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Using change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations and ability to liberalize diet to classify responsiveness to tetrahydrobiopterin therapy in patients with phenylketonuria
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Abstract

Tetrahydrobiopterin (BH\textsubscript{4}) responsiveness is currently defined as a decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations in patients with phenylketonuria (PKU). This definition does not offer insight beyond the initial assessment of patients, which may lead to treatment ambiguity in patients who only experience an initial decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations. We present our experience with a novel classification approach using sequentially-applied criteria. Plasma phenylalanine concentrations were measured at baseline and after one month of BH\textsubscript{4} therapy (20 mg/kg/day) in 58 PKU patients (34M, 24F; age 17.3 ± 11.0 years). Thirty-two patients (55.2\%) were classified as “preliminary responders” at one month, experiencing at least a 15\% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations. Preliminary responders’ ability to liberalize their dietary restrictions was then systematically assessed. “Definitive responders” were defined as preliminary responders who could increase their dietary phenylalanine tolerance by at least 300 mg/day and lower prescribed medical food needs by at least 25\% while maintaining metabolic control (plasma phenylalanine <360 μmol/L) and consuming adequate dietary protein. Preliminary responders who could not liberalize their diets according to these criteria were classified as “provisional responders.“ Nineteen patients (32.8\% of patients initiating BH\textsubscript{4} therapy) met the definitive responder criteria, increasing dietary phenylalanine tolerance from 704 ± 518 mg/day to 1922 ± 612 mg/day and reducing medical food to 16.7 ±19.5\% of their baseline prescription. Nine patients (15.5\% of patients initiating BH\textsubscript{4} therapy) were classified as provisional responders, all remaining on 100\% of their baseline medical food prescription. From this classification approach, a subgroup of provisionally responsive patients emerged who experienced an initial decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations but who could not substantially increase their dietary
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phenylalanine tolerance or decrease medical food needs. Diet liberalization is an essential component of BH₄-responsiveness classification.
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1. Introduction

Patients with phenylketonuria (PKU; OMIM 261600) are instructed to follow a lifelong diet restricted in phenylalanine [1]. Dietary protein adequacy is achieved by adding a phenylalanine-free amino acid medical food, which supplies the majority of protein in the diets of treated patients [2, 3]. The burden of such a limited and often unpalatable diet can lead to treatment non-compliance and prolonged periods of elevated blood phenylalanine concentrations, which can negatively impact a patient's development and health [4-6].

Effective PKU management must strike a balance between diet liberalization and maintenance of blood phenylalanine concentrations in the therapeutic range (preferably 120-360 μmol/L). Tetrahydrobiopterin (BH₄) is the first drug therapy that may help certain PKU patients strike such a balance. With its potential first clinically identified in four mild hyperphenylalaninemic patients in 1999 [7], BH₄ has since been the subject of numerous investigations and clinical protocols internationally [8-13]. It is believed that pharmacological doses of BH₄ can correct kinetic defects and/or can act as a chemical chaperone [14, 15], thereby increasing and/or prolonging the functionality of mutant phenylalanine hydroxylases harboring some residual activity.

Where conventional diet therapy maintains blood phenylalanine concentrations in the therapeutic range by simply limiting the amount of the offending amino acid ingested, BH₄ therapy enhances the catabolism of phenylalanine and therefore has the potential to improve responsive patients’ dietary phenylalanine tolerance [16-18]. The current definition of BH₄-responsiveness typically found in the literature – a clinically significant decrease in blood phenylalanine concentrations, with a threshold usually set at ≥30% decrease [19] – fails to capture the added benefit of improved intact protein tolerance. Clinical ambiguity can arise when patients experience an initial marked decrease in blood phenylalanine concentrations, but cannot subsequently increase their dietary phenylalanine tolerance.

