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Abstract

The target of rapamycin (TOR) is a critical intracellular regulator of the immune system. Recent studies have suggested that immunosuppression by TOR inhibition may be mediated by modulating differentiation of both effector and regulatory CD4 T cell subsets. However, it was paradoxically shown that inhibiting TOR signaling has immunostimulatory effects on the generation of long-lived memory CD8 T cells. Beneficial effects of TOR inhibition have also been observed with dendritic cells and hematopoietic stem cells. This immune modulation may contribute to lifespan extension seen in mice with mTOR inhibition. Here, we review recent findings on TOR modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses, and discuss potential applications of regulating TOR to provide longer and healthier immunity.

Introduction

The target of rapamycin (TOR) is evolutionally conserved from yeast to human and is an important kinase that regulates cell growth and metabolism in response to environmental signals [1,2]. It has become increasingly apparent that mammalian TOR (mTOR) activity is implicated in many of the physiological abnormalities associated with cancer, various metabolic syndromes, and aging [1,2]. mTOR exerts its effects through two different complexes, mTOR complex1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2, that have distinct roles in cellular actions (Fig. 1). mTORC1 is known to regulate many key cellular processes including autophagy, translation, ribosome biogenesis, and transcription. On the other hand, mTORC2 mediates organization of the actin cytoskeleton, and also controls cell survival (Fig. 1). Rapamycin, an inhibitor of mTOR, has been extensively used in numerous experimental settings to understand the role of the mTOR pathway. In clinical settings, rapamycin is given to transplant recipients as an immunosuppressant, and its primary effect of immunosuppression has long been considered to be due to inhibition of T cell proliferation. However, recent studies using rapamycin as well as genetic manipulation of the different components of the mTOR signaling pathway have revealed more complex mechanisms for the immunosuppression [3-5]. Furthermore, paradoxical immunostimulatory effects of rapamycin have also been reported by several groups [3-5]. In this review, we summarize and discuss recent findings regarding how mTOR signaling regulates various components of the immune system.
The role of TOR in adaptive immunity

Regulation of CD8 T cell responses

CD8 T cells play an important role in controlling viral infections and intracellular bacterial and parasitic infections by directly killing infected cells as well as by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines [6-8]. It is becoming increasingly clear that CD8 T cells are also involved in immunity against tumors and there is a growing interest in developing anti-tumor vaccines that stimulate CD8 T cell responses [7,9]. During the past few years, considerable progress has been made in understanding the role of the mTOR pathway in CD8 T cell responses. One example is that mTOR activity regulates T cell trafficking by altering expression of cell surface receptors important for migration into lymphoid organs. Naïve T cells express the lymph node-homing receptors CD62L and CCR7. Activated T cells are known to downregulate these receptors, and this downregulation in part facilitates their migration to the periphery toward sites of infection [10]. Inhibiting mTOR in activated CD8 T cells with rapamycin enhances expression of both CD62L and CCR7, and these CD8 T cells improve their ability to home to secondary lymphoid tissues [11]. This redirection of activated CD8 T cells into secondary lymphoid tissues might promote allograft survival in transplant recipients by relocating allogeneic effector T cells from transplanted organs.

