



EMORY
LIBRARIES &
INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY

OpenEmory

In Situ Simulation Enables Operating Room Agility in the COVID-19 Pandemic.

[Sharon Muret-Wagstaff](#), *Emory University*
[Jeremy S. Collins](#), *Emory University*
[Darlene LeDrut Mashman](#), *Emory University*
[Snehal G. Patel](#), *Emory University*
[Kate Pettorini](#), *Emory University*
[Seth A. Rosen](#), *Emory University*
[Virginia Oliva Shaffer](#), *Emory University*
[Michele Sumler](#), *Emory University*
[John F Sweeney](#), *Emory University*
[Jyotimay Sharma](#), *Emory University*

Journal Title: Annals of Surgery
Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins | 2020-05-20
Type of Work: Article | Post-print: After Peer Review
Publisher DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000004056
Permanent URL: <https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/vgd6w>

Final published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000004056>

Copyright information:

© 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Accessed September 27, 2021 1:34 AM EDT

In Situ Simulation Enables Operating Room Agility in the COVID-19 Pandemic

Sharon L. Muret-Wagstaff, PhD, MPA;¹ Jeremy S. Collins, MBChB, FRCA;² Darlene L. Mashman, MD;² Snehal G. Patel, MD, MS, FACS;¹ Kate Pettorini, MSNE, RN, CNOR;³ Seth A. Rosen, MD, FASCRS;¹ Virginia O. Shaffer, MD, FACS, FASCRS;¹ Michele L. Sumler, MD, FASE;² John F. Sweeney, MD, FACS;¹ Jyotimay Sharma, MD, FACS, FACE.¹

¹Department of Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA; ²Department of Anesthesiology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA; ³Perioperative Services, Emory Healthcare, Atlanta, GA

Corresponding author:

Sharon Muret-Wagstaff, PhD, MPA

Associate Professor of Surgery; Director, Excel Simulation Center

Emory University School of Medicine

Emory University Hospital, H-100

1364 Clifton Road NE

Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Phone: 617-270-7444

Email: smuretw@emory.edu

Reprints: Reprints will not be available from the authors.

Disclosure: This work did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors do not report any conflicts of interest.

Mini-Abstract

Surgical teams must adapt quickly in the COVID-19 surge to care for the COVID-19-positive surgery patient. We combined *in situ* simulation with iterative improvement to design, execute, and spread an approach. The processes and tools are replicable to enable agility in diverse hospital operating room settings.

INTRODUCTION

As COVID-19 infections soar worldwide, surgical teams must quickly adapt to care for the COVID-19-positive patient in the operating room (OR). This challenge comes in the face of constant change in data and conditions, reliable evidence yet to emerge, shortages of personal protective equipment (PPE), uncertainty due to lack of testing equipment and capacity, and unprecedented strain on caregivers, hospital systems, and resources.¹

Agility is essential not only to resilience when environmental threats arise, but also to the expeditious design and launch of a new process itself.²

We sought to create an agile process to optimize teamwork and care, and to proactively identify and mitigate risks for patients and staff. Further, we needed a process that could undergo rapid change, enhance safe and effective team performance, and be adapted and replicated across a large healthcare system that serves nearly 75,000 inpatients a year.

Immersive, high-fidelity simulation conducted *in situ* in the actual clinical environment can be used to train interprofessional teams as well as to enhance safety through design, testing, and error-proofing new processes, devices, and facilities.^{2,3} We aimed to use an iterative, collaborative approach integrating *in situ* simulation and rapid cycle quality improvement to confront the COVID-19 outbreak for the COVID-19-positive patient in the operating room.

APPROACH

We initiated a 10-day process to organize teams and design, prototype, execute, and replicate a simulation-based process and tools to optimize safety and procedures and enable OR agility in caring for the COVID-19 surgical patient (Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/SLA/C243>, Timeline).

1. Assemble an interdisciplinary team. A senior quality leader (J.S.) with experience as an American College of Surgeons (ACS) National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP®) champion and trained simulation facilitator created a core team of clinical leaders and simulation specialists from surgery, anesthesiology, and nursing; a larger team including additional frontline staff, administrators, and subject matter experts (e.g., Infection Prevention officer); and a data team whose members systematically scanned daily changes and aggregated local and national data, peer-reviewed literature, professional society guidance, and governmental agency website postings.

