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Abstract

Background—NIBP/TRAPPC9 is expressed in brain neurons, and human NIBP mutations are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. The cellular distribution and function of NIBP in the enteric nervous system (ENS) remain unknown.

Methods—Western blot and RT-PCR analysis were used respectively to identify the protein and mRNA expression of NIBP and other neuronal markers. Multilabeled immunofluorescent microscopy and confocal image analysis were used to examine the cellular distribution of NIBP-like immunoreactivity (IR) in whole mount intestine. Enteric neuronal cell line (ENC) was infected with lentivirus carrying NIBP or its shRNA expression vectors and treated with vehicle or TNFα.

Key Results—NIBP is expressed at both mRNA and protein levels in different regions and layers of the mouse intestine. NIBP-like-IR was co-localized with various neuronal markers, but not with glial, smooth muscular, or ICC markers. A small population of NIBP-expressing cells and fibers in extra-ganglionic and intra-ganglionic area were negative for pan-neuronal markers HuD or Peripherin. Relatively high NIBP-like-IR was found in 35-44% of myenteric neurons and 9-10% of submucosal neurons. Approximately 98%, 87% and 43% of these relatively high NIBP-expressing neurons were positive for ChAT, nNOS and Calretinin, respectively. NIBP shRNA knockdown in ENC inhibited TNFα-induced NFkB activation and neuronal differentiation, whereas NIBP overexpression promoted it.

Conclusions & Inferences—NIBP is extensively expressed in the ENS with relatively high level in a subpopulation of enteric neurons. Various NIBP expression levels in different neurons may represent dynamic trafficking or posttranslational modification of NIBP in some functionally-active neurons and ultimately regulate ENS plasticity.
1. Introduction

Nuclear factor κB (NFκB) regulates a wide array of genes that are important in the processes of inflammation, immunity, cancer, and neural plasticity. Canonical and non-canonical pathways for NFκB activation have been identified. The canonical pathway is triggered by classical stimuli, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α and interleukin (IL)-1β and depends on the signalosome of IκB kinase (IKK). IKK phosphorylates the inhibitory proteins of NFκB (IκBs) to induce their ubiquitination and degradation, resulting in nuclear translocation of NFκB dimers (mainly p65/p50) and activation of target genes. The non-canonical pathway relies on phosphorylation of IKK1 by NFκB-inducing kinase (NIK) to induce p100 processing and nuclear translocation of RelB/p52 dimers. In order to identify novel regulators for NFκB signaling, we previously performed yeast two-hybrid screening from a human brain cDNA library using NIK as bait and identified a novel protein called NIK- and IκK2-Binding Protein (NIBP). We demonstrated that NIBP enhances cytokine-induced NFκB activation through potentiating IKK2 kinase activity, which was confirmed by two recent studies. In contrast to the canonical IKK1/2/γ complex, a novel subcomplex of NIK-NIBP-IKK2 lacking IKK1 and IKKγ exists in brain tissue and neurons, and regulates neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells. NIBP contains a highly conserved domain, TRS120, and may function as a key member of the transport protein particle (TRAPP) complex II, designated TRAPPC9. Mutation or deletion of NIBP/TRAPPC9 gene is closely correlated with autosomal recessive mental retardation, maternal autism, neonatal microcephaly, hearing loss, and stroke. These findings suggest that NIBP may play important roles in neurogenesis, mental development, and neural functional integrity.

The enteric nervous system (ENS), as “the second brain in the gut”, plays an important role in regulating gut motility, secretion, absorption, and mucosal homeostasis. Intestinal inflammation alters the function and plasticity of the ENS. However, little is known about the role of NFκB signaling in regulating the ENS. NIBP is extensively expressed in the central nervous system. Bioinformatics analyses, such as Unigene, ACEVIEW, and Gene Expression Omnibus, showed that NIBP transcripts are present in the tissues of human gastrointestinal tract. Thus, we hypothesized that NIBP is expressed in the ENS. The aim of this study was to characterize the expression pattern and cellular distribution of NIBP in mouse ENS. We also examined the role of NIBP/NFκB signaling in regulating enteric neuronal differentiation using an enteric neuronal cell line (ENC).

2. Experimental procedures

2.1 Animal and tissue collections

This study focused on mouse tissues for the continued investigation of transgenic or knockout mice. Animal protocols were reviewed and approved by the Temple University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Adult C57BL/6 mice (2-4 months-old) were obtained from Jackson Lab. CO₂ inhalation was used for euthanization. The gut tissues were removed for further analysis.
2.2 Mammalian expression vector cloning, cell cultures and transfection

The N-terminally Flag-epitope-tagged cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter-based pCMV-Tag vector (Agilent Technology, Santa Clara, CA) constructs encoding mouse NIBP isoform I with 960 amino acids, designated as NIBP(960) was previously described\(^5\). Human NIBP(1148)-expressing pRK7-Flag vector was generated by PCR cloning. The N-terminal domain (ND, residue 1-210) mutant vector pRK-Flag-NIBP(ND) was generated from pRK-Flag-NIBP(1148) by double digestion with \(PpuMI\) and \(Sma I\) followed by blunting and ligation. All vectors were confirmed by sequencing, immunocytochemistry, and Western blot analysis with corresponding Tag antibodies. The human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were maintained in high-glucose DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Mouse immortal-post natalENC (IM-PEN) was cultured and differentiated as described previously\(^31\). For immunofluorescent microscopy, cells were seeded on eight-well plastic chamber slides. For Western blot and immunoprecipitation experiments, cells were seeded on 10 cm dishes. HEK293T cells were transfected 24 h after seeding by the standard calcium phosphate precipitation. ENCs were transfected with pm-\textsuperscript{Fect\textsuperscript{TM}} transfection reagent (Neuromics, Edina, MN).

Lentivirus-mediated NIBP knockdown or overexpression in ENCs—Lentiviral vectors encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) as an internal marker together with shRNA targeting the C-terminal region (CR, 5′-caaagtggtaccgtccac-3′) and 3′-untranslated region (UTR, 5′-gcaacataaatacacagac-3′) of mouse NIBP were generated using a modified PCR-based strategy as previously described\(^5, 35\). Lentiviral vector encoding mouse NIBP(960) was generated by cloning the NIBP(960) PCR fragment (coding sequence) into the pRRL-EGFP vector\(^36, 37\) through \(SalI\)/\(SpeI\) digestion sites. Packaging, purification and titer determination of the lentivirus were performed as described previously\(^38, 39\). All recombinant lentiviruses were produced by calcium phosphate-mediated transient transfection of HEK293T cells according to standard protocols. Briefly, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with lentiviral transfer vector (10 \(\mu\)g) and the lentiviral packaging vectors pRSV-REV (2 \(\mu\)g), pMDLg/pRRE (5 \(\mu\)g), and the vesicular stomatitis virus G glycoprotein (VSVG) expression vector pMD2G (3 \(\mu\)g). The viruses were collected from the culture supernatants on days 2 and 3 post-transfection, concentrated by ultracentrifugation for 1.5 h at 25,000 rpm, and then resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Titters were determined by infecting HEK293T cells with serial dilutions of concentrated lentivirus and counting EGFP-expressing cells after 48 h under fluorescent microscopy. For a typical preparation, the titer was approximately 4–10\(\times\)\(10^8\) infectious units per ml. For lentiviral vector transduction in ENCs, the cells were cultured in a 6-well plate with culture media containing lentivirus at 50 multiplicity of infection (MOI) for 24 h. The transduction efficiency (\(\sim 95\%\)) was determined by examining EGFP-expressing cells. To obtain a pure NIBP-shRNA stable cell line, fluorescence activated cell sorting was performed at a core facility.