In addition to T cell trafficking, several reports have recently shown that mTOR plays an important role in memory CD8 T cell differentiation [12-18]. After an acute viral infection, activated CD8 T cells clonally expand and differentiate into effector cells that clear virus-infected cells. This expansion phase is followed by a contraction phase during which 90-95% of the effector T cells die and the surviving 5-10% of the antigen-specific T cells become memory cells [6]. Thus, the surviving effector cells are considered memory precursor cells and can be distinguished from terminal effector cells by their surface expression of IL7R and KLRG1[19-22]. Our group has identified the surprisingly immunostimulatory effect of rapamycin on memory CD8 T cell differentiation [17]. Rapamycin treatment during the T cell expansion phase increased the quantity of memory CD8 T cells by increasing the number of memory precursor effector cells (Fig. 2) [17]. Thus, the treatment resulted in a similar number of antigen specific effector CD8 T cells at the peak of the clonal expansion compared to untreated mice, but reduced apoptotic cell death during the contraction phase (Fig. 2) [17]. On the other hand, inhibiting mTOR with rapamycin during the contraction phase accelerated the effector cells to memory CD8 T cell differentiation and improved the protective capacity and longevity of memory cells (Fig. 2) [17]. Consequently, rapamycin treatment during both the expansion and contraction phase enhanced not only the magnitude but also the quality of memory CD8 T cells (Fig. 2) [17]. Experiments using RNA interference demonstrated that rapamycin effects were intrinsic to antigen specific CD8 T cells. Knocking down raptor, a component of mTORC1 (Fig. 1), in antigen specific CD8 T cells resulted in similar effects to those observed with rapamycin treatment [17], suggesting that the mTORC1 pathway regulates memory CD8 T cell differentiation. In addition, Choi et al. have independently demonstrated an enhancement of CD8 T cell responses in rapamycin treated mice [18]. These studies show that targeting the mTOR pathway in CD8 T cells has adjuvant effects on generation of memory CD8 T cell immunity. However, it should be noted that administration of a very high dose of rapamycin prevented CD8 T cell responses [17]. These data collectively indicate that mTOR signaling is required for CD8 T cell responses and also suggest that the level of mTOR activity plays an essential role in regulating memory T cell generation (Fig. 2).

The important question of how mTOR signaling controls memory CD8 T cell differentiation is yet to be fully addressed. Many cellular activities such as cell growth, proliferation, autophagy, and translation are regulated by mTOR downstream signaling. Choi and co-workers suggested that modulating mTOR dependent fatty acid metabolism is essential for...
enhancing CD8 T cell memory [18]. Another study by Shrikant and colleagues proposed that mTOR signaling determines memory CD8 T cell fate by regulating expression of the transcription factors T-bet and Eomesodermin [16]. However, the mechanistic details of how mTOR activity controls fatty acid metabolism and the expression of the transcription factors remain unknown. Thus, investigating the direct downstream signaling of the mTOR pathway will be an essential step for achieving a better understanding of the role of mTOR in memory CD8 T cell differentiation.

Regulating differentiation into CD4 T helper cell subsets

CD4 T helper cells represent an important arm of the adaptive immune system as they orchestrate humoral as well as cellular immune responses. There are multiple lineages of CD4 T cells that include helper subsets (Th1, Th2, Th17, and follicular helper T or Tfh) and a regulatory subset (Treg) [23-25]. Emerging evidence suggests that mTOR activity regulates development of these CD4 T cell subsets [3,4,26-31]. Powell and colleagues have shown that differentiation into Th1, Th2, and Th17 is inhibited in CD4 T cells with a conditional deletion of mTOR (Fig. 3)[31]. This differentiation failure was associated with decreased phosphorylation of STAT as well as insufficient induction of lineage specific transcription factors [31]. Recently, the same group has further extended these studies by genetically deleting mTORC1 or mTORC2 signaling components in T cells [30]. Abrogating mTORC1 activity by deletion of Rheb, an upstream activator of mTORC1 (Fig. 1), resulted in CD4 T cells that failed to develop to either Th1 or Th17 cells, while differentiation into Th2 remained intact upon in vitro stimulation [30]. On the other hand, rictor-deficient T cells, in which mTORC2 signaling (Fig. 1) was blocked, differentiated into Th1 and Th17 cells but lost their ability to differentiate into Th2 cells [30]. Thus, these studies indicated that mTORC1 and mTORC2 differentially regulate the generation of CD4 T helper subsets. However, it should be noted that there is another report showing that not only Th2 but also Th1 differentiation was inhibited in CD4 T cells lacking rictor [29]. As Powell and colleagues discuss in their paper, this discrepancy in the effect of mTORC2 on Th1 lineage between these two independent studies may be due to the system used for rictor deletion. One study used Lck-Cre expression to remove loxp-flanked rictor alleles [29], while CD4-Cre was used in the other [30]. Because Lck is expressed at a much earlier stage of T cell development compared to the CD4 protein, deletion of rictor in a different stage of T cell development may influence subsequent Th1 differentiation by changing naïve T cell properties. Despite the discrepancy regarding Th1 induction, both studies show that mTORC2 signaling is required for Th2 differentiation and is dispensable for Th17 differentiation. Collectively, these data indicate that the two distinct mTOR pathways play a critical role in regulating development of Th1, Th2, and Th17 subsets (Fig. 3). However, there are still many unresolved questions concerning the relationship between helper T cell responses and mTOR activity. For example, whether or not mTOR has any impact on generation of Tfh cells is unknown (Fig. 3). Because Tfh cells provide necessary help for optimal production of antibodies by B cells [23,32], the answer to this question may be essential in understanding the fundamental role of mTOR in protective immunity. Another important question is how the level of mTOR activation affects helper T cell differentiation. Genetic deletion of mTOR pathway-related genes results in a complete or strong block of the mTOR signaling (Fig. 3). As discussed above, in vivo memory CD8 T cell formation is dependent on the level of mTOR activity (Fig. 2) [17]. Thus, it will be interesting to examine if partial inhibition of mTOR activity in vivo still prevents development of CD4 T helper cell subsets, or causes different outcomes compared to genetic deletion (Fig. 3). Furthermore, in addition to these effector helper T cell subsets, it is also important to investigate how memory CD4 T cell formation might be modulated by mTOR signaling (Fig. 3).
Regulation of B cell immunity