Assessing dietary phenylalanine tolerance to classify BH₄-responsiveness has been previously suggested [20, 21], but in-depth descriptions of the implementation of such protocols in the clinical setting are still lacking. We present our clinic’s approach to and experience with a novel and expanded BH₄-responsiveness classification protocol which uses both change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations and ability to liberalize diet restrictions in patients prescribed the BH₄ analog sapropterin dihydrochloride (Kuvan®; BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc., Novato, California, USA).
2. Material and Methods

2.1. Patient Eligibility

Patients seen at the Emory University Genetics Clinic were recruited from October 2008 through October 2009 to participate in a yearlong clinical trial evaluating BH$_4$ responsiveness. Inclusion criteria were: being diagnosed with hyperphenylalaninemia or PKU and being at least 4 years of age. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant or breastfeeding, were previously determined to be BH$_4$-responsive, or had taken biopterin in the previous 8 weeks. Informed consent, and when necessary assent, was obtained from all study participants and from pediatric patients’ legal guardians. This study was approved by the Emory University Institutional Review Board.

2.2. Responsiveness Classification Algorithm

Patients were classified using the algorithm presented in Figure 1. The approaches are detailed as follows:

2.2.1 Preliminary Responsiveness Criterion: Change in Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrations—Patients’ plasma amino acid concentrations were assessed immediately prior to and after one month of BH$_4$ therapy (20 mg/kg/day). A patient was considered a –preliminarily responder“ if their month one plasma phenylalanine concentration was at least 15% lower than their baseline plasma phenylalanine concentration. Patients meeting this threshold continued using BH$_4$ and proceeded to diet liberalization. Patients not meeting the 15% threshold were classified as –nonresponders“ and discontinued BH$_4$ therapy.

2.2.2. Definitive Responsiveness Criteria: Ability to Liberalize Diet—Preliminary responders’ diets were liberalized using an adapted version of a previously published protocol [21]. The approach taken was dependent on the patient’s reported dietary prescription compliance and plasma phenylalanine concentrations at the month one assessment (detailed in Sections 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2).

Regardless of diet liberalization approach, two criteria were ultimately used to classify patients. A –definitive responder“ was defined as a preliminary responder who could increase dietary phenylalanine tolerance by at least 300 mg/day (approximately 6 grams of intact protein) and decrease medical food need by at least 25% while maintaining their blood phenylalanine concentrations in the therapeutic range (<360 μmol/L) and meeting their age- and sex-specific Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for protein. Preliminary responders who could not increase their dietary phenylalanine tolerance and decrease their medical food needs while maintaining metabolic control were classified as –provisional responders.“

Patients who electively ate diets rich in phenylalanine (meeting RDA protein needs through intact protein) and had plasma phenylalanine concentrations <360 μmol/L after one month of BH$_4$ therapy had no need to have their diets liberalized and were considered definitive responders. If medical food was being consumed, its necessity was evaluated.
2.2.2.1. Diet Liberalization of Patients with Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrations in the Therapeutic Range: Milk Powder Challenge: Patients who reported restricting intact protein and had plasma phenylalanine concentrations <360 μmol/L after one month of BH₄ therapy were instructed to add 20 grams of non-fat dry milk powder (approximately 350 mg phenylalanine or 6.8 grams protein) to their diet each week. A patient's new dietary tolerance was established as the quantity of dietary phenylalanine consumed prior to blood phenylalanine concentration exceeding 360 μmol/L. After the new dietary phenylalanine tolerance was established, medical food intake was progressively decreased by 25% of baseline prescription each week. The patient's new medical food prescription was established as the intake associated with the last blood filter paper phenylalanine concentration in the therapeutic range, ensuring dietary protein adequacy. Once dietary phenylalanine and medical food tolerance were established, intact protein sources displaced milk powder in the diet.

Female definitive responders of childbearing potential were encouraged to maintain the taste for medical food by consuming a fraction of their baseline prescription al food, typically 25%, even if intact protein tolerance could meet the patient's RDA. This routine is intended to ease the transition back to diet therapy alone if a woman chooses to discontinue BH₄ therapy during pregnancy.

