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The Central Nervous System and Inflammation in Hypertension
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Abstract

In recent years a major research effort has focused on the role of inflammation, and in particular adaptive immunity, in the genesis of hypertension. Hypertension stimulates the accumulation of inflammatory cells including macrophages and T lymphocytes in peripheral tissues important in blood pressure control, such as the kidney and vasculature. Angiotensin II modulates blood pressure via actions on the central nervous system (CNS) and the adaptive immune system. Recent work suggests that the central actions of angiotensin II via the circumventricular organs lead to activation of circulating T-cells and vascular inflammation. The neuroimmune system plays an essential role in the pathogenesis of hypertension and further understanding of this relationship could lead to the development of new treatment strategies.

Introduction

Despite extensive study, the etiology of most cases of human hypertension continues to be heavily debated. Blood pressure regulation is a complex process involving renal, vascular and central mechanisms. The brain is essential for processing and integrating neurohumoral signals from the periphery to maintain pressure and fluid homeostasis. Several distinct nuclei in the forebrain, hypothalamus and brainstem contribute to regulation of blood pressure and perturbations of these central sites contribute to the etiology of hypertension [1–3]. For example, human and experimental animal models of hypertension exhibit autonomic dysfunction, elevated sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) and altered baroreceptor sensitivity [4]. These neurogenic mechanisms are influenced by humoral factors such as angiotensin II and mineralcorticoids and by environmental factors such as stress and high salt intake. There is, however, emerging evidence that inflammation, and in particular adaptive immunity, contributes to hypertension. This raises the question of whether there is any link between the central regulation of blood pressure and adaptive immunity in the genesis of hypertension. Considering that the central nervous system (CNS) exerts powerful influences on the immune system and vice versa, it is plausible that CNS actions of factors such as angiotensin II, might enhance adaptive immune responses that lead to hypertension. This review provides a brief overview of the neuroimmune system, the central regulation of blood pressure and how the neuroimmune link contributes to the etiology of hypertension.
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Interactions of the neuroimmune system and immunity

The bi-directional communication between the central nervous system (CNS) and the immune system are well known [5]. The sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and hypothalamic pituitary axis (HPA) are two major pathways that modulate this communication. The SNS innervates both primary (thymus, bone marrow) and secondary (spleen, lymph nodes, Peyer’s patches) lymphoid tissues while most immune cells express receptors for neurohormones (i.e. glucocorticoids and angiotensin II) and for catecholamines (i.e. norepinephrine)[6]. Depending on immune cell type and level of activation, factors released from the HPA and SNS can have immunosuppressive and immuno-enhancing effects. Immunosuppressive effects of glucocorticoids, the end product of HPA axis activation, are well documented [7]. On the other hand, norepinephrine released from sympathetic nerve terminals can both enhance and inhibit adaptive and innate immune cells [8]. Thus, the SNS and HPA can regulate the magnitude of innate or adaptive immune response in multiple ways. In reciprocal, the immune system also regulates the CNS [9,10].

Pro-inflammatory cytokines produced in the periphery can feed back to the brain, passing through the blood brain barrier at leaky points such as the organum vasculosum lamina terminalis (OVLT) or median eminence [11]. Interestingly, these brain regions, referred to as circumventricular organs (CVOs), are also essential to blood pressure and fluid homeostasis. In addition, cytokines can be produced locally in the brain by glia and neurons and contribute to a neuroinflammatory response implicated in neurodegenerative diseases and more recently identified in hypertension [12–14]. As proposed by Paton and colleagues [15], increased circulating inflammatory cells and cytokines in the brain can impair central blood pressure regulation and promote hypertension. While CNS-immune interactions have been extensively studied in the setting of autoimmune and psychiatric disorders, the role of the neuroimmune system in hypertension is far less understood.

The Central control of blood pressure, the renin angiotensin system (RAS) and inflammation

