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Abstract

When spiking activity within a network is perturbed for hours to days, compensatory changes in synaptic strength are triggered that are thought to be important for the homeostatic maintenance of network or cellular spiking activity. In one form of this homeostatic plasticity, called synaptic scaling, all of a cell’s AMPAergic miniature postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) are increased or decreased by some scaling factor. While synaptic scaling has been observed in a variety of systems, the mechanisms that underlie AMPAergic scaling have been controversial. Certain studies find that synaptic scaling is mediated by GluA2-lacking calcium permeable receptors (CP-AMPARs), while others have found that scaling is mediated by GluA2-containing calcium impermeable receptors (CI-AMPARs). Spontaneous network activity is observed in most developing circuits, and in the spinal cord this activity drives embryonic movements. Blocking spontaneous network activity in the chick embryo by infusing lidocaine in vivo triggers synaptic scaling in spinal motoneurons; here we show that AMPAergic scaling occurs through increases in mEPSC conductance that appear to be mediated by the insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors at the expense of GluA2-containing receptors. We have previously reported that in vivo blockade of GABA_A transmission, at a developmental stage when GABA is excitatory, also triggered AMPAergic synaptic scaling. Here, we show that this form of AMPAergic scaling is also mediated by CP-AMPARs. These findings suggest that AMPAergic scaling triggered by blocking spiking activity or GABA_A receptor transmission represent similar phenomenon, supporting the idea that activity-blockade triggers scaling by reducing GABA_A transmission.
INTRODUCTION

Homeostatic synaptic plasticity is the process of homeostatically maintaining activity levels through compensatory adjustments in synaptic strength (Rich and Wenner, 2007; Vitureira et al., 2011; Turrigiano, 2012). For example, when network activity was blocked in cultured neural networks for days, increases in the amplitude of excitatory miniature postsynaptic currents (mPSCs) and decreases in the amplitude of inhibitory mPSCs were observed (O’Brien et al., 1998; Turrigiano et al., 1998). These compensatory changes in mPSC amplitude occur through a multiplicative process where the entire distribution of amplitudes appears to be scaled by a multiplicative factor (synaptic scaling, (Turrigiano et al., 1998)). The mechanisms that underlie compensatory changes in excitatory and inhibitory quantal amplitude following activity blockade of cultured networks include changes in postsynaptic receptor number, subunit composition, and the amount of transmitter released per vesicle (Rich and Wenner, 2007; Turrigiano, 2008). However, much less is known about the mechanisms underlying synaptic scaling following activity perturbations in vivo.

Synaptic scaling of AMPAergic mPSCs has been shown to be mediated by changes in postsynaptic AMPA receptors in several studies (Lee, 2012). However, identifying which receptor subunits are involved has been a topic of intense interest and debate as demonstrated by 4 separate reviews in the last two years that discuss the issue (Man, 2011; Lee, 2012; Shepherd, 2012; Turrigiano, 2012). Several studies in which network activity was blocked in cultured neurons suggest AMPAergic scaling was mediated by GluA2-containing CI-AMPARs alone, while several other studies demonstrate the involvement of GluA2-lacking CP-AMPARs. Less is known about the mechanisms that mediate AMPAergic scaling following in vivo activity perturbations, however evidence for and against the involvement of CP-AMPARs has been described in the developing visual system (Goel et al., 2006; Gainey et al., 2009; Goel et al., 2011).

We have shown an in vivo form of AMPAergic synaptic scaling in the chick embryo spinal cord, where compensatory changes in synaptic strength appear to contribute to the maintenance of spontaneous network activity (SNA) (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006). SNA is the product of a highly excitable developing circuit where GABA is depolarizing and excitatory. SNA is observed in virtually all developing circuits, and is thought to be important for the maturation of the synaptic networks in which it is expressed (O’Donovan et al., 1998; O’Donovan, 1999; Blankenship and Feller, 2010). In the spinal cord, SNA occurs as episodic bursts of activity, which drive embryonic movements (O’Donovan, 1999). Previously, we blocked SNA in the chick embryo by injection of a sodium channel blocker or GABA_A antagonist in ovo for 2 days, and observed a compensatory increase of glutamatergic mEPSC amplitude in motoneurons (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006; Wilhelm and Wenner, 2008). It is unknown whether CP-AMPARs are involved in the in vivo AMPAergic scaling described in the embryonic spinal cord, and here we examine the possibility that CP-AMPARs mediate synaptic scaling at an early developmental stage when GABA is excitatory.

