Ferritin Nanocages To Encapsulate and Deliver Photosensitizers for Efficient Photodynamic Therapy against Cancer
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Photodynamic therapy is an emerging treatment modality that is under intensive preclinical and clinical investigations for many types of disease including cancer. Despite the promise, there is a lack of a reliable drug delivery vehicle that can transport photosensitizers (PSs) to tumors in a site-specific manner. Previous efforts have been focused on polymer- or liposome-based nanocarriers, which are usually associated with a suboptimal PS loading rate and a large particle size. We report herein that a RGD4C-modified ferritin (RFRT), a protein-based nanoparticle, can serve as a safe
and efficient PS vehicle. Zinc hexadecafluorophthalocyanine (ZnF$_{16}$Pc), a potent PS with a high $^1$O$_2$ quantum yield but poor water solubility, can be encapsulated into RFRTs with a loading rate as high as ~60 wt % (i.e., 1.5 mg of ZnF$_{16}$Pc can be loaded on 1 mg of RFRTs), which far exceeds those reported previously. Despite the high loading, the ZnF$_{16}$Pc-loaded RFRTs (P-RFRTs) show an overall particle size of 18.6 ± 2.6 nm, which is significantly smaller than other PS–nanocarrier conjugates. When tested on U87MG subcutaneous tumor models, P-RFRTs showed a high tumor accumulation rate (tumor-to-normal tissue ratio of 26.82 ± 4.07 at 24 h), a good tumor inhibition rate (83.64% on day 12), as well as minimal toxicity to the skin and other major organs. This technology can be extended to deliver other metal-containing PSs and holds great clinical translation potential.
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Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an emerging treatment modality that has shown promise for many types of disease, including cancer.$^{1,2}$ PDT consists of three components: a photosensitizer (PS), light, and oxygen. PSs are usually pharmacologically inactive in the dark. When light at a specific wavelength is applied, PSs are activated, producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as $^1$O$_2$, which are cytotoxic and capable of killing nearby cells.$^3$ Due to limited light penetration, PDT was first used in the clinic to treat superficial conditions, such as lupus vulgaris and skin cancer.$^4$ This limitation has changed due to the establishment of methods that can deliver light to certain internal organs.$^5,6$ Taking PDT for prostate cancer as an example, ultrasound imaging is used to guide the insertion of a needle and subsequently a laser fiber into the prostate.$^4$ Once the optic fiber is in position, laser light will be applied to illuminate the tissue and to elicit PDT. The advances in light delivery have expanded the applications of PDT. For instance, porfimer sodium-based PDT has been approved for the treatment of esophageal cancer in the United States, early- and late-stage lung cancer in The Netherlands, and bladder cancer in Canada.$^7,8$ PDT is also found to be effective in treating recurrence prostate tumors after irradiation, and the related application is in clinical trials.$^4$

On the other hand, selective delivery of PSs to tumors remains a problem. In the clinic, PS delivery is achieved through a passive approach, by controlling the time interval between the PS injection and light irradiation.$^9$ This lack of a targeting mechanism causes poor tumor selectivity, leading to a tumor/normal tissue accumulation ratio that is typically less than 2.$^{10}$ As a result, PDT is often associated with off-target damage to the normal organs (e.g., the skin) and surrounding tissues. Patients undergoing PDT are required to stay away from sunlight, or even room light to avoid phototoxicity, a side effect that can last for 1–2 months.$^{11}$ Efforts have been made to improve the tumor selectivity of PSs, for instance, by coupling them with a tumor-targeting ligand such as an antibody.$^{4,12,13}$ However, issues such as low loading capacity, reduced phototoxicity, and heterogeneous expression of antigens throughout the tumor mass were found, and clinical translation of these technologies has not been seen.$^4$ Alternatively, a PS can be loaded, via hydrophobic–hydrophobic interactions, into polymer- or liposome-based nanoparticles.$^{14,15}$ This approach, however, is usually associated with a relatively low loading rate (less than 10 wt %$^{16}$) and a large particle size (around or larger than 100 nm$^{15}$), both factors are detrimental to the PS delivery.