The neurohumoral effects of the RAS significantly contribute to the central regulation of blood pressure. Components of the RAS, including renin, angiotensinogen and angiotensin type 1 (AT1a) receptors are present in various brain regions and cell types [16–18]. The importance of the RAS and locally derived angiotensin II in the brain is highlighted by numerous studies where brain manipulations, such as lesioning of specific regions [19] and over expression of various components of the RAS in the brain [20] produce long term changes in blood pressure [3,21]. In addition to brain-derived angiotensin II, blood-borne angiotensin peptides can enter the brain and modulate blood pressure and fluid homeostasis. Circulating angiotensin II accesses the CNS via the CVOs, which are hypothalamic regions around the third and fourth ventricles that have a weak blood brain barrier and contain a high density of angiotensin type 1 (AT1a) receptors. These regions include the OVLT, the area postrema (AP) and subfornical organ (SFO)[22], and are essential to the regulation of blood pressure and fluid balance [23]. In particular, the anteroventral third ventricle (AV3V) region, which encompasses periventricular neural structures including the OVLT and portions of the preoptic nucleus [22], has been well documented to play a role in various forms of hypertension [24]. The AV3V region receives input from the SFO and sends efferent projections to key cardiovascular regulatory brainstem sites such as the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) and rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM). Electrolytic lesions that disrupt the AV3V region have been shown to virtually abolish all of the central actions of angiotensin II including drinking behavior, sympathetic outflow, vasopressin release as well as preventing and/or reversing several forms of experimental hypertension [22,24].
Angiotensin II not only has important central effects in regulation of blood pressure, but also contributes to key events in inflammation [25]. For example, AT1a receptor blockade or anti-inflammatory treatment can lower blood pressure and reverse renal and vascular accumulation of inflammatory cells [26]. Moreover, angiotensin II dependent hypertension increases production of pro-inflammatory cytokines within specific brain regions involved in blood pressure control [2]. In addition, Zhang et al., recently reported that angiotensin II increases permeability of the blood brain barrier and cerebral microvasculature inflammation [27]. Interestingly, other forms of experimental hypertension also promote inflammation in the brainstem microvasculature [15]. Overall, these studies clearly demonstrate that inflammation in the blood vessels, kidneys and CNS can contribute to the development of hypertension. In an effort to better understand inflammation in hypertension, our laboratory has performed several recent studies examining the adaptive immune system in the pathophysiology of hypertension [28–33].

Adaptive immunity in hypertension

In 2007, Guzik et al., found that mice lacking T-lymphocytes are resistant to the development of both angiotensin II and DOCA-salt induced hypertension [28]. Adoptive transfer of T, but not B cells restored hypertension in these animals. More recently, Crowley et al., showed that SCID mice, which lack lymphocyte responses, have a blunted blood pressure response and reduced sodium retention during angiotensin II-dependent hypertension [34]. In addition, subsequent studies have extended these findings and provide further evidence of a role for T lymphocytes in salt-sensitive and genetic forms of hypertension [35–37]. Despite the growing evidence for the role of T cells in hypertension, the mechanisms underlying T cell activation and T cell infiltration into the vasculature and kidney and these effects on blood pressure remain unclear.

The relationship between angiotensin II and increased sympathetic nerve activity in hypertension are well documented [38,39]. The SNS, as discussed above, can also impact the adaptive immune response in several ways. For example, T cell rich organs such as the spleen and lymph nodes are highly innervated with sympathetic nerves [40,41]. Most immune cells possess adrenergic receptors, and T and B cells almost exclusively express the β2 subtype [42]. During increased sympathetic activation, norepinephrine released from the sympathetic nerve terminal has been shown to both inhibit and stimulate T cell activation and proliferation [43]. For example, naïve CD4+ lymphocytes cultured under Th1-promoting conditions produces 3 to 4-fold more IFN in norepinephrine stimulated versus unstimulated cells [44]. In keeping with this concept, Ganta et al., showed that administration of angiotensin II in the lateral cerebral ventricle increased mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory splenic cytokines such as IL-1 beta and IL-6 and splenic sympathetic denervation abrogated these responses [45]. Based on these studies we sought to further examine the role of central angiotensin II signaling and T cell mediated inflammation in hypertension.

Our initial studies were designed to increase the central effects of angiotensin II by locally increasing oxidative stress in the brain, specifically in the SFO [30]. As mentioned above, the SFO is a CVO region that contains an NADPH oxidase and has direct efferent projections to the AV3V region. Zimmerman et al., were the first to demonstrate that angiotensin II dependent hypertension is characterized by increased oxidative stress in the SFO [46]. We asked the question of whether increased oxidative stress in the SFO could contribute to T cell mediated hypertension. Oxidative stress was increased in the SFO by specifically deleting extracellular superoxide dismutase (SOD3) via ICV injection of an adenovirus encoding cre-recombinase. Interestingly, SOD3 deletion in the SFO increased sympathetic outflow and markedly enhanced the blood pressure response to a low dose...
infusion of angiotensin II (140ng/kg/min). Moreover, SOD3 deletion also markedly increased circulating T cells expressing the activation markers CD69 and CD44^{high}, as well as increased vascular infiltration of inflammatory cells.

