Preferential increases in nucleus accumbens dopamine after systemic cocaine administration are caused by unique characteristics of dopamine neurotransmission

Qun Wu, Illinois State University
Maarten E. A. Reith, University of Illinois
Michael Kuhar, Emory University
F. Ivy Carroll, Research Triangle Park
Paul A. Garris, Illinois State University

Journal Title: Journal of Neuroscience Nursing
Volume: Volume 21, Number 16
Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins | 2001-08-15, Pages 6338-6347
Type of Work: Article | Final Publisher PDF
Publisher DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-16-06338.2001
Permanent URL: https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/v2zj3

Final published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-16-06338.2001

Copyright information:
© 2001 Society for Neuroscience
This is an Open Access work distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Accessed February 4, 2020 7:02 PM EST
Mesoaccumbal dopamine (DA) neurons play a key role in mediating the behavioral effects of cocaine (Wise, 1996; Kalivas and Nakamura, 1999; McBride et al., 1999). At the cellular level in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) the psychostimulant acts by inhibiting the DA transporter that subsequently increases extracellular levels of DA activating postsynaptic receptors (Koob and Bloom, 1988; Kuhar et al., 1991). Interestingly, in vivo studies demonstrate that cocaine increases extracellular DA to a greater extent in this limbic area compared with the sensorimotor caudate-putamen (CP) (Carboni et al., 1989; Cass et al., 1992, 1993; Kuczenski and Segal, 1992), which receives the densest dopaminergic innervation in the brain (Bjorklund and Lindvall, 1984). The preferential effects of cocaine are additionally surprising because the drug exhibits a similar potency for binding to the DA transporter and for inhibiting DA uptake in the two regions (Boja and Kuhar, 1989; Izenwasser et al., 1990; Cass et al., 1992; Jones et al., 1995a). A different inhibitory mechanism for cocaine may explain the paradox. Despite extensive study, however, this mechanism remains highly controversial because cocaine has been described as either a competitive (Cao et al., 1989; Krueger, 1990; Jones et al., 1995a), noncompetitive (Missale et al., 1985; Povlock and Schenk, 1997), or uncompetitive (McElvain and Schenk, 1992; Wheeler et al., 1994) inhibitor of DA uptake without consensus on regional differences between the NAc and CP (McElvain and Schenk, 1992; Jones et al., 1995a; Povlock and Schenk, 1997).

Intrastriatal differences in DA neurotransmission may also play a role. Cass et al. (1992) postulate that a lower number of DA uptake sites in the NAc compared with the CP is responsible for the preferential increases in extracellular DA elicited by cocaine in the rat. This hypothesis is supported by the differential effects of cocaine in the medial versus the lateral striatum (Cline et al., 1995) and recent work in the nonhuman primate (Bradberry et al., 2000; Cragg et al., 2000). However, DA uptake rates in the amygdala and cortex are slower than those in the striatum (Garris and Wightman, 1994; Jones et al., 1995b); yet cocaine-induced increases in extracellular DA are less (Moghaddam and Bunney, 1989; Garris and Wightman, 1995a; Hurd et al., 1997), suggesting that other factors are involved.

This study used in vivo voltammetry (Garris and Wightman, 1995b) to investigate the differential effects of cocaine on extracellular DA in the NAc and CP. The inhibitory mechanism of cocaine was examined first. In these experiments cocaine was compared with two other blockers of DA uptake, nomifensine, a
competitive inhibitor, and \( \beta \)-[\( \beta \)-carboxylic acid p-isothiocyanatomethyl ester hydrochloride (RTI-76)], a noncompetitive inhibitor. Next, the increase in extracellular DA elicited by the inhibitors was correlated with rates for DA release and uptake measured in the two regions. The present results suggest that the greater effects of cocaine in the NAc are caused by lower rates for DA release and uptake compared with those in the CP. This mechanism may also mediate the differential effects of other uptake inhibitors in the striatum and of cocaine in extrastratial regions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** Adult male Sprague Dawley rats (250–400 gm) were purchased from Harlan Sprague Dawley (Indianapolis, IN) and housed in the vivarium at Illinois State University. Rats were housed two per cage, and food and water were provided ad libitum. Animal care, in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH publication 86-23, Bethesda, MD), was provided by a certified lab animal technician and supervised by a veterinarian. All animal care procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Illinois State University.

