Milestones in Research on the Pathophysiology of Parkinson’s Disease
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Abstract

Progress in our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the cardinal motor abnormalities of Parkinson’s disease (PD), in particular akinesia and bradykinesia and their treatment, has been remarkable. Notable accomplishments include insights into the functional organization of the basal ganglia and their place in the motor system as components of a family of parallel cortico-subcortical circuits that subserve motor and nonmotor functions and the development of models of the intrinsic organization of the basal ganglia, including delineation of the so-called direct, indirect, and hyperdirect pathways. Studies in primate models of PD have provided insight into the alterations of neuronal activity that are responsible for the motor features of PD, revealing both altered tonic levels of discharge and significant disturbances of the patterns of discharge throughout the motor circuitry and have led to the formulation of circuit models of PD, providing testable hypotheses for research and stimulating the development of new therapies. Most importantly, the discovery that lesions of the subthalamic nucleus, a key node of the indirect pathway, abolish the cardinal features of PD contributed to the renaissance in the use of surgical approaches to treating patients with PD, including ablation and deep brain stimulation.
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Over the past decades, there has been considerable progress in our understanding of the pathophysiology of Parkinson’s disease (PD). The refinement of pathophysiologic circuit...
models has provided testable hypotheses for research and stimulated the search for new therapies. In this article, we will highlight major milestones of discovery in this process.

The term *pathophysiology* will be used in a restricted sense, to refer to the anatomic localization and physiologic changes in neuronal activity in the basal ganglia and related structures that occur in response to the dopamine loss seen in PD. Dopamine loss is thought to result in the motor aspects of the disorder, in particular, the cardinal features of bradykinesia and akinesia. Although PD encompasses a spectrum of other motor and nonmotor manifestations that are largely nondopaminergic in origin, our understanding of the pathophysiologic origin of these alterations remains very limited and will not be discussed.

**Models of Basal Ganglia Circuitry**

The development of a better understanding of the functional organization of the basal ganglia was highly important in the quest for testable pathophysiological models. In this section we briefly review these important insights into the anatomy and function of the basal ganglia and associated areas in the thalamus and cortex. In subsequent sections, the pathophysiologic significance of this knowledge will be elaborated. A major step in this direction was the insight that the portions of the basal ganglia that are involved in movement are separate from the nonmotor areas and that basal ganglia circuits are (largely) separate from those of the cerebellum. Building on electrophysiologic studies in the primate, which revealed a somatotopic organization of basal ganglia neurons related to movement of individual body parts and highly specific relations to aspects of movement, and on a review of the available anatomic data, a model was proposed in the mid-1980s in which the basal ganglia are viewed as components of segregated circuits that subserve different functions, determined by the functional role of the respective cortical area of origin of the different circuits. This “parallel-circuit” hypothesis (Fig. 1) identified discrete cortico-basal ganglia–thalamocortical circuits, subserving skeletomotor, oculomotor, associative (executive), and limbic functions. Although at the time it was believed that the motor circuit targeted only the supplementary motor area (as shown in Fig. 1, a reproduction of a figure from the original article), it is now clear that the motor circuit is, in fact, comprised of subcircuits centered on different precentral motor fields. It is accepted that the parallel-circuit hypothesis offers an anatomical explanation for the common development of behavioral, cognitive, and limbic features in basal ganglia disorders and for the prominence of motor features in the clinical presentation of PD because the earliest and most extensive loss of dopamine in the striatum occurs in the postcommissural putamen, the striatal portion of the motor circuit.

In addition to the overall circuit organization, the intrinsic connections of the basal ganglia were elucidated in the 1980s and early 1990s, with the formulation of the model of “direct” and “indirect” striatal output pathways, by which the striatum, the principal basal ganglia input structure, is linked to the basal ganglia output structures, that is, the internal pallidal segment (GPI) and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr). The direct and indirect pathways were shown to originate from separate populations of striatal medium spiny neurons (MSNs) whose activity is differentially modulated by dopamine. The direct pathway is a monosynaptic inhibitory projection between MSNs that contain substance-P...
and dopamine D1-receptors, and GPi/SNr neurons. The indirect pathway is a polysynaptic connection that involves an inhibitory projection from MSNs that express dopamine D2-receptors and enkephalin to the neurons in the external pallidal segment (GPe), and subsequent inhibitory projections between GPe and GPi/SNr, either directly or via the intercalated glutamatergic subthalamic nucleus (STN; Fig. 2A, reproduced from the original figure in Bergman et al\textsuperscript{20}).

