A phase I pharmacokinetic study of belinostat in patients with advanced cancers and varying degrees of liver dysfunction
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Aims: The histone deacetylase inhibitor belinostat has activity in various cancers. Because belinostat is metabolized by the liver, reduced hepatic clearance could lead to excessive drug accumulation and increased toxicity. Safety data in patients with liver dysfunction are needed for this drug to reach its full potential in the clinic.
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Methods: We performed a phase 1 trial to determine the safety, maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and pharmacokinetics of belinostat in patients with advanced cancer and varying degrees of liver dysfunction.

Results: Seventy-two patients were enrolled and divided into cohorts based on liver function. In patients with mild dysfunction, the MTD was the same as the recommended phase 2 dose (1000 mg/m²/day). Belinostat was well tolerated in patients with moderate and severe liver dysfunction, although the trial was closed before the MTD in these cohorts could be determined. The mean clearance of belinostat was 661 mL/min/m² in patients with normal liver function, compared to 542, 505 and 444 mL/min/m² in patients with mild, moderate and severe hepatic dysfunction. Although this trial was not designed to assess clinical activity, of the 47 patients evaluable for response, 13 patients (28%) experienced stable disease.

Conclusion: While a statistically significant difference in clearance indicates increased belinostat exposure with worsening liver function, no relationship was observed between belinostat exposure and toxicity. An assessment of belinostat metabolites revealed significant differences in metabolic pathway capability in patients with differing levels of liver dysfunction. Further studies are needed to establish formal dosing guidelines in this patient population.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors are a class of agents with the potential to exert antitumour effects through epigenetic modifications of histones. Histone deacetylation is associated with chromatin condensation and repression of transcription, notably of tumour suppressor genes. Preventing deacetylation with HDAC inhibition maintains chromatin in an open conformation and drives the expression of genes associated with cell cycle arrest, differentiation, and induction of cell death.1-4 HDAC inhibitors may also sensitize drug-resistant tumour cells to other antineoplastic agents by driving gene expression changes in relevant pathways. HDAC inhibitor-induced downregulation of the enzyme thymidylate synthase, for example, has been shown to sensitize cells to the antimetabolite fluorouracil, and changes in BRCA1/2 expression caused by the HDAC inhibitor vorinostat may confer susceptibility to poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibition.5,6 Furthermore, this class of agents may possess antiangiogenic properties, as HDAC inhibitor treatment depletes vascular endothelial cell growth factor and inhibits the proliferation of endothelial cells.2,7

The novel hydroxamic acid-type HDAC inhibitor belinostat has been shown to provide clinical benefit as a single agent in patients with various haematological malignancies, as well as in combination with chemotherapy in solid tumours.8-10 Durable complete responses have been observed with belinostat in patients with peripheral and cutaneous T-cell lymphomas, and, based on these data, belinostat (BELEODAQ, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma.11,12 Furthermore, in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy, belinostat has demonstrated encouraging activity in different types of solid tumours, including platinum-resistant ovarian cancer.13,14

What is already known about this subject
- The histone deacetylase inhibitor belinostat has clinical anticancer activity and is generally well tolerated.
- Because belinostat is metabolized in the liver, liver dysfunction could lead to changes in drug exposure and increased toxicity.
- Safety data and dosing guidelines for belinostat need to be established in patients with impaired liver function.

What this study adds
- A thorough evaluation of belinostat pharmacokinetics demonstrated a reduction in belinostat clearance and significant differences in specific metabolic pathway capabilities in patients with declining liver function.
- Increases in belinostat exposure due to liver dysfunction were moderate and did not correlate with increased toxicity.
Overall, belinostat is well tolerated, with the most common toxicities including nausea, fatigue, anaemia and vomiting. The recommended phase 2 dose is 1000 mg/m\(^2\)/day on days 1–5 of a 21-day cycle.\(^{11,15}\)