**Surgery.** Rats were anesthetized with urethane (1.5 gm/kg, i.p.) and immobilized in a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA) as described previously (Bergstrom and Garris, 1999). Additional anesthesia was administered if required at one-third of the initial dose. Temperature was maintained at 37°C using Deltaphase Isothermal Pads (Braintree Scientific, Braintree, MA). Holes were drilled through the skull for the placement of reference, working, and stimulating electrodes. Flat skull coordinates are given in millimeters and were obtained from the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986). Anteroposterior (AP) and mediolateral (ML) positions were referenced from bregma, and dorsoventral (DV) positions were referenced from dura.

Two working electrodes were implanted in the right brain of each rat for simultaneous recording in the CP and NAc. Stereotaxic coordinates were 0.7–1.2 AP, 2.5–3.0 ML, and −4.5 to −5.0 DV for the CP and 0.9–1.4 AP, 1.4–1.7 ML, and −6.5 to −7.0 DV for the NAc. The recording sites in the NAc are considered the core region (Garris et al., 1994). The working electrode in the CP was lowered at a 12° angle to reach the final coordinates without obstructing recordings in the NAc. The stimulating electrode was placed in the ipsilateral medial forebrain bundle (−4.0 to −4.6 AP, 1.0–1.4 ML, and −7.5 to −9.0 DV). The location of DA fibers was determined by lowering the stimulating electrode until a robust response was recorded in both the NAc and CP during a 60 Hz, 2 sec, 300 μA stimulation. The reference electrode was implanted contralaterally in superficial cortex (approximately +2 AP and +3 ML). After optimization of stimulating and working electrodes, the location of electrodes was not changed for the entire period of data collection.

RTI-76 was microinjected intracerebroventricularly either 1 or 2 d before voltammetric experiments by following the procedure of Garris et al. (1997) with some modification. Rats were anesthetized with Equithesin (3 ml/kg, i.p.) and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus as described above. A single hole was drilled through the skull for placement of the injection needle (30 gauge hypodermic tubing sharpened at the tip; Small Parts, Miami Lakes, FL). The needle was lowered to −0.25 AP, 1.4 ML, and −4.0 to −5.0 DV, and 100 nl of RTI-76, dissolved in 10 μl of sterile saline, was infused at a flow rate of 0.5 μl/min using a microsyringe pump (KD Scientific model 100; Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). The injection site was ipsilateral to sites for voltammetric recordings. After injection, the needle remained at the injection site for an additional 5 min. The needle was then retracted, the hole in the skull was sealed with butyl wax, and the scalp was sutured.

**Electrical stimulation.** The stimulating electrode was a twisted, bipolar electrode with 0.2-mm-diameter tips separated by 1 mm (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA). The entire length of the stimulating electrode was insulated except for the exposed tips. Electrical stimulation was computer-generated, synchronized with the voltammetry, and optically isolated (NL 800 Neurolog Medical Systems Corporation, Great Neck, NY). Constant-current, biphasic square-wave pulses were applied (300–400 μA and 2 msec each phase). The duration of all stimulus trains was 2 sec. Frequencies between 10 and 60 Hz were chosen and randomly applied.