2.3 Western blot and immunoprecipitation

The intestine tissues were placed in cold 0.1 M PBS (pH7.4) containing 1 \(\mu\)M nicardipine. The entire small intestine was divided into 3 parts of equal length as duodenum (DE), jejunum (JE) and ileum (IL), and the entire colon was cut into proximal (PC) and distal colon (DC) sections. The tissue segments were then opened along the mesentery border and pinned flat on an agar plate with mucosa face up. Under a stereomicroscope, the mucosa layers were scraped off, and sequential dissection of muscularis mucosae (for colon), submucosal plexuse (SMP), and circular muscle/myenteric plexuse/longitudinal muscle (MP) layers was performed. After quick centrifugation to remove PBS, the dissected tissues were snap-frozen instantly in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until further processing.
Snap-frozen tissues, or transfected cells were solubilized in Triton X-100-based lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 1× nuclear extraction proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and 30 mM NaF. Lysates were incubated through end-over-end mixing at 4°C for 30 min. Nuclear and cellular debris was cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The protein concentrations of the supernatant were determined with Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (cat# 23225). Equal amount of protein lysates (20 μg) were denatured via boiling for 5 min in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) sample buffer, fractionated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in tris-glycine buffer, and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (BioRad). The SeeBlue prestained standard (Invitrogen) was used as molecular weight reference. Blots were blocked in 5% nonfat dry milk/tris-buffered saline (pH 7.6) plus 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h and then incubated overnight at 4°C with affinity-purified rabbit anti-NIBP polyclonal antibody (1:3,000), designated anti-NIBP(417), against the peptide 417-VYNMPFELRVENMGLLTSGVEF-439 of mouse NIBP(960) as described previously. Other primary antibodies for the Western blot were obtained from commercial vendors as follows: p-p65 (1:2,000), p-IκBα (1:1,000) from Cell Signal Technology; IKK1/2 (1:2,000), GAPDH (1:2,000) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; and NSE (1:500), Flag (1:2,000), β-actin (1:10,000) from Sigma. After incubation for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated corresponding secondary antibody (1/2000; 10 μg/ml, Pierce) in TBS-T plus 1% milk, immunoreactive proteins were visualized using SuperSignal Femto maximum sensitivity substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL). All washing steps were performed with TBS-T. In some cases, Odyssey CLx Infrared Fluorescent Western Blot system (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE) was used following the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, the nitrocellulose membrane was blocked with Odyssey blocking buffer containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-NIBP(417) and mouse anti-GAPDH primary antibodies and then washed before incubation with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies (IRDye 680LT-conjugated anti-mouse and IRDye 800CW-conjugated anti-rabbit) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were scanned and analyzed using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System. Relevant signal intensity was determined using LI-COR imaging software and exported to Microsoft Excel. Relative fold changes in NIBP protein expression were calculated after GAPDH normalization.

For immunoprecipitation, the lysates from ENCs transfected with Flag-NIBP(960) or empty control vector were incubated overnight at 4°C with 0.5 μg anti-NIBP antibody or rabbit control IgG. The immune complexes were precipitated with protein A/G plus agarose, washed four times with 0.6 M NaCl lysis buffer, and then eluted by boiling for 5 min in SDS sample buffer. The eluted proteins were fractionated on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and detected by Western blot with anti-NIBP polyclonal antibody (1:3,000) or anti-Flag monoclonal antibody (1:2,000).

### 2.4 Conventional and quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR

For total RNA extraction, the ENCs, muscle layers containing plexuses (SMP, MP), mucosa, and brain tissues were processed with the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. The potentially-contaminated genomic DNA was removed by on-column DNase digestion with RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). One μg of RNA for each sample was used to synthesize cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) with random hexanucleotide primer. Conventional PCR was performed on the cDNA using HotMasterTM Taq DNA polymerase kit (5-Prime Inc, Gaithersburg, MD). The primer sequences for mouse NIBP (NM_001164641) were 5'-actacctagtggcgccaggagatc-3'(forward) and 5'-ctgggtcattgagaactttcgc-3'(reverse).
generating a fragment of 872 bp covering nucleotides 267-1139. The primer sequences for mouse \( \beta \)-actin were obtained from RealTimePrimers (Elkins Park, PA). The PCR product was purified and cloned into T-A vector for confirmation by sequencing.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis was carried out on the LightCycler480 (Roche) using SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) as described previously. The RT reaction was diluted to 5 ng/\( \mu \)l total RNA and 2 \( \mu \)l was used in a total 20 \( \mu \)l PCR reaction. The sequences for three pairs of mouse NIBP primers targeting the N-terminal (exon 5-7), middle (exon 13-14) and C-terminal (exon 27-28) regions of mouse NIBP (NM_029640.2) are as follows: 5'-'atttatcattatcccggaggaact-3' (forward) and 5'-'ctcagtctggttcagacttatt-3' (reverse) for generating 170 bp PCR product covering nucleotides 244-411; 5'-'tgtaggctcgctggtgaggtacc-3' (forward) and 5'-'gtctgcaaggagactcaacttatt-3' (reverse) for 103 bp covering 1276-1379; and 5'-'agaaagagcgttgcacaacttgac-3' (forward) and 5'-'gtgctgtaagcgtaagtttcctcgt-3' (reverse) for 150 bp covering 2687-2835, respectively. The primers for the housekeeping genes GAPDH, \( \beta \)-actin, \( \beta \)-2-microglobulin (B2M), \( \beta \)-glucuronidase (Gusb), and phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) were obtained from RealTimePrimers (Elkins Park, PA). Each reaction was performed in triplicate. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were obtained graphically. Absolute quantification of NIBP was performed using mouse NIBP cDNA plasmid as the standard (0.1 pg to 100 ng). The copy number for NIBP in the tested samples was calculated from the standard curve (R=0.99) and expressed as copies per ng of total RNA. The generalized average Ct value (\( \Delta \)Ct) of each gene in all experimental samples was subtracted from individual Ct value to obtain \( \Delta \Delta \)Ct values and relative fold change in gene expression was calculated as \( 2^{-\Delta \Delta \text{Ct}} \). The \( \Delta \)Ct value of each housekeep gene was also used to normalize the Ct value of NIBP in each experimental sample.