2.2.2.2. Diet Liberalization of Patients with Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrations Exceeding the Therapeutic Range: Patients who reported consuming medical food and whose plasma phenylalanine concentration exceeded the therapeutic range after one month of BH₄ therapy were instructed to decrease dietary phenylalanine intake by approximately 350 mg (6.5-7 grams of intact protein) per week. A patient's dietary phenylalanine intake was decreased until blood phenylalanine concentration was in the therapeutic range. Medical food intake was then progressively decreased using the method described in Section 2.2.2.1.

Patients consuming completely liberalized diets without medical food and whose blood phenylalanine concentration exceeded 360 μmol/L were instructed to decrease dietary phenylalanine intake until metabolic control was achieved, with medical food progressively added back into the diet 25% at a time as needed to ensure dietary protein adequacy.

2.3. Plasma and Blood Amino Acid Analysis

Plasma amino acids were measured at baseline and after one month of BH₄ therapy. A fasting blood sample was drawn from each patient in a heparinized tube and assessed using a Biochrom 30 Amino Acid Analyzer (Biochrom Ltd, Cambridge, UK). During diet liberalization, patients were instructed to spot a filter paper weekly with finger-stick blood drops after an overnight fast. Filter paper amino acid concentrations were analyzed using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (Waters 2795 HPLC system/Micromass Quattro micro; Waters Corporation, Milford, Massachusetts, USA), as previously described [22].
2.4. Dietary Intake and Diet Prescription

Patients were instructed to record dietary intake for the three days prior to both baseline and month one assessments and before each filter paper submission. If no 3-day diet record was received, a metabolic dietitian conducted a 24-hour recall to approximate energy, macronutrient, and medical food intake. Diets were analyzed using the Nutrition Data System for Research (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA) diet analysis program. Baseline diet prescriptions were the last prescription recorded prior to the initiation of the protocol. Subsequent changes to the diet prescriptions were established and adjusted by the research metabolic dietitian.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive statistics are presented as count (%) and mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the classification groups were assessed using Student’s t-tests for continuous variables and χ²-test for categorical variables. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

To determine if changes in dietary intake during the first month of BH₄ therapy were associated with preliminary classification, percent change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations after one month of BH₄ therapy was modeled against percent of change in reported dietary intake (energy, protein, phenylalanine, and medical food). Exclusion of diet records containing less than three days did not affect the associations, so all diet records and recalls have been included in the analysis. Due to the diversity of the patient population, the effects of age, sex, baseline diet phenylalanine prescription (a proxy for disorder severity), and bodyweight were evaluated in the models.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Characteristics and Preliminary Responsiveness Classification

Of the 83 patients approached for study enrollment, 58 participated at baseline, 57 of which returned at month one for preliminary responsiveness classification. Thirty-two patients (55.2% of patients evaluated at baseline) were classified as preliminary responders. The remaining 25 patients (43.1% of patients evaluated at baseline) were classified as BH₄ non-responders. Characteristics of patients at baseline and after one month BH₄ therapy are displayed in Table 1.

Mean plasma phenylalanine to tyrosine ratios were significantly different between the two groups at baseline (preliminary responders: 12.9 ± 9.8, non-responders: 20.4 ± 14.7; p=0.033) and after one month of BH₄ therapy (preliminary responders: 6.4 ± 6.7, non-responders: 24.1 ± 15.9; p<0.0001). These differences were driven by plasma phenylalanine concentrations since plasma tyrosine concentrations did not differ between the groups at baseline (preliminary responders: 50.5 ± 15.5 μmol/L, non-responders: 52.1 ± 22.4; p=0.755) or month one (preliminary responders: 46.1 ± 21.4, non-responders: 48.4 ± 26.3; p=0.720).
3.1. The Effect of Dietary Intake during Preliminary Responsiveness Assessment—Table 2 displays a summary of the reported intake of 53 patients with complete diet records at both baseline and the month one evaluations. Percent change in reported baseline intake of energy, total protein, phenylalanine, and medical food protein equivalents did not have a relationship with percent change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations between baseline and month one. Models were not improved with the addition of clinical characteristics (all predictor p-values >0.05).