**Electrochemistry.** Cylinder carbon fiber (\( r = 2.5 \mu m \)) microelectrodes were described as prepared previously (Cahill et al., 1996). The carbon fiber extended beyond the glass insulation for −50 to 100 μm. Electrochemistry was computer-controlled (Wiedemann et al., 1991) and used an EI 400 potentiostat (Ensman Instruments, Bloomington, IN) with provision for two working electrodes. A triangle wave (−400 to 1000 mV; 300 V/sec scan rate) was applied every 100 msec. The bias potential between scans was −400 mV. All potentials were referenced to a silver-silver chloride electrode prepared by chloridizing −1 mm of an exposed silver wire coated with Teflon (30 gauge; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). The extracellular concentration of DA was obtained from the current at the peak oxidation potential for DA (typically 500–700 mV) in successive voltammograms and converted to concentration on the basis of the calibration of each working electrode after the experiment in vitro. The calibration buffer consisted of 150 mM sodium chloride with 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, which, because no divalent cations are present, underestimates DA concentration by a factor of two to three according to Kume-Kick and Rice (1998) and our own determinations (data not shown). However, the process of removing the working electrode from the brain after the experiment leads to overestimating the calibration factor by a similar amount (Logman et al., 2000); thus no adjustment was made. Background-subtracted cyclic voltammograms were obtained by subtracting voltammograms collected during stimulation from those collected during baseline recordings. All recordings used for this study exhibited voltammograms for DA (data not shown). The analog output of the potentiostat was digitized (Labmaster; Scientific Solutions, Solon, OH) and stored to computer files using locally written software.

**Experimental design.** Two experimental designs were used in the present study. The first design, which consisted of three experimental groups, examined the acute effects of an uptake inhibitor. At a frequency response was collected in naive animals, either cocaine (40 mg/kg), nomifensine (10 mg/kg), or saline (150 mM sodium chloride; 1 ml), was administered intraperitoneally. A second frequency response, identical to the first, was collected beginning 20 min later. This design allows each rat to serve as its own control when the effects of drugs are normalized to responses collected immediately before drug administra- tion. Doses of cocaine and nomifensine, a competitive inhibitor of DA uptake (Tuomisto, 1977; Gianutsos et al., 1982; Jones et al., 1995a), were selected to obtain large but similar increases in evoked extracellular DA (Garris and Wightman, 1995a) to advance analysis. As shown (see Figs. 1, 2, 4), the desired effects were achieved. The effects of RTI-76 were examined with the second experimental design. Because RTI-76 was used in the present study as a noncompetitive inhibitor of DA uptake (Fleckenstein et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2000), it was necessary to perform the voltammetric experiment either 1 or 2 d after drug administration. As a result, predrug data are not available.

**Kinetic analysis.** Rate constants for DA release and uptake were determined from voltammetric recordings of electrically evoked levels of DA according to Jones et al. (Jones et al., 1995b). The extracellular use a d[DA]/dt as a balance between release and uptake (Wightman et al., 1988). During electrical stimulation:

\[
d[DA]/dt = [DA]_{pyst} f - V_{max}/K_{mDAC L} + 1, \tag{1}
\]

where \([DA]_{pyst}\) is the concentration of DA released per stimulus pulse, \(f\) is the stimulus frequency, \(V_{max}\), and \(K_{m}\) are Michaelis–Menten rate constants for DA uptake, and \(K_{DACL}\) is the extracellular concentration of DA. After cessation of the stimulus train, DA is cleared from extracellular space solely by the process of DA uptake:

\[
d[DA]/dt = -V_{max}/K_{DAC L} + 1. \tag{2}
\]

The parameters \([DA]_{pyst}, V_{max},\) and \(K_{DAC L}\) were determined by fitting the entire frequency response (10–60 Hz) to Equations 1 and 2. The fitting algorithm was a three-dimensional simplex minimization (Press et al., 1989), and goodness of fit was described by a regression coefficient (\(r\)). The kinetic analysis was modified for evaluating the effects of RTI-76 (see Table 2). In this case, \([DA]_{pyst}\) was calculated with the simplex algorithm after fixing \(K_{DAC L}\) to the value obtained during predrug recording (see Table 1) and \(V_{max}\) to the value obtained from the initial clearance rate of extracellular DA measured from a 60 Hz response. At the high concentrations, which extracellular levels of DA \(\gg K_{DAC L}\) Equation 2 becomes:

\[
V_{max} = -d[DA]/dt. \tag{3}
\]

**Statistical analysis.** Where applicable, data are expressed as the mean ± SEM, and \(n\) is the number of animals. Statistical analysis was performed by SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and used either a t test or ANOVA.
When appropriate pair-wise comparisons of means were analyzed by the method of Tukey-Kramer. SigmaPlot (Jandel Corporation) calculated linear regression (see Fig. 8). The significance of $r$ was determined by calculating a $t$ statistic ($t_s$):

$$ t_s = r(n - 2)/[(1 - r^2)]^{1/2}, $$

where $n$ is the sample size (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ for all comparisons.

