive but not diagnostic ECG in conjunction with ischaemic signs and symptoms was also considered a probable MI in absence of enzymatic data. Definite or probable MI events were counted in these analyses.

Mortality data including confirmation of death, date of death and cause of death were collected through the participants' next of kin, reviewing medical records and death certificates, and from searching online databases such as the National Death Index and the Social Security Death Index. All-cause mortality was defined as any participant who died after the date of enrolment. CVD mortality was defined as death determined to be caused by definite, probable or possible MI; sudden death; heart failure; stroke; ruptured aortic aneurysm; pulmonary embolism; or other heart-related condition⁽⁴⁰⁾.

Covariate assessment

Age, race, sex, region, income and education were obtained by self-report. Height, weight and blood pressure measurements were collected during the in-home visit by a trained medical professional. BMI was calculated from the height and weight measurements. Information regarding physical activity, smoking status, aspirin use and current hypertensive medication use was obtained from self-report. Participants were considered to have diabetes if their fasting blood glucose was ≥ 126 mg/dl, non-fasting blood glucose was ≥ 200 mg/dl, or if they were currently

taking any medications or insulin to manage diabetes. Participants were classified as having dyslipidaemia if their total cholesterol was ≥ 240 mg/dl, or LDL cholesterol was ≥ 160 mg/dl, or HDL cholesterol was ≤ 40 mg/dl, or were currently using lipid-lowering medication. Total energy intake and Mediterranean diet score were calculated from the analysis of the Block98 FFQ. Detailed information on the construction of the Mediterranean diet score in the REGARDS cohort has been published previously⁽⁴¹⁾.

For the current analysis we excluded fifty-six individuals due to anomalous data. Of the remaining 30 183, we excluded all participants with unusable or missing dietary data (n 8547), participants with a prior history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack at baseline (n 1933), participants with a history of CVD (self-reported MI, coronary artery bypass graft, angioplasty, stenting or evidence of MI from ECG; n 3037), participants with no follow-up or errors in follow-up time (n 186) and participants who had an incident stroke following the telephone interview but before the in-home examination (n 1). These exclusions provided the primary analysis cohort with 16 479 participants of the 30 239 enrolled. Comparison of study participants with those excluded due to unusable or missing dietary data revealed that those excluded were more likely to be male, African American, older than 75 years, obese, to live outside the stroke belt and buckle, have an annual income of less than \$US 20 000 and to have less than a high-school education compared with our primary analysis cohort⁽³⁷⁾.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were assessed at a level of significance of 0.05. The χ^2 test and ANOVA were used to compare proportions and evaluate mean differences in descriptive characteristics. Time to event was calculated as the number of days between the participants' in-home examination and the cardiovascular event. Haemorrhagic strokes were not considered events in the present analysis and were censored at the date of the haemorrhagic stroke. Participants who did not have a cardiovascular event were censored at the date of last follow-up, date of death or 31 December 2010, whichever occurred first.

Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to estimate the hazard ratios (HR) for incident CVD, all-cause mortality and CVD mortality, by intake of fried and non-fried fish, in a series of models adjusting for differences in socio-demographic characteristics (age, race, sex, region, income and education), health behaviours (physical activity, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, aspirin use and total energy intake) and other CVD risk factors (hypertensive medication use, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia). Participants with non-missing values for all covariates were included in each model, resulting in 0%, 0.0003%, 3.4% and 12.1% of missing data for each model, respectively.

Additionally, an interaction term was included in the fully adjusted models to explore any potential effect modification of race, income or education with fried and non-fried fish consumption and CVD risk. Although the influence of confounding by diet quality was examined by including the Mediterranean diet score in model 2, a sensitivity analysis additionally examined the confounding effects that consumption of other fried foods (French fries, fried potatoes, fried chicken, bacon) and intake of meat, fruit, vegetables and grains may have on the relationship between fish intake and CVD incidence. Lastly, another sensitivity analysis was conducted including 4926 participants with a history of stroke, transient ischaemic attack or CVD to evaluate the impact that excluding these participants may have on the association between fish intake and CVD. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software package SAS version 9.4.