2.5 Adenovirus-mediated NF\( \kappa \)B-luciferase reporter assay

ENCs were cultured at 33°C in a 96-well plate and infected with adenovirus carrying the NF\( \kappa \)B-firefly-luciferase vector (Vector Biolabs) at 100 MOI for 24 h. Cells were cultured at 39°C and treated with or without TNF\( \alpha \) (10 ng/ml) for 24 h, and the cell lysate was used for measurement of firefly luciferase activity with the ONE-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega). Luminescence was measured on a 2104 EnVision® Multilabel Reader (PerkinElmer). Three separate experiments were conducted and, in each experiment, data were calculated as the average of 4-6 samples.

2.6 Immunohistochemistry and confocal imaging analysis

The whole mount preparation of mouse intestine was performed as described previously with minor modification. Briefly, the intestinal tissue segments were placed in cold 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing 1 \( \mu \)M nicardipine, opened along mesentry border, mounted on a strip of whatman filter paper and fixed for 1-2 h with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS. After washing, the samples were cryopreserved with buffered 30% sucrose/PBS at 4°C for 2-3 d and stored at -35°C before use. Under a stereomicroscope, the mucosa, SMP layers and MP layers described above were dissected. In some cases (for confocal scanning), only the superficial mucosa was removed, leaving the whole colon mounts consisting of the deep mucosa/muscularis mucosae, SMP, circular muscle, MP, longitudinal muscle and serosa.

The whole mounts were permeated for 30 min with 0.5% Triton X-100/0.01M PBS and blocked with 10% normal donkey serum for 30 min and then incubated with various primary antibodies (Table 1) in 0.1% Triton X-100/0.01M PBS for 1-2 d at 4°C. Tissues were then washed in PBS for 3 x 10 min and incubated in corresponding Alexa Flou® conjugated donkey secondary antibody (1:400; Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) for 1 h at room...
temperature. For multi-labeled immunofluorescent staining, the samples were subsequently or simultaneously incubated with another primary antibody from a different host species. The results of single staining were evaluated for all antibodies and compared with those from double labeling. The specificity of all the primary antibodies was tested by including controls without primary antibody or with irrelevant secondary antibody. Hoechst 33258 was used for counterstaining of nuclei. After 3x10 min washing, the whole mounts were mounted on 1% gelatinized slides and dried for 30 min. Slides bearing whole mounts or transverse sections were coverslipped with anti-fading aqueous mounting media. The fluorescences were captured using sequential acquisition under the fluorescent inverted microscope (Nikon, Japan) equipped with a cooled CCD camera using Slidebook 5.0 digital imaging software. In some cases, the three-dimensional reconstructions were made using nearest-neighbor deconvolution of fluorescent images taken in a Z-stack of focal planes (0.5 μm).

For confocal imaging analysis, samples were viewed on a Leica SP5 confocal microscope system. Differential visualization of three or four fluorophores Alexa-488, Alexa-594, Alexa-657 and Hoechst 33258 was obtained via specific filter combinations. Samples were scanned sequentially to avoid any potential for fluorophore bleedthrough. The Z-stack scanning images (1,024 × 1,024 pixels) through 0.5 or 1.0 μm optical sections were obtained under identical exposure conditions and processed through the build-in processing tools. In some cases, the entire thickness of intestine tissues covering serosa, longitudinal muscle, MP, circular muscle, SMP, muscularis mucosae and deep crypts was scanned through 1 μm optical sections to show the dimensional correlations between various layers. Tiff images and 3-D movies were explored for analysis.

2.7 Quantitative analysis and statistics

The MPs and SMPs in the whole mount preparation were identified under a fluorescent inverted microscope at 40x oil objective by adjusting the focus on different layers. The proportions of double-labeled cells in MPs or SMPs were assessed in at least three animals. Around 2 cm of colonic muscle strip per animal was analyzed. For each whole mount sample, three sites separated by at least 0.5 cm were positioned for five sequential micrographs (fields) containing 2-3 MPs or SMPs per micrograph. The number of positive cells per micrograph was counted under the microscope and further validated using Image J software. The nuclear staining was used to validate the cell body and distinguish SMCs (small or spindle nucleus) from non-SMCs. The percentage of coexpressed cells for individual fluorescent color (representing one type of cells) was calculated for each animal.

Differentiation of ENCs was assessed by determining the percentage of neurite-bearing cells and measuring the neurite length using Image J software. Cells bearing neurites that were double the length of the diameter of the cell body were considered as neurite-bearing differentiated cells. Four to five micrographs were randomly selected for each condition. For each micrograph, 50 cells were measured for the neurite length and 10 cells for the neuronal size. The evaluation was conducted in a blinded fashion.

For statistical analysis, the data represented mean ± standard deviation from 3-5 independent experiments, and were evaluated by Student’s t-test or ANOVA and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test. A p< 0.05 or 0.01 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Expression of NIBP mRNA and protein in mouse small intestine and colon

In our previous study, Northern blot analysis of multiple human tissues showed very low expression of NIBP mRNA in the small intestine and undetectable expression in the colon.
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However, bioinformatics analyses, such as Unigene, ACEVIEW, and Gene Expression Omnibus, showed that NIBP transcripts were present in the tissues of human gastrointestinal tract. To address whether NIBP is expressed in mouse intestine, we performed RT-PCR and Western blot analysis. Conventional RT-PCR analysis showed the existence of NIBP mRNA in the whole tissues of mouse colon and small intestine (Fig. 1A). Further quantitative analysis of the different layers (mucosa, SMP and MP) by real-time RT-qPCR validated the presence of 14-4,600 copies of NIBP mRNA per ng total RNA, with lower expression in SMP and higher expression in mucosa and MP (Fig. 1B). To determine if there was any regional difference in NIBP mRNA expression, an equal length of small intestine (duodenum, ileum, and jejunum) or colon (half proximal and half distal) were harvested for total RNA extraction and equal amount of total RNA (1 μg) was used for RT-PCR. The copy number of NIBP mRNA in MP layers was higher in the colon and ileum while the mucosal NIBP mRNA copies were higher in distal colon and duodenum (Fig. 1B). Using the average expression level of all the tested samples as a generalized reference, the SMP layers showed a 2.5∼6.2-fold decrease and the MP layers showed a 1.1∼5.3-fold increase (Fig. 1C). After normalization with GAPDH, which is a widely used housekeeping gene, the mucosal layers exhibited higher copies of NIBP mRNA in all regions except for duodenum region, but the MP layers showed lower copies in distal colon (Fig. 1D, upper panel). High variability of GAPDH mRNA expression was observed among different layers and different regions (Fig. 1D, lower panel). Several other housekeeping genes (β-actin, B2M, Gusb and PGK1) also showed high but similar variability under these conditions (data not shown). In particular, the NIBP mRNA expression in SMP layers presented higher levels in almost all regions after normalization with these housekeeping genes compared to non-normalized data. Since normalization with different housekeeping genes demonstrated an inconsistent pattern of NIBP mRNA expression among the layers and regions of the intestine, we decided to use the non-normalized data for further interpretation, which was supported by the following studies using Western blot and immunohistochemistry approaches.