**Drugs and reagents.** All chemicals were used as received. RTI-76 was synthesized at Research Triangle Institute (Research Triangle Park, NC). Nomifensine maleate was purchased from Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA). Cocaine, sodium chloride, and HEPES were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All drugs for injection were dissolved in 0.9% saline. To aid in dissolution, the nomifensine solution was acidified. Intraperitoneal injection volumes were $2$ ml. Aqueous solutions were prepared in nanopure water (Barnstead/Thermolyne Corporation, Dubuque, IA).

**RESULTS**

**Representative effects of uptake inhibitors in the NAc**

The effects of the uptake inhibitors on individual voltammetric recordings of evoked extracellular DA in the NAc are shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3. Results are representative of the experimental groups, and two sets of recordings are found in each figure. In all figures, open circles describe the frequency response collected in naive animals (CON). Administration of cocaine (40 mg/kg, i.p.) elicited a robust increase in extracellular DA (COC; solid circles) in the NAc (Fig. 1). The increase in extracellular DA was not constant for each frequency, and cocaine appeared to have greater effects at lower frequencies. Cocaine, by and large, did not affect the overall shape of the evoked DA signals but rather scaled up responses. One interesting result of this phenomenon was that the extracellular clearance rate of DA evoked by electrical stimulation, shown previously to reflect $V_{max}$ for DA uptake primarily...
The averaged effects of the uptake inhibitors on extracellular DA in the CP and NAc were compared and expressed as a percentage of control. For nomifensine (NOM; solid circles) and cocaine (COC; open circles), the control was predrug recordings collected in each animal. A group of saline-injected animals is also shown (SAL; solid triangles). These data were not described representatively but were treated identically. Saline did not appreciably affect extracellular DA, indicating that electrically evoked responses are stable over the experiment. The averaged results demonstrated that cocaine and nomifensine robustly increased extracellular DA in the two regions. The greater effect of the uptake inhibitors at low frequencies was readily apparent, and there was a significant effect of frequency in the CP ($F(2,5) = 10.86; p < 0.05$) and NAc ($F(2,5) = 6.92; p < 0.05$). Typically, the effects of cocaine and nomifensine were maximal at 10 and 20 Hz, began to decrease at 30 Hz, and continued to decrease as frequency increased.

Although both cocaine and nomifensine significantly increased extracellular DA levels in the CP ($p < 0.0001$) and NAc ($p < 0.0001$), the efficacy of uptake inhibitors appeared to differ between the regions. Whereas the effects of nomifensine were significantly greater than those of cocaine in the CP ($p < 0.001$), the uptake inhibitors were not significantly different from each other in the NAc. These regional differences in uptake inhibitors appeared to be caused by a greater effect of cocaine in the NAc compared with the CP rather than by differences in the effects of nomifensine. To test this possibility, data were analyzed to compare regional effects directly. Indeed, cocaine effects were significantly greater in the NAc than in the CP ($F(1,5) = 6.76; p < 0.01$), but nomifensine effects were similar in the two regions. Furthermore, the cocaine-induced increase in extracellular DA evoked by 10 Hz was 1.8-fold greater in the NAc, an enhancement similar in magnitude to previous reports describing the preferential effects of cocaine on DA neurons innervating this region compared...
with the CP (Carboni et al., 1989; Cass et al., 1992, 1993; Kuczenski and Segal, 1992).

Because predrug recordings were not available for the experiment with RTI-76, a control group was created by combining all predrug values for cocaine, nomifensine, and saline. An average percentage of control was then calculated using the averaged predrug results and the averaged effects of RTI-76. These results represented by the open triangles in Figure 4 clearly showed that RTI-76 exhibited a different frequency response than did either cocaine or nomifensine. For example, in both the CP and NAc, RTI-76 increased extracellular DA to the greatest level at 10 Hz, the lowest frequency, but to the lowest level or near the lowest level at 60 Hz, the highest frequency. Although the lack of an error term precluded statistical analysis, observed differences in the frequency responses suggest that the mechanism of RTI-76 differs from that of both cocaine and nomifensine. There also appeared to be a greater effect of RTI-76 in the CP compared with the NAc.