The anatomical presence of direct and indirect pathways has been hypothesized to have substantial functional consequences. Activation of MSNs that give rise to the direct pathway would result in the inhibition of GPi and SNr neurons that, in turn, may lead to reduced inhibition of thalamocortical projection neurons and thus to facilitation of movement. Because of the polarities of the connections involved, activation of the indirect pathway would have the opposite effect, that is, an increase of basal ganglia output and suppression of movement.\textsuperscript{16–18}

Another anatomical pathway that may have a substantial role in the control of basal ganglia output is the so-called hyperdirect pathway, which includes a cortico-subthalamic connection.\textsuperscript{21–23} Activation of this pathway would also act to increase basal ganglia output, resulting in greater inhibition of thalamocortical activities. Although these actions are similar in polarity to those of the indirect pathway, they are considered to be much faster than those mediated by the indirect pathway because they circumvent the relatively slow processing in the striatum and GPe.

With the introduction of transgenic animals in which the direct and indirect pathways can be visualized in brain slice recording studies, a large number of functional differences between the MSNs that give rise to these pathways have been found,\textsuperscript{24–29} which may explain their respective involvement in the normal functions of the basal ganglia and in some aspects of the pathophysiology of parkinsonism. In vivo evidence for such differences is largely lacking at this time but should be forthcoming with the help of novel optogenetic and other techniques (see, eg, Kravitz et al\textsuperscript{30}).

\section*{The Rate Model of Parkinsonism}

In the 1960s it had been established that the striatal dopamine content was decreased in patients with PD and that the cardinal features of the disorder could be reversed with administration of levodopa, a precursor of dopamine.\textsuperscript{15,31–33} The subsequent development of pathophysiologic models of PD benefited greatly from animal models of dopamine depletion. Among these, the model created by treating primates with the dopaminergic neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) has had the greatest impact.\textsuperscript{34–36}

An important insight gained from studies in such animals was the demonstration of changes in the uptake of 2-deoxyglucose (2DG) in individual basal ganglia nuclei, which mainly reflects the amount of afferent activities in a given area. Studies by Alan Crossman and colleagues showed that 2DG uptake was increased in the GPe, GPi, and ventral anterior and ventral lateral thalamic nuclei\textsuperscript{37–40} and was reduced in the STN.\textsuperscript{39,40} Subsequent electrophysiological recordings of neuronal activity in MPTP-treated primates confirmed
that the spontaneous neuronal activity in the STN and GPi are increased, whereas that in the GPe is decreased. Together, these metabolic and electrophysiologic data suggested that the MSNs that give rise to the indirect pathway are overactive, leading to inhibition of GPe neurons, which, in turn, results in disinhibition of the STN and excessive excitation of the GPi. The resulting increased basal ganglia output to the thalamus was thought to then excessively inhibit thalamic neurons projecting to the cerebral cortex.

In contrast to this model of PD, in which increased basal ganglia output led to a reduction of movement, studies of changes in metabolism or electrophysiologic activity found reduced basal ganglia output in animal models of hyperkinetic states, such as experimentally induced chorea, hemiballism, and levodopa-induced dyskinesias in monkeys. Both lines of evidence led to the development of new concepts about the pathophysiology of PD, strongly linked to the proposed organization of these structures into direct and indirect pathways. These pathophysiologic models were summarized in two landmark review articles, by Albin, Penney, and Young in 1989 and by DeLong in 1990, which described the global activity changes of basal ganglia nuclei in movement disorders. In these articles and subsequent reviews on this topic (eg, Obeso et al), striatal dopamine depletion was postulated to result in increased inhibitory activity over the indirect pathway and decreased activity over the direct pathway, leading to increased inhibitory output from the GPi to the thalamus. In contrast, hyperkinetic disorders such as hemiballismus, chorea, and drug-induced dyskinesias were postulated to result from decreased GPi output (Fig. 2B). The development of these models greatly stimulated further investigations into the pathophysiology of PD.

The proposed role of increased activity in the STN and GPi in parkinsonism was strongly supported by positron emission tomography (PET) studies and by experiments showing that lesions of the STN in MPTP-treated primates almost instantaneously and substantially ameliorate the cardinal motor signs of parkinsonism (Fig. 2C) and reduce activity in the basal ganglia output nuclei toward more normal levels. These lesion studies helped to rekindle the interest of neurosurgeons and neurologists in lesioning approaches for patients with advanced PD, which had largely lain dormant since the introduction of levodopa treatment for PD. This first resulted in the reintroduction of GPi pallidotomy, which had clinical effects similar to STN lesions in primates. Lesioning of the STN has also been used as a treatment in patients with PD. Interestingly, such lesions are well tolerated and are not, as initially feared, associated with severe hemiballism in most cases.