3.2. BH₄ Responsiveness: Diet Liberalization

Thirty-two preliminary responders were eligible for diet liberalization assessment. Prior to diet adjustments, one preliminary responder was electively removed from BH₄ treatment while another preliminary responder discontinued BH₄ therapy due to protocol non-compliance. A third preliminary responder was lost to follow-up prior to the establishment of a new diet prescription. One additional patient, who only experienced a 10.8% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentration after one month of BH₄ therapy, was further evaluated through the diet liberalization process because of a reported 12.9 gram increase in intact protein intake over the first month of BH₄ therapy. A total of 30 patients were assessed using diet liberalization approach. A flow diagram of patient classification is displayed in Figure 2.

3.2.1. Diet Liberalization of Patients with Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrations in the Therapeutic Range—After one month of BH₄ therapy, three patients eating completely liberalized diets had plasma phenylalanine concentrations below 360 μmol/L and were classified as definitive responders. All three patients no longer needed medical food to meet their age- and sex-specific RDA for protein or to maintain plasma phenylalanine concentrations in the therapeutic range.

Twenty-three patients initiated the milk powder challenge. Of note are two patients who were assessed despite having month one plasma phenylalanine concentrations exceeding 360 μmol/L (439 and 441 μmol/L). Both patients were eating diets high in phenylalanine. To establish their new dietary tolerance they displaced a portion of their intact protein intake with milk powder and proceeded through the challenge.

Of the 23 patients who initiated the milk powder challenge, 15 were classified as definitive BH₄ responders while the other 8 were classified as provisional responders.

3.2.2. Diet Liberalization of Patients with Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrations Beyond the Therapeutic Range—Four patients following liberalized diets had plasma phenylalanine concentrations exceeding 360 μmol/L after one month of BH₄-therapy (range: 496-1504 μmol/L). Patient 1, who reported consuming his full medical food prescription while eating approximately 500 mg phenylalanine/day, failed to reduce his dietary phenylalanine intake enough to lower his blood phenylalanine concentrations into the therapeutic range (as per the protocol outlined in section 2.2.2.2).

Since his reported phenylalanine intake was less than 300 mg above his prescription, this patient did not meet the responsiveness criteria and was classified as a provisional
responder. Patient 2 was not consuming medical food at baseline but progressively decreased his phenylalanine intake in his second month of BH4 therapy. After adding 25% of his original medical food prescription to his diet, Patient 2's blood phenylalanine concentrations fell within the therapeutic range. His dietary tolerance was increased by 1,100 mg phenylalanine/day as compared to his baseline prescription and he was classified as a definitive BH4-responder.

Patients 3 and 4 electively followed completely liberalized diets prior to baseline and neither consumed medical food. Both patients attempted to decrease their dietary phenylalanine intake and incorporate 25% of pre-BH4 medical food prescription into their diet. Due to noncompliance issues, these patients’ blood phenylalanine concentrations never fell in the therapeutic range. Since patient 3 was the participant with a 10.8% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentration after one month of BH4 therapy, he was ultimately classified as a non-responder. Patient 4 could not be accurately classified.

3.2.3. Differentiating Provisional Responders from Definitive Responders—The changes in dietary phenylalanine tolerances and medical food prescriptions after diet liberalization are detailed in Table 3. All definitive responders who underwent diet liberalization could tolerate at least twice the dietary phenylalanine they could at baseline. In comparison, none of the provisional responders could double their prescription or meet the 300 mg phenylalanine/day criterion. Medical food was discontinued in 10 of the 19 definitive responders. An additional four female definitive responders could meet their dietary protein needs through their phenylalanine tolerance, but remained on a reduced medical food prescription. Thus, only five of the 19 definitive responders had nutritional needs for their medical food prescription. In comparison, all nine provisional responders continued on 100% of their medical food prescription, with one participant needing a slight increase due to growth.