We herein report that surface-modified ferritin (FRT), a protein-based nanoparticle, can serve as an efficient PS delivery vehicle. In particular, we found that Cys-Asp-Cys-Arg-Gly-Asp-Cys-Phe-Cys (RGD4C)-modified FRTs (RFRTs) can encapsulate a large amount of
zinc hexadecafluorophthalocyanine (ZnF$_{16}$Pc), a potent but rather hydrophobic PS ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 671$ nm; $\Phi_{\Delta} = 0.85$ in tetrahydrofuran$^{17}$), and selectively deliver it to tumors to induce efficient PDT against cancer (Figure 1a). FRT is a major iron storage protein found in most living organisms including human beings. Each FRT nanocage is composed of 24 subunits, which self-assemble to form a cage-like nanostructure, with external and internal diameters of 12 and 8 nm, respectively.$^{18,19}$ Our previous imaging studies showed that RFRTs can efficiently home to tumors through interactions with integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ overexpressed on tumor vasculatures and tumor cells.$^{20}$ We and others have also demonstrated that non-iron metals (e.g., Cu, Pt, and Gd) or metal-containing compounds can be loaded into FRT or its derivatives by association with the metal binding sites at the interiors of the nanocages.$^{20–23}$ Harnessing this characteristic to load metal-containing PSs and evaluating in vivo the PDT efficacy, however, has to our knowledge never been reported. FRT and its derivatives are safe and nonimmunogenic materials. Unlike conventional PS carriers, RFRTs were found to afford an extremely high ZnF$_{16}$Pc loading rate (as much as $\sim 60$ wt %) and an ultrasmall post-loading size (less than 20 nm). These advantages lead to improved pharmacokinetics and treatment efficiency. Our in vivo studies with ZnF$_{16}$Pc-loaded RFRTs found a high tumor accumulation rate (tumor-to-normal tissue ratio of $26.82 \pm 4.07$ at 24 h), a good tumor inhibition rate (83.64% on day 12), as well as minimal toxicity to the skin and other normal tissues. All these features make FRT and its derivatives an attractive new type of PS carriers with great promise for selective PDT against cancer.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The drug loading was achieved by adding ZnF$_{16}$Pc in DMSO into a RFRT solution in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) and, after that, incubating at room temperature for 45 min. The raw products were subjected to purification through a NAP-5 column to remove the unloaded ZnF$_{16}$Pc. The starting concentrations of ZnF$_{16}$Pc and RFRT were tuned, and the loading capacity was investigated (Supporting Information, Table 1). We found that 1 mg of RFRTs can load up to 1.5 mg of ZnF$_{16}$Pc, yielding a loading rate as high as 60 wt %. For stability considerations, however, we used a formulation with a loading rate of 41.2 wt % for the current investigations. The absorption spectra of P-RFRTs and free ZnF$_{16}$Pc are shown in Figure S1. The sizes of the nanoparticles were studied by atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis (Figure 1c,d). We found overall comparable sizes before and after the ZnF$_{16}$Pc loading ($18.3 \pm 4.1$ nm for RFRTs and $18.6 \pm 2.6$ nm for P-RFRTs).

Despite the heavy loading, the resulting P-RFRTs are highly stable in PBS. A photograph of P-RFRTs in PBS is shown in Figure 1b. The solution was stable for more than a week without visible precipitation. In comparison, free ZnF$_{16}$Pc at the same concentration quickly precipitated out due to its poor solubility. We also investigated the stability of P-RFRTs at pH = 2. Within 10 min, a large amount of blue precipitation had formed at the bottom of the vial (Figure 1b). It is known that FRT nanocages are disassembled at pH 2.0. This pH-induced unloading suggests that the cargo was mostly internalized into hollow cores of the nanocages and was released upon particle decomposition.