Soon after the reintroduction of ablative treatments for PD, the first trials of chronic high-frequency electrical stimulation (deep brain stimulation [DBS]) of basal ganglia targets were carried out in parkinsonian patients. DBS had been employed earlier for movement disorders in the thalamus for treatment of tremor. Based on the notion that chronic high-frequency stimulation may have inhibitory effects on the stimulated brain area and a study demonstrating therapeutic effects of high-frequency stimulation of the STN in MPTP-treated monkeys, a group led by Benabid and Pollak carried out a trial of STN-DBS in PD patients. This study and a large body of literature published since then demonstrated substantial and sustained benefits of DBS that are very similar to those obtained by lesioning the STN. Because DBS has the advantage of being reversible and adjustable, this
technique has now largely replaced ablative surgery for patients with advanced PD in many parts of the world.  

Pattern Abnormalities and Neuronal Synchrony

Soon after the formulation of the rate model, the researchers started to identify significant inconsistencies with this model, in particular, that lesions of the motor thalamus do not result in akinnesia and that lesions of the GPi do not result in dyskinesias. This realization shifted the attention of researchers to disturbances of neuronal activity in the basal ganglia other than changes in the spontaneous frequency of discharge. In fact, even the earliest studies of basal ganglia dysfunction in MPTP-treated animals had shown that basal ganglia neurons in the parkinsonian state exhibit prominent alterations of their discharge patterns. Some of these changes are exemplified in the single-cell recordings from parkinsonian primates in Figure 3. One of the principal changes is a greater tendency of neurons in the GPe, STN, GPi, SNr, and basal ganglia-receiving areas of the thalamus to discharge in bursts. The physiological mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are not clear, but rebound burst generation, that is, the transient production of excessive spiking activity following a period of strong inhibition, is likely to play a significant role and has been demonstrated to occur in GPe, GPi, STN, and thalamic neurons.

Another prominent change in neuronal activity is that the discharge of basal ganglia neurons becomes more synchronous in the parkinsonian state. Under normal conditions, most neurons in these nuclei discharge independently, but in parkinsonian animals and in human patients with PD, neuronal synchrony is frequently observed in both the basal ganglia and the cortex. In a sense, dopamine appears to act to maintain the segregation of neuronal activities, which then breaks down in its absence.

In addition, neurons in the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cortex also develop abnormal oscillatory firing patterns, particularly in the alpha- and beta-range of frequencies (eg, Bergman et al, Gatev et al, and Rivlin-Etzion et al). The idea that oscillatory bursts and the synchrony of basal ganglia activities may be important in the pathophysiology of parkinsonism was further developed in the early 2000s, when Brown and colleagues reported that local field potentials (LFPs), recorded from implanted DBS macro-electrodes in the STN of PD patients, indicated synchronized oscillatory activities of large populations of neural elements in and around this structure. Abnormal beta-frequency oscillations can be detected in recordings from unmedicated parkinsonian patients. The oscillations are reduced by levodopa treatment, whereas gamma-band oscillations are increased (Fig. 4). Subsequent studies have documented that similar oscillations also occur in the GPi and cortex of PD patients. Together with the previous single-cell recordings studies, these findings suggested that the basal ganglia–thalamocortical circuitry may be engaged in hypersynchronized pathological oscillations that disturb normal movement-related activities in PD. Since the original description by Brown and colleagues, other studies have shown that abnormal oscillatory activity and synchronization of the basal ganglia do not only characterize the parkinsonian state but are also found (at different frequencies) in association with levodopa-induced dyskinesias and impulse control disorders in PD. It needs to be mentioned, however, that although hypersynchronized oscillatory
activities occur in PD, it is not (yet) established that they are necessary and sufficient to produce parkinsonism. Thus, studies in MPTP-treated monkeys have suggested that such activities may occur relatively late in the process of developing parkinsonism (or may not develop at all, despite the presence of parkinsonian signs, according to unpublished data and Leblois et al). Acute dopamine depletion in rats has also been shown to result in disturbances of motor function without the development of abnormal oscillatory activities.

It is worth noting that in both the “rate” model and in the newer models based on firing pattern abnormalities, activity changes in the indirect pathway are specifically implicated in the development of the major motor features of PD. The importance of indirect pathway dysfunction is further supported by the finding that STN lesions or DBS successfully ameliorates parkinsonian motor features. Recent studies in mice using optogenetic techniques have also demonstrated that activation of indirect-pathway MSNs results in bradykinesia and reduced locomotion in otherwise normal animals, whereas activation of direct-pathway neurons reduces freezing and increases locomotion in animal models of PD.