Provisional responders were similar to definitive responders in terms of baseline plasma phenylalanine concentrations, month one plasma phenylalanine concentrations, and reported change in dietary intake between baseline and month one assessment. Provisional responders were comprised entirely of children and adolescents (range of baseline age: 4.6-17.8 years) while definitive responders encompassed a wider age range (6.1-36.8 years), leading to a significant difference in age between the groups ($p=0.038$). All other demographic characteristics were similar between the groups.

3.3. Summary of Responsiveness Classification

Preliminary responders comprised 55.2% (32/58) of participants who were evaluated at baseline. This group was further differentiated into definitive BH4-responders and provisional responders, 32.8% (19/58) and 15.5% (9/58) of patients who initiated the protocol, respectively. This protocol resulted in 8.6% (5/58) of patients being unclassified due to protocol non-compliance and loss to follow-up.
4. Discussion

While the current definition of BH4-responsiveness in the literature appears simple, in clinical practice responsiveness determination is less straightforward and many factors must be considered. Our approach to BH4-responsiveness classification differs from other previously reported protocols. First, the minimum change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations during the responsiveness testing period was lowered to 15% from the typical 30% cutoff. This criterion allowed us to identify one additional definitive responder who only experienced a 25.4% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations, but could subsequently increase his dietary phenylalanine intake by 1,100 mg/day. This lower cutoff appears appropriate for protocols of longer duration. The length of time used to assess preliminary responsiveness in our approach was longer than in other protocols, which generally span from 8 to 48 hours [23]. The extension of the testing period beyond a single BH4 dose has been suggested to identify “slow responders” [24]. One month of therapy was selected for the current protocol to maximize the number of potential responders identified and to minimize patient burden of repeated clinic visits. It should be noted that the longer the testing period, the more likely changes in blood phenylalanine concentrations are to be affected by other factors such as dietary intake or illness. While our data suggest that percent change in baseline dietary intake of energy, protein, phenylalanine, and medical food did not have an association with percent change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations, these results are preliminary and subject to diet record reporting biases by the study participants. Additionally, medical food consumption was fairly consistent in the majority of patients between baseline and month one in our clinic population, which may lead to the false assumption that changes in medical food consumption have no effect on plasma phenylalanine concentrations. To prevent the effects of potential confounders, it would be of value to determine how our responsiveness classification varies between the shorter and longer protocols to expedite the determination process while maximizing accuracy.

The diet liberalization phase of BH4-responsiveness was a critical element of our protocol. While it has been reported that BH4-responsive patients can have an increase in dietary phenylalanine tolerance and a decreased need for medical food [8, 12, 16, 17, 25, 26], it is known that this is not the case for all patients who experience the threshold change in blood phenylalanine concentrations. A substantial subset of patients that we describe as “provisional responders” was identified by the diet liberalization criteria.

The provisional responder group highlights critical aspects of BH4 responsiveness determination that must be considered when implementing clinical protocols. Two unrelated provisional responders each had a biological sibling who did not experience a decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations during the month-long trial of BH4. While it has been documented that responsiveness cannot necessarily be predicted from a patient’s genotype [27, 28], discordant responsiveness classification between biological siblings begs for further evaluation. Additionally, two of the nine provisional responders had acute illness at baseline, believed to inflate their blood phenylalanine concentrations and cause misclassification. Thus, illness or other catabolic states at baseline and/or follow-up must be taken into consideration, as they can lead to false-positive or false-negative classification.
The remaining provisional responders, however, had no remarkable changes in reported health status or dietary intake over the course of the first month of BH₄ therapy.