METHODS

Dissection

Stage 36 (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1951) chick embryo spinal cords (of either sex), with intact spinal nerves, were dissected under cooled (15°C) Tyrode’s solution containing the following (in mM): 139 NaCl, 12 D-glucose, 17 NaHCO3, 3 KCl, 1 MgCl2, and 3 CaCl2 [for a full description, see (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006)]. After the dissection, the cord was allowed to recover for at least 6 hrs in Tyrode’s solution at 18°C. The cord was then
transferred to a recording chamber and continuously perfused with Tyrode’s solution that was heated to 28°C.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from spinal motoneurons localized in lumbosacral segments 1–3 to assess mPSCs, as described previously (Gonzalez-Islas et al., 2010). Briefly, whole-cell recordings (electrodes, 5–10 MΩ) were obtained from antidromically identified motoneurons. Recordings were terminated whenever significant increases in input resistance (≤20%) occurred. Extracellular solution for mPSC recordings for CP465022 or NASPM experiments was Tyrode’s solution with an additional 2mM KCl (total 5mM), TTX (1μM), GABA_A receptor antagonist gabazine (5 μM), and NMDA receptor antagonist APV (50 μM). The intracellular patch solution for these experiments contained the following (in mM): 5 NaCl, 100 K-gluconate, 30 KCl, 5 CsCl, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 1 MgCl2, 0.1 CaCl2, 1 Na2ATP, 0.1 MgGTP. For I–V plots and rectification measurements the solutions were as follows: intracellular solution same as above with the following additions (in mM) 10 BAPTA, 10 QX-314, and 0.1 verapamil; extracellular solution same as above with the following additions (in mM) 30 TEA, 5 CsCl. Pipette solution osmolarity was between 280 and 300 mOsm, and pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH. Junction potentials were corrected online. Currents were filtered on-line at 5 kHz, digitized at 10 kHz.

IV plots for mEPSCs were carried out using an Axoclamp 2B, whereas all other whole cell recordings were carried out in a separate rig using an Axoclamp 200, which displayed better noise characteristics (see root mean square, RMS values in Figure legends). RMS values were acquired for each cell, measured from 3 separate trace epochs devoid of mEPSCs.

mEPSC Analysis

The mEPSCs were analyzed using Minianalysis software (Synaptosoft). Bar charts and associated average values were obtained by determining the average mEPSC amplitude for each cell (variable number of mEPSCs/cell, 5pA cutoff), and then taking the average of all cells. Cumulative probability distribution shown in Fig. 1B were obtained by combining all the mEPSC amplitudes across cells in control or lidocaine-treated motoneurons. In addition, ranked mEPSC amplitude plots were constructed. Ranked plots were obtained by taking the same number of mEPSC amplitudes (>30) from the same number of cells in different conditions (control or treated motoneurons, before and after adding NASPM). We then ranked them in an ascending manner and plotted them against each other for comparison (eg. ranked values for control vs lidocaine-treated motoneurons – Fig. 1C). A strong linear fit (r ≥0.98) suggested that all mEPSC amplitudes from one distribution were multiplicatively related or scaled to the other distribution. If the slope, m, was >1 then the amplitude distribution on the Y axis compared to the X axis had increased by the multiplicative factor m.

In ovo drug injections

At embryonic day 8 (E8), lidocaine hydrochloride aqueous solution (35 mg/ml plus 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2) was continuously applied onto the chorioallantoic membrane of the embryos at a rate of 13.5 μl/h, as described previously (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006); control embryos did not receive saline injections, as no difference was observed between untreated and saline-treated embryos in this previous study. For gabazine treatments, a single bolus of gabazine was added at E8 to reach a concentration of 10μM in ovo, assuming a 50ml volume (Wilhelm and Wenner, 2008).
Drugs

Verapamil was purchased from Calbiochem; TTX, APV, CNQX and CP465022 were purchased from Tocris Cookson; CsCl was purchased from Fisher Scientific; BAPTA was purchased from Fluka; HEPES from Acros Organics. All other chemicals and drugs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Immunoblot

Ventral half lumbosacral spinal cords were homogenized in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were then centrifuged at 16,000 g for 5 min to remove cell debris. Protein concentration was quantitated using BCA reagent (Pierce). Samples were separated on 4–15% SDS-PAGE, and blotted to a nitrocellulose membrane. The primary antibodies to GluA1–4 subunits were from Abcam (rabbit anti-GluA1 ab31232, rabbit anti-GluA2 ab87610, rabbit anti-GluA3 ab87609, rabbit anti-GluA4 ab20673), and to actin (mouse anti-actin) from Sigma-Aldrich. The secondary antibodies used were HRP-goat anti-mouse IgG and HRP-goat anti-rabbit IgG from Sigma-Aldrich. The blot was visualized by ECL chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare). Quantitative analysis of protein expression was performed by drawing boxes around the protein bands and normalizing the signal intensity to actin intensity using ImageJ (NIH). Blots were done in triplicate, and each sample represents a lysate of 2–3 different cords.