B cells represent the adaptive immune arm responsible for producing protective anti-pathogen antibodies. Several groups have shown that the mTOR pathway is required for development and maturation of B cells [33-35]. Genetic deletion of Sin1, an essential component of mTORC2 (Fig. 1), prevents B cell development by inhibiting mTORC2 dependent Akt activity[34]. Similarly, decreased mTOR activity mediated by disruption of mTOR transcription interferes with normal B cell development, leading to decreased number of peripheral B cells with those cells showing altered phenotypes and impaired function [33]. Interestingly, hyperactivation of mTOR signaling also impairs B-cell maturation [35]. Conditional knockout of TSC1, an upstream inhibitor of mTORC1 (Fig. 1), in B cells using CD19-cre resulted in accumulation of immature B cells and loss of marginal zone B cells [35]. These recent findings indicate that the activation level of mTOR signaling may be critical for B cell development and function.

In contrast to B cell development, less is known about the biological role of the mTOR pathway in homeostasis and activation of mature B cells. Work done by Rajewsky and colleagues suggest that B cell receptor-dependent PI3 kinase signals are necessary for mature B cell survival [36]. Because mTOR is one of the major downstream targets for PI3 kinase, it will be interesting to examine if the mTOR pathway influences mature B cell survival. Furthermore, it will be important to investigate the role of mTOR signaling in antigen-induced activation of mature B cells and their consequential differentiation into germinal center B cells, memory B cells, and plasma cells.

The role of TOR in innate immunity

In addition to adaptive immunity, mTOR can also modulate innate immunity. Through their ability to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines and to present foreign antigens to CD4 T cells, dendritic cells (DCs) represent a key link between innate and adaptive immune systems. mTOR inhibition with rapamycin prevents Flt3L-driven development of conventional and plasmacytoid DCs (cDCs and pDCs) [37,38]. In contrast, hyperactivation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway by deletion of PTEN, an inhibitor of this signaling pathway, promoted DC development, suggesting that PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway downstream of Flt3L signaling regulates DC development [37]. Furthermore, inhibiting either PI3K or mTOR decreased the generation of human myeloid DCs (mDCs) [39]. Recent reports have identified functional impairment of rapamycin treated DCs as well [40,41]. Bone-marrow derived DCs generated in the presence of rapamycin show poor ability to induce allogeneic T cell responses, and rather enhanced induction of Treg cells [40,41]. Taken together, these data suggest that activation of mTOR signaling is required for the generation of functional DCs.