In the end, all nine provisionally responsive patients were prescribed duplicative PKU treatments: a maximum BH₄ prescription along with their entire pre-BH₄ medical food prescription. These patients emphasize the need for establishing guidelines for what constitutes BH₄-responsiveness. It is interesting to note that the provisionally responsive patients were comprised entirely of pediatric patients. While there is a possibility that provisional responsiveness is a function of age, it should be noted that we had pediatric patients as young as 6 years of age who met this dietary tolerance threshold. Significant increases of dietary phenylalanine tolerance—far beyond 300 mg phenylalanine per day—have also been previously observed in pediatric patients [17]. While we used absolute cutoffs for diet liberalization in this protocol, alternative dietary criteria could be considered (such as a doubling of dietary phenylalanine prescription, creating age- or weight-adjusted dietary criteria, etc). The purpose of the diet tolerance criteria is to prevent the over-management of patients, considering the expense of either treatment approach. Continued BH₄ therapy in a patient who cannot substantially increase their dietary tolerance can only be justified if it improves long-term metabolic control or improves a clinically significant secondary outcome (such as quality of life, ADHD symptomatology, etc) as compared to diet therapy alone. These benefits have yet to be demonstrated specifically in the provisionally responsive patients. Until they are, the added benefit of BH₄ therapy as opposed to diet therapy alone must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

We are not the first clinic to identify a group of patients who cannot increase their dietary tolerance despite an initial marked decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations. In 2005, Lambruschini et al [18] reported three patients who experienced 45.7-74.5% decrease in blood phenylalanine concentrations 21 hours after BH₄ loading, but could not improve their diet prescription and subsequently stopped BH₄ therapy. Additionally, Trefz et al report two “pseudo-responders” with initial responses of 60.8% and 33.7% decreased, respectively, who could not increase their dietary phenylalanine tolerance [29]. While it is possible that our provisionally responsive group is an artifact of our month-long protocol— that is all nine patients are false-positive responders—the emergence of a similar subgroup of patients in alternative and shorter protocols [18, 29] suggests that they represent a legitimate subgroup of patients. A need exists to systematically identify and closely follow these patients to form a uniform guideline for proper management.

Two caveats to our proposed diet titration guidelines are exemplified by Patient 4, who remains unclassified due to protocol noncompliance. First, the patient was not actively managed prior to the initiation of BH₄ therapy. The lack of an established diet prescription hampered our ability to definitively classify him. Secondly, after consuming an unrestricted diet for the majority of his adult life, this patient would not reduce his intake to sufficiently lower his blood phenylalanine concentrations into the therapeutic range even with BH₄ therapy. For diet liberalization criteria to be successfully applied, patients initiating BH₄ must be closely monitored by their metabolic clinic, must have a current diet prescription, and must be willing to comply with the diet liberalization process.
In conclusion, using both changes in plasma phenylalanine concentrations and ability to liberalize dietary restrictions as criteria to determine BH₄-responsiveness in patient with PKU led to the identification of a sub-group of provisional responders. This classification approach aids in the identification of patients who can use BH₄ to both liberalize dietary restrictions while achieving blood phenylalanine concentrations in the therapeutic range.
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Highlights

- We evaluated BH4 responsiveness in 58 patients with phenylketonuria.
- Responsiveness was based on change in plasma phenylalanine and dietary tolerance.
- A subgroup of patients couldn't liberalize their diets despite an initial response.
- Dietary phenylalanine tolerance is vital for BH4 responsiveness classification.
Figure 1.
Practical algorithm used to classify BH₄ responsiveness in patients with PKU. Criteria include both change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations and ability to liberalize diet restrictions.
Figure 2.
Flow diagram of BH$_4$ responsiveness classification of 58 PKU participants.
Table 1

Baseline and month one characteristics of 58 PKU patients who initiated BH$_4$ therapy. Data are presented collectively and separated into preliminary responsiveness groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Patients (N=58)</th>
<th>Preliminary BH$_4$ Responders$^a$ (n=32)</th>
<th>BH$_4$ Non-Responders$^b$ (n=25)</th>
<th>Difference Between Groups (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>17.3 ± 11.0</td>
<td>15.2 ± 10.3</td>
<td>19.7 ± 11.7</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>34 (58.6%)</td>
<td>21 (65.6%)</td>
<td>13 (52.0%)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>149.0 ± 23.0</td>
<td>145.0 ± 24.8</td>
<td>153.4 ± 20.1</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.3 ± 30.7</td>
<td>48.4 ± 27.2</td>
<td>63.3 ± 29.7</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline plasma phenylalanine concentration (μmol/L)</td>
<td>693 ± 412</td>
<td>564 ± 307</td>
<td>843 ± 479</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month 1 plasma phenylalanine concentration (μmol/L)</td>
<td>555 ± 478$^c$</td>
<td>250 ± 213</td>
<td>947 ± 437</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in plasma phenylalanine concentrations (% change from baseline)</td>
<td>−17.4 ± 58.0$^c$</td>
<td>−55.3 ± 19.8</td>
<td>+31.2 ± 54.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%); p-values are calculated using Student's t-test or χ$^2$ test, as appropriate