Statistics

Data are expressed as mean ± SE. Most statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed Student’s t test (paired and unpaired) unless mentioned otherwise. GraphPad Instat software and SigmaPlot 9 were used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

mEPSC membrane conductance increases in activity-blocked motoneurons

Previous studies in our lab have shown that 2-day blockade of embryonic movements in ovo led to increases in both SNA frequency and AMPA/kainate mEPSC amplitude in motoneurons from the isolated cord. In order to block SNA in ovo, lidocaine, a sodium channel blocker, was pumped continuously onto the chorioallantoic membrane of the chick from embryonic day 8 to 10 (E8–10, stages 34 to 36). Spinal cords were isolated and maintained in recirculating Tyrode’s solution in the absence of lidocaine. As shown in Figure 1A the interval between the bouts or episodes of SNA was significantly reduced for lidocaine-treated embryos (4.4±0.8 min, n=6) compared to controls (9.3±2.8 min, n=5). Whole-cell recordings were obtained from spinal motoneurons identified antidromically in either lidocaine-treated or control embryos; mPSCs were recorded in voltage clamp at −70 mV, and mEPSCs were pharmacologically isolated by bath application of gabazine (5μM) and APV (50 μM). The average amplitude of mEPSCs from motoneurons of lidocaine-treated embryos was 44% larger than in control (control 7.0 ± 0.9pA, n = 5; lidocaine-treated 10.1 ± 2.8pA, n = 5; p <0.01). These results were consistent with our previous study (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006).

We also found that following activity blockade mEPSC amplitudes increased across the entire distribution (Fig. 1B). In fact mEPSC amplitudes underwent synaptic scaling as demonstrated in Figure 1C, which shows the plot of ranked mEPSC amplitude values for lidocaine-treated versus control motoneurons (r=0.99 and a slope value of 1.68 ± 0.01, n = 9 cells, Fig. 1C). On the other hand no significant change was found in the mEPSC frequency (1.05 ± 0.47 Hz and 1.16 ± 0.40 for control and lidocaine-treated embryos, respectively) or kinetics (5.8 ± 1.3 msec and 6.0 ± 1.7 msec for control and lidocaine-treated embryos, respectively). In our previous study we found that following activity blockade, AMPAergic
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mEPSC amplitude did not multiplicatively scale and that mEPSC frequency was strongly increased (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006). The discrepancy between our current and earlier study for these parameters is likely due to our improved recordings, which allow better mEPSC detection (lower noise). In the previous study it is likely that many small mEPSCs were not detected in control, but were detected when amplitudes increased in activity-blocked motoneurons; this could explain the observed increase in mEPSC frequency and result in our inability to detect multiplicative scaling because we were not comparing the same populations of mEPSCs.

If mEPSC amplitude increases due to changes in postsynaptic AMPA receptors as others have shown, then we would expect changes in the conductance of the total population of channels that mediate a mEPSC (will refer to as mEPSC conductance). In order to assess mEPSC conductance we constructed mEPSC I–V plots using whole cell recordings from control and activity-blocked motoneurons (as described previously for GABAergic mPSCs, (Gonzalez-Islas et al., 2009). Voltage-gated channels, NMDAergic and GABAergic transmission were blocked to isolate non-NMDA glutamatergic mEPSCs, which were typically recorded in voltage steps of 10 mV for 1–2 minutes (Fig. 2A). The average peak amplitude of mEPSCs at each step was then plotted against voltage, for control and lidocaine-treated embryos (Fig. 2B). Spermine was not included in whole cell patch solution in order to ensure that glutamate receptors did not rectify at positive potentials. A linear fit of the data from −90 to +90mV was then made, excluding data points from −30 to +30mV as these amplitudes tended to be overestimates as significant numbers of mEPSCs fell into the noise and were not detectable. mEPSC reversal potential was then determined as the X-intercept, and mEPSC conductance was taken as the slope of the fit. There was an increase in mEPSC conductance in lidocaine-treated embryos (287.6 ± 20.4pS) compared to controls (235.8 ± 16.3pS), as shown in Figure 2C. However, no significant difference was observed in mEPSC reversal potential between motoneurons from control (−2.4 ± 7.2mV) and lidocaine-treated embryos (−2.7 ± 7.1 mV; Fig. 2D). These results suggest that the increase in mEPSC amplitude observed in activity-blocked motoneurons was due to an increase in mEPSC conductance.

Glutamatergic mEPSCs are mediated by AMPA (GluA1–4) receptors in both control and activity-blocked motoneurons

As we have previously reported for E10 chick motoneurons, glutamatergic mEPSCs are virtually abolished with bath application of the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist CNQX at −70mV (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006). To examine the contribution of AMPA versus kainate receptors mediating the mEPSCs, we bath applied the AMPA selective antagonist (GluA1–4), CP465022 (5μM, incubation time was at least 30 min) (Lazzaro et al., 2002). Figure 3 shows that glutamatergic mEPSCs (isolated with the GABA_A antagonist gabazine) are dramatically reduced in frequency when CP465022 is added to the bath in both control (from 0.72 ± 0.12Hz to 0.16 ± 0.04Hz, p<0.001) and lidocaine-treated motoneurons (from 0.89 ± 0.30Hz to 0.18 ± 0.04Hz, p<0.001). Therefore, the vast majority of the recorded glutamatergic mEPSCs are mediated by AMPA receptors.