The generation of $^{1}$O$_2$ was studied using a singlet oxygen sensor green (SOSG) reagent (Invitrogen). SOSG is essentially a dye that is fluorescently quenched in its intact form but, upon activation in response to $^{1}$O$_2$, produces an increase of fluorescence signals at 525 nm. We incubated P-RFRTs at different concentrations with the SOSG reagent and irradiated the samples with a 671 nm laser. The relative increase of readings at 525 nm was recorded 1 min later. As a comparison, ZnF$_{16}$Pc was dispersed in PBS containing 1% tween and subjected to the analyses at the same conditions (Figure S2). We found no significant difference between the results from the two groups, suggesting that ZnF$_{16}$Pc is not quenched in the nanocarriers. We also examined the post-irradiated P-RFRTs under AFM.
finding ~20 nm nanoparticles, we observed clusters of debris across the scope (Figure S3).

The particle destruction was attributed to the $^1$O$_2$ generated during the irradiation. This agrees with the above observation that ZnF$_{16}$Pc was unloaded upon particle decomposition.

We then studied if the drug loading had affected the particles’ ability to interact with integrin $\alpha_v \beta_3$. To facilitate the tracking of particles, P-RFRTs were labeled with ZW800, a near-infrared dye molecule (ex/em = 780/800 nm). The coupling ratio was controlled so that on average one ZW800 was coupled to one RFRT. The in vitro studies were performed with U87MG human glioblastoma cells, which are known to express a high level of integrin $\alpha_v \beta_3$. As shown in Figure 2a, P-RFRTs demonstrated time-dependent internalization by U87MG cells. This internalization was blocked when free c(RGDyK) (30×, relative to protein concentration; it is noted that there are 24 RGD4C moieties on the surface of each RFRT nanoparticle) was coincubated (Figure 2a and Figure S4). The results suggest that, despite the heavy loading, P-RFRTs kept the targeting specificity toward integrin $\alpha_v \beta_3$.

The particles’ phototoxicity was also studied with U87MG cells. Briefly, we incubated cells with P-RFRTs in the dark and at different time points and irradiated them with a 671 nm laser (0.1 W/cm$^2$, 200 s). The cell viability was studied 120 min post-irradiation by ethidium homodimer-1 staining (Invitrogen), which marked dead cells (Figure 2b). Increased red fluorescence was found to be correlated with elevated incubation time and was suppressed when free c(RGDyK) (30×) was coincubated. On the other hand, if no laser was applied, the red fluorescence remained at a background level. Similar observations were made from MTT assays, where we found a marginal drop in cell viability when there was no light irradiation and concentration-dependent cell death when there was (Figure S5). These observations suggest that cytotoxicity only occurs when both ZnF$_{16}$Pc and light are present, which is the hallmark of PDT-induced phototoxicity.

We then studied the tumor selectivity of P-RFRT in subcutaneous (s.c.) U87MG tumor models. We injected ZW800-labeled P-RFRTs (5 mg RFRTs/kg) intravenously (i.v.) and acquired fluorescence images on a Maestro scanner using an “orange” filter (640 to 820 nm) at different time points. Tumor-to-normal tissue (T/N) ratios were evaluated to be 3.82 ±0.56, 14.47 ±1.69, and 26.82 ± 4.07 at 1, 4, and 24 h time points, suggesting good tumor accumulation (Figure 3a and Figure S6). When c(RGDyK) (30×) was injected prior to the P-RFRT injection, the tumor uptake was significantly diminished. This conforms that tumor accumulation was mainly mediated by RGD–integrin interaction. Post-mortem ex vivo imaging was performed with tumors and other major organs (Figure 3a). In addition to accumulation in the tumors, we also found a high level of fluorescence activity in the liver, which is common for a nanoparticle-based drug formulation. The uptake in other organs was much lower. We also examined the particle distribution in tumors by immunofluorescence staining using an anti-integrin $\beta_3$ antibody (Figure 3b). As expected, positive $\beta_3$ staining was found on both tumor vasculature and tumor cells. The ZW800 signals overlapped well with the positive $\beta_3$ staining, conforming that the tumor retention was mainly caused by RGD–integrin interactions.