In assembling the core team, we capitalized on a well-established group of surgeons, anesthesiologists, and nurses who have served for four years as trained faculty for a Co-management of Operating Room Emergencies (CORE) simulation program for the Emory University School of Medicine and Emory Healthcare. CORE provides half-day, immersive sessions for interdisciplinary operating room teams in the mock operating room of a simulation center. CORE focuses on increasing patient safety through use of checklists,

closed loop communication, and crew resource management techniques. These faculty became ideal facilitators and coaches for *in situ* simulation and pre-briefings for actual cases with COVID-19-positive patients.

The core team leader conducts daily two-way briefings with institutional leaders in COVID-19 healthcare system management meetings via a video-audio conferencing platform.

2. Establish design principles. Our key design principles were simplicity, clarity, and agility. In addition, following high reliability organization theory, we strived to:

1. Create and maintain a strong culture of safety;
2. Test for and use optimal processes and procedures;
3. Provide intensive and continuing training of individuals, teams, and larger units;
4. Conduct thorough prospective and retrospective organizational learning and safety management.^{2, p. S42}

3. Create Team Guides to outline processes for managing the COVID-19-positive patient in the OR. Inspired by a graphic illustrating an infection control workflow developed by leaders in Singapore,⁴ we experimented with various designs to incorporate current evidence-based practices. After several iterations (e.g., process flow map with swim lanes and decision trees; detailed instructions for every role; multi-page, multicolored versions) we chose a simple, one-page, printable, black-and-white Word document format that can be updated quickly and easily. The Team Guide highlights roles that require significant changes from routine practice (Table). This format proved to be necessary and sufficient as we made changes as often as 3 times a day as we progressed through simulations, learning, and reviews. The Team Guide is intended to promote situation awareness and a shared mental model of the care process among all team members.

We reasoned that a prototype of a Team Guide and *in situ* simulation process for a single type of case (the non-intubated, non-aerosol-generation procedure COVID-19-positive patient) at a single hospital would be most effective to enable rapid testing, learning, and revision before disseminating more widely and creating Team Guides for additional types of patients.

The Team Guide is backed up by detailed instructions for each profession and role. For example, a group of senior residents was tasked with developing such a plan coached by the program director. Additionally, all frontline providers received separate training in donning and doffing PPE.

We also created a Pre-Brief Readiness Checklist for clinical teams to use in 30-minute case pre-briefings for all staff involved in a case (Supplemental Digital Content 2, <http://links.lww.com/SLA/C243>).⁵

4. Create a simulation Facilitator Guide and packet. We created a Facilitator Guide (Supplemental Digital Content 3, <http://links.lww.com/SLA/C243>) to outline a chronological process, and provided a turnkey packet for instructors consisting of the Facilitator Guide; the Team Guide (Table); a sign-in sheet; a door sign indicating "Simulation in Progress;" a Pre-Brief Readiness Checklist for clinical cases; an *In Situ* Simulation Safety Checklist to mitigate risks of carrying out simulation in a dynamic, high risk clinical environment (Supplemental Digital Content 4, <http://links.lww.com/SLA/C243>);⁶ and a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) template (Supplementary Digital Content 5, <http://links.lww.com/SLA/C243>).⁷ We distributed these materials to our CORE simulation faculty and others with simulation experience, and iteratively refined the materials based on facilitator and participant feedback and debriefings from actual cases that emerged in the interim. We conducted planning calls and coached the first team to replicate the process, and distributed packets and offers of support to leaders at additional hospitals.

5. Implement the prototype simulation process in the OR of the first hospital. The core leader (J.S.) facilitated the first simulation session with an interdisciplinary OR team as participants and with others as observers, including the larger team, subject matter experts, and CORE faculty who would facilitate future COVID-19 OR simulations.