Activity blockade induced scaling of AMPAergic mEPSCs through an increase of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors

To examine whether AMPAergic scaling following activity-blockade is due to changes in AMPA receptor subunit composition we performed western blots for GluA1–4 subunits from lysates of the ventral half of the spinal cord from control and activity-blocked embryos. As we show in Figure 4, lidocaine-treatment induced a strong reduction in the total level of the GluA2 subunit (44.7±2.7% of control value, p<0.01). However, there were not significant changes in total protein level for any of the other AMPA receptor subunits.
Therefore, the increase of AMPAergic mEPSC amplitude and conductance following lidocaine-treatment is associated with a reduction of the expression of the GluA2 AMPA receptor subunit.

The reduction in GluA2 AMPA receptor protein suggested the possibility that activity blockade had increased the number of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors, which have been shown to exhibit greater single channel conductance than GluA2-containing receptors (Swanson et al., 1997). To functionally test this possibility, we took advantage of the fact that GluA2-lacking receptors display an inward rectification of the current at positive holding potentials (Donevan and Rogawski, 1995). We functionally measured the contribution of GluA2-lacking receptors by determining a rectification index, calculated as the average mEPSC amplitude at a +40mV holding potential divided by the average amplitude at a −70mV holding potential. Spermine (0.1mM) was added to the patch solution here in order to observe rectification. Consistent with our biochemical data, lidocaine-treated motoneurons exhibited an inward rectification of AMPAergic mPSCs (reduction of the rectification index: 1.09 ± 0.16 for control, and 0.59 ± 0.11 for activity-blocked motoneurons, p<0.01, Fig. 5). The reduction in rectification index from control to lidocaine-treated motoneurons was due to a decrease in mPSC amplitude at +40mV and an increase in amplitude at −70mV: (+40mV: control −12.5 ± 5.4pA, lidocaine − 7.8 ± 3.3pA; −70mV: control − 9.0 ± 4.7pA, lidocaine −13.4 ± 5.8pA). These results demonstrate a postsynaptic insertion of CP-AMPARs following activity blockade.

To confirm these findings in lidocaine-treated motoneurons we used a selective antagonist for GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors, N-Naphthyl acetylsperrmine (Hollmann et al., 1991; Koike et al., 1997) (NASPM, 20μM, incubation time was at least 30 min). We show in Figure 6 (A–C) that in activity-blocked motoneurons bath application of NASPM dramatically reduced AMPAergic mEPSC frequency to 50% (from 1.45 ± 0.28Hz to 0.72 ± 0.16Hz, n=8; p<0.001), and reduced mEPSC amplitude to 83% (from 10.2 ± 0.6pA to 8.5 ± 0.2pA; p<0.05). We believe the reduction in mEPSC frequency is not a presynaptic effect of the drug, but rather due to the blockade of postsynaptic receptors, which is likely to reduce many mEPSCs below our ability to detect them (see discussion). This finding combined with the results form the rectification index showed that mEPSCs from lidocaine-treated motoneurons were mediated by both CP-AMPARs and CI-AMPARs. However, in control motoneurons NASPM had no effect on AMPAergic mEPSC frequency (from 1.39 ± 0.46 Hz to 1.18 ± 0.27Hz, n=8) or amplitude (from 6.7±0.1pA to 7.1±0.6pA; Fig. 6C), suggesting that control mEPSCs are predominantly mediated by GluA2-containing CI-AMPARs. We compared the distribution of mEPSC amplitudes before and after adding NASPM in activity-blocked motoneurons (Fig. 6D). This allowed us to compare 2 somewhat different populations of mEPSCs – the total population of mEPSCs mediated by both CP-AMPARs and CI-AMPARs (before NASPM), and a subset of those mEPSCs (after NASPM) that were mediated by CI-AMPARs. These 2 different populations might not be expected to be similar, but in fact their amplitude distributions were highly related through a multiplicative factor of 0.88 (r = 0.99; slope = 0.88 ± 0.01, Fig. 6D). This slope is likely to be an overestimate of the true slope, as low amplitude mEPSCs likely fall into the noise after NASPM. Collectively, our biochemical and electrophysiological data suggest that the increase of AMPAergic mEPSC amplitude and conductance observed in motoneurons from activity-blocked embryos is mediated by increasing the population of GluA2-lacking CP-AMPARs.

GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors mediate AMPAergic synaptic scaling following GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor blockade

Previously we have shown that AMPAergic synaptic scaling can be triggered in a very effective manner by blocking GABA<sub>A</sub> transmission in ovo (Wilhelm and Wenner, 2008).
We repeated these experiments by injecting gabazine \textit{in ovo} at E8 and recorded mEPSCs in motoneurons from E10 embryos. Plotting rank ordered mEPSC amplitudes from control versus gabazine-treated motoneurons demonstrated an upward scaling of the mEPSCs ($r = 0.98$; slope $= 2.12 \pm 0.03$, Fig. 7A). Additionally, gabazine treatment induced a strong reduction in the total level of the GluA2 subunit in the ventral cord ($36.9 \pm 1.8\%$ of control value, $p<0.01$; Fig. 7B). In order to determine whether blocking activity or GABAergic transmission trigger scaling through the same mechanisms, we tested the effect of NASPM on AMPAergic mEPSC frequency from embryos where GABAergic transmission had been blocked from E8–10. We show in Figure 7C–G, the effects of NASPM on gabazine-treated motoneurons. The entire distribution of mEPSC amplitudes was reduced following NASPM application, such that a significant population of mEPSCs was reduced below our detection cutoff (5pA, arrow Fig. 7D). NASPM dramatically reduced AMPAergic mEPSC frequency to 37\% (Fig. 7E from $1.07 \pm 0.10$ Hz to $0.40 \pm 0.09$ Hz, n=8; $p<0.001$), and reduced mEPSC amplitude to 57\% (Fig. 7F, from $12.2\pm1.8$pA to $7.0\pm 0.6$pA; $p<0.001$). We compared the ranked distribution of mEPSC amplitudes before and after adding NASPM in GABA\textsubscript{A} blocked motoneurons and found that the amplitude distributions were highly related through a multiplicative factor of 0.38 (Fig. 7G, $r = 0.99$; slope $= 0.38 \pm 0.01$). The changes produced by gabazine-treatment were greater than for activity-blockade. Together, these results suggest the appearance of synaptic GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors as a common molecular mechanism for AMPAergic scaling following the blockade of SNA or GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors \textit{in ovo}.

**AMPAergic scaling does not appear to be due to a delayed development of AMPAergic currents**

We considered the possibility that the drug treatments could induce a delay in the normal developmental transition in the chick embryo spinal cord from GluA2-lacking receptors at E6 to GluA2-containing receptors by E11 that has been described previously (Ni et al., 2007). First, we assessed GluA2 protein levels in the control ventral spinal cord at E8 (onset of drug treatments) and E10 (end of treatment) using Western blot analysis. We found no difference in GluA2 levels at the 2 stages (Fig. 8), consistent with the idea that the developmental transition to GluA2-containing receptors had largely occurred by E8. This result stands in contrast to the reduction of GluA2 protein observed after lidocaine-treatment (Fig. 4) or gabazine-treatment (Fig. 7B), suggesting that the treatment-induced changes in GluA2 protein levels do not reflect a developmental process in any simple way. Further, if the drug treatments caused a developmental delay in the maturation of the mEPSCs, then we might expect that mEPSC amplitude following the treatments at E10 would be of similar size to mEPSC amplitude at E8. Whole cell recordings demonstrated that mEPSC amplitude at E8 was smaller than E10 treated motoneurons, but was no different than E10 control motoneurons (E8 mEPSC amplitude $− 8.1 \pm 0.9$pA, n=6, no different from E10 control $p = 0.79$, significantly reduced compared to lidocaine-treated, $p = 0.04$, and gabazine-treated, $p = 0.02$, values shown above). While the results do not absolutely rule out a developmental delay of some aspect of AMPAergic maturation, they are more consistent with a model where activity/transmission blockade trigger the replacement of existing CI-AMPARs by CP-AMPARs (see discussion).

**DISCUSSION**

Evidence for AMPAergic synaptic scaling is extensive and has been described by many different labs in several different systems, \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (Pozo and Goda, 2010; Turrigiano, 2012; Vituireira et al., 2012). No such consensus exists for the mechanisms underlying AMPAergic synaptic scaling, as several studies either support or deny the contribution of CP-AMPARs. Most work has assessed scaling mechanisms following \textit{in
We show that AMPAergic scaling in embryonic motoneurons following \textit{in vivo} blockade of either spiking activity or GABAergic transmission, occurs through an increased mEPSC conductance mediated by the postsynaptic insertion GluA2-lacking CP-AMPARs, at the expense of CI-AMPARs.

**AMPAergic synaptic scaling is dependent on CP-AMPARs in embryonic motoneurons \textit{in vivo}**

Many studies have shown that AMPAergic scaling is mediated by changes in AMPA receptors in the postsynaptic membrane. Consistent with changes in postsynaptic receptors we show that scaling is mediated by increases in mEPSC conductance. More importantly, we find that the increased mEPSC conductance was mediated by the insertion of CP-AMPARs. These GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors are distinct from GluA2-containing AMPA receptors in that they are permeable to $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, have larger single channel conductance, and exhibit a voltage-dependent block by intracellular polyamines (Hollmann et al., 1991; Bowie and Mayer, 1995; Donevan and Rogawski, 1995; Swanson et al., 1997; Traynelis et al., 2010). We demonstrate the insertion of CP-AMPARs following activity blockade in several ways: 1) through a reduction in GluA2 subunit expression in the ventral cord, 2) by the appearance of AMPAergic mPSCs that are sensitive to NASPM, 3) by showing mEPSCs in lidocaine-treated motoneurons express stronger inward rectification. Although we did not directly show increased calcium permeability of GluA2-lacking receptors, several other studies have shown that the glutamate receptors that express an inward rectification and are sensitive to polyamines (e.g. NASPM) are permeable to calcium (add Hollmann 1991, Bowie Mayer 1995, Donevan Rogawski 1995); one study in particular demonstrated that rectification was a good indicator of calcium permeable glutamate receptors in motoneurons in the chick embryo (Ni et al., 2007).