**Kinetic analysis of the effects of uptake inhibitors**

Individual responses describing the dynamic changes in extracellular DA were analyzed to determine parameters for DA release and uptake. No restrictions were placed on calculating the three parameters $[DA]_p$, $V_{max}$, and $K_m$ from signals obtained in naive animals or after cocaine and nomifensine administration. This analysis differed from previous treatment of the increases in electrically evoked levels of DA induced by the uptake inhibitors that assumed a competitive mechanism on the basis of the shape of the clearance curve and fixed the $V_{max}$ at control levels (Jones et al., 1995a). As a result, the analysis used in the present study to evaluate the effects of cocaine and nomifensine is more rigorous because no a priori assumptions are made about the inhibitory mechanism. Average values for parameters calculated from all predrug recordings in naive animals are shown in Table 1. Both $[DA]_p$ and $V_{max}$ were significantly greater in the CP compared with the NAc ($p < 0.02$ and $< 0.002$, respectively). In contrast, $K_m$ was not statistically different in these regions and was high affinity ($\approx 0.2$ μM). Overall, these values are in excellent agreement with previous reports (Garris and Wightman, 1994; Jones et al., 1995b).

The analysis of curves collected after administration of cocaine and nomifensine is shown in Figure 5. The results clearly demonstrated that increases in extracellular DA after systemic cocaine (COC) or nomifensine (NOM) are associated with an increase in $K_m$ but not a change in $V_{max}$ or $[DA]_p$. In the CP, increases in $K_m$ elicited by cocaine and nomifensine were similar and robust (11.0 ± 2.0 and 12.8 ± 2.12, respectively) and significantly different from that of saline (SAL; $p < 0.01$ and 0.003, respectively). The effect of cocaine on $K_m$ in the NAc (10.0 ± 1.9) was similar to that in the CP and was also significantly different from that of saline ($p < 0.002$). In contrast, the effect of nomifensine on $K_m$ (4.2 ± 1.0) in the NAc was not significantly different from that of saline. These results are in excellent agreement with those of Jones et al. (1995a), who demonstrated that cocaine elicits a similar increase in $K_m$ in the CP and NAc but that nomifensine is more potent in the former striatal region. There were also no significant differences between the effects of cocaine, nomifensine, and saline on $[DA]_p$ or $V_{max}$ in either region (Fig. 5, top two rows of panels).

Analysis of the effects of RTI-76 on extracellular DA levels is shown in Table 2. When compared with parameters calculated in naive animals (Table 1), RTI-76 was found to decrease $V_{max}$ significantly in both the CP ($p < 0.0001$, t test) and NAc ($p < 0.01$, t test). The decrease was greater in the CP (10-fold) than in the NAc (5.5-fold). RTI-76 also significantly decreased $[DA]_p$ in both regions (CP, $p < 0.003$; NAc, $p < 0.006$, t test). Similar to

---

### Table 1. Parameters for DA release and uptake in the CP and NAc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$[DA]_p$ (nM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (μM/sec)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (μM)</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>116 ± 11</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>78 ± 9*</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.33**</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are the mean ± SEM (n = 15–17).

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$ (compared with value in the CP).

---

### Table 2. Effects of RTI-76 on DA release and uptake in the CP and NAc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$[DA]_p$ (nM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (μM/sec)</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>41 ± 8</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are the mean ± SEM (n = 6–7).
Relationship of uptake inhibitor effects to DA release and uptake rates