Results

A total of 700 participants had an incident CVD event during a mean follow-up time of 5.1 years. The baseline characteristics of the study population by frequency and type of fish consumption are shown in Table 1. Of the study participants, 34% were African Americans, 59% were female, 56% lived in the stroke belt or buckle, and 74% were overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m²).

The HR of incident CVD according to fried and non-fried fish consumption are shown in Table 2. In a crude model, fried fish consumption of ≥ 2 servings/week was associated with a significant increase in risk of incident CVD events compared with those consuming <1 serving fried fish/month (HR = 1.67; 95% CI 1.17, 2.39; *P* for linear trend < 0.0001). Addition of socio-economic covariates somewhat attenuated the point estimates of this relationship but the association remained significant (HR = 1.47; 95% CI 1.02, 2.12; *P* for linear trend = 0.02). Further adjustment for health behaviours and cardiovascular co-morbidities strengthened the association (model 3: HR = 1.63; 95% CI 1.11, 2.40; *P* for linear trend 0.03). Additionally, in a crude model, non-fried fish consumption of ≥ 2 servings/week was associated with a significant decrease in risk of CVD compared with those consuming <1 serving non-fried fish/month (HR = 0.73; 95% CI 0.54, 0.98; *P* for linear trend = 0.02). However, addition of covariates to the model attenuated the point estimates and the linear relationship no longer remained significant (Table 2).

In analyses examining the potential effect modification of race, income and education, no significant interactions were found between any of these variables and fried and non-fried fish intake with CVD risk. However, after performing a *post hoc* analysis examining the stepwise addition of covariates in relation to changes in point estimates, the point estimate attenuation between crude models and sociodemographic-adjusted models (model 1) observed in

participants consuming ≥ 2 servings fried fish/week can be mostly attributed to adjustments made for income and education. Subsequently, the increase in the point estimate observed for this same group after adjustment for health behaviours (model 2) can largely be attributed to adjustments made for exercise frequency.

Sensitivity analyses including adjustment for other fried food intake, meat, fruit, vegetable and grain consumption led to an attenuation of the point estimate to non-significance for the comparison between fried fish consumption of ≥ 2 servings/week *v.* <1 serving/month (HR = 1.45; 95% CI 0.96, 2.20; *P* for linear trend = 0.22). Sensitivity analyses examining the inclusion of an additional 4926 participants who were excluded due to history of stroke, transient ischaemic attack or CVD found that the observed association between fried fish consumption of ≥ 2 servings/week *v.* <1 serving/month was attenuated to non-significance (HR = 1.34; 95% CI 0.99, 1.82; *P* for linear trend = 0.09) after the inclusion of these participants.

Additional analyses investigating associations between fried and non-fried fish consumption with individual events including incident MI or ischaemic stroke, cardiovascular and all-cause mortality are included in Appendices 1 to 4. After adjustment for potential confounders, fried fish consumption of ≥ 2 servings/week (*v.* <1 serving/month) was associated with a trend towards increased risk of MI (HR = 1.48; 95% CI 0.90, 2.43; *P* for trend = 0.22) and ischaemic stroke (HR = 1.83; 95% CI 0.99, 3.39; *P* for trend = 0.05) in separate analyses; no significant associations were found between fried or non-fried fish consumption with either all-cause or CVD mortality.

Discussion

In the present large prospective study, we found that participants who consumed fried fish more than twice weekly were at a 1.63 times increased risk of CVD compared with those consuming fried fish less than once monthly. Non-fried fish consumption was not associated with a significant effect on CVD risk in any of the adjusted models and no association was identified between fish consumption and all-cause or cardiovascular mortality.

Prospective cohort studies have in general found inverse associations between fish intake and risk of CVD. However, the type and preparation of the fish meal have also been found to impact the potential benefits on cardiovascular risk in previous studies, one showing that intake of fried fish more than once per week was associated with a 44% higher risk of ischaemic stroke and the other showing a trend towards higher risk of MI compared with consumption of less than once per month^(22,42). In addition to the increased energy intake associated with fried compared with non-fried fish, most fish served fried in the USA tends to be from lean species which are lower in *n-3* fatty acids⁽³⁶⁾. The act of frying fish has also been

Table 1 Descriptive characteristics of participants (n 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study by frequency and type of fish consumption