While this agent possesses great promise for the treatment of various tumour types, questions remain regarding dosing guidelines for patients with organ dysfunction. With only up to 2% of total administered belinostat excreted unchanged in the urine, dose modifications are generally unwarranted for patients with kidney dysfunction.\(^{10,15}\) However, adjustments may be needed for patients with liver dysfunction. Belinostat is rapidly metabolized by the liver into metabolites, which are eliminated primarily through the kidneys.\(^{15-17}\) These resulting metabolites are inactive and not likely to be relevant to safety or tolerability. However, changes in enzyme activity and hepatic blood flow caused by liver disease can result in a reduction in hepatic metabolism and lead to increased parent belinostat exposure, potentially having a significant effect on drug-related toxicity.\(^{18}\)

Therefore, the safety and dosing schedule of belinostat need to be established in patients with impaired liver function by a thorough evaluation of the drug's pharmacokinetics (PK).\(^{15}\) The Food and Drug Administration emphasized the need for these data when issuing approval of the new drug application for belinostat, and the postmarketing study requirements included PK characterization of belinostat in patients with hepatic impairment.\(^{19}\)

To fulfill this postmarketing requirement, we performed a multicentre phase 1 study (NCT01273155) to evaluate the PK, establish the safety, and determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of belinostat in patients with solid tumours or lymphomas and varying degrees of liver dysfunction. Patients with normal liver function were also included as a control cohort to enable a direct comparison with patients with liver dysfunction. This study was conducted by the Organ Dysfunction Working Group sponsored by the Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program (CTEP) of the National Cancer Institute.

## METHODS

### 2.1 Eligibility criteria

Patients 18 years or older were eligible if they had solid tumours or lymphomas that were metastatic, unresectable, progressive or recurrent, and for which standard treatment measures that prolong survival did not exist or were no longer effective. Patients were required to have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status \(\leq 2\); a life expectancy of \(>3\) months; and adequate organ and marrow function as defined by an absolute neutrophil count \(\geq 1500/\mu\text{L}\), leucocytes \(\geq 3000/\mu\text{L}\), platelets \(\geq 100 000/\mu\text{L}\) and serum creatinine within normal institutional limits (or serum creatinine clearance \(\geq 60\text{ mL/min}/1.73\text{ m}^2\), as determined by a measured 24-hour creatinine clearance, for patients with creatinine levels above institutional normal).

Patients were excluded from the study if they had received prior therapy with belinostat, had uncontrolled intercurrent illness including ongoing or active untreated infection or active haemolysis, or had significant cardiovascular disease or cardiac illness. Patients were advised to avoid concomitant drugs that may cause QTc prolongation. Patients with brain metastases were eligible if the most recent brain irradiation was completed more than 4 weeks prior to entering the study. Patients were required to have completed chemotherapy or radiotherapy at least 4 weeks (6 weeks for nitrosoureas or mitomycin C) prior to entering the study.

Human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients on combination antiretroviral therapy were considered ineligible because of the potential for PK interactions with belinostat; human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients not on antiretroviral therapy were eligible for the normal liver function cohort only. Patients with chronic hepatitis B or C were eligible unless they required treatment with interferon. Pregnant women and women who were breastfeeding were excluded.

Patients with abnormal liver function were grouped into cohorts based on their level of liver dysfunction (cohort assignment criteria are defined in Table 1). Patients with biliary obstruction for which a stent has been placed were eligible, provided the stent had been in place for at least 10 days prior to the first dose of belinostat, and liver function had stabilized. Patients with normal liver function were eligible and grouped into a control cohort for comparison with the liver dysfunction cohorts.

### 2.2 Trial design

This study was a phase 1 dose escalation trial establishing the tolerability and evaluating the PK of belinostat in patients with varying