It is important to understand whether CP-AMPARs are involved in synaptic scaling because these receptors can influence calcium signaling pathways. As a result many studies have assessed the contribution of the GluA2 subunit to synaptic scaling in cultured networks. Several of these \textit{in vitro} studies have demonstrated an accumulation of GluA2-lacking CP-AMPARs (Ju et al., 2004; Thiagarajan et al., 2005; Sutton et al., 2006; Aoto et al., 2008; Hou et al., 2008; Beique et al., 2011). Other studies report an upregulation of GluA2-containing CI-AMPARs following activity blockade (O’Brien et al., 1998; Sun et al., 2005; Wierenga et al., 2005; Sutton et al., 2006; Cingolani et al., 2008; Ibata et al., 2008; Anggono et al., 2011). Different results could arise from the method of blocking activity, the duration of the blockade, the tissue, or stage of development (Man, 2011; Lee, 2012; Shepherd, 2012). In order to look at the contribution of CP-AMPARs in a more natural context two different groups have assessed the mechanisms of AMPAergic scaling following reductions in activity \textit{in vivo}. Layer 2/3 pyramidal cells in the primary visual cortex exhibit AMPAergic scaling following 2 days of reduced visual drive in the 3 week old rat, \textit{in vivo} (Goel et al., 2006; Gainey et al., 2009; Goel et al., 2011). Surprisingly, one group found that scaling was mediated by CP-AMPARs (Goel et al., 2006; Goel et al., 2011), while the other group found that scaling involved CI-AMPARs (Gainey et al., 2009). Here, we test the role of CP-AMPARs in AMPAergic scaling following \textit{in vivo} perturbations to a different network, at a stage when GABA is excitatory. In embryonic motoneurons we find that CP-AMPARs mediate AMPAergic scaling at the expense of CI-AMPARs.

**Model for AMPAergic scaling in embryonic motoneurons**

We propose a model that we believe is the most consistent and parsimonious explanation for our findings: 1) following activity- or GABAergic-blockade scaling of mEPSCs is mediated by the replacement of GluA2-containing receptors by GluA2-lacking receptors, 2) and that GABAergic blockade triggers scaling more effectively than lidocaine-treatment by replacing...
a greater proportion of the CI-AMPARs with the higher conductance CP-AMPARs (Fig. 9). Several findings contribute to this model. In support of the idea that CP-AMPARs are inserted at the expense of GluA2-containing receptors we found that the GluA2 protein is reduced following scaling. Further, while mEPSC frequency was the same for control and treated (lidocaine or gabazine) motoneurons, blockade of CP-AMPARs with NASPM acutely reduced mEPSC frequency only for the treated cells (to 50% for lidocaine-treatment, to 37% for gabazine treatment). Gabazine-treatment may have produced a stronger increase in mEPSC amplitude than lidocaine-treatment because more CI-AMPARs were replaced with CP-AMPARs (Fig. 9); when CP-AMPARs were then acutely blocked with NASPM fewer CI-AMPARs remained to contribute to mEPSCs, and therefore many mEPSCs fell below detection (Fig. 7D) and dramatically reduced mEPSC frequency.

The idea that synaptic scaling is mediated by the insertion of CP-AMPARs at the expense of existing CI-AMPARs is distinct from many previous reports. Studies that have implicated GluA2-lacking CP-AMPARs suggest that scaling is mediated by the addition of CP-AMPARs to the existing population of CI-AMPARs (Ju et al., 2004; Thiagarajan et al., 2005; Sutton et al., 2006; Hou et al., 2008; Jakawich et al., 2010). In our model, because CP-AMPARs conduct more current than the CI-AMPARs, synaptic sites could have the same number of receptors but produce larger mEPSCs because of an increased proportion of CP-AMPARs. Two previous reports examining AMPAergic homeostatic plasticity in cortical neurons have shown evidence in favor of an insertion of CP-AMPARs at the expense of CI-AMPARs (Beique et al., 2011; He et al., 2012).

We favor the idea that CP-AMPARs replaced CI-AMPARs proportionally (i.e. as a percentage, dependent on the size of the existing population of CI-AMPARs). We found that following 2-day treatments mEPSC amplitudes scaled upward by a multiplicative factor (1.68 – lidocaine, 2.12 – gabazine). This suggested all of the synapses mediating mEPSCs got stronger following in ovo treatment relative to their starting values (Turrigiano et al., 1998). Similarly, in treated motoneurons (lidocaine or gabazine) the population of mEPSC amplitudes before and after application of NASPM were multiplicatively scaled, even though these represent different populations of mEPSCs (Fig. 6D, 7G). Therefore, the total population of mEPSCs (CP- + CI-AMPARs) was related to the CI-AMPAR mediated mEPSCs by a multiplicative scaling factor. This suggested that the new CP-AMPARs were added relative to the existing CI-AMPAR population.