In addition, rapamycin exerts immunosuppressive effects on mature DCs. pDCs provide a first line of defense against viral infections by producing type I IFN. Pulendran and co-workers have shown that treating pDCs with rapamycin impairs their type I IFN production through suppression of IRF7 activity [42]. Another report has also demonstrated immunosuppression with rapamycin on monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs) [43]. Rapamycin treatment results in decreased production of both pro-inflammatory (IL-12, IL-6, TNF-a) and anti-inflammatory (IL-10) cytokines in LPS-stimulated moDCs [43]. Rapamycin-induced functional impairment of moDCs was also indicated by the decreased expression of costimulatory molecules, which affected their capacity to stimulate allogeneic T cells [43]. In contrast, several reports have recently demonstrated that rapamycin potentiates the ability of mature mDCs to promote T cell responses [43-45]. Rapamycin treatment enhances production of IL-12 from mDCs upon stimulation with Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands [43-45]. The drug also decreases production of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 [43-45]. Consistent with these observations, mDCs stimulated in the presence of rapamycin...
promote greater T cell responses [43]. Thus, the mTOR pathway in DCs has both immuno-stimulatory and inhibitory effects that seem to be DC-type specific.

Another important effect of mTOR on DCs is the modulation of their capacity to perform antigen presentation. Autophagy, a lysosomal degradation pathway, is known to be important for antigen presentation on MHC class II molecules. A recent in vivo study demonstrated that the autophagic activity in DCs is required for optimal antigen presentation via MHC class II to stimulate CD4 T cells [46]. Because the mTOR pathway negatively regulates autophagic activity, this study suggested that rapamycin could improve the ability of antigen presentation in DCs by enhancing autophagy. Indeed, Jagannath and colleagues observed an improvement of in vitro antigen presentation by DCs via rapamycin-induced autophagy [47]. Similarly, in experiments where live attenuated mycobacteria-infected, rapamycin-treated DCs were used as a vaccine, they showed enhanced antigen presentation, which resulted in higher Th1 responses and superior protection upon challenge with virulent Mycobacterium tuberculosis [47]. Interestingly, HIV seems to impair antigen presentation in DCs by inhibiting autophagy; thereby the virus may evade early immune responses [48]. DCs at mucosal sites are one of the primary targets for HIV, and it was shown that a rapid shutdown of autophagy-mediated HIV antigen processing and presentation by HIV infection occurs via activating the mTOR pathway and results in decreased activation of HIV specific CD4 T cells [48].

**TOR and Foxp3+ regulatory T cell (Treg) differentiation**

*In vitro* stimulation of naïve CD4 T cells in the presence of high concentrations of the cytokine TGF-β results in their differentiation into an inhibitory Foxp3+ regulatory T cell subset, with Foxp3 being the master transcription factor that mediates the differentiation process [4]. The involvement of mTOR in the differentiation of Tregs was indicated by the in vitro and in vivo observations that rapamycin can selectively promote their expansion [49,50]. These results lead to the premise that the tolerogenic effects of rapamycin are, at least partially, mediated through its ability to preferentially promote Tregs differentiation. Powell and colleagues confirmed such observations by demonstrating the preferential differentiation of mTOR-deficient naïve CD4 T cells into Foxp3+ cells (Fig. 3) [31]. Several reports provided further evidence for the regulatory role of mTOR signaling in differentiation of Tregs [28,51-54]. First, studies showed that constitutively active signaling molecules that are upstream of mTOR, such as PI3K and Akt, inhibit Foxp3 expression in a rapamycin-sensitive manner [51,52]. Second, studies by Francisco et al. demonstrated that PD1/PD-L1 interaction can, through inhibiting the Akt-mTOR axis, promote the generation and maintenance of Tregs [54]. Third, studies by Liu et al. showed that sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P)-mediated activation of the Akt-mTOR axis inhibits the development and functionality of Foxp3+ Tregs [53]. Recently, Liu and colleagues used CD4 T cells that express the S1P receptor, S1P1, or cells in which the receptor for TGF-β (TGFβRII) was genetically deleted to show that neither cell type is able to upregulate Foxp3 in response to TGF-β stimulation, suggesting that the S1P-mediated effect on Tregs may be mediated by interfering with TGF-β receptor signaling [28]. The authors further dissected the interaction between S1P1 and TGF-β receptor signaling showing that S1P1 signaling attenuated the activity of Smad3, the transcription factor that mediates TGF-β induction of Tregs, [28].