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Belinostat dose levels (mg/m(^2)) based on liver function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose level</td>
<td>Normal function (bilirubin (\leq) ULN and AST (\leq) ULN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No escalation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AST, aspartate transaminase; ULN, upper limit of normal.
degrees of liver dysfunction. After discussions with the trial sponsor, a
conventional 3 + 3 dose escalation method was chosen to best ensure
patient safety.20 Belinostat was supplied by the National Cancer Insti-
tute’s Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis under a Clinical Tri-
als Agreement (CTA) with TopoTarget A/S (now Onxeo).
On days 1–5 of each 21-day cycle, patients received belinostat at a
dose dependent on their liver dysfunction cohort and dose level.
Belinostat was administered intravenously over 30 minutes. All
patients received a single dose of 400 mg/m² of belinostat on Cycle 1 Day 7 for PK analysis (the total length of Cycle 1 was 28 days).
Table 1 shows the dose escalation for each cohort. The initial
cohorts of patients began on dose level 1. Patients with normal liver
function did not have their dose escalated. The mild liver dysfunction
cohort were escalated according to a standard 3 + 3 design; moderate
and severe cohorts had a similar dose escalation design, but with the
flexibility to accrue an additional 1 or 2 patients per dose level.
Adverse events (AEs) were graded according to Common Terminol-
ogy Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), Version 4.0. Dose-limiting
toxicity (DLT) was based on events observed in the first cycle of ther-
apy, and was defined as an AE deemed possibly, probably or definitely
related to administration of study drugs and fulfilled 1 of the following
criteria: grade ≥ 3 nonhaematological toxicity (except grade ≥ 3 dia-
rhoea, nausea, vomiting responsive to supportive therapy); grade ≥ 3
rise in creatinine (unless grade 3 able to be corrected to grade 1 or
baseline within 24 hours); grade ≥ 3 electrolyte toxicities (except
those able to be corrected to grade 1 or baseline within 48 hours);
grade 4 thrombocytopenia; grade 4 neutropenia for >5 days or febrile
neutropenia; any neurotoxicity grade ≥ 2 not reversible to grade 1 or
baseline within 2 weeks; or any delay in treatment by ≥2 weeks due
to treatment-related toxicity. Worsening liver function, as defined by
a rise in serum bilirubin not related to tumour progression, was consid-
ered a DLT if a patient in the mild group progressed into the severe
dysfunction range for 1 week, or if a patient in either the moderate
or severe groups had a >1.5× increase in bilirubin lasting 1 week.
Radiological response assessments by computed tomography
scans were performed at baseline and every 2 cycles to evaluate
tumour response based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid
Tumors (RECIST), version 1.1.21
This trial was conducted under a National Cancer Institute-
sponsored investigational new drug application with institutional
review board approval. Protocol design and conduct followed all appli-
cable regulations, guidance, and local policies.

2.3 | Safety assessments

History and physical examination were performed at baseline and at
the start of every cycle. Complete blood counts with differential and
serum chemistries were performed at baseline, weekly during Cycle 1,
and at the beginning of every subsequent cycle. Electrocardiograms
were done prestudy, on Cycle 1 Day 5 within 5 hours after the
belinostat dose, and prior to the dose at the beginning of Cycle 2.
Serum magnesium was assessed at baseline and as clinically indicated.
Prothrombin time, international normalized ratio, and activated partial
thromboplastin time were checked at baseline in all patients; patients
requiring warfarin therapy were advised to have their prothrombin
time/international normalized ratio monitored carefully by their local
physicians.

2.4 | Pharmacokinetic evaluations

Blood samples for PK studies were collected in heparinized tubes prior
to infusion; 15 and 25 minutes after the start of infusion; and 5, 10,
15, 30, 60 and 90 minutes, and 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours after the
end of infusion. Blood samples were centrifuged at 1000× g for
10 minutes to separate and collect plasma, which was stored at
−70°C until analysis.
Quantitative determination of plasma concentrations of belinostat
and 5 metabolites was performed with a previously validated assay.22
PK parameters were calculated noncompartmentally using PK Solu-
tions 2.0 (Summit Research Services, Montrose, CO, USA). Effects of
liver dysfunction on PK parameter values were evaluated with SPSS
22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), using the
Jonckheere–Terpstra and Kendall’s τ test. Data was considered signif-
icantly different when P < .05. Metabolic ratios were calculated for
both maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and area under the plasma
concentration–time curve extrapolated to infinity (AUC0–∞) by divid-
ing the metabolite parameter value by the parent drug parameter
value. The relationship of belinostat Cmax and AUC with grade ≥2
AE occurrence was analysed by cohort and in aggregate using non-
parametric Mann–Whitney U tests.