Western blot analysis was performed using the affinity-purified anti-NIBP(417) antibody. The specificity of this antibody has been previously validated by antigen-preabsorption, immunoprecipitation and NIBP-shRNA knockdown\(^5\). Here, the specificity was further validated in mouse ENC overexpressing Flag-tagged mouse NIBP(960) (Fig. 2A) and intestinal tissues (Fig. 2B-D). A higher concentration of EDTA in the lysis buffer increased the stability of NIBP protein at the predicted size (106 kDa) (Fig. 2B). The endogenous NIBP in mouse colonic muscle layers and mucosa was identified as a specific band at the predicted size (Fig. 2C), which matched the band from an overexpressed Flag-tagged NIBP(960) and was abolished by antigen preabsorption (1:100) (Fig. 2C). The MP and SMP layers showed higher level of NIBP protein expression (for the predicted size) than the mucosal layers in the colon (Fig. 2C, D) and small intestine (Fig. 2D). Among the muscle layers, the MP layers exhibited the highest level of NIBP protein expression in all of the examined regions with or without GAPDH normalization (Fig. 2D). The expression of β-actin was also highly variable among the layers and regions (data not shown). The mucosal layers showed additional bands at around 40, 55, 130 and 170 kDa (Fig. 2C, D), which were all abolished by antigen preabsorption (Fig. 2C). The MP layers in all regions presented additional bands at around 140, 280 and 350 kDa while the SMP layers in colon had an additional band at 280 kDa (Fig. 2D). The molecular weight of NIBP-immunoreactive band in the cerebral cortex (as positive control) was slightly smaller than that in the gut tissues (Fig. 2D), which may indicate tissue-specific isoforms or posttranslational modifications. Taken together, these results suggest that NIBP is expressed at both mRNA and protein levels in the mouse intestine.
3.2 NIBP-like immunoreactivity is abundant in mouse small intestine and colon

To examine the cellular distribution of NIBP protein expression, we performed immunohistochemistry with an anti-NIBP(417) antibody using whole mount preparation from mouse small intestine and colon. The binding specificity of this anti-NIBP(417) antibody for immunohistochemistry was tested previously. To further validate the specificity, mammalian expression vectors expressing Flag-tagged mouse NIBP(960) and the C-terminal or N-terminal fragment flanking the region recognized by anti-NIBP(417) antibody NIBP were transfected into HEK293T cells followed by immunocytochemistry. As expected, this anti-NIBP(417) antibody recognized the full-length Flag-NIBP (Fig. 3A) but not C-terminal NIBP mutant (Fig. 3B). As shown in Figure 3C-F, NIBP-like immunoreactivity (IR) was abundant in the MPs, the interganglionic fiber strands and the branches. A similar expression pattern was observed among different regions of the small intestine and colon (Fig. 3C-F). The positive NIBP-like-IR staining was blocked in a dose-dependent manner (1:1-1:100) by the preabsorption of anti-NIBP(417) antibody (2 μg/ml) with a corresponding antigenic peptide (2-200 μg/ml).

3.3 NIBP-like-IR is absent in intestinal smooth muscle cells, ICC, and glial cells

To identify the cell types expressing NIBP-like-IR within colon tissue, double-labeled fluorescent immunohistochemical staining was performed using whole mount preparation. The location and morphology of NIBP-like-IR-positive cells within the ENS ruled out the possibility of its expression in smooth muscle cells and interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC). This conclusion was verified by double-labeled immunohistochemistry using the anti-NIBP(417) antibody and an antibody against smooth muscle specific α-actin (Fig. 4A) or ICC marker c-Kit (Fig. 4B). Since the ENS is mainly composed of glial cells and neurons, and enteric glial cells share the properties of GFAP-positive astrocytes and S100β-positive Schwann cells, we examined whether NIBP is expressed in enteric glial cells using GFAP and S100β as markers. We found that NIBP-like-IR was not present in GFAP or S100β-positive glial cells (Fig. 4C, 4D).

3.4 NIBP-like-IR is expressed in enteric neurons to various extents

To determine whether NIBP is expressed in enteric neurons, we examined the co-localization of NIBP-like-IR with the pan-neuronal marker HuD. As previously reported, HuD-like-IR was predominantly detected in the nucleus and weakly in the cell body of enteric neurons, but not in the neurites (Fig. 5). Confocal image analysis of series z-stack optic sections from the full-thickness intestine preparation (containing longitudinal muscle layers, MPs, circular muscle layers, SMPs, muscularis mucosae and deep crypts) under the same imaging conditions showed that NIBP-like-IR was strongest in the cells and fibers at the MP layers (Fig. 5B-D), followed by SMPs (Fig. 5H-J) and intramuscular fibers (Fig. 5A, 5E-G). The staining of NIBP-like-IR appeared around the HuD-positive nucleus with various intensities among different size of HuD-positive cells. The strongest expression of NIBP was found in HuD neurons with round nucleus, which also presented punctate HuD staining in the cytoplasm and may reflect a unique population of enteric neurons, but weaker expression or no expression was found in HuD neurons with irregular nucleus (Fig. 5M-R). The majority of HuD neurons in MP (Fig. 5M-O) and few cells in SMP (Fig. 5P-R) were stained highly positive for NIBP. Interestingly, a small population of NIBP-expressing cells was negative for HuD mainly in extraganglionic area (Fig. 5C’, red arrow) and occasionally within the ganglionic plexuses(Fig. 5O, red arrow). A pattern of bright and larger particle (puncta) staining for NIBP-like-IR was consistently observed in a subpopulation of HuD-positive neurons (Fig. 5O, R), which may reflect the dynamic trafficking of NIBP in these neurons and their neurites. Quantitative assessment of these highly positive cells under 40x oil objective showed that 34.9 ± 4.0% of the total number of HuD-positive cells (mean ± SD, cohort size 625-881 cells for each mouse, n = 3) were brightly stained for NIBP-like-IR.
in the MPs and 9.7 ± 2.1% of HuD neurons (cohort size 224-336 cells for each mouse, n = 3) in the SMPs.