The mechanisms behind the observed preferential expansion of Foxp3+ CD4 cells in the absence of mTOR signaling are yet to be fully defined. A growing body of evidence suggests that T cell differentiation is tightly coupled to the cell’s metabolic state and this is how mTOR, a key sensor and integrator of the cellular nutrient and energy status, may be a major player in determining T cell fate [4,55]. Along these same lines, it has been postulated that Tregs are metabolically less active than effector CD4 T cell subsets and therefore less
functionally dependent on mTOR signaling [4]. Indeed Michalek et al. recently demonstrated that at least in vitro the levels of the glucose transporter (Glut1) and that of glycolysis are higher in effector CD4 T cells in comparison to Tregs [27]. However, the question of how the intracellular metabolic state of Tregs vs. effector CD4 T cell subsets causes the differential responsiveness of Tregs to mTOR signaling inhibition remains unanswered. Matarese and colleagues have demonstrated, using in vitro and in vivo experimental systems, that there is an inverse correlation between the proliferative capacity of Tregs and the adipocyte-derived anorexigenic hormone leptin and leptin-mediated signaling [56]. Recently, the same group demonstrated that the levels of leptin control mTOR activation in Tregs, and that leptin secretion and expression of its receptor on Tregs are significantly reduced by transient rapamycin treatment [57]. In the latter study, the authors observed a modest increase in mTOR signaling, as indicated by increased S6 phosphorylation, in Tregs isolated from leptin receptor deficient mice upon CD3/CD28 stimulation. Taking these results together, Procaccini and colleagues suggested that the leptin-mTOR signaling axis may act as an integrator for various metabolic signals in Tregs, controlling their responsiveness to stimulation [57].

**Longevity and healthier immunity with TOR modulation**

It is becoming increasingly apparent that reduced TOR signaling extends lifespan in many model organisms [58-61]. Evidence that TOR signaling regulates mammalian lifespan was obtained from experiments in which the administration of rapamycin in elderly mice increased their survival [62]. Similarly, deletion of S6K1, a downstream effector of mTORC1 (Fig. 1), also prolonged lifespan [63]. Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated that rapamycin reverses the phenotype of cells obtained from patients with Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome, a lethal genetic disorder that mimics rapid aging [64]. Because mTOR signaling is a major nutrient-sensing pathway, the effects on aging by inhibiting this signaling may be similar to what is seen in dietary restriction that is known to extend lifespan of diverse organisms [65]. The mechanistic details of how downregulation of mTOR exerts anti-aging effects are not fully understood, but several downstream actions of mTOR such as metabolism, translation, and autophagy are suggested to be involved in the anti-aging effects [58-61]. Here we will discuss the contribution of immunity to lifespan extension by inhibiting mTOR signaling.