**Akinesia as a Disorder of “Focusing”**

The idea that activation of the direct pathway is mainly responsible for movement facilitation whereas activation of the indirect pathway suppresses movement and that disturbances of the balance between these functions contribute to the pathophysiology of PD and other movement disorders is an essential part of the aforementioned rate model, and, as described above, became established as a fundamental scheme of the physiologic functions of the basal ganglia. The functional implications of the direct/indirect pathway model were further elaborated by Mink and Thach, who proposed that the basal ganglia may act to “focus” movements through the interplay of the facilitatory actions of the direct pathway and the suppressive actions of the indirect and hyperdirect pathways. This model is also championed by studies by Nambu et al., based on studies of the effects of electrical motor cortex stimulation on basal ganglia activities in monkeys. Akinesia could then be understood as a dopamine-depletion-induced imbalance of the two main striatopallidal systems, in which overly restrictive focusing via the indirect pathway leads to suppression of intended movements. Although this focusing model of basal ganglia function and dysfunction has gained considerable attention, it remains controversial. The fact that GPi lesions in primates and posteroventral pallidotomy in PD patients are not associated with obvious deleterious effects on movement or posture, and the well-documented late onset of GPi discharge in relation to movement onset, are major inconsistencies of this hypothesis.

**Parkinsonism Interpreted as Disorder of Basal Ganglia Interference with Cortical Functions**

Soon after the initial publication of the rate model, Marsden and Obeso discussed that the model was at odds with the finding that lesions of the motor thalamus do not cause or worsen akinesia. These observations suggested that the basal ganglia may not directly facilitate or inhibit movement, but may aid cortical operations more subtly in the acquisition and execution of automatic movements and the adjustment of behavior to the occurrence of...
unexpected events. The abnormal activity of the basal ganglia in PD may simply interfere with cortical operations. Surgical disruption of the basal ganglia–thalamocortical connections by lesioning or DBS would free cortical operations from subcortical “noise.” Of course, surgical elimination of basal ganglia output would also be expected to eliminate the contribution of the basal ganglia–thalamocortical system to normal behavior, potentially resulting in deficits in motor learning and an inability of directing actions to novel events. In fact, recent studies have documented that patients with PD preferentially lose functions of the dorsolateral striatum, including specifically the learning and execution of habits and procedures (reviewed in Redgrave et al\textsuperscript{100}) and that PD patients with therapeutic near-complete lesions of the basal ganglia motor output through combined pallidotomy/subthalamotomy procedures\textsuperscript{101,102} show further reductions in implicit learning.

Other Aspects of PD Pathophysiology

The loss of dopamine not only influences the functional balance between direct, indirect, and hyperdirect pathways and spontaneous firing patterns of the basal ganglia, but also affects the anatomical integrity of striatal (and probably other basal ganglia) cells. Thus, studies in animal models of dopamine loss and in patients with PD have demonstrated a loss of dendritic spines on striatal MSNs.\textsuperscript{103–109} In rodent preparations, this anatomical change may particularly affect MSNs that give rise to the indirect pathway,\textsuperscript{108} but in MPTP-treated monkeys the process of spine elimination appears to be less specific.\textsuperscript{103} Spine loss may have significant consequences on synaptic plasticity and adaptive changes in the striatum and may contribute to the aforementioned learning deficits in PD patients (also see above). In addition to the neuronal degeneration in the substantia nigra pars compacta, cell loss has also been documented to occur in other brain areas, such as the intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus, both in patients with PD and in animal models of dopamine depletion.\textsuperscript{110,111} The functional significance of these changes and their apparent link to the dopamine cell loss remain unclear.

In recent years it has also been recognized that dopamine loss outside the striatum may be involved in the pathophysiology of parkinsonism. Rodent and monkey studies have suggested that dopamine has substantial effects on neuronal firing in the GPe, GPi, and SNr, and perhaps also in the STN (reviewed in Rommelfanger et al\textsuperscript{112}). The dopamine concentrations at these locations is much smaller than that in the striatum,\textsuperscript{113} so that the ultimate effect of the loss of endogenous dopamine in these areas is not clear. However, dopamine receptor activation by dopaminergic therapies in parkinsonism is likely to have strong effects in these areas and may contribute to the antiparkinsonian and adverse effects of these agents.

Conclusions

Our knowledge of changes in brain activity that develop as a consequence of dopamine loss has greatly expanded over the last decades, although we are far from a comprehensive mechanistic understanding of the pathophysiology of PD. However, the development of the models mentioned above has significantly altered our approach to treating parkinsonian patients. Thus, the models have helped with the development of some of the currently used
medication treatments and are being used to develop new ones, aimed at amplifying or modulating the responses to dopaminergic treatments (for instance, adenosinergic drugs or metabotropic glutamate receptor agents). Perhaps the most significant impact of these studies and models has been that they helped to revive interest in neurosurgical treatments and guided targeting of surgical approaches to the motor portions of the basal ganglia and thalamus, leading to more effective treatment of tens of thousands of patients with advanced PD.