We then evaluated the treatment efficacy of P-RFRTs on a s.c. U87MG tumor model. We i.v. injected P-RFRTs (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg) into the animals and illuminated (671 nm) the tumor surface over a 1 cm diameter beam spot (0.3 W/cm$^2$, 15 min) 24 h after the injection ($n = 5$). The three control groups are (1) P-RFRTs (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg, without irradiation); (2) free ZnF$_{16}$Pc (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg, with irradiation); (3) PBS (without irradiation). Tumor growth was similar in all the control groups but was significantly suppressed in the treatment group (Figure 3c). On day 12, we observed an average tumor inhibition rate (TIR) of 83.64% from the treatment group and found no impact on animals’ body weights (Figure 3d).
After therapy, we sacrificed the mice and dissected the tumors for histology studies. The apoptosis level in the tumors was examined by caspase 3 staining. We found positive staining with the samples from the treatment group but not with those from the controls (Figure 3e). Also, H&E staining demonstrates densely packed neoplastic cells in the controls but markedly disturbed tumor architecture in the treatment group (Figure 3f). These observations agree with the therapy results. To evaluate the size effects, we also performed caspase 3 staining with the skin and H&E staining with other normal organ tissues (e.g., the skin, heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidneys, intestine, muscle, and brain). No abnormalities were observed (Figure 4a,b), conforming that P-RFRT has minimal off-target damage.

ZnF₁₆PC is a ZnPc analogue with a good ¹⁸O₂ quantum yield but poor water solubility (Figure 1c). As shown in the therapy studies (Figure 3c), ZnF₁₆PC is not a satisfactory PS. As a matter of fact, poor stability is a problem shared by many PSs, most of which are porphyrin-like hydrophobic compounds. These PSs are easily aggregated in the blood, causing self-quenching and poor pharmacokinetics. There have been efforts to develop polymer- and liposome-based nanoparticle carriers for the delivery of PSs like ZnPc or ZnF₁₆PC. These approaches, however, are usually associated with a loading rate that is typically less than 10 wt % and an overall particle size that is around or above 100 nm. On the other hand, using protein nanocages as a PS vehicle has seldom been exploited and, to the best of our knowledge, has never been investigated in vivo. We showed in the current study that, despite the heavy loading, the size of P-RFRTs remains below 20 nm. This is much smaller than conventional nanoparticle–PS conjugates. Both the high loading rate and the small overall size contributed to the good pharmacokinetics observed.

Although the possibility of surface docking cannot be excluded, several observations seem to support the idea that ZnF₁₆PC is mostly loaded into the interiors of the RFRT nanocages: First, the nanoparticle size was almost unchanged after the ZnF₁₆PC loading, as shown by AFM. Second, ZnF₁₆PC is unloaded by the decomposition of the nanocarriers, either by reducing the pH or by inducing PDT. Third, P-RFRTs kept the targeting specificity against integrin αvβ3. This was conformed by both in vitro and in vivo imaging studies. Fourth, preincubating RFRTs with Cu(II) can significantly suppress the loading of ZnF₁₆PC (from 60 to 25 wt %, Table 1 in Supporting Information). This indicates that most ZnF₁₆PC is competing for the same binding sites as Cu(II). The latter, according to our previous studies, is mainly encapsulated into the interiors of RFRTs. However, the exact mechanism behind the heavy loading is still unclear at this stage. It is known that there are both three-fold and four-fold symmetric channels present on the FRT surface. The three-fold channel, with a potential gradient directing toward the cavity of the nanocages, serves as a pathway to transfer metal cations into the protein cages. The four-fold channel, on the other hand, has a potential gradient in the opposite direction and is believed to be used to expel species from the cavity. The fact that Cu can suppress the ZnF₁₆PC uptake suggests that ZnF₁₆PC is internalized via the three-fold channel as Cu does. However, the three-fold channel on intact FRTs has a size of 3–4 Å, which is considered to be small compared to the bulky ZnF₁₆PC. It is possible that the exposure to ZnF₁₆PC or DMSO (a trace amount of DMSO may have been introduced during the drug loading) leads to an enlarged channel size that facilitates the uptake. It is also plausible that the planar structure of ZnF₁₆PC makes it relatively easy to penetrate the protein shell. Further investigations are needed to fully elucidate the mechanism.