2.5 | Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corre-
spanding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the com-
mon portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to
PHARMACOLOGY,23 and are permanently archived in the Concise
Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18.24

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patient characteristics

Seventy-two patients were enrolled in this study between March 2011
and August 2017. The median age was 60 years (range, 30–77), and
the median number of prior treatments was 6 (range, 1–20). Except
for 1 patient with lymphoma, all patients had solid tumours, with colo-
rectal cancer being the most common. Only patients who received all 6
Cycle 1 doses of belinostat and remained on study until the end of
Cycle 1 were considered evaluable for DLT (n = 40). The others came
off study prior to the end of Cycle 1 (due to disease progression, inter-
current illness, toxicity, or withdrawal from study) and were not
evaluable. Additional patient demographics are shown in Table 2.
TABLE 2  Patient characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients enrolled/evaluablea</td>
<td>72/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver function</td>
<td>14/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild liver dysfunction</td>
<td>30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate liver dysfunction</td>
<td>10/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe liver dysfunction</td>
<td>18/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, y (range)</td>
<td>60 (30–77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median BSA, m² (range)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.53–2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver function</td>
<td>1.95 (1.38–2.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild liver dysfunctionb</td>
<td>1.99 (1.71–2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate liver dysfunction</td>
<td>1.79 (1.42–2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe liver dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoid cystic carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallbladder/bile duct</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroendocrine</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior therapies, median number (range)</td>
<td>6 (1–20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA = body surface area; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

aEvaluable for cycle 1 dose-limiting toxicity.
bBaseline BSA unavailable for 1 patient.

Fourteen patients had normal liver function, while the remaining patients had some degree of liver dysfunction (30, 10, and 18 patients had mild, moderate and severe dysfunction, respectively, at time of enrolment). Patients were dosed with belinostat based on liver function cohort (see Table 1). All patients with normal liver function were placed on dose level (DL) 1 and were not eligible for dose escalation. All 3 liver function cohorts were escalated from DL 1 to DL 2. Three patients were switched from the mild to moderate cohort within the first week of Cycle 1 due to declining liver function; these patients were unevaluable for dose escalation and response, but were included in the safety analysis as part of the moderate cohort.

3.2  Toxicity

Patients who received at least 1 dose of belinostat were considered evaluable for toxicity (n = 66). Belinostat was generally well tolerated with most AEs being grade 1/2. The frequency of grade ≥3 events did not correlate with degree of liver dysfunction (Table 3); while 35.7% (5/14) of patients in the normal cohort had a grade ≥ 3 AE, 17.4% (4/23) and 25% (3/12) of patients in the mild and moderate cohorts, respectively, experienced grade ≥ 3 toxicity. Grade ≥ 3 events were less frequent in the severe cohort, although this may be due to the fact that these patients spent less time on study (Figure 1).

Fatigue, lymphopenia and anaemia were the most common AEs in the study overall and were not unexpected. Grade 2 or greater fatigue, lymphopenia or anaemia occurred and were attributed to the study drug in 21.9, 18.8 and 17.1% of all treated patients, respectively (Table 4). Increased bilirubin was also observed in patients on DL 2 and deemed to be possibly or probably related to the study drug. In the severe liver dysfunction cohort, 1 grade 5 lung infection (DL 1) considered to be possibly related to the study treatment occurred.

Overall, there were 6 patients in the mild cohort at DL 2 (1000 mg/m²) that were evaluable with no DLTs, establishing the MTD for patients with mild liver dysfunction at 1000 mg/m². The study was closed once adequate PK sampling had occurred; due to slow accrual, the MTD was not established for the moderate and severe cohorts prior to study closure. The moderate cohort had a total of 3 evaluable patients with no DLTs at DL 2 (750 mg/m²) but was not escalated to DL 3. The severe cohort was expanded at DL 1 (250 mg/m²) due to a possible DLT, but this event was later determined to be unrelated to study drug. In a total of 6 evaluable patients on DL 1, there was 1 true DLT (grade 5 lung infection, mentioned above). The severe cohort was then escalated to DL 2 (350 mg/m²), but because several patients experienced disease progression and went off study, the severe cohort had accrued only 1 evaluable patient at DL 2 before the study was closed.