In the future, other basic issues need to be addressed, including questions about the relevance of the loss of dopamine for cognitive and executive function, mood regulation, and learning (eg, Knowlton et al\textsuperscript{114}) and the origin of motor manifestations that are not sensitive to dopaminergic and surgical treatments. It is hoped that the application of novel experimental approaches, such as optogenetic techniques, which are able to dissect with greater specificity the activity and role of specific neuronal components of the larger circuits, will address these and other issues.\textsuperscript{30}
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FIG. 1.
Basal ganglia–thalamocortical circuits as proposed by Alexander et al in 1986.\(^8\) See text for details. Abbreviations: ACA, anterior cingulate area; APA, arcuate premotor area; CAUD, caudate nucleus (b) body (h) head; DLC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; EC, entorhinal cortex; FEF, frontal eye fields; GPi, internal segment of globus pallidus; HC, hippocampal cortex; ITG, inferior temporal gyrus; LOF, lateral orbitofrontal cortex; MC, motor cortex; MDpl, medialis dorsalis pars paralamellaris; MDmc, medialis dorsalis pars magnocellularis; MDpc, medialis dorsalis pars parvocellularis PPC, posterior parietal cortex; PUT, putamen; SC, somatosensory cortex; SMA, supplementary motor area; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; STG, superior temporal gyrus; VAmc, ventralis anterior pars magnocellularis; VAp, ventralis anterior pars parvocellularis; VLo, ventralis lateralis pars oralis; VP, ventral pallidum; VS, ventral striatum; cl-, caudolateral; cdm-, caudomedial; dl-, dorsolateral; l-, lateral; ldm-, lateral dorsomedial; m-, medial; mdp-, medial dorsomedial; pm, posteromedial; rd-, rostrodorsal; rl-, rostrolateral; rm-, rostromedial; vm-, ventromedial; vl-, ventrolateral. The figure and legend were originally published in Alexander et al\(^8\) and are used here with permission.
FIG. 2.
Functional connectivity within the basal ganglia—thalamocortical circuits under normal and parkinsonian conditions, as proposed by Bergman et al (1990).20 A: Normal (open arrows, excitatory collections; filled arrows, inhibitory collections. SNC, substantia nigra pars compacta; VL, ventrolateral nucleus of the thalamus). The putamen (the “input” stage of the circuit) is connected with Gpi (the “output” stage) by direct and indirect projections (via GPe and the STN). The postulated differential effects of dopamine on the 2 striatal systems are indicated schematically. B: MPTP-induced parkinsonism. After treatment with MPTP, the SNC was damaged. Resulting changes in the overall activity in individual projection systems are indicated as changes in the width of arrows. Inactivation of the nigroputaminal projection increased Gpi activity, secondary to an increase in excitatory drive from the STN and a decrease in direct inhibitory input from the striatum. The resulting overinhibition of thalamocortical circuits may account for some of the parkinsonian motor signs. C: Effect of STN lesions in parkinsonism. Inactivation of the STN reduced Gpi output to the thalamus toward more normal levels, thus reducing parkinsonian motor signs. The figure and legend were originally published in Bergman et al20 and are used here with permission.
FIG. 3.
Changes in the activity of single cells in GPe, STN, or GPi of MPTP-treated monkeys. Shown are example recordings of separate neurons, recorded with standard methods for extracellular electrophysiologic recording in normal and parkinsonian animals. Each data segment is 5 seconds in duration. This figure and the legend were originally published in Galvan and Wichmann115 and are used here with permission.
FIG. 4.
Oscillatory local field potential activity in the subthalamic nucleus. Shown is the evolution of the LFP autospectra throughout the “off–on” cycle and the predominant site of recording with respect to 3 bipolar contacts in the STN. In the “off” motor state (left) in a patient with tremor (A), there is a predominant peak at around 5 Hz, whereas in a patient without tremor (B), there is only a peak at around 11–12 Hz that is maximal at the second-most dorsal STN contact. In the “on” 1 dyskinesias motor state (right), the same patient shown in B exhibited a 4- to 10-Hz peak predominantly at the ventral recording site (C) and a 60- to 80-Hz peak at all 3 sites, although the middle contact predominated (D). This figure and the legend were originally published in Alonso-Frech et al\textsuperscript{90} and are used here with permission.