Characteristic	Fried fish consumption				P value
	<1 serving/month (n 7849)	1–3 servings/month (n 6464)	1–1.99 servings/week (n 1576)	≥2 servings/week (n 590)	
Age group (%)					0.07
40–54 years	13.3	14.4	11.7	14.9	
55–64 years	41.4	41.4	41.7	43.6	
65–74 years	31.3	31.3	32.9	30.0	
≥75 years	14.0	12.9	13.7	11.5	
Race (%)					<0.0001
Black	21.0	40.8	59.1	73.7	
White	79.0	59.2	40.9	26.3	
Sex (%)					<0.0001
Male	37.6	43.4	44.3	41.7	
Female	62.4	56.6	55.7	58.3	
Region (%)					<0.0001
Stroke belt or buckle	53.3	59.0	60.6	58.6	
Non-belt or buckle	46.7	41.0	39.4	41.4	
Education (%)					<0.0001
Less than high school	6.2	9.4	12.0	15.6	
High-school graduate	22.6	26.3	28.2	30.5	
Some college	26.8	28.0	29.2	28.1	
College +	44.5	36.3	30.7	25.8	
Annual income (%)					<0.0001
<\$US 20 000	12.4	14.8	18.4	23.1	
\$US 20 000–34 999	21.3	24.0	26.8	27.6	
\$US 35 000–74 999	32.6	32.7	31.3	26.3	
≥\$US 75 000	21.5	17.3	12.6	10.5	
Refused	12.2	11.3	10.9	12.5	
Exercise (%)					0.17
0 times/week	31.0	31.5	32.5	29.2	
1–3 times/week	38.0	38.8	36.5	36.4	
≥4 times/week	31.0	29.7	31.0	34.5	
Current smoker (%)	12.0	14.5	14.1	14.1	0.0001
Diabetes (%)	13.2	17.6	21.8	23.9	<0.0001
Regular aspirin use (%)	38.1	36.9	34.9	35.9	0.07
Dyslipidaemia (%)	54.0	56.3	54.9	53.2	0.04
Hypertensive medication (%)	42.8	48.6	53.4	57.1	<0.0001
Total energy intake (kJ/d), mean	6623	7360	8247	9858	<0.0001
SD	2632	3025	3234	3874	
Total energy intake (kcal/d), mean	1583	1759	1971	2356	<0.0001
SD	629	723	773	926	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean	28.3	29.5	29.9	30.9	<0.0001
SD	5.8	6.3	6.3	6.6	
Mediterranean diet score, mean	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.7	<0.0001
SD	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.4	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean	124.7	126.6	128.5	130.5	<0.0001
SD	15.6	16.0	16.1	17.7	
	Non-fried fish consumption				P value†
	<1 serving/month (n 3541)	1–3 servings/month (n 8687)	1–1.99 servings/week (n 2522)	≥2 servings/week (n 1729)	
Age group (%)					0.0002
40–54 years	13.5	14.0	12.6	13.7	
55–64 years	38.4	42.1	43.1	42.3	
65–74 years	32.7	30.8	30.9	32.4	
≥75 years	15.3	13.0	13.4	11.7	
Race (%)					<0.0001
Black	38.2	33.5	28.7	38.3	
White	61.8	66.5	71.3	61.7	
Sex (%)					0.001
Male	41.0	41.4	40.8	36.3	
Female	59.0	58.6	59.2	63.7	
Region (%)					<0.0001
Stroke belt or buckle	60.3	57.1	52.4	51.0	
Non-belt or buckle	39.7	42.9	47.6	49.0	