3.3  Pharmacokinetics

PK was evaluated in 64 patients (Table 5). Moderate but statistically significant changes were observed in parent belinostat clearance and AUC as a function of the degree of liver dysfunction, although significant changes were not observed for Cmax, half-life or apparent volume of distribution at steady state (Figure 2, Figure 3A-B, and Table 5). Mean clearance was 661 mL/min/m² in patients with normal liver function, compared to 542, 505 and 444 mL/min/m² in patients with mild, moderate and severe hepatic dysfunction, respectively, with a corresponding increase in AUC. Increases in belinostat exposure were moderately correlated with worsening liver function (r = 0.215), and there was no obvious relationship between exposure and DLTs during the first cycle of therapy. While there was a trend towards increased belinostat half-life with worsening liver function, this difference did
Glucuronidation is the primary pathway for metabolism of belinostat, but other metabolic processes also play a role (Figure 4). Liver dysfunction affected patient disposition of belinostat and its metabolites, which represent distinct metabolic pathways. The predominant glucuronide metabolite had large within-cohort variation in exposure (Figure 3C,D) and no statistically significant difference in exposure with worsening liver function, although there was a statistically significant change in half-life (Table 5). A similar pattern was observed with M26 with no significant impact on \( C_{\text{max}} \) (Figure 3K).

### TABLE 3

Incidence of grade 3 or greater adverse events at least possibly related to study drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By liver function cohort</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dose level 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal ((n = 14))</td>
<td>Normal ((n = 38))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild ((n = 9))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate ((n = 6))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe ((n = 3))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5 (35.7))</td>
<td>(8 (21.1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 (11.1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 (16.7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 (11.1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dose level 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal ((n = 14))</td>
<td>Normal ((n = 28))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild ((n = 6))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate ((n = 8))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe ((n = 6))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 (21.4))</td>
<td>(6 (21.4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 (33.3))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 (12.5))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All dose levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal ((n = 14))</td>
<td>Normal ((n = 66))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild ((n = 23))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate ((n = 12))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe ((n = 17))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5 (35.7))</td>
<td>(14 (21.2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4 (17.4))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 (25))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 (11.8))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Data are shown as number (%) of patients with at least 1 grade ≥3 event within each liver function cohort and dose level.

\*All patients who received at least 1 dose of study drug were evaluable for assessment of toxicity.
but statistically significant increases in AUC (Figure 3L) and half-life (Table 5). M24, the proposed β-hydroxylation product of M26, showed a significant decrease in Cmax (Figure 3I) but no discernible change in either AUC (Figure 3J) or half-life (Table 5). The most dramatic changes were observed in methyl belinostat and M21 (belinostat amide), both of which showed statistically significant increases in Cmax (Figure 3E and G), AUC (Figure 3F and H), and half-life (Table 5) with worsening liver function.

Comparing metabolic ratios of metabolite-to-parent as a relative measure of metabolic pathway capability revealed several statistically significant differences between cohorts that were associated with degree of liver dysfunction (Table 6, Figure 5). The glucuronide to belinostat metabolic ratios of Cmax (Figure 5A) but not AUC (Figure 5B) resulted in a statistically significant trend showing a decreased ability to produce the metabolite in patients with increasing liver dysfunction. Methyl belinostat and M21 had statistically significant increases in metabolic ratios for Cmax and AUC with worsening liver function (Figure 5C-F). M26 only showed a statistically significant increase in metabolic capability in AUC (Figure 5J), not Cmax (Figure 5I). M24 had a statistically significant decrease in metabolic ratios for Cmax and AUC with worsening liver function (Figure 5G-H). The largest change in metabolic ratio (approximately 2-fold) was observed for the AUCs of methyl belinostat to parent (Figure 5D) and M24/M26 (Figure 5L).

