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CASE REPORT
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ABSTRACT
We report a case of intrathecal and epidural haemorrhage 2 weeks after uncomplicated placement and removal of an epidural catheter in a patient that was initially scheduled for surgical repair of an aortic dissection and aneurysm. Included in this case report is a literature review and discussion of similar entities, differential diagnoses, and high yield learning points.

CASE
A 59-year-old African American male with known chronic Stanford type B aortic dissection with extension into a thoracoabdominal aneurysm was admitted for elective repair. Pre-operatively, an epidural catheter was placed by and for anaesthesia on the first attempt without any complication. Upon further investigation, patient admitted to continued tobacco use leading up to the surgery and demonstrated poor performance on pre-operative pulmonary function tests. In light of these findings, his surgery was delayed until a later time, the epidural catheter was removed 3–4 h after initial placement, and the patient was discharged with follow up within 1 month. The patient reported no immediate symptoms after catheter removal.

11 days later, the patient presented to the emergency department with a 5-day history of constant, global, 10/10 headache that was significantly worse when standing. He also noted accompanying severe, constant lumbar spine pain with bilateral lower extremity weakness but denied numbness or tingling. Additional pertinent medical history included coronary artery disease status post-bare metal stent, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Stage II chronic kidney disease, hypertension, and ongoing tobacco use.

On physical examination, the patient was alert, oriented, and demonstrated no focal neurological deficits, but did display tenderness to palpation over the lumbar spine at the site where the epidural catheter had been placed and subsequently removed.

CT of the head without contrast performed in the Emergency Department was unremarkable. CT of the lumbar spine without contrast showed layering hyperdensity extending from the mid L2 vertebral body to the mid L3 level as well as a second hyperdense collection layering dependently from L4-S1 (Figure 1).

MRI of the lumbar spine later that day redemonstrated a stable intrathecal haemorrhage and an epidural haematoma with anteromedial displacement of the cauda equina, most notably from the mid L2 to upper L3 vertebral bodies (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION
Aetiology & demographics
Intrathecal bleeding can be subdural, subarachnoid, or intramedullary based on location with respect to the thecal sac. Due to its extremely low incidence, no specific guidelines regarding diagnosis or management exist.1 Haematoma formation within the thecal sac is rare because normal clotting mechanism is hindered by dilution of blood by cerebrospinal fluid and defibrination by normal pulsation.2 The most frequent causes of intrathecal bleeding include coagulopathies (40.5%), lumbar puncture for diagnostic or anaesthesiological purposes (44.9%), and traumatic injuries (15.9%), although the various individual aetiologies may be combined.1 The theorized pathogenesis of
Iatrogenic intrathecal haemorrhage involves rupture of the radicular arteries and veins. Others have suggested that minor trauma coupled with changes in intrathoracic and intra-abdominal pressure yield an increased luminal pressure within vessels of the subarachnoid space, causing rupture of these vessels.

Literature review of similar cases between 1974 and 2014 shows an even distribution among males (51%) and females (49%). Ages in these cases ranged from 17 months to 83 years with a mean of 48 years, indicating a symmetric distribution. Of these patients, 42.9% had some underlying coagulopathy (Table 1).

**Clinical & imaging findings**

Clinical manifestation of intrathecal haemorrhage is usually delayed 2–4 days after the trigger event. Symptoms may include sudden back pain or headache, acute sciatic pain, lower extremity weakness, paraparesis, sensory changes, and/or sphincter disturbance. Clinical picture is significantly influenced by both composition and location of the bleed. Komiyama, et al suggest that haemorrhages more ventral in position within the thecal sac typically present with isolated acute back pain, whereas haemorrhage more dorsal in position is more likely to cause displacement of the cauda equina, increasing the likelihood of significant neurological deficits.

The gold-standard for diagnosis of intrathecal haemorrhage is MRI, which should be done rapidly for early diagnosis and management. CT imaging, with or without myelography, can also be used but its specificity is limited by diminished image resolution.