Table 1 *Continued*

	Non-fried fish consumption				<i>P</i> value†
	<1 serving/month (<i>n</i> 3541)	1–3 servings/month (<i>n</i> 8687)	1–1.99 servings/week (<i>n</i> 2522)	≥2 servings/week (<i>n</i> 1729)	
Education (%)					
Less than high school	13.3	7.6	5.8	5.4	<0.0001
High-school graduate	32.7	24.1	19.5	20.3	
Some college	27.0	28.5	26.7	25.7	
College +	27.2	39.8	48.1	48.6	
Annual income (%)					
<\$US 20 000	19.5	13.4	11.5	12.2	<0.0001
\$US 20 000–34 999	26.8	22.9	20.8	19.8	
\$US 35 000–74 999	29.8	33.1	32.0	33.6	
≥\$US 75 000	11.8	19.1	24.4	21.6	
Refused	12.1	11.5	11.3	12.8	
Exercise (%)					
0 times/week	37.7	31.5	26.5	24.4	<0.0001
1–3 times/week	34.1	38.9	39.6	40.0	
≥4 times/week	28.3	29.6	33.8	35.6	
Current smoker (%)	15.5	14.0	10.2	9.4	<0.0001
Diabetes (%)	17.6	16.3	14.0	15.6	0.004
Dyslipidaemia (%)	56.3	55.2	53.8	52.4	0.04
Regular aspirin use (%)	34.9	37.4	39.4	38.1	0.004
Hypertensive medication (%)	49.0	46.5	43.7	46.4	0.001
Total energy intake (kJ/d), mean	6318	7088	7962	8309	<0.0001
SD	2736	2891	7962	3301	
Total energy intake (kcal/d), mean	1510	1694	1903	1986	<0.0001
SD	654	691	723	789	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean	29.2	29.1	28.5	29.2	0.07
SD	6.3	6.1	5.9	6.2	
Mediterranean diet score, mean	3.7	4.3	5.0	5.3	<0.0001
SD	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean	126.8	126.0	125.5	125.3	0.0002
SD	16.1	16.1	15.5	15.6	

† χ^2 *P* values for test of proportions; ANOVA *P* values for test on mean differences.

Table 2 Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CI of fish consumption and risk of CVD among participants (*n* 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, USA

	Person-years	Events	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
			HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
Fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	39 947	280	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	32 608	310	1.36	1.15, 1.59	1.25	1.06, 1.47	1.24	1.04, 1.47	1.20	1.01, 1.43
1–1.99 servings/week	7971	76	1.36	1.05, 1.75	1.13	0.87, 1.47	1.17	0.89, 1.54	1.09	0.82, 1.45
≥2 servings/week	2905	34	1.67	1.17, 2.39	1.47	1.02, 2.12	1.63	1.12, 2.38	1.63	1.11, 2.40
<i>P</i> for trend			<0.0001		0.02		0.008		0.03	
Non-fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	17 669	160	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	44 133	387	0.97	0.80, 1.16	1.11	0.92, 1.34	1.14	0.94, 1.38	1.11	0.91, 1.36
1–1.99 servings/week	12 853	95	0.82	0.63, 1.05	0.98	0.76, 1.27	1.04	0.79, 1.37	1.09	0.82, 1.44
≥2 servings/week	8776	58	0.73	0.54, 0.98	0.93	0.68, 1.26	1.01	0.73, 1.40	1.09	0.78, 1.52
<i>P</i> for trend			0.02		0.54		0.95		0.57	

Ref., reference category.

Model 1 adjusts for age, race, region, sex, income and education. Model 2 additionally adjusts for exercise, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, regular aspirin use and total energy intake (kcal/d). Model 3 additionally adjusts for current use of hypertensive medication, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia.

associated with loss of the natural *n*-3 fatty acids in the fish and replacement with cooking oil⁽⁴³⁾. While the consumption of fried fish may directly contribute to increased cardiovascular risk through these mechanisms, fried fish intake may also represent a marker of less healthy dietary patterns which may contribute to CVD^(22,42). We included participants' adherence to a Mediterranean diet in our

model to assess whether dietary patterns affected our findings; however, there was no attenuation in the HR.

We have previously reported in the REGARDS cohort that African Americans were significantly more likely than whites to have ≥2 servings fried fish/week (OR = 3.59; 95% CI 3.19, 4.04)⁽³⁷⁾. Additionally, we found that participants living in the stroke belt were significantly more likely than

those living outside the stroke belt to have ≥ 2 servings fried fish/week (OR=1.32; 95% CI 1.17, 1.50). Based on our current results associating fried fish intake with an increased risk of incident MI and ischaemic stroke, these data suggest that racial and regional differences in dietary fried fish intake could contribute to disparities in CVD.