The model will need to be further tested and certain aspects of the model may need to be adjusted. We cannot rule out the possibility that NASPM reduces mEPSC frequency by blocking CP-AMPARs presynaptically, however we do not favor this idea for several reasons. 1) The change in rectification index following scaling demonstrates an increase in CP-AMPARs postsynaptically. 2) There is no reduction of mEPSC frequency in control E10 motoneurons following NASPM application. 3) Virtually all AMPAergic scaling studies that have looked have found changes in postsynaptic AMPA receptors. Further, the model may only represent one stage of the plasticity. Certain studies have suggested that following activity blockade, and the transition from CI-AMPARs to CP-AMPARs, there is a second step in the process where the receptors transition back to their CI-AMPAR state (Sutton et al., 2006; Hou et al., 2008; Man, 2011). Future experiments will be necessary to determine if our findings represent the first step in scaling which may then be followed by a return to CI-AMPARs. Such a multistep process could potentially explain why some studies observe scaling mediated by CP-AMPARs and others by CI-AMPARs, as a function of the stage to which the plasticity has progressed.
Reductions in activity and GABAergic transmission trigger the same mechanism of AMPAergic synaptic scaling

In both the current and previous studies we have demonstrated that blocking spiking activity in ovo triggers an upward synaptic scaling of glutamatergic mEPSCs, but that blocking GABA_A transmission in ovo triggers an even greater increase in AMPAergic scaling (Gonzalez-Islas and Wenner, 2006; Wilhelm and Wenner, 2008). On the other hand blocking AMPAergic transmission in ovo did not trigger AMPAergic scaling (Wilhelm and Wenner, 2008). Based on these previous findings we postulated that activity-block triggers scaling by reducing the release of GABA, thereby reducing GABA_A receptor activation. If GABAergic-block and activity-block really do trigger the same process then the mechanisms underlying scaling should be the same. Here we show that both treatments involve the insertion of GluA2-lacking receptors. These findings strengthen the idea that the GABA_A receptor is part of the sensing machinery that triggers compensatory changes in synaptic strength. We have observed that compared to activity-block, GABA_A-blockade is more effective at increasing mEPSC amplitude. This might result because gabazine blocks both evoked and spontaneous GABAergic currents, resulting in a more complete replacement of CI-AMPARs by CP-AMPARs. Future studies will be necessary to identify the steps that lie between reduced GABAergic currents and the scaling process; one such step could be increased cell excitability, which is known to occur within hours of reduced GABAergic transmission (Wilhelm et al., 2009).
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FIGURE 1.
Lidocaine-treated embryos exhibit higher frequency of SNA and upward scaling of mEPSCs compared to controls. A, Representative spinal nerve recordings showing the interval between episodes of SNA in isolated spinal cords from control (black) and lidocaine-treated embryos (grey). B, Cumulative probability plot for glutamatergic mEPSC amplitudes from control and lidocaine-treated motoneurons (5 different cords, n>400 in both cases). C, Ranked mEPSC amplitudes from activity-blocked motoneurons (Y axis) plotted against corresponding ranked values of control motoneurons (X axis). Black line represents expected result if amplitude distributions were the same.
FIGURE 2.
Conductance of mEPSCs were increased in activity-blocked motoneurons. **A**, Voltage clamp whole cell recordings are shown in 20mV voltage steps in a motoneuron from an activity-blocked embryo. **B**, The average amplitude of mPSCs at each step was plotted against the step voltage (I–V) for control (black dots) and lidocaine-treated (grey dots) motoneurons (error bars represent SE). **C**–**D**, Scatter plots showing the average values obtained for mEPSC conductance (**C**) and reversal potential (**D**) for motoneurons from control (black dots, n=7) and lidocaine-treated embryos (grey dots, n=9). RMS values at −70mV holding potential were 5.2 ± 1.2 for control and 4.7 ± 1.1 for lidocaine-treated motoneurons.
FIGURE 3.
Glutamatergic mEPSCs are mediated by AMPA receptors (GluA1–4). A, Whole cell voltage clamp recording of mEPSCs, in the presence of gabazine (5μM), from motoneurons of control and lidocaine-treated embryos before and after the addition of the AMPA receptor antagonist, CP465022 (5μM). B, Average values for mEPSC frequency before and after addition of CP465022 in control (n=5) and lidocaine-treated (n=5) motoneurons (error bars represent SE, * p<0.001).
FIGURE 4.
GluA2 subunit in the ventral spinal cord is reduced in activity-blocked embryos. Western blots are shown for GluA1–4 (upper bands) and actin (lower bands) of ventral-half spinal cords of control and lidocaine-treated embryos. The average values of band intensity (normalized to actin) for the GluA2 subunit were significantly different (p<0.01) between control and lidocaine-treated embryos (values are described in results). No significant difference was observed in control and activity-blocked embryos for GluA1, GluA3 or GluA4 AMPA receptor subunits (n=4 for each subunit in both control and activity-blocked embryos).