Statistical evaluation of belinostat Cmax or AUC and grade ≥ 2 AE occurrence revealed no relationship (determined by a P-value >.05, not corrected for multiple testing) for patients in aggregate or by cohort except for the AUC of the moderate cohort (P = .019; data not shown). However, patients who experienced grade ≥ 2 toxicity had a lower AUC than patients that did not, which is counterintuitive and likely to be a spurious finding.

### 3.4 Clinical outcome

Patients were considered evaluable for response if they received at least 1 cycle of therapy, and either had their disease re-evaluated with interval imaging or exhibited objective disease progression prior to the end of Cycle 1 but after receiving all Cycle 1 doses. Of the 47 patients evaluable for response, 13 (27.7%) had stable disease after the first 2 cycles (Figure 1; 11 patients on DL 1, 2 patients on DL 2). Patients with stable disease remained on study treatment for a median of 4 21-day cycles (range, 2–12 cycles); the majority came off study due to progressive disease. No complete or partial responses were observed with belinostat treatment in any of the 4 cohorts.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOSE LEVEL 1</th>
<th>Normal (n = 14)a</th>
<th>Mild (n = 9)a</th>
<th>Moderate (n = 6)a</th>
<th>Severe (n = 9)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse eventb</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung infectionc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte count decreased</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOSE LEVEL 2</th>
<th>Mild (n = 14)a</th>
<th>Moderate (n = 6)a</th>
<th>Severe (n = 5)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse eventb</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin increased</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte count decreased</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All patients who received study drug were evaluable for adverse event assessment, with the exception of those who received only the Cycle 1 Day -7 dose.
b Only grade ≥ 2 AEs considered to be at least possibly related to study drug are included.
c This event occurred in only 1 patient.
DISCUSSION

The HDAC inhibitor belinostat has been shown to have antitumour activity and a tolerable safety profile, but because belinostat is primarily eliminated via hepatic metabolism, safety information and PK data are needed for patients with liver dysfunction. The results reported here detail the assessment of belinostat safety, tolerability and PK in patients with advanced cancers and mild, moderate or severe liver dysfunction.

Belinostat was generally well tolerated in this study. We found the MTD in the mild cohort to be the same as in patients with normal liver function, indicating that no dose adjustment is necessary in patients with mild hepatic impairment. We could not formally determine the MTD in patients with more severe liver dysfunction, but our data suggest that increased belinostat exposure in these patients is not linked to significant differences in tolerability.

Patients with normal liver function demonstrated similar PK to previous reports, and the clearance of 661 mL/min/m² suggests a high extraction of drug at 76% of liver blood flow, which makes clearance of the drug especially susceptible to changes in blood flow, such as those associated with cirrhosis. Indeed, our results demonstrated moderately impaired clearance of belinostat in patients with hepatic dysfunction.
dysfunction, although no distinction was made in aetiology of liver
dysfunction (i.e. cirrhosis vs liver metastases, chronic hepatitis or other
causes). While the presence of ascites can impact PK profiles, only a
single patient with severe liver dysfunction had ascites on the day of
PK sampling, and this patient was not an outlier in terms of the PK
parameters within the severe cohort, eliminating ascites as a factor
to explain PK variability in our dataset. Although even a small increase
in exposure has the potential to cause toxicity, no relationship was
observed between belinostat exposure and DLTs. This may be
explained by the brief exposure period in the moderate and severe
liver dysfunction cohorts, or by the tolerability of belinostat at the

FIGURE 2  Geometric mean belinostat plasma concentration vs time
for patients with normal (○) mild (□), moderate (△), and severe (▽) liver
dysfunction. Error bars represent geometric standard deviation

FIGURE 3  Plots showing maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) and area under the plasma concentration–time (AUC) values for belinostat and
its metabolites in patients with varying degrees of liver dysfunction. $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC are shown for belinostat (A, B), belinostat glucuronide (C, D),
methyl belinostat (E, F), M21 (G, H), M24 (I, J) and M26 (K, L). The dots represent individual values; the bar indicates the mean of each group. Data
are summarized and statistics are provided in Table 5. n = normal liver function; H1 = mild, H2 = moderate and H3 = severe liver dysfunction