Our study was strengthened by the prospective design. While our HR estimates remained largely unchanged after controlling for potential confounders, the possibility of residual confounding by unknown risk factors cannot be excluded. We utilized a previously validated FFQ; however, dietary assessment was performed at baseline only and therefore we cannot account for potential dietary changes over time. Additionally, our FFQ data provided no information on the main types of fats used for frying and the main types of fish consumed, limiting our ability to assess their effects on events. In analyses of incident MI or ischaemic stroke alone, we found a trend towards increased risk with fried fish consumption although this did not reach significance; there was also no significant association with cardiovascular mortality, which is likely due to the reduced power as a result of low numbers of events when assessing the individual outcomes separately or when assessing cardiovascular mortality.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we observed that fried fish intake of ≥ 2 servings/week is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Given the increased intake of fried fish in the stroke belt and among African Americans, these data suggest that dietary fried fish intake may contribute to geographic and racial disparities in CVD.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the other investigators, staff and participants of the REGARDS study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating REGARDS investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.regardsstudy.org>. Portions of these data were presented at the International Stroke Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, on 2 February 2012. *Financial support:* This research project is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services (cooperative agreement U01 NS041588). Additional support was provided by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (grant number R01 HL08477). Funding for coding of the Block FFQ was provided by General Mills. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views and positions of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke or the

National Institutes of Health. Representatives of the funding agency were involved in the review of the manuscript prior to submission for publication. *Conflict of interest:* There are no conflicts of interest to disclose. *Authorship:* F.N.: study concept and design, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision. K.P.: study concept and design, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content. M.R.F.: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content. J.A.: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content. M.M.S.: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision. D.K.: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision. V.J.H.: study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision. S.J.: study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision. *Ethics of human subject participation:* This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the University of Alabama, Birmingham institutional review board. The study methods were reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards of participating institutions. Informed consent was obtained initially on the telephone and subsequently in writing during the in-person evaluation.

References

1. Borhani NO (1965) Changes and geographic distribution of mortality from cerebrovascular disease. *Am J Public Health* **55**, 673–681.
2. Lanska DJ & Kuller LH (1995) The geography of stroke mortality in the United States and the concept of a stroke belt. *Stroke* **26**, 1145–1149.
3. Casper ML, Barnett E, Williams GI Jr *et al.* (2003) *Atlas of Stroke Mortality: Racial, Ethnic, and Geographic Disparities in the United States*. Atlanta, GA: Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
4. Howard VJ, Cushman M, Pulley L *et al.* (2005) The reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study: objectives and design. *Neuroepidemiology* **25**, 135–143.
5. Howard VJ, Kleindorfer DO, Judd SE *et al.* (2011) Disparities in stroke incidence contributing to disparities in stroke mortality. *Ann Neurol* **69**, 619–627.
6. Howard G, Anderson R, Sorlie P *et al.* (1994) Ethnic differences in stroke mortality between non-Hispanic whites, Hispanic whites, and blacks. The National Longitudinal Mortality Study. *Stroke* **25**, 2120–2125.
7. Gillum RF (1999) Stroke mortality in blacks. Disturbing trends. *Stroke* **30**, 1711–1715.