Another pan-neuronal marker Peripherin\textsuperscript{31, 48, 49} was used to confirm the expression of NIBP protein in enteric neurons. As described previously\textsuperscript{48, 50}, the staining intensity and structural pattern of Peripherin-like-IR varied among enteric neurons (Fig. 3, 6). Various extents of NIBP-positive staining were found in all Peripherin-expressing neurons in the MPs, even at a very low dilution (1:10,000) of the primary anti-NIBP antibody, which was completely blocked by antigen preabsorption. Counting of the brightly-stained punctate cells in the MPs under 40× oil objective showed that 44.3 ± 3.7% of total Peripherin-expressing neurons (cohort size 464-499 cells for each mouse, n = 3) expressed relatively high level of NIBP-like-IR. In the SMPs, 8.9 ± 4.0% of Peripherin-positive neurons (cohort size 136-182 cells for each mouse, n = 3) were highly positive for NIBP-like-IR. In most plexuses, NIBP and Peripherin staining overlapped entirely within the cytoplasm of the positive neurons (Fig. 3, 6, white arrow). In some plexuses, NIBP-positive staining distributed around the penumbral regions of Peripherin-positive cell body, but was absent in the perinuclear region, where Peripherin-like-IR was intensively stained (Fig. 6D-F). In particular, mutually exclusive staining for NIBP and Peripherin was observed frequently in the MPs and SMPs, and occasionally the lamina propria between crypts (Fig. 6D-I). Such mutually exclusive distribution indicates a possibility that NIBP-like-IR was expressed in axon ending or neurites that originate from other plexuses or other neurons, which requires further investigation using neuronal tracing methods. Similar to HuD co-labeling, a small population of NIBP-expressing cells and fibers were negative for Peripherin in extra- and intra-ganglionic areas (Fig. 6, red arrow), suggesting the existence of a non-neuronal cell population that needs further identification.

Taken together, these data suggest that NIBP protein is expressed extensively in enteric neurons and neurites. The varying level of NIBP expression in different enteric plexuses as well as different neurons indicates that the protein most likely has different functions in different subgroups of enteric neurons.

### 3.5 NIBP-like-IR is present in a subpopulation of enteric neurons

In the mouse ENS, 11 subtypes of myenteric neurons and 5 subtypes of submucosal neurons have been identified\textsuperscript{51-53}. To identify the chemical coding properties of NIBP-positive neurons, selected subtypes of neuronal markers choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS), and Calretinin were assessed by multi-labeled fluorescent immunohistochemistry.

Acetyl choline is a major excitatory neurotransmitter in the ENS, which is synthesized by ChAT. ChAT-like-IR has been widely used to identify cholinergic neurons in the ENS\textsuperscript{51, 54, 55}. Multi-labeled confocal imaging analysis showed that ChAT-like-IR was extensively expressed and completely colocalized with NIBP-like-IR in the enteric neurons (Fig. 7A-F). Of the brightly stained NIBP cells, 97.7 ± 1.8% (cohort size 100-177 cells for each mouse, n = 4) were positive for ChAT. In the majority of intraganglionic fibers and intramuscular nerve fibers, ChAT staining completely overlapped with NIBP staining (Fig. 7G-I). In some locations, however, ChAT-positive fibers were NIBP-negative or vice versa (Fig. 7J-L, stars and red arrows). As described above, a small population of NIBP-expressing cells and fibers was negative for ChAT and Peripherin mainly in the extraganglionic area (Fig. 7, red arrows).

In the ENS, nNOS synthesizes nitric oxide, which is a primary neurotransmitter of inhibitory muscle motor neurons in the ENS\textsuperscript{51, 56-58}. Previous studies have shown that approximately 26-32% of all myenteric neurons were positive for NOS-like-IR using HuD\textsuperscript{51}, neuron-
specific enolase\textsuperscript{52}, or PGP9.5\textsuperscript{59} as pan-neuronal markers. As shown in Fig. 8A-E, NIBP-like-IR was detected in most of the nNOS-positive cells in the MPs. Of all NIBP-like-IR cells including punctate and non-punctate staining, 26.5 ± 1.4% (cohort size 194-285 cells for each mouse, n = 3) were positive for nNOS, whereas 97.2 ± 2.5% (cohort size 53-94 cells for each mouse, n = 7) of the total nNOS-positive cells presented with bright punctate staining for NIBP-like-IR in the MPs. Of the brightly stained NIBP cells, 86.9 ± 9.6% (cohort size 98-109 cells for each mouse, n = 4) were positive for nNOS. In the SMPs from adult mouse colon, NIBP-like-IR was weakly expressed in the majority of enteric neurons and partially co-localized with nNOS-like-IR (Fig. 8F), which has been shown to be rarely expressed in SMPs\textsuperscript{59}.

Calretinin-like-IR has been observed in approximately 52% of enteric neurons in the MPs\textsuperscript{51} and in processes that innervate both longitudinal and circular muscles\textsuperscript{51}. In the MPs, 43.4 ± 11.3% (cohort size 55-263 cells for each mouse, n = 3) of the brightly stained NIBP cells were positive for Calretinin, whereas 50.7 ± 30.3% (cohort size 124-163 cells for each mouse, n = 3) of the total Calretinin-positive cells were highly positive for NIBP-like-IR. Both Calretinin and NIBP staining frequently occurred in individual cell bodies and neurites of enteric neurons in MPs, but the staining labeled distinct subcellular structures (Fig. 8G-J). In SMPs, almost all enteric neurons express Calretinin, whereas only a fraction of that population also contains NIBP-like-IR (Fig. 8K-N).

3.6 NIBP/NFκB signaling regulates enteric neuronal differentiation

NIBP enhances cytokine-induced NFκB activation by binding to IKK2 and increasing its kinase activity\textsuperscript{5, 7}. Recent clinical studies show that NIBP mutations are closely correlated with autosomal-recessive mental retardation and postnatal microcephaly, suggesting an essential role of NIBP in brain development\textsuperscript{7, 8, 17, 60}. Since NIBP is widely expressed in the ENS, we hypothesized that NIBP/NFκB signaling may play a role in enteric neuronal differentiation. To test this, we first validated the existence of NIBP/NFκB signaling in a recently-established ENC\textsuperscript{31}. The interaction of NIBP with endogenous IKK2 but not IKK1\textsuperscript{5} was corroborated by co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. 9A). NIBP knockdown stable cell line was established by lentivirus-mediated NIBP shRNA expression\textsuperscript{5} and fluorescence activated cell sorting. The efficacy of NIBP shRNA knockdown was verified by RT-qPCR analysis using 3 sets of PCR primers that generated PCR fragments covering exon 5-7, 13-14 and 27-28 of mouse NIBP gene. Consistent with our previous report in PC12 cells\textsuperscript{5}, NIBP-CR in ENCs effectively inhibited NIBP mRNA expression even after 5-10 passages (Fig. 9B). Our recent studies demonstrated that TNFα treatment of ENCs induced NFκB activation as determined by the dramatic increase in adenovirus-mediated NFκB-luciferase reporter activity and phosphorylation of IKK2/p65/IkBα\textsuperscript{32}. As shown in Figure 9C and 9D, NIBP-CR inhibited TNFα-induced NFκB activation. NIBP-UTR was ineffective in knocking down NIBP expression and inhibiting NFκB activation, and thus served as an additional negative control in subsequent experiments.