To further explore the link between the CNS, T cell activation and inflammation in hypertension, we examined the effect of blocking the central actions of angiotensin II using the well-known AV3V ablation method [32]. We then examined properties of circulating T cells and the vascular accumulation of these cells in response to chronic angiotensin II infusion. AV3V lesioning attenuated the rise in blood pressure in response to a slow pressor dose of angiotensin II and completely prevented the activation and vascular infiltration of T cells. This striking finding demonstrated that the central-mediated effects of angiotensin II, but not the peripheral effects, were responsible for the hypertension and inflammation. In light of these findings, T cell activation and vascular inflammation by angiotensin II may be dependent on increased sympathetic outflow and catecholamine release or other central signals that were blocked by AV3V ablation. Alternatively, increased T cell activation and vessel inflammation during angiotensin II maybe secondary to the rise in blood pressure, which can be blocked by AV3V ablation.

To differentiate between these scenarios, we chronically infused norepinephrine as a peripherally acting hypertensive stimulus, thus bypassing the effect of the central lesion and directly acting on peripheral adrenergic receptors in a fashion suggested by Ganta et al. [45]. We also administered hydralazine to prevent the elevation in blood pressure to either angiotensin II or norepinephrine. In contrast to the case with angiotensin II infusion, AV3V lesions did not prevent the hypertension, T cell activation or vascular inflammation caused by norepinephrine infusion. Importantly, prevention of blood pressure elevation with hydralazine completely abrogated T cell activation and vascular inflammation caused by both angiotensin II and norepinephrine infusion. Overall, these recent studies clearly show that the central actions of angiotensin II on the CVOs contributes to increased systemic activation of T cells and vascular inflammation. Therefore, we have proposed a new paradigm to explain how hypertensive stimuli promote inflammation in hypertension.

**Proposed mechanism for the role of central hypertensive stimuli and T cell action and inflammation in hypertension**

Our findings are compatible with a pathway in which central stimuli such as angiotensin II cause modest elevations of blood pressure, which leads to T cell activation, and ultimately severe hypertension. This proposed mechanism occurs in a two-phase feed forward fashion and is highly dependent on the actions of hypertensive stimuli such as angiotensin II on the CVOs (Figure 1). The SNS and increased sympathetic nerve activation, likely contributes to varying degrees at both phases in this mechanism. The first phase leads to a modest elevation in blood pressure (i.e. pre-hypertension), giving rise to an inflammatory response, possibly by generating “neoantigens” that activate T cells. Oxidative modification of proteins, lipids or DNA may be potential candidates for a neoantigen. This inflammatory response leads to entry of effector-like T cells into the perivascular fat and kidney as well as macrophage infiltration due to signals from T cells. Cytokines and other inflammatory mediators released by these cells work in concert with the direct effects of angiotensin II, catecholamines, and salt to cause vascular and renal dysfunction, promote vasoconstriction, vascular remodeling, a shift in the pressure-natriuresis curve and sodium retention, promoting a second phase of severe, sustained hypertension (Figure 1).
Conclusion

The central and peripheral activation of T cells in hypertension and the extent to which the neuroimmune system impacts hypertension is far from being completely understood. The CVOs are known to be involved in blood pressure regulation and our recent studies describe a new role for these brain regions in contributing to peripheral T cell activation and vascular inflammation during hypertension. Given the emerging role of immunity in hypertension and the role of the CNS in blood pressure regulation, the data presented here highlight the importance of the neuroimmune interface in this disease process. Further understanding of the neuroimmune response in hypertension may provide additional insight and targeting for therapeutic intervention.
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Figure 1. Proposed role for the circumventricular organs (CVOs) in T cell mediated inflammation in hypertension

Hypertensive stimuli such as angiotensin II, high salt or stress act in large part on the CVOs neurocircuity causing a modest elevation in pressure (Phase 1 - pre-hypertension). Local inflammation at the level of the CVOs involving microglia activation and increased cytokine production may also contribute to increased sympathetic outflow. We hypothesize that in a feed forward fashion this leads to neoantigen formation, promoting T cell activation. Activated T cells then enter the kidney and vasculature (Phase 2). T cell derived signals such as IL-17 promote entry of other inflammatory cells, such as macrophages. These inflammatory cells release cytokines that cause vasoconstriction and promote sodium and water absorption, ultimately causing severe hypertension (Phase 2).