FIGURE 5. Inward rectification of AMPAergic mPSCs is increased in activity-blocked embryos. A, Pharmacologically isolated AMPAergic mPSCs at −70mV (upper traces) and +40mV (lower traces) holding potentials are shown for control and activity-blocked motoneurons. Traces of mEPSC on the right are averages of all mEPSCs for a single cell in each condition (control or lidocaine at +40mV and −70mV, n=6 for control or treated). These records are made with spermine included in the patch solution. B, Scatterplots show values of rectification index (amplitude at +40mV / amplitude at −70mV) for AMPAergic mPSCs from control and activity-blocked motoneurons (*p<0.01; n=6 for control or treated). RMS values were 1.06 ± 0.11 and 1.36 ± 0.21 at −70mV for control and lidocaine-treated motoneurons, respectively; and 1.64 ± 0.33 and 2.20 ± 0.24 at +40mV for control and lidocaine-treated motoneurons, respectively.
FIGURE 6.
Activity-blocked motoneurons scale up mEPSCs through the insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors. A, Whole cell voltage clamp recordings from motoneurons of control and lidocaine-treated embryos before and after the addition of NASPM. B, Bar chart shows average values of mEPSC frequency before and after addition of NASPM in control (n=7) and lidocaine-treated (n=8) motoneurons (*p<0.001). C, Bar chart shows average mEPSC amplitude before and after addition of NASPM in control (n=7), and lidocaine-treated (n=8) motoneurons (*p<0.05). D, Ranked mEPSC amplitudes from activity-blocked motoneurons before (X axis) and after (Y axis) adding NASPM (n=6). The results show that amplitude values in NASPM are reduced in a multiplicative manner across their distribution. Black line represents expected result if amplitude distributions were the same. Error bars represent SE. RMS values were 1.10 ± 0.12 and 1.23 ± 0.10 for control and lidocaine-treated motoneurons before the addition of NASPM, respectively; and 1.29 ± 0.14 and 1.00 ± 0.11 for control and lidocaine-treated motoneurons after the addition of NASPM, respectively.
FIGURE 7.
GABA_A transmission blockade scales mEPSCs through insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors. A, Ranked mEPSC amplitudes from gabazine-treated motoneurons (Y axis) plotted against corresponding ranked values of control motoneurons (X axis). Black line represents expected result if amplitude distributions were the same. B, Western blots are shown for GluA2 (upper bands) and actin (lower bands) of ventral-half spinal cords from control and gabazine-treated embryos. The average values of band intensity (normalized to actin) for the GluA2 subunit was significantly reduced (p<0.01) between control and gabazine-treated embryos. C, Whole cell voltage clamp recording of motoneurons from control and gabazine-treated embryos before and after the addition of NASPM. D, Histograms of mEPSC amplitude in gabazine-treated motoneurons before (upper histogram, n=392 mEPSCs) and after (lower histogram, n=270 mEPSCs) bath application of NASPM. E, Bar chart shows average values of mEPSC frequency before and after addition of NASPM in control (n=7), and gabazine-treated (n=8) motoneurons (* p<0.001). F, Bar chart shows average values of mPSC amplitude before and after addition of NASPM in control (n=7), and gabazine-treated (n=8) motoneurons (* p<0.001). Control traces and values in C–E are same as in Figure 6. G, Ranked mEPSC amplitudes from gabazine-treated motoneurons before (X axis) and after (Y axis) adding NASPM (n=8). The results show that amplitude values in NASPM are reduced in a multiplicative manner across their distribution. Black line represents expected result if amplitude distributions were the same. Error bars represent SE. RMS values were 1.10 ± 0.12 and 1.06 ± 0.10 for control and gabazine-treated motoneurons before the addition of NASPM, respectively; and 1.29 ± 0.14 and 1.04 ± 0.07 for control and gabazine-treated motoneurons after the addition of NASPM, respectively.
FIGURE 8.
GluA2 protein levels in the control ventral spinal cord are the same at E8 and E10. Western blots are shown for GluA2 (upper bands) and actin (lower bands). The average values of band intensity (normalized to actin) for the GluA2 subunit were not significantly different between E8 (0.34±0.02) and E10 (0.33±0.01).
FIGURE 9. Model for synaptic scaling mediated by the insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors. Model shows GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors replacing existing GluA2-containing receptors in a 1:1 manner. Gabazine treatment produces a larger increase in mEPSC amplitude than activity-blockade. This is consistent with a model where a greater proportion of GluA2-containing receptors are replaced with the NASPM-sensitive GluA2-lacking receptors. Such a model would explain why NASPM reduces the frequency of mEPSCs in gabazine-treated motoneurons to a greater extent than for activity-blocked motoneurons, as more of the mEPSCs fall below detection.