FIGURE 4  Metabolic pathways of belinostat
and metabolites with putative enzymatic
pathways involved. The schema includes
observed statistical significance by
Jonckheere–Terpstra (bold if $P < .05$) and
direction of effects of liver dysfunction on
metabolic ratios
TABLE 6  Metabolic ratios of maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) and area under the plasma concentration–time curve extrapolated to infinity (AUC_{0–inf}), shown as geometric mean (geometric standard deviation), for the belinostat metabolic pathway on cycle 1 day –7 as a function of degree of liver dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal (n = 14)</th>
<th>Mild (n = 25)</th>
<th>Moderate (n = 10)</th>
<th>Severe (n = 15)</th>
<th>P-value(^{b})</th>
<th>τ(^{b})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belinostat glucuronide/belinostat</td>
<td>3.78 (1.47)</td>
<td>3.57 (1.47)</td>
<td>2.88 (1.54)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.51)</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>-.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl belinostat/belinostat</td>
<td>0.0948 (1.53)</td>
<td>0.112 (1.52)</td>
<td>0.149 (1.54)</td>
<td>0.134 (1.38)</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21/belinostat</td>
<td>0.0522 (1.69)</td>
<td>0.0642 (1.50)</td>
<td>0.0768 (1.54)</td>
<td>0.0759 (1.43)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24/belinostat</td>
<td>0.117 (1.36)</td>
<td>0.0875 (1.61)</td>
<td>0.0738 (1.46)</td>
<td>0.0715 (1.38)</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>-.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M26/belinostat</td>
<td>0.0752 (1.48)</td>
<td>0.0665 (1.44)</td>
<td>0.0824 (1.57)</td>
<td>0.0806 (1.57)</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24/M26</td>
<td>1.56 (1.46)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.59)</td>
<td>0.895 (1.88)</td>
<td>0.611 (1.66)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>-.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24/M26</td>
<td>1.44 (1.88)</td>
<td>0.96 (1.62)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.50)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.84)</td>
<td>.095</td>
<td>-.159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)N represents the number of patients in a given cohort that received belinostat on Cycle 1 Day –7 and had blood samples successfully collected at the specified timepoints for PK analysis.

\(^{b}\)Statistically significant P-values (Jonckheere-Terpstra) and τ (Kendall’s tau) values are bolded.

\(^{c}\)Three patients with non evaluable C_{max}.

\(^{d}\)One patient with non evaluable AUC.

doses used, supported by previous trials. In the phase 1 trial that determined the MTD to be 1000 mg/m\(^2\)/day 1–5 every 21 days,\(^{10}\) only 3 of 24 patients (12.5%) experienced DLT at that dose. While 3 of 5 patients experienced DLT at 1200 mg/m\(^2\)/day in this first phase 1 trial, a separate trial in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma tested doses up to 1400 mg/m\(^2\)/day and did not reach the MTD.\(^{25}\) Furthermore, in a study of 13-cis-retinoic acid and belinostat, MTD was not reached even at 2000 mg/m\(^2\)/day.\(^{26}\) If belinostat is truly tolerable at doses up to double 1000 mg/m\(^2\), minor variability in exposure at 1000 mg/m\(^2\) would not be expected to result in a meaningful impact on tolerability. Indeed, we could not detect an impact of belinostat exposure on the occurrence of grade ≥ 2 AEs. Higher doses may be associated with excessive exposure and increased toxicity in patients with liver dysfunction, but our study closed before patients with moderate and severe liver dysfunction were enrolled on the highest dose levels (above 750 mg/m\(^2\)).