PDT can target either tumor cells or tumor vasculature to cause damage. In the former mechanism, PDT-in-duced ¹⁸O₂ acts on tumor cell membrane or mitochondria to cause necrosis or apoptosis. In the second mechanism, PDT causes vascular collapse and embolization, terminating the supply of oxygen and nutrients to the tumor cells. In the current study, ZnF₁₆PC was delivered by RFRTs to both tumor vasculature and U87MG
tumor cells through RGD–integrin interactions (Figure 3b). Hence, both mechanisms may have played a role in the tumor destruction. It should be pointed out, however, that U87MG is chosen in the current study for its high expression of integrin $\alpha_v \beta_3$, not because of its origin. There is no indication that PDT can be used for the treatment of brain tumors, to which the delivery of either the drug or light is very challenging. For proof-of-concept, the current study was performed with subcutaneous tumors. To better assess the efficacy of P-RFRT-based PDT, it is important to investigate the modality in orthotopic tumor models with better clinical relevance (e.g., orthotopic prostate tumor models).\(^{39}\)

As aforementioned, a most critical issue of PDT is skin toxicity.\(^{40}\) We, however, found negligible skin accumulation with P-RFRTs; instead, many of them were accumulated in the liver. In the context of PDT, however, uptake by the liver is a minor concern given its deep location and, hence, limited accessibility by light. Indeed, histology studies confirmed that the treatment caused little impact to the liver, as well as to other major organs (Figure 4b). In the current study, we injected ZnF\(_{16}\)Pc at 1.5 mg/kg and irradiated tumors at a fluence rate of 0.3 W/cm\(^2\) for 15 min. Similar conditions were used in the previous studies.\(^{41}\) Given that no adverse effects were observed, it is possible to increase the dose to improve the treatment. It is also possible to improve the efficacy by adjusting the fluence and fluence rate.

We reported very recently that drug molecules like doxorubicin can be loaded onto RFRTs with high efficiency.\(^{23}\) It is envisioned that both types of therapeutics can be docked onto RFRTs and delivered to diseased areas simultaneously. PDT can then work in combination with chemotherapy to achieve synergistic therapeutic effects. Moreover, it is an interesting finding that, for ZnF\(_{16}\)Pc-loaded RFRTs, the nanocage structure can be broken down upon exposure to photoirradiation. This suggests a means to control the cargo unloading. That is, for dually loaded FRTs, PDT can be used as a mechanism to facilitate the release of the other drug to the surroundings. The FRT nanocages can be fabricated for delivery to a different target. Currently, RGD4C was used in the design as a tumor-targeting ligand and was imparted onto the nanocage surface through genetic modification. It is anticipated that other targeting ligands can also be introduced, through either genetic modification or chemical conjugation, onto FRT nanocages, and the new derivatives can transport drugs to different targets. Also, different types of metal-containing PSs, such as Pd-bacteriopheophorbide and motexafin lutetium, are expected to be loaded similarly. These possibilities and optimizations will be also exploited in our future investigations.