We next evaluated the effect of NIBP stable knockdown on neuronal differentiation of ENCs by Western blot and RT-qPCR analysis. Temperature switch from 33 to 39°C induced neuronal differentiation as assessed by measurement of neurite length and determining the percentage of neurite-bearing cells (Fig. 10A, B)\textsuperscript{31, 43}. TNFα treatment further induced a significant increase in neuronal differentiation (Fig. 10A, B). Such differentiation was attenuated by stable knockdown of endogenous NIBP with the effective NIBP-CR shRNA but was not affected by the ineffective NIBP-UTR shRNA or control empty pLL3.7 vector (Fig. 10A, B). Knockdown of endogenous NIBP also led to a reduction in baseline and TNF-α-induced neuronal protein (NSE) expression (Fig. 10C). Furthermore, NIBP-CR inhibited the mRNA expression of most pan-neuronal markers (PGP9.5, NSE, Tuj1) and well-differentiated neuronal markers (NEFM) (Fig. 10D), suggesting that NIBP influences...
neuronal differentiation. To further determine the role of NIBP in regulating ENC neuronal differentiation, we overexpressed NIBP in ENC via lentivirus-mediated gene delivery. As shown in Figure 10E, the NIBP-expressing stable cell line exhibited higher mRNA levels of the neuronal marker PGP9.5 under constitutive and TNFα-inducible conditions. Taken together, these results showed that lentivirus-mediated NIBP shRNA efficiently knocked down NIBP expression and inhibited cytokine-induced NFκB activation and neuronal differentiation in ENC, whereas NIBP overexpression promoted constitutive and inducible expression of PGP9.5.

4. Discussion

NIBP is a novel protein that regulates NFκB signaling and may also play a role in the protein trafficking. NIBP mRNA and protein are widely expressed in neurons of the brain and NIBP is required for nerve growth factor-induced neuronal differentiation of PC12 cells. Recent work has identified a correlation between specific NIBP mutations and mental retardation. In the present study, we demonstrated for the first time that NIBP is extensively expressed in enteric neurons and is implicated in enteric neuronal differentiation. The ENS has been called “the second brain in the gut”, and it plays a critical role in regulating gut motility, secretion, absorption, and mucosal homeostasis. Functional disorders of the adult ENS are implicated in inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome, which are two major gastrointestinal diseases. Therefore, further characterization of NIBP cellular distribution and functional regulation may advance our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying ENS functional disorders.

The muscle whole mount preparations from non-inflamed colon are predominantly composed of smooth muscle cells, ICC, and ENS. The high expression of NIBP mRNA and protein in the muscle whole mount preparations detected by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis likely reflects expression in the ENS only, because no evidence of NIBP-like-IR was observed in smooth muscle cells and ICC. The mammalian ENS consists of neurons and glial cells that are distributed throughout the gut wall and mainly form myenteric and submucosal plexuses. Double-labeled fluorescent microscopy and confocal imaging analysis showed that NIBP-like-IR-positive cells are not co-localized with GFAP and S100β-positive enteric glial cells. However, the possibility of NIBP expression in GFAP and S100β-negative enteric glial cells cannot be ruled out. A small population of non-neuronal cells (HuD/Peripherin-negative) was observed in extra-ganglionic and intra-ganglionic area. Given recent evidence showing the extra- and intra-ganglionic existence of enteric neural stem cells both in vitro and in vivo, we speculate that such non-neuronal/non-glial NIBP-expressing cells may be the long-sought and un-defined enteric neural stem cells. This hypothesis is supported by the facts that 1) NIBP is highly expressed in the developing mouse and human brain, 2) NIBP mutation leads to mental retardation, 3) NIBP is required for neuronal differentiation in PC12 cells and ENC, and 4) NIBP is highly expressed in cultured enteric neural stem cells. Therefore, an NIBP-reporter transgenic animal model is warranted to determine if NIBP is a novel marker for enteric neural stem cells.

In this study, we focused on the neuronal and subneuronal expression of NIBP in the mouse colon. NIBP-like-IR is correlated extensively with the expression of pan-neuronal markers HuD and Peripherin in the MPs and weakly expressed in the SMPs in the normal mice. In approximately 35-44% of myenteric neurons and 9-10% of submucosal enteric neurons, NIBP expression presented as a bright punctate structure. These data suggest that the dynamic trafficking or shuttling of NIBP from the cytoplasm to punctate structures and/or from the soma to dendrites/axons occurs in some neurons that likely exhibit different functions. Such punctate accumulation may also indicate a higher level of NIBP protein.
expression or posttranslational modification, which may reflect selective regulation of NFκB signaling in some neurons. Further characterization of NIBP co-expression with subcellular structures, in particular the Golgi network, and protein trafficking is important for identifying novel functions of NIBP in the ENS.

Confocal image analysis of NIBP co-localization with various subpopulations of enteric neurons demonstrated that a portion of NIBP positive enteric neurons and nerve fibers encode several yet independent sub-neuronal markers, such as ChAT, nNOS and Calretinin. The co-localization of these proteins mainly occurs in the ganglia and the first branches of the nerve fibers (primary plexuses) between ganglia. Approximately 87% and 43% of the brightly-stained NIBP neurons were positive for nNOS and Calretinin, respectively. In contrast, almost all ChAT- and nNOS-expressing neurons were highly immunoreactive for NIBP, but half of Calretinin-expressing neurons were positive for NIBP. Therefore, active expression of NIBP in the enteric neurons is likely involved in the functional regulation of cholinergic excitatory neurons, nitrergic inhibitory neurons or Calretinin-containing neurons in the ENS. Mutually exclusive staining was observed between NIBP and these sub-neuronal markers in a few neurons within the ganglia. In particular, NIBP-like-IR in the second to fourth branches do not markedly co-localize with the ChAT, nNOS and Calretinin positive nerve fibers. Therefore, NIBP-IR cells may represent a unique subpopulation of enteric neurons and may regulate the function of various interneurons in the gut. NIBP-positive nerve fibers may originate from neurons that are either intrinsic or extrinsic to the intestine. Neuronal tracing studies are needed to identify the sources and directional projections of NIBP-positive fibers and neurons in the gut.