Through simulation, interdisciplinary participants and observers learned together and provided extensive input regarding gaps. These were captured on a failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) template during the session. We were able to identify and immediately fix several high priority issues that arose. For example, we made plans to provide a PPE Champion to monitor and coach participants in every case, in lieu of a "Buddy system"⁵ in this early phase when buddies themselves, while trained in donning and doffing, may not yet be fully confident. We take the lists of identified gaps back to our team to calculate risk profile numbers, prioritize failure modes, and carry out further action plans to mitigate risks. Within 14 days of the Day 1 initial assemblage of a design team, the process and tools were prototyped, tested in the first hospital, replicated, adapted, and used in an additional 5 hospitals.

6. Incorporate findings and learnings from each simulation and actual clinical case into the process and tools. We continue to maintain adaptability, to communicate systematically among teams in multiple hospitals and with senior healthcare system leaders, and to update simulation and clinical processes and tools continuously.

While the steps above appear linear, the process in fact is iterative and recursive, at times with parallel processes. We know that conditions, data, and best available evidence will continue to change and we must remain agile.

DISCUSSION

We continue to learn and adapt as we use *in situ* simulation to support the agile care of COVID-19 patients in the OR. We have condensed a process that typically takes 14 to 18 months³ into a 10-day first phase, with spread of simulation and improvements to an additional 5 hospitals within another 4 days.

In a prescient address to the European Surgical Association in 2019, Dr. Carlos Pellegrini cited the ability to develop and lead a high performing team as the first of 10 principles in achieving mastery in the modern-day practice of surgery.⁸ He noted that "the team must...develop a shared mental model...situation awareness, and all members must communicate efficiently, and must provide support for each other. Essential to the success of the group is mutual respect...and repeated practice (real or via simulation)."p. 735 We have attempted to translate this advice into practical terms to deliver care better, faster, and safer each day for the COVID-19-positive patient.

In summary, we have demonstrated feasibility and replicability of capitalizing on *in situ* simulation and agile improvement methods in a tightly compressed timeframe to develop, use, and spread best practices in a rising pandemic. We consider the Team Guide and tools as models to adapt and not final products as we know that these will change as more evidence emerges and as the environment changes. It is our hope that our process will be a helpful catalyst for other interdisciplinary surgical teams in accelerating their development of effective ways to safely manage the COVID-19 patient in the operating room.

Acknowledgement

The authors are deeply grateful to the frontline clinicians and leaders at Emory University School of Medicine and Emory Healthcare who courageously and brilliantly care for those stricken with COVID-19 disease.

References

1. American College of Surgeons. Bulletin: ACS COVID-19 Update - March 27, 2020. <https://www.facs.org/covid-19/newsletter>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
2. Paige JT, Terry Fairbanks RJ, Gaba DM. Priorities related to improving healthcare safety through simulation. *Simul Healthc*. 2018;13(3S):S41-S50.
3. Colman N, Doughty C, Arnold J, et al. Simulation-based clinical systems testing for healthcare spaces: from intake through implementation. *Adv Simul*. 2019;4:19.
Doi: 10.1186/s41077-019-0108-7.
4. Ti LK, Ang LS, Foong TW, et al. What we do when a COVID-19 patient needs an operation: operating room preparation and guidance. *Can J Anesth*. 2020 Mar 6. Epub ahead of print.
5. Brat GA, Hersey SP, Chhabra K, et al. Protecting surgical teams during the COVID-19 outbreak: a narrative review and clinical considerations. *Annals of Surgery*. Epub ahead of print.
6. Bajaj K, Minors A, Walker K, et al. "No-go considerations" for in situ simulation safety. *Simul Healthc*. 2018;13(3):221-224.
7. QI Essentials Toolkit: Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) Tool. Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2016. ihi.org. Accessed April 8, 2020.
8. Pellegrini CA, de Santibanes E. Achieving mastery in the practice of surgery. *Ann Surg*. 2019;270(5):735-737.