All described metabolic changes to belinostat affect the hydroxamate moiety and inactivate the molecule, similar to related HDAC inhibitors such as vorinostat, trichostatin A and panobinostat\(^{27–29}\); therefore, these resulting metabolites are not likely to be relevant to the tolerability of belinostat therapy. However, our study is unique in that, in addition to evaluating the safety of belinostat in patients with liver dysfunction, it also characterizes the impact of liver dysfunction on the generation of various belinostat metabolites. The predominant metabolic pathway for belinostat is glucuronidation mediated by the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase UGT1A1 and UGT2B7 (41.4% of dose by clinical mass balance\(^{16,30,31}\)), but methylation (1.9%), \(\beta\)-oxidation (6.1% M24) and metabolism by CYP450 (M21, M26) also contribute (Figure 4). We calculated C_{max} and AUC metabolic ratios of the various metabolites to evaluate the impact of liver dysfunction on each of these different metabolic steps. We observed an impact on C_{max}, but not AUC, metabolic ratios of glucuronidation, which is a metabolic step that is reportedly less sensitive to changes in liver function than those involving phase I enzymes, although some of these reports are based on studies with only mild to moderate liver dysfunction.\(^{18}\) Furthermore, UGT1A1 expression is not restricted to the liver, with the small intestine also expressing the enzyme.\(^{32}\) Possibly, the significant increase in belinostat glucuronide half-life with liver dysfunction is due to reduced efflux transporter expression associated with cholestatic disease,\(^{18}\) an effect that would prevent the significant decrease in C_{max} metabolic ratio from translating to a decreasing AUC with liver dysfunction.

Methyl belinostat and M21 (belinostat amide) had counterintuitive increases in C_{max} and AUC with worsening liver function. Although cirrhosis has been shown not to impair the efficiency of nicotinamide methylation,\(^{33}\) the exact enzyme responsible for belinostat methylation is not known. M21 is reportedly produced by CYP2A6/3A4/2C9. While the expression of CYP enzyme genes and corresponding nuclear receptors is generally decreased in end-stage liver diseases,\(^{34}\)
the impact of liver dysfunction on specific CYP enzymes is not uniform. CYP2A6 and CYP3A4 activity is reportedly decreased with increasing liver dysfunction, while CYP2C9 is not affected. Possibly, the contribution of methyl belinostat and M21 to the metabolic clearance is increased indirectly by a decreased contribution of the other metabolic pathways. Interestingly, the M24/M26 metabolic ratios displayed a significant decline of more than a factor of 2 with increasing liver dysfunction, suggesting that β-hydroxylation is a metabolic process relatively sensitive to impaired liver dysfunction. M26 can be generated either directly from belinostat or upon amide hydrolysis of M21. In the latter case, assuming amide hydrolases are ubiquitous in the body, the ratio of M26/M21 would not be affected. We found a significant change in M26/M21 metabolic ratio in AUC, not Cmax (Table 6), although this was driven by a difference between the normal cohort and all 3 dysfunction cohorts, without a progressive trend as dysfunction increases in severity.

While the primary objectives of this trial were to assess the safety and PK of belinostat in patients with reduced hepatic function, the study also provided an opportunity to evaluate the clinical activity of the drug in this patient population. While no objective responses were observed, 13 heavily pretreated patients experienced stable disease, with 1 patient remaining on study for 12 cycles. The lack of clinical response may be partially due to the high rate of study discontinuation, associated with the advanced nature of disease in these patients. Belinostat may also have limited clinical utility as a monotherapy in patients with solid tumours, as its most marked single agent activity has been seen in lymphoid malignancies, specifically T cell lymphoma.

This phase I study evaluated the effect of liver dysfunction on belinostat PK and tolerability and found that small increases in exposure due to mildly impaired hepatic drug clearance were not associated with increased toxicity. Patients with mild liver dysfunction tolerated belinostat at normal doses. However, an in-depth assessment of disposition of belinostat and its metabolites in patients with different levels of liver dysfunction revealed significant differences in metabolic pathway capability among liver function cohorts. Although formal dose adjustment guidance cannot be projected from this study, we can recommend that when using this drug in patients with advanced liver dysfunction, clinicians exercise caution and monitor patients closely. Additional studies would be required to evaluate higher doses of belinostat in patients with liver dysfunction and to determine whether dosing adjustments are needed in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment.
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