Theoretical calculations were used to test the hypothesis postulated by Cass et al. (1992) that a lower number of DA uptake sites in the NAc is responsible for the preferential increase in extracellular DA after cocaine administration. These calculations are shown in Figure 7. To cover the range of stimulation frequencies in the experiments, 20 Hz (left panels) and 60 Hz (right panels) pulse trains were used. Responses modeling the effects of cocaine in the NAc are shown in Figure 7A. The effects of cocaine were primarily unaffected. Simulations of initial clearance rates after either cocaine or nomifensine administration were compared with the NAc. To minimize variability in measured DA levels, a single group of control (i.e., predrug) curves was simulated using the average parameters for DA release and uptake found in Table 1. The effects of cocaine, nomifensine, and saline were then simulated after multiplying the control parameters by the ratio of drug to predrug effects found in Figure 5. Because no predrug responses were collected, the effects of RTI-76 were simulated directly using parameters found in Table 2. All simulated curves were calculated from Equations 1 and 2. A. Individual curves simulated for a frequency of 60 Hz in the NAc. The beginning of each curve is the initiation of the stimulus train. B. Simulated frequency responses for the effects of cocaine and saline. Simulated data are expressed as a ratio of drug over control values, identical to that for experimental data in Figure 4. The effects of cocaine are shown for both the NAc (solid circles; COC-NAc) and CP (open circles; COC-CP). The effects of saline in the two regions were averaged to produce a single frequency response (solid triangles; SAL-CP/NAc). COC, Cocaine; NOM, nomifensine; SAL, saline.

$V_{\text{max}}$, the decrease in DA release was greater in the CP (2.3-fold) compared with the NAc (1.5-fold).

To test the veracity of the kinetic analysis, responses were simulated using the calculated parameters for DA release and uptake. If accurate, the simulations will exhibit the unique features of extracellular DA dynamics after uptake inhibition. Responses simulated for 60 Hz stimulation are shown in Figure 6A. This frequency was selected because uptake inhibitor effects on initial clearance rates factored prominently in the representative experimental responses (Figs. 1–3). Simulated curves clearly described the distinguishing characteristic of RTI-76, the marked decrease in the initial clearance rate of evoked extracellular DA. In contrast, initial clearance rates after either cocaine or nomifensine administration were primarily unaffected. Simulations of low-frequency responses using altered uptake kinetics but without any change in the DA release term also reflected the robust increase in evoked extracellular DA present in the experimental data (data not shown). In addition to individual voltammetric responses, averaged results describing cocaine effects are simulated with a high degree of fidelity as shown in Figure 6B. In excellent agreement with experimental results, there was an inverse relationship between frequency and the relative increase in extracellular DA after cocaine administration. Most important, simulations reflected the preferential increase in extracellular DA in the NAc compared with the CP.

DISCUSSION

Mechanism of cocaine

Because there is a great discrepancy in the mechanism reported for cocaine inhibiting striatal DA uptake (see introductory remarks), a three-pronged approach was used in the present study to assess the kinetics: (1) comparison of cocaine with two other blockers of DA uptake (nomifensine, a competitive inhibitor, and RTI-76, a noncompetitive inhibitor), (2) qualitative evaluation of the effects of cocaine on the dynamic changes in extracellular DA, and (3) quantitative analysis of these DA changes. Inspection of the individual recordings of extracellular DA clearly indicated that cocaine acts similar to nomifensine but different from RTI-76 in vivo (Figs. 1–5). Indeed, in contrast to the other inhibitors, RTI-76 dramatically slowed the clearance of evoked extracellular DA and altered the overall dynamics of the signals. The effects on
clearance are especially revealing with regard to inhibitory mechanism, because at high DA concentrations the transporter is saturated and uptake rates directly reflect $V_{\text{max}}$ (Eq. 3) (Wightman et al., 1988). Thus, the dominant effect of RTI-76 on DA dynamics was a decrease in $V_{\text{max}}$, which is consistent with the quantitative analysis of the evoked DA signals (Table 2) and binding and uptake assays (Fleckenstein et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2000).

Neither cocaine nor nomifensine slowed initial clearance rates for extracellular DA, as demonstrated by the nearly parallel predrug and postdrug curves, suggesting that $V_{\text{max}}$ was unaltered. These uptake inhibitors were, therefore, acting via a change in $K_m$ or DA release to increase levels of electrically evoked DA. Quantitative analysis indicated that drug effects were mediated solely by an increase in $K_m$ (Fig. 5), which manifests as an increase in the inflection point of the clearance curve (Wightman and Zimmerman, 1990; Garris and Wightman, 1994). Although a change in inflection point was not obvious in experimental and simulated (Figs. 1, 6, 7) responses, Jones et al. (1995a) found that large increases in $K_m$, much greater (~50-fold) than those in the present study, are required before this effect is prominent.