Table. Team Guide: Management of the Non-Intubated, Non-Aerosol-Generating Procedure COVID-19-Positive Patient - updated 04-08-2020

Emory University Hospital/Emory University School of Medicine/Emory Healthcare

	Nurse in Charge	Periop Tech	OR Nurse	Anesthesiologist & Anesthesia Tech	Others (See also role-specific guides)
PREPARATION Phase: 30 min ahead: -Designate Transport Team -Clear route via Elevator -Unit prepares pt. with mask, cleans rails or new bed, new blanket on pt. -Place consent & chart in clear bag -Runner: ACE in room if entered; doff PPE	-Activate Team & Notification List, deploy staff, Pre-Brief -Leave personal items outside -COVID-19 & PUI will be performed in OR#27 -Outside Circ.: PPID, labels, family communication -Vendor to OR only if mission-critical per surgeon Endo Suite #1 Prep: -Team: N=4 (2+2), ACE PPE -Outside Tech & RN Circulator -Chart, anesthesia cart outside -Check neg. pressure in room -Metaltable in room: Drugs, equipment -If multiple cases will need 1 hour (may change) -Ambu bag with filter -Transport monitor -Wipe rails	-Signs in place: Do Not Enter & close corridor doors -Install PPE station inside & outside OR with donning & doffing posters -PPE while patient in OR & one hour afterward -WOW outside OR -Crash cart outside OR -Fluro etc. -Surgery: per case -Maintain emergency airway equipment & ultrasound machine only for COVID OR -Non-essential equipment removed -All high touch surfaces: Covered/wrapped in plastic	-Team (6) in OR in ACE PPE/scrubbed; OR scrubs -Prepare OR accordingly -Scrub nurse to scrub up & prepare sterile field -Circulating nurse to pass all additional consumables & instruments to Runner -OR will have one entry & one exit point -No entry/exit to Sterile Core -Position patient with 4 staff assigned to case -Secondary Circulator performs PPID & pre-op interview before pt. enters Endo Suite	-Ensure adequate PPE/PAPR -Don ACE PPE -Equipment placed prior to patient arrival -Dedicated anesthesia machine to stay in OR -Machine checkout, supply restocking, drug tray replenishment daily with DICE precautions -Dry circuit with HME, <u>bacterials</u> /viral filter on the inspiratory limb, HMEF filter on the expiratory limb only; closed suction; hemostat	Surgeon: -Pre-Brief: case-specific equipment, consumables -Post-Op: Phone hand-off to receiving unit Scrub Tech: -Don ACE & prepare OR accordingly -Maintain sterile field
INTRA-OPERATIVE Phase -Walkie-Talkie to communicate -Closed loop communication -Encourage speaking up		-Contact Secondary Circulator/Runner (outside OR) if any other items required -Keep blood units outside OR; pass units into room when ready to hang -Blood samples: Wipe syringe, pass to outside runner to test outside OR			-Transfer to bed: staff, surgery, & anesthesia team in OR -Wipe patient's bed before rem leave Team in the room in ACE PPE including N95 with face shield
POST-OPERATIVE Phase				-Transfer post-op patient via designated access door. Patient will be extubated in Endo Suite & recovered in PACU. -Circulating RN & Anesthesiologist send intubated pt. back to ICU on cleared, designated route.	-Handoff: room entry don ACE PPE -Case report RN to RN -Anesthesia to Unit RN (verbal)
FOLLOW-UP Phase	Team Debrief: Strengths, learning, opportunities for improvement, & f/u actions	Disinfection: Turnover Team: -PDI Sani-wipes (purple or grey top) -Terminal cleaning: Droplet cleaning following protocol	-Send instrument sets direct to SPD in closed case cart Specimens: Runner receives in biohazard bag; wipe down, send in outside bullet (tube)	-Anesthesia tray stays in OR -Pharmacy: Don DICE & restock tray during day -Take narcotics individually from PACU -Face shield: wipe down -CAPR: Don disinfected lens	Remove PPE & Shower: -Remove in OR: Gowns, gloves, cap, shoe covers -Remove outside OR: N95, goggles, cap, PAPR -Don new cap & gloves outside OR room

Parking Lot: (1) Transport Team 24h; (2) Extubated patient: PACU with mask/O2 NC; (3) Loupes, headlights; (4) Do not book cases in that corridor; (5) Facilitator for Pre-Brief; (6) Emergencies.