8. Howard G & Howard VJ (2001) Ethnic disparities in stroke: the scope of the problem. *Ethn Dis* **11**, 761–768.
9. Anand RG, Alkadri M, Lavie CJ *et al.* (2008) The role of fish oil in arrhythmia prevention. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* **28**, 2–8.
10. O'Keefe JH Jr, Abuissa H, Sastre A *et al.* (2006) Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on resting heart rate, heart rate recovery after exercise, and heart rate variability in men with healed myocardial infarctions and depressed ejection fraction. *Am J Cardiol* **97**, 1127–1130.
11. Ventura HO, Milani RV, Lavie CJ *et al.* (1993) Cyclosporine-induced hypertension: efficacy of omega-3 fatty acids in patients after cardiac transplantation. *Circulation* **88**, 281–285.
12. Thies F, Garry JM, Yaqoob P *et al.* (2003) Association of *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids with stability of atherosclerotic plaques: a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet* **361**, 477–485.
13. Din JN, Harding SA, Valerio CJ *et al.* (2008) Dietary intervention with oil rich fish reduces platelet-monocyte aggregation in man. *Atherosclerosis* **197**, 290–296.
14. Geleijnse JM, Giltay EJ, Grobbee DE *et al.* (2002) Blood pressure response to fish oil supplementation: metaregression analysis of randomized trials. *J Hypertens* **20**, 1493–1499.
15. Zhao G, Etherton TD, Martin KR *et al.* (2007) Dietary α -linolenic acid inhibits proinflammatory cytokine productions by peripheral blood mononuclear cells in hypercholesterolemic subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* **85**, 385–391.
16. Mehra MR, Lavie CJ, Ventura HO *et al.* (2006) Fish oils produce anti-inflammatory effects and improve body weight in severe heart failure. *J Heart Lung Transplant* **25**, 834–838.
17. Davidson MH, Stein EA, Bayes HE *et al.*; COMBination of prescription Omega-3 with Simvastatin (COMBOS) Investigators (2007) Efficacy and tolerability of adding prescription omega-3 fatty acids 4 g/d to simvastatin 40 mg/d in hypertriglyceridemic patients: an 8-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Clin Ther* **29**, 1354–1367.
18. Caicoya M (2002) Fish consumption and stroke: a community case control study in Asturias, Spain. *Neuroepidemiology* **21**, 107–114.
19. Hunter DJ, Kazda I, Chockalingam A *et al.* (1988) Fish consumption and cardiovascular mortality in Canada: an inter-regional comparison. *Am J Prev Med* **4**, 5–10.
20. Zhang J, Sasaki S, Amano K *et al.* (1999) Fish consumption and mortality from all causes, ischemic heart disease, and stroke: an ecological study. *Prev Med* **28**, 520–529.
21. Ding EL & Mozaffarian D (2006) Optimal dietary habits for the prevention of stroke. *Semin Neurol* **26**, 11–23.
22. Mozaffarian D, Longstreth WT Jr, Lemaitre RN *et al.* (2005) Fish consumption and stroke risk in elderly individuals: the cardiovascular health study. *Arch Intern Med* **165**, 200–206.
23. He K, Song Y, Daviglius ML *et al.* (2004) Fish consumption and incidence of stroke: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Stroke* **35**, 1538–1542.
24. Chowdhury R, Stevens S, Gorman D *et al.* (2012) Association between fish consumption, long chain omega 3 fatty acids, and risk of cerebrovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* **345**, e6698.
25. Larsson S, Orsini N & Wolk A (2012) Long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* **27**, 895–901.
26. Mozaffarian D, Lemaitre RN, King IB *et al.* (2013) Plasma phospholipid long-chain ω -3 fatty acids and total and cause-specific mortality in older adults: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* **158**, 515–525.
27. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico (1999) Dietary supplementation with *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial. *Lancet* **354**, 447–455.
28. Yokoyama M, Origasa H, Matsuzaki M *et al.*; Japan EPA Lipid Intervention Study (JELIS) Investigators (2007) Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid on major coronary events in hypercholesterolaemic patients (JELIS): a randomised open-label, blinded endpoint analysis. *Lancet* **369**, 1090–1098.
29. Marchioli R, Barzi F, Bomba E *et al.*; GISSI-Prevenzione Investigators (2002) Early protection against sudden death by *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids after myocardial infarction: time-course analysis of the results of the Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico (GISSI)-Prevenzione. *Circulation* **105**, 1897–1903.
30. Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP, Marchioli R *et al.*; GISSI-HF Investigators (2008) Effect of *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in patients with chronic heart failure (the GISSI-HF trial): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **372**, 1223–1230.
31. Casula M, Soranna D, Catapano AL *et al.* (2013) Long-term effect of high dose omega-3 fatty acid supplementation for secondary prevention of cardiovascular outcomes: a meta-analysis of randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trials. *Atheroscler Suppl* **14**, 243–251.
32. Kromhout D, Giltay EJ & Geleijnse JM (2010) *N*-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular events after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* **363**, 2015–2026.
33. Galan P, Kesse-Guyot E, Czernichow S *et al.* (2010) Effects of B vitamins and omega 3 fatty acids on cardiovascular diseases: a randomised placebo controlled trial. *BMJ* **341**, c6273.
34. The ORIGIN Trial Investigators (2012) *N*-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with dysglycemia. *N Engl J Med* **367**, 309–318.
35. Roncaglioni MC, Tombesi M, Avanzini F *et al.* (2013) *N*-3 fatty acids in patients with multiple cardiovascular risk factors. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 1800–1808.
36. Kris-Etherton PM, Harris WS & Appel LJ, American Heart Association, Nutrition Committee (2002) Fish consumption, fish oil, omega-3 fatty acids, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* **106**, 2747–2757.
37. Nahab F, Le A, Judd S *et al.* (2011) Racial and geographic differences in fish consumption: the REGARDS study. *Neurology* **76**, 154–158.
38. Anon. (1989) Stroke – 1989. Recommendations on stroke prevention, diagnosis and therapy. Report of the WHO Task Force on Stroke and other Cerebrovascular Disorders. *Stroke* **20**, 1407–1431.
39. Safford MM, Brown TM, Muntner PM *et al.* REGARDS Investigators (2012) Association of race and sex with risk of incident acute coronary heart disease events. *JAMA* **308**, 1768–1774.
40. Diaz KM, Booth JN III, Calhoun DA *et al.* (2014) Healthy lifestyle factors and risk of cardiovascular events and mortality in treatment-resistant hypertension: the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke Study. *Hypertension* **64**, 465–471.
41. Tsivgoulis G, Judd S, Letter AJ *et al.* (2013) Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and risk of incident cognitive impairment. *Neurology* **80**, 1684–1692.
42. Mozaffarian D, Lemaitre RN, Kuller LH *et al.* (2003) Cardiac benefits of fish consumption may depend on the type of fish meal consumed: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circulation* **107**, 1372–1377.
43. Candela M, Astiasaran I & Bello J (1998) Deep-fat frying modifies high-fat fish lipid fraction. *J Agric Food Chem* **46**, 2793–2796.