Taken together, the combined analysis suggests that competitive inhibition of the DA transporter is the primary neurochemical effect mediating the observed cocaine-induced increases in the levels of electrically evoked DA. This conclusion is consistent with some but not all studies evaluating a mechanism for cocaine (see introductory remarks). An explanation of these discrepancies is not readily available. On the basis of a literature review and results obtained with the rotating disk electrode in vitro, Schenk and coworkers (Povlock et al., 1996) cogently argue that kinetics for DA uptake varies with experimental conditions. Whether this phenomenon is related to the discrepancies concerning the mechanism of cocaine is not known. The kinetic effects of cocaine on DA uptake have been extensively studied in vitro by [$^3$H]dopamine uptake into synaptosomes, and comparing such results with those obtained by in vivo voltammetry is not straightforward (Rice and Nicholson, 1995; Zahniser et al., 1999). However, the present results agree favorably with those of Jones et al. (1995a) using voltammetry and electrical stimulation in slices. Differences between in vitro and in vivo conditions, thus, may be less important. In the present study the effects of inhibitors on extracellular DA and DA uptake were determined from the same measurements (Wightman et al., 1988), minimizing complications arising from comparisons across experimental procedures. Moreover,
Figure 8. A, B, Functional relationships between the effects of uptake inhibition on extracellular DA and [DA]p, or V_{max}, respectively. Data are from the 17 animals in which the effects of cocaine in the CP and NAc and nomifensine in the NAc were analyzed. Increases in DA levels after administration of uptake inhibitors are expressed as the ratio of drug over control and calculated for a frequency of 20 Hz. C, The functional relationship between [DA]_{p} and V_{max}. Data are from 31 animals and represent all predrug data found in this study. In all panels, solid symbols are individual values, and open symbols are average values (error bars indicate SEM). Squares and circles represent data in the CP and NAc, respectively. Solid lines were calculated by linear regression.
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Inhibitor effects and DA neurotransmission

The present study links for the first time an entire set of parameters describing rates for not only DA release but also uptake to the effects of uptake inhibitors on extracellular DA levels in the CP and NAc. The relationship is best depicted in Figure 8 that shows an inverse correlation between inhibitor-induced increases in extracellular DA levels and [DA]_{p}, a rate constant for dopamine release, and V_{max}, which is proportional to the number of DA uptake sites. The results also connect the preferential effects of the uptake inhibitors to DA release and uptake rates in the NAc that are on average lower than those in the CP. The preferential effects are not exclusive to the striatal region, however, because rates overlap considerably in the NAc and CP as shown in Figure 8C and previously by others (Stamford et al., 1986; May and Wightman, 1989; Garris et al., 1994). Indeed, recording sites in the CP supporting DA release and uptake rates that are lower than values averaged in the NAc also exhibited greater inhibitor effects on extracellular DA and vice versa.

The observed correlation between DA release and uptake inhibitor effects is surprising because a direct action of the drugs on release is not indicated by the kinetic analysis (Fig. 5). An indirect link is possible because the rate of DA uptake is concentration dependent and brain extracellular levels of DA are determined by the balance between DA release and uptake processes (Wightman et al., 1988). Because of the particular combination of DA release and uptake, moreover, greater levels of DA are electrically evoked in the NAc compared with the CP (Garris et al., 1994). Thus, we speculate that, because of higher concentrations of extracellular DA, transporters in the NAc are more readily saturated after uptake inhibition, which leads to enhanced drug effects. A similar mechanism may also support the preferential increases in dialysate DA.