NFκB has been widely shown to play a crucial role in neuronal plasticity, learning and memory, neuroinflammation, neurogenesis, and neurodegeneration. However, the role of NFκB signaling in ENS functional regulation remains unknown. ENS abnormalities in various inflammatory diseases of the gut have been reported. The direct effects of inflammatory mediators on the ENS could be the activation of enteric neurons, suppression of neurotransmitter release, or the alteration of receptor expression. For instance, interleukine-1β activates specific populations of enteric neurons (NOS and VIP). The ENCs derived from mouse enteric neural stem/progenitor cells were maintained at the permissive temperature (33°C) and differentiated into neurons at 39°C. We previously demonstrated that TNFα and IL-1β induced NFκB activation and neuronal differentiation in cultured ENCs. Here we show that NIBP is required for cytokine-induced NFκB activation in the ENCs. NIBP knockdown inhibited ENC neuronal differentiation while NIBP overexpression promoted it. We predict that NIBP/NFκB signaling may regulate neural plasticity of the ENS during intestinal inflammation. The expression pattern and functional regulation of NIBP/NFκB signaling in the ENS after intestinal inflammation are currently under investigation.

NIBP is also expressed in the mucosal layers as shown by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis. The type of NIBP-positive cells within mucosa and their roles in regulating intestinal secretion/absorption need further investigation.

NIBP has been implicated in three biological processes: NFκB signaling, trans-Golgi network and antiviral defense. NIBP is a large protein with 5 isoforms reported in Genbank. The transcriptional regulation and posttranscriptional modification for these isoforms remain elusive. Our Western blot analysis identified a consistent band of 106 kDa in various layers of both small intestine and colon, which matches the predicted molecular weight of isoform I (960 aa) of mouse NIBP. However, several additional specific bands (abolished by antigen preabsorption) were identified and varied with different layers and regional segments of mouse intestine. These bands may represent various post-
translational modifications and/or cleavage, although their specificity and biological relevance warrant further investigation. In the mucosal layers, NIBP mRNA levels were the highest among the layers but NIBP protein levels at the predicted size were the lowest, leaving several additional smaller bands. This suggests that NIBP cleavage preferentially occurs in the mucosa layers.

Selection of housekeeping genes for RT-qPCR normalization remains a challenge. Many housekeeping genes commonly used exhibit a large variability with treatment or physiological state\(^7\_8\). Taking liver tissue as an example, physiological state, food intake, or dietary treatment influenced the mRNA expression of commonly used housekeeping genes\(^8\)\(^9\). In this study, we observed high variability of several housekeeping genes among different regions and layers of the intestine, which undergoes dynamic roles similar to liver\(^8\). Although a stable housekeeping gene for intestine tissues remains to be identified, we used NIBP mRNA changes without housekeeping gene normalization for data interpretation as they correlate with the changes in protein expression and immunostaining. The relative differences of NIBP expression in regions or layers may have implications in the role of NIBP in different parts or layers of the intestine, however, future experiments will address this.

In conclusion, we have found that NIBP is extensively expressed in the enteric neurons and nerve fibers and influences enteric neuronal differentiation. Highly immunoreactive NIBP expression may represent a dynamic functional regulation of NIBP in the demanding enteric neurons. NIBP-positive cells encode various types of interneuronal peptides or neurotransmitters and may regulate their functions. Further studies on the functional significance of NIBP-expressing enteric neurons may provide insight into the mechanisms of neurogastrointestinal disorders.
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Abbreviations

- ChAT: choline acetyltransferase
- CMV: cytomegalovirus
- ENC: enteric neuronal cell line
- ENS: enteric nervous system
- GAPDH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
- GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein
- HEK: human embryonic kidney
- ICC: interstitial cells of Cajal
- IkB: inhibitor of NFκB
- IKK: inhibitor of NFκB kinase
- IR: immunoreactivity
- kDa: kilodalton
- MP: myenteric plexus
- NFκB: nuclear factor κB
- NIK: NFκB-induced kinase
- nNOS: neuronal nitric oxide synthase
- PBS: phosphate buffered saline
- PCR: polymerase chain reaction
- RT: reverse transcription
- SDS: sodium dodecyl sulphate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>submucosal plexus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS-T</td>
<td>tris-buffered saline plus Tween-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAPP</td>
<td>transport protein particle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. NIBP mRNA expression in mucosal (Mucosa), submucosal plexus (SMP) and myenteric plexus (MP) layers of mouse duodenum (DU), jejunum (JE), ileum (IL), proximal colon (PC) and distal colon (DC).

A. Conventional RT-PCR analysis showed the expression of NIBP mRNA in various regions of mouse intestine. B-D. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis identified higher NIBP mRNA levels in MP/Mucosa than SMP as well as DC, PC and IL. An equal amount of reverse transcribed cDNA (10 ng/reaction) was used for real time PCR. The copies of NIBP mRNA were calculated using a cDNA standard curve (B). Relative fold changes in NIBP (C) and the housekeeping gene (D) were calculated using 2-ΔΔCt method.
Figure 2. NIBP protein expression in mucosal (Muc), submucosal plexus (SMP) and myenteric plexus (MP) layers of mouse duodenum (DU), jejunum (JE), ileum (IL), proximal colon (PC) and distal colon (DC)

A. Endogenous NIBP and exogenous Flag-tagged NIBP(960) in the lysate (Lys) of a mouse enteric neuronal cell line were specifically immunoprecipitated with anti-NIBP antibody and determined by Western blot with an anti-NIBP or anti-Flag antibody.

B. Effect of EDTA on NIBP protein stability.

C. Infrared fluorescent Western blot analysis shows a specific band of predicted size for endogenous NIBP in four layers of Muc, muscularis mucosae (MM), SMP and MP from mouse colon (upper), which was abolished by antigen preabsorption (lower).