Appendix 1

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95 % CI of fish consumption and risk of myocardial infarction among participants (n 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, USA

	Person-years	Events	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
			HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI
Fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	39 947	178	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	32 608	195	1.34	1.10, 1.64	1.22	0.99, 1.50	1.20	0.97, 1.48	1.15	0.92, 1.43
1–2 servings/week	7971	46	1.29	0.93, 1.79	1.08	0.78, 1.51	1.12	0.79, 1.57	1.02	0.71, 1.47
≥2 servings/week	2905	21	1.63	1.03, 2.55	1.43	0.90, 2.29	1.49	0.92, 2.41	1.48	0.90, 2.43
<i>P</i> for trend			0.004		0.11		0.10		0.22	
Non-fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	17 669	103	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	44 133	248	0.96	0.76, 1.21	1.11	0.88, 1.40	1.09	0.86, 1.38	1.05	0.82, 1.35
1–2 servings/week	12 853	56	0.75	0.54, 1.03	0.91	0.65, 1.26	0.89	0.63, 1.25	0.93	0.65, 1.32
≥2 servings/week	8776	33	0.64	0.44, 0.95	0.82	0.55, 1.23	0.83	0.55, 1.27	0.87	0.56, 1.35
<i>P</i> for trend			0.008		0.26		0.30		0.47	

Ref., reference category. Model 1 adjusts for age, race, region, sex, income and education. Model 2 additionally adjusts for exercise, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, regular aspirin use and total energy intake (kcal/d). Model 3 additionally adjusts for current use of hypertensive medication, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia.

Appendix 2

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95 % CI of fish consumption and risk of ischaemic stroke among participants (n 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, USA

	Person-years	Events	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
			HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI
Fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	39 947	105	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	32 608	116	1.35	1.04, 1.76	1.25	0.96, 1.64	1.27	0.96, 1.68	1.28	0.96, 1.70
1–2 servings/week	7971	31	1.48	0.99, 2.21	1.20	0.79, 1.82	1.27	0.82, 1.96	1.23	0.78, 1.93
≥2 servings/week	2905	13	1.71	0.96, 3.04	1.47	0.82, 2.67	1.82	0.99, 3.35	1.83	0.99, 3.39
<i>P</i> for trend			0.006		0.11		0.04		0.05	
Non-fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	17 669	57	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	44 133	143	1.00	0.74, 1.36	1.16	0.85, 1.58	1.27	0.92, 1.74	1.24	0.89, 1.74
1–2 servings/week	12 853	39	0.94	0.63, 1.41	1.13	0.75, 1.71	1.36	0.88, 2.10	1.41	0.90, 2.21
≥2 servings/week	8776	26	0.92	0.58, 1.46	1.15	0.72, 1.84	1.43	0.87, 2.36	1.58	0.95, 2.63
<i>P</i> for trend			0.65		0.55		0.12		0.06	