<p>| <strong>Table 1. Summary table of intrathecal haemorrhage</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Aetiology</strong></th>
<th><strong>Iatrogenic</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender ratio</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age predilection</td>
<td>Median age of 48 with symmetric distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td>Pre-existing coagulopathy, traumatic tap, intracranial subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Surgical decompression in the presence of neurological deficits; otherwise conservative medical management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>No significant difference between surgical vs non-surgical intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging findings</td>
<td>CT—hyperdensity within the lumbar thecal sac MRI—intermediate T1 and T2 signal material within thecal sac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Differential diagnosis table for intrathecal haemorrhage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential Diagnosis</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
<td>Heterogeneous hyperdensity within the subarachnoid space&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>( T_1 ) hyper- or isointense signal, ( T_2 ) hyper- or hypointense signal within the subarachnoid space&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural haematoma</td>
<td>Convex shaped hyperdensity located dorsally with ventral displacement of dura&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Dependent on blood age; in acute, extradural ( T_1 ) iso- or hypointense to spinal cord; ( T_2 ) heterogeneously hyper- to spinal cord with hypointense foci&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdural haematoma</td>
<td>Crescent shaped hyperdensity on axial images, associated with “inverted Mercedes-Benz sign.”&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Dependent on blood age; in acute, ( T_1 ) iso or hypointense to spinal cord; ( T_2 ) heterogeneously hyperintense to spinal cord with hypointense foci within the dura&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD, coronary artery disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; HTN, hypertension; PFT, pulmonary function test; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage.

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis of intrathecal haemorrhage is largely based on other pathologies involving anatomically adjacent structures. Clinical differentiation between epidural, subdural, and subarachnoid bleeds or haematomas can be difficult, as all these entities can result in very similar presentation with localized pain and possible neurological deficits secondary to compression of nerve roots in the area. The presence of intratable headache accompanied by severe back pain raises the index of suspicion for an intracranial subarachnoid haemorrhage, which may have triggered the spinal bleed. The differential diagnosis for the aetiology of an intrathecal bleed is broad and includes bleeding disorders, coagulopathy, thromboprophylaxis, autoimmune disease (i.e. Behcet Syndrome), trauma, neoplasia, and arteriovenous malformation.<sup>1,5,4</sup>

Case discussion

In our case, there were several factors that may have contributed to the intrathecal haemorrhage. First, the patient was thrombocytopaenic at the time of epidural catheter placement with a platelet count of 138,000 μl<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, the patient had a known history of aortic dissection with thoracoabdominal extension into an aneurysm with accompanying hypertension, increasing his risk of bleeding. However, contrary to these factors, his laboratory results showed he had a slightly low activated partial thromboplastin time of 24.2, a normal prothrombin time of 12.2, and a normal international normalized ratio of 1.06. Furthermore, it should be noted that his blood pressure at the time of the epidural catheter placement was relatively well controlled (133/77). Also, the epidural catheter was both placed and removed easily with single attempts with minimal bleeding. The patient denied any paresthesia, weakness, or pain during or immediately after the procedure. Although he had a history of coronary artery disease, he was not on any anticoagulation at the time.

Given the MRI findings of an intrathecal haemorrhage with epidural haematoma and lack of neurological deficits, the patient was managed conservatively with blood pressure and pain control. He was discharged from the hospital with follow up scheduled at a later time to ensure resolution of the haemorrhage and eventual repair of his dissection/aneurysm.
LEARNING POINT

1. Intrathecal haemorrhage can occur secondary to non-traumatic lumbar puncture or even epidural catheter placement in patients who are not anticoagulated. Clinicians should be suspicious of this entity in patients who present with severe back pain and neurological deficits following remote history of spinal trauma, as in the case of this patient with prior epidural catheter placement. Additionally, radiologists should be aware that intrathecal haemorrhage can remain in the thecal sac for weeks after the initial insult as was seen in this patient 2 weeks after catheter placement and immediate removal.

2. Early MRI can offer diagnostic clarity, as this modality is both sensitive and specific for this entity. Urgent surgical evacuation is indicated in the presence of neurological deficits or rapid deterioration.

3. Management is dictated by the neurological status of the patient. There is no significant difference between surgical vs non-surgical intervention although surgical evacuation of the hematoma within 8 h results in more favourable outcomes.
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