Preferential effects of cocaine on accumbal DA

Several studies including the present demonstrate that systemic administration of cocaine increases extracellular DA levels to a greater extent in the NAc than in the CP (Fig. 4) (Carboni et al., 1989; Cass et al., 1992, 1993; Kuczenski and Segal, 1992). We hypothesize that a mechanism other than a specific interaction between cocaine and the DA transporter mediates the preferential effects of psychostimulant. This postulate is based on our finding that cocaine inhibits DA uptake in both the CP and NAc by a competitive mechanism in vivo and the similar efficacy for cocaine binding to the DA transporter and inhibiting DA uptake (Fig. 5) (Boja and Kuhar, 1989; Izenwasser et al., 1990; Cass et al., 1992; Jones et al., 1995a). Our hypothesis states that the preferential increase in accumbal DA is related to the unique presynaptic regulation of extracellular DA in this region. The present results clearly demonstrated that rates for DA release and uptake are negatively correlated to inhibitor-induced increases in extracellular DA and are lower on average in the NAc compared with the CP. Consequently, we propose that the lower rates for DA release and uptake in the NAc produce a greater effect of cocaine on extracellular DA. Although striatal rates for DA release and uptake are directly related to innervation density, rates in the cortex and amygdala are not [i.e., [DA]_{p} and V_{max} would not lie on the same regression line in Fig. 8C (see Garris et al., 1994)]. Thus, the presynaptic regulation of dopamine in the NAc is unlike that of all brain regions assessed in this manner. Our postulate is in partial agreement with Cass et al. (1992), who hypothesized that only DA uptake is involved. However, the calculations shown in Figure 7 suggest that regional differences in V_{max} are not the sole factor. Additionally, the responses modeled in Figure 6 demonstrated that the lower rates for DA release and uptake measured in the NAc are sufficient to increase extracellular DA to a greater extent than in the CP.

Cocaine effects in other regions may also be related to the set of release and uptake parameters describing the prevailing pre-
synaptic control of DA. Interestingly, the reduced effectiveness of cocaine to increase extracellular DA in the amygdala and prefrontal cortex (Moghadam and Bunney, 1989; Garris and Wightman, 1995a; Hurd et al., 1997) is associated with unusually slow rates for DA uptake and high rates for DA release, respectively (Garris and Wightman, 1994; Jones et al., 1995b). Systemic administration of cocaine has also been shown to increase extracellular DA to a greater extent in the NAc shell compared with the core (Pon-tieri et al., 1995; David et al., 1998). Although results from in vitro voltammetry indicate that rates for DA release and uptake are proportionally lower in the shell (Jones et al., 1996), consistent with our hypothesis, it is necessary to apply the present in vivo analysis to this region to determine whether the regression lines shown in Figure 8 are extended to lower rates. Nevertheless, the combination and magnitude of DA uptake and release rates appear to be critical for the preferential effects of cocaine on extracellular DA in the brain.

Because amphetamine and nomifensine increase dialysate DA to a greater extent in the NAc than in the CP (Carboni et al., 1989; Cenci et al., 1992; Kuczenski and Segal, 1992), the presynaptic control of DA may also be involved in mediating the effects of inhibitors other than cocaine. However, this conclusion is stated cautiously because of the complex presynaptic action for amphetamine (Sulzer et al., 1995; Giros et al., 1996). Surprisingly, the preferential effect of nomifensine because of presynaptic DA control appears to overcome the lower potency for inhibiting DA uptake in the NAc compared with the CP (Jones et al., 1995a) but only for levels of basal DA estimated by microdialysis, not evoked DA monitored by voltammetry (Fig. 4). This finding suggests that differences between techniques for measuring DA should also be considered. The differential effects of RTI-76 in the striatum are confounded by the possibility that intracerebroventricular administration did not deliver a uniform dose. Certainly, other DA uptake inhibitors with a similar mechanism and potency in the CP and NAc should be evaluated to determine the importance of presynaptic DA control further.

Conclusion
This study demonstrates that the presynaptic control of extracellular DA by release and uptake processes is an important determinant for the effects of cocaine and perhaps other uptake inhibitors in the brain. Because rates for DA release and uptake extensively overlap, sites where cocaine exhibits its greatest relative increases in extracellular DA are heterogeneously distributed throughout the sensorimotor and limbic striatum. Although the importance of these DA changes to behavior remains to be determined, the present results suggest that cocaine potentially acts at many sites in this anatomically and functionally diverse region because of the heterogeneity of DA release and uptake rates.
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