Ref., reference category. Model 1 adjusts for age, race, region, sex, income and education. Model 2 additionally adjusts for exercise, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, regular aspirin use and total energy intake (kcal/d). Model 3 additionally adjusts for current use of hypertensive medication, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia.

Appendix 3

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95 % CI of fish consumption and risk of CVD mortality among participants (n 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, USA

	Person-years	Events	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
			HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI
Fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	40 572	122	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	33 289	129	1.28	1.00, 1.64	1.12	0.87, 1.44	1.12	0.86, 1.45	1.12	0.84, 1.47
1–1.99 servings/week	8145	30	1.21	0.81, 1.81	0.88	0.58, 1.32	0.88	0.57, 1.35	0.78	0.48, 1.25
≥2 servings/week	3007	10	1.10	0.58, 2.09	0.81	0.42, 1.56	0.81	0.40, 1.63	0.74	0.35, 1.55
<i>P</i> for trend			0.09		0.89		0.39		0.10	
Non-fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	18 042	70	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	44 981	156	0.88	0.67, 1.17	1.11	0.84, 1.48	1.17	0.86, 1.57	1.27	0.91, 1.76
1–1.99 servings/week	13 075	41	0.80	0.55, 1.18	1.09	0.74, 1.62	1.22	0.80, 1.85	1.39	0.89, 2.17
≥2 servings/week	8915	24	0.69	0.43, 1.09	1.00	0.62, 1.59	1.18	0.71, 1.95	1.46	0.87, 2.45
<i>P</i> for trend			0.09		0.89		0.39		0.10	

Ref., reference category.

Model 1 adjusts for age, race, region, sex, income and education. Model 2 additionally adjusts for exercise, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, regular aspirin use and total energy intake (kcal/d). Model 3 additionally adjusts for current use of hypertensive medication, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia.

Appendix 4

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95 % CI of fish consumption and risk of all-cause mortality among participants (n 16 479) in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, USA

	Person-years	Events	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
			HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI	HR	95 % CI
Fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	40 572	493	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	33 289	445	1.09	0.96, 1.24	0.98	0.86, 1.12	0.99	0.86, 1.13	1.00	0.87, 1.15
1–1.99 servings/week	8145	118	1.18	0.97, 1.45	0.90	0.73, 1.11	0.93	0.75, 1.16	0.89	0.71, 1.13
≥2 servings/week	3007	45	1.23	0.90, 1.66	0.95	0.69, 1.30	1.07	0.77, 1.48	1.07	0.75, 1.51
<i>P</i> for-trend			0.04		0.39		0.85		0.75	
Non-fried fish consumption										
<1 serving/month (ref.)	18 042	272	1		1		1		1	
1–3 servings/month	44 981	598	0.87	0.76, 1.01	1.06	0.92, 1.23	1.12	0.96, 1.30	1.15	0.98, 1.35
1–1.99 servings/week	13 075	145	0.73	0.60, 0.89	0.95	0.77, 1.16	1.09	0.88, 1.35	1.15	0.91, 1.45
≥2 servings/week	8915	86	0.63	0.50, 0.81	0.86	0.67, 1.10	1.03	0.79, 1.34	1.18	0.90, 1.55
<i>P</i> for trend			<0.0001		0.19		0.63		0.17	

Ref., reference category.

Model 1 adjusts for age, race, region, sex, income and education. Model 2 additionally adjusts for exercise, smoking status, Mediterranean diet score, regular aspirin use and total energy intake (kcal/d). Model 3 additionally adjusts for current use of hypertensive medication, diabetes status, systolic blood pressure, BMI and dyslipidaemia.