$^a$Preliminary BH$_4$-responder is a patient experiencing ≥15% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations after one month of BH$_4$ therapy

$^b$BH$_4$ non-responder is a patient experiencing <15% decrease in plasma phenylalanine concentrations after one month of BH$_4$ therapy

$^c$n=57; one patient did not return for month one assessment
Table 2

Reported dietary intake of 53 patients with diet records at baseline and after one month of BH₄ therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preliminary BH₄ Responders (n=31)ᵃ</th>
<th>BH₄ Non-Responders (n=22)ᵇ</th>
<th>Association with % Change in Plasma Phenylalanine Concentrationᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Month 1</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1803 ± 579</td>
<td>1793 ± 460</td>
<td>1687 ± 481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein Intake (g/day)</td>
<td>60.9 ± 22.1</td>
<td>57.1 ± 18.6</td>
<td>61.8 ± 14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein Intake (g/kg/day)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Phenylalanine Intake (mg/day)</td>
<td>1,034 ± 968</td>
<td>876 ± 634</td>
<td>822 ± 802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Equivalents from Medical Food Consumption (g/day)</td>
<td>20.3 ± 12.8</td>
<td>17.7 ± 8.2</td>
<td>14.4 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Food Consumption (% of prescription)</td>
<td>37.6 ± 21.0</td>
<td>36.6 ± 20.7</td>
<td>42.9 ± 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87.6 ± 28.9ᵈ</td>
<td>85.6 ± 29.6ᵈ</td>
<td>82.1 ± 30.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ One patient excluded for incomplete diet record at baseline
ᵇ Three patients excluded for incomplete diet records at baseline and/or month one
ᶜ Linear regression of % change plasma phenylalanine concentration (between baseline and month one) modeled against % change in reported dietary intake (between baseline and month one) for all participants with a complete diet record at both time points
ᵈ n=29; two patients did not have an established medical food prescription at baseline; excluded from analysis
Table 3
Change in diet phenylalanine and medical food prescription in 19 definitive BH₄-responders and 9 provisional responders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Definitive Responders</th>
<th>Provisional Responders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Definitive Responders (n=19)</td>
<td>Subset of patients who underwent diet liberalization (n=16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Provisional Responders (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline dietary phenylalanine prescription (mg/day)</td>
<td>704 ± 518&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>512 ± 177&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberalized dietary phenylalanine prescription (mg/day)</td>
<td>1922 ± 612&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1958 ± 632&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine tolerance (% of baseline)</td>
<td>356.0 ± 157.4&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>403.9 ± 119.0&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline medical food prescription (grams protein equivalents/day)</td>
<td>43.3 ± 20.3</td>
<td>50.1 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberalized medical food prescription (grams protein equivalents/day)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 10.5&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.3 ± 10.9&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical food prescription (% of baseline)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 19.5&lt;sup&gt;e,g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.8 ± 19.7&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Includes three patients who did not undergo diet liberalization process due to month one intact protein intake at their RDA

<sup>b</sup> Excludes the three patients who did not undergo diet liberalization

<sup>c</sup> p = 0.005; comparison of all definitive responders and all provisional responders

<sup>d</sup> p = 0.007; comparison of definitive responders who underwent diet liberalization and all provisional responders

<sup>e</sup> p < 0.0001; comparison of all definitive responders and all provisional responders

<sup>f</sup> p < 0.0001; comparison of definitive responders who underwent diet liberalization and all provisional responders

<sup>g</sup> n=18; one patient did not have medical food prescribed at baseline