D. Regional changes of NIBP protein in different layers. The number below the blot indicates the relative fold compared with the DU-Muc with or without GAPDH normalization. Cerebral cortex lysate was used as the positive control.
Figure 3. Specificity of affinity-purified rabbit anti-NIBP(417) polyclonal antibody for immunoreactive staining (A-D) and NIBP-like-immunoreactivity in mouse duodenum (DU), jejunum (JE), ileum (IL) and distal colon (DC)

A, B. Immunocytochemistry of HEK293T cells transfected with pRK-Flag-NIBP(960) (A) or pRK-Flag-NIBP (ND, residue 1-210) vectors (B) showing the specific binding of NIBP antibody to Flag-NIBP(960) as indicated by the white arrows. C, D. Peptide preabsorption (1:10) completely blocked the NIBP-like-immunoreactive staining in myenteric plexuses. E-H. Representative deconvolution microscopic images of NIBP-positive and Peripherin-positive cells as well as fibers in myenteric plexuses of the indicated intestine regions. The nuclei (blue) were counterstained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bars = 20 μm.
Figure 4. Absence of NIBP-like-immunoreactivity in colonic smooth muscle cells, ICC, and glial cells
Whole mount myenteric plexus strips of mouse colons were immunostained first with anti-NIBP antibody and then with primary antibodies against α-actin (A), c-Kit (B), GFAP (C), and S100β (D). The nuclei (blue) were counterstained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bars = 20 μm.
Figure 5. Co-localization of NIBP-like-immunoreactivity with pan-neuronal marker HuD in the myenteric plexuses (MP) and submucosal plexuses (SMP)

The whole mount preparations of mouse colon were immunostained sequentially with primary antibodies against NIBP (green) and HuD (red). The nuclei (blue) were counterstained with Hoechst 33258. **A-L.** Representative series z-stack (1 μm optic section) confocal images of full-thickness colon (75 μm) containing longitudinal muscle (LM), MP, circular muscle (CM), SMP and muscularis mucosae (MM) show the highest NIBP expression in MP and its adjacent intramuscular fibers (B-F) and weaker expression in SMP and MM (J-L) under the same image acquiring condition. A-J is 5 μm apart and J-L is 10 μm. C' and J' at higher amplification of C and J show NIBP/HuD colocalization (white arrow) mostly in MP but limited colocalization in SMP. **M-R.** Representative confocal images of MP and SMP at higher amplification show complete colocalization (yellow) of NIBP and HuD in round and small neurons and partial colocalization in irregular and larger neurons. The white arrows show co-localization and the red arrows indicate only NIBP and the stars indicate only HuD. Scale bars = 75 μm in A-L, 20 μm in M-O and 10 μm in P-R.
Figure 6. Double-labeled immunofluorescent confocal images showing the correlation of NIBP-like-immunoreactivity with pan-neuronal marker Peripherin in representative myenteric plexuses (A-F), the submucosal plexuses (G, H) and lamina propria (I) of mouse colon. The white arrows show the co-localization, the red arrows indicate only NIBP and the stars indicate only Peripherin. Scale bars = 20 μm.
Figure 7. Triple-labeled immunofluorescent confocal images showing complete colocalization of NIBP with cholinergic neuronal marker ChAT and pan-neuronal marker Peripherin in myenteric plexuses (A-F) and some intramuscular nerve fibers (G-I). In some regions, NIBP and ChAT were not colocalized in the intramuscular fibers (J-L).

The white arrows show the co-localization, the red arrows indicate only NIBP and the stars indicate only Peripherin. Scale bars = 100 μm
Figure 8. Double-labeled immunohistochemistry with NIBP and subneuronal marker nNOS (A-F) or Calretinin (G-N)
A-C. Representative micrographs of regular fluorescent microscopy. D-N. Representative confocal images. A-E and G-J show the myenteric plexuses. F and K-N show the submucosal plexuses. Higher magnified insets (J and N) show mutually exclusive staining between NIBP and Calretinin. The white arrows show co-localization, the red arrows indicate only NIBP and the stars indicate only nNOS or Calretinin. Scale bars = 20 μm.
Figure 9. NIBP enhances TNFα-induced NFκB activation by interacting with IKK2 in an enteric neuronal cell line (ENC)
A. Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis (WB) validated the interaction of endogenous and exogenous NIBP with IKK2 but not IKK1. 
B. Realtime RT-qPCR analysis confirmed the effective knockdown of lentivirus-mediated NIBP shRNA targeting C-terminal region (CR) compared with empty vector pLL3.7 or the ineffective NIBP shRNA targeting the 3′-untranslated region (UTR). 
C. An adenovirus-mediated NFκB-luciferase reporter assay identified significant inhibition of NIBP-CR on TNFα (10 ng/ml)-induced activation of NFκB reporter. 
D. Western blot analysis showed the inhibition of NIBP-CR on TNFα-induced phosphorylation of p65 and IκBα. Data represent the mean ± SEM of 3 independent experiments. ** p<0.01 indicates a statistically significant decrease using a student’s t test compared to the corresponding pLL3.7 control.
Figure 10. NIBP shRNA stable knockdown inhibits neuronal differentiation in an enteric neuronal cell line (ENC) and NIBP overexpression promotes it

A. Representative phase contrast micrographs showing the inhibitory effect of NIBP-CR on neuronal differentiation of ENCs cultured at 39°C for 3 d in the presence or absence of TNFα (10 ng/ml). Scale bar = 50 μm. B. Quantitative analysis shows that NIBP-CR significantly decreases the neurite length and number of differentiated neurons in both the control and TNFα treatments. C. Western blot analysis identified the inhibition of NIBP-CR on constitutive and TNFα-induced expression of neuronal markers NSE. D. RT-qPCR analysis shows that NIBP-CR inhibits the expression of PGP9.5, NSE, NEF-M and Tuj1. E. NIBP overexpression significantly increases constitutive and TNFα-induced expression of PGP9.5. Data represent the mean ± SEM of 3-5 independent experiments. * p<0.05 and ** p<0.01 indicate statistically significant changes using a student’s t test compared to the corresponding pLL3.7 or LV-EGFP empty vector controls. # p<0.05 indicates significant changes compared to the control treatment.
### Table 1

**Primary antibodies used for immunohistochemical staining**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Immunogens</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Species and clonality</th>
<th>Catalog (lot) Number</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIBP(417)</td>
<td>VYNPMPFEVRVENMGGLTSGVEF</td>
<td>Self-made</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripherin</td>
<td>Full-length rat protein</td>
<td>Neuromics</td>
<td>Chicken polyclonal</td>
<td>CH23016 (400644)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuD(N-15)</td>
<td>aa 1-15 of human origin (accession # 123734)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Goat polyclonal</td>
<td>Sc-5977 (B0104)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAT</td>
<td>Human placental enzyme</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>AB144P</td>
<td>1:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin (N-18)</td>
<td>aa 1-18 of human origin (accession #NP_001731.1)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Goat polyclonal</td>
<td>Sc-11644 (E0306)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNOS (A-11)</td>
<td>aa 2-300 of human NOS1</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>Sc-5302 (K1009)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP (C-19)</td>
<td>aa 411-432 of human origin (accession # P14136)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Goat polyclonal</td>
<td>Sc-6170 (D0109)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100(β) (EP1576Y)</td>
<td>aa 78-92 of human S100-β (accession #p04271)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal</td>
<td>04-1054 (jbc1771181)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Kit (D13A2)</td>
<td>DHAEAALyKNLLHSK</td>
<td>CellSignaling</td>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal</td>
<td>3074 (2)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag M2</td>
<td>DYKDDDDK</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>F7425</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Actin (IA4)</td>
<td>aa 1-12 of human origin (accession # NP_001135417)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>A5228</td>
<td>1:2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>