Several studies have recently shown that mTOR activity is associated with immune senescence [17,66,67]. As mentioned in a previous section, rapamycin treatment minimizes generation of terminally differentiated effector CD8 T cells that undergo apoptosis during the contraction phase [17]. Instead, the drug increases the number of long-lived memory CD8 T cells by enhancing self-renewing ability [17]. This result interestingly indicates that rapamycin works on a cellular level to extend lifespan. Furthermore, memory CD8 T cells generated in the presence of rapamycin display improved proliferative and protective capacity against infections [17]. Therefore, enhanced memory CD8 T cell immunity with rapamycin treatment might help extend lifespan by protecting animals against infectious diseases. In addition to memory T cells, the activity of mTOR seems to play a critical role in maintaining a functional naïve T cell population. Deletion of TSC1, an upstream inhibitor of mTORC1, in T cells results in loss of naïve T cells from peripheral tissues, due to enhanced apoptosis [67]. These mice show impaired T cell responses to bacterial infection, and rapamycin treatment partially improves survival of TSC1⁻/⁻ T cells [67]. Because mTORC1 hyperactivation with TSC1 knockout triggers the feedback loop that inhibits PI3 kinase and AKT activity, it will be important to further examine if these results are directly caused by the active mTORC1 signal, or are indirectly mediated by inhibition of PI3 kinase and/or Akt.
Furthermore, it has been reported that age-related changes in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are linked to mTOR activity [66]. The function of HSCs deteriorates with age, and such defects of HSCs are believed to contribute to the weakened adaptive immune responses often seen in the elderly. Zheng and colleagues have shown that mTOR signaling is activated in HSCs derived from old mice and is associated with their functional defects [66]. In these mice, rapamycin treatment restored the ability for the self-renewal and hematopoiesis of HSCs, which resulted in an improved protective immune responses against a lethal influenza virus challenge [66]. Collectively, these reports suggest that inhibiting mTOR induces long-lasting healthier immunity and this may partially provide extended lifespan in rapamycin treated animals [17,66,67].

Conclusions

It has become clear that inhibiting mTOR signaling has a variety of effects on the immune system. In particular, the paradoxical immunostimulatory effects of rapamycin may present a novel approach to enhance immunogenicity of vaccines. Such beneficial consequences may be contributing to the observed rapamycin-mediated lifespan extension. On the other hand, inhibiting mTOR suppresses certain types of immune cells, which in turn seems to prevent allograft rejection in transplant settings. The underlying mechanisms of such contradictory effects of mTOR inhibition on different immune cells and clinical/experimental settings are not fully understood, but the levels of mTOR signaling may partly determine stimulatory or inhibitory effects on immunity as discussed in this review. It will be critical to further investigate the relationship between the levels of mTOR activity and immune responses for a better understanding of the role mTOR in immunity. Furthermore, defining the important mTOR downstream pathways in the different immune cells will be essential for the full exploitation of such pathways in improving anti-pathogen immune responses.
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Highlights

- mTOR regulates memory CD8 T cell differentiation.
- Differentiation into both effector and regulatory CD4 T cells is modulated by mTOR.
- mTOR has both stimulatory and inhibitory effects on DCs.
- Inhibiting mTOR has anti-aging effects and extends life span.
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**Fig. 1. The mTOR signaling pathway**

mTOR regulates many cellular activities through two distinct complexes; mTORC1 and mTORC2. mTORC1 is inhibited by the rapamycin-FKB12 complex. mTORC2 is usually insensitive to rapamycin, but prolonged treatment decreases the mTORC2 activity in some cells. The ribosome is recently found to regulate activation of mTORC2 [68]. Arrows and bars represent activation and inhibition, respectively.
Fig. 2. mTORC1 regulates memory CD8 T cell differentiation
mTORC1 signaling is required for initial T cell proliferation as well as generation of effector T cells. Reducing mTORC1 signaling with rapamycin enhances induction of memory precursor effector cells, resulting in increased number of memory CD8 T cells. It also accelerates memory CD8 T cell differentiation, and improves memory T cell quality than normally found.
Fig. 3. The role of mTOR in differentiation of helper and regulatory T cell subsets
Sufficient mTOR activity induces effector CD4 T helper subsets. On the other hand, a 
complete or strong block of the signaling prevents the generation of these effector cells, 
instead promotes Treg differentiation. The effects of partial inhibition of mTOR activity in 
vivo on effector versus regulatory T cell differentiation are not fully understood. The role of 
mTOR pathway in development of Tfh as well as memory CD4 T cells is yet to be defined.