CONCLUSION

Overall, we demonstrated in this work that RFRT nanoparticles are safe and efficient carriers for ZnF\(_{16}\)Pc. The resulting conjugates can home to tumors through RGD–integrin interactions and, with light irradiation, induce phototoxicity to tumors while leaving normal tissues unaffected. Boasting an extremely high PS loading rate and an ultrasmall particle size, this technology is expected to find widespread use in PDT and holds great potential in clinical translation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation and Purification of RFRTs

Production and purification of RFRTs have been reported previously. We constructed the DNA plasmid of R-Fn by introducing the RGD4C peptide sequence to the N-terminus of Fn with restriction sites, NcoI and XhoI, at the 5′- and 3′-ends, respectively. Primers were designed as follows: (+) 5′ ATA TAC CAT GGG CTG CGA CTG CCG CGG AGA CTG CTT CTG CGG AGG CGG AGG AGG CAC CAC CGC GTC T 3′; (−) 5′ CCA GAC TCG AGT
TAG CTC TCA TCA 3′. The double digested PCR product was ligated into NcoI/XhoI digested plasmid pRSF with T4 DNA ligase, and the ligation mixture was used to transform competent cells of E. coli XL1-Blue by standard procedures. The resulting pRSF/RFRT plasmids were screened by appropriate restriction digests, verified by DNA sequencing, and then used to transform the expression strain E. coli BL21(DE3). For expression, a 1 L LB-kanamycin (50 μg/mL) culture of E. coli BL21(DE3)/RFRT was grown at 37 °C until an OD600 of 0.8 was reached. For induction, 1 mM IPTG was added to the culture and the culture was heated at 37 °C for 4 h. After sonication, the cell lysate was centrifuged at 10 400 rpm (12 930g) for 30 min to remove the cell debris. The supernatant was heated at 60 °C for 10 min and centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 30 min to remove the precipitates. 2-Mercaptoethanol (10 mM) was added to stabilize the product. The raw product was purified by HPLC using a Superose 6 size exclusion column. The concentration of RFRTs was determined by Bradford protein assay. The purified FRTs/RFRTs were stored at −80 °C.

### Loading ZnF₁₆Pc into FRTs/RFRTs

The ZnF₁₆Pc loading was achieved without breaking down the nanocages. Briefly, 10 μL of ZnF₁₆Pc (5 mg/mL) in DMSO was dropwise added into 490 μL of RFRTs in PBS (0.5 mg/mL), and the mixture was gently shaken for ~45 min at room temperature. The raw products were then purified using a NAP-5 column to remove the unloaded ZnF₁₆Pc. The ZnF₁₆Pc content was determined spectroscopically by comparing with a standard absorption curve of ZnF₁₆Pc. The protein concentration was determined by Bradford protein assay. The loading rate was expressed in weight percent (wt %).

### In Vitro Assays

U87MG cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 10% nonessential amino acids, 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin sulfate, and 100 U/mL penicillin (MediaTech, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. For cell uptake studies, 10⁵ U87MG cells were seeded onto each well of a four-chamber slide (Lab-teck) one day prior to the studies. ZW800-labeled P-RFRTs were added to the solution to reach a final concentration of 50 μg ZnF₁₆Pc/mL. In the control group, 30× free c(RGDyK) was used to coincide with P-RFRTs. At different time points, incubation was stopped. The slides were washed with PBS five times and fixed with 75% ethanol overnight at 4 °C. The slides were mounted with DAPI containing mounting medium (Vector Inc.) and imaged under an Olympus X71 fluorescence microscope. For PDT studies, the cells were exposed to a 671 nm laser at 0.1 W/cm² for 200 s. The cell viability was determined by MTT assays using a gradient of P-RFRTs (ZnF₁₆Pc concentrations of 3, 6.25, 12.5, 25, and 50 μg/mL). In control groups, either no irradiation was applied or free ZnF₁₆Pc at the same dose was used. Live/dead assays were performed by following a protocol provided by the vendor.

### Animal Models

Animal models were established by subcutaneous injection of 10⁶ human glioblastoma U87MG onto the hind legs of 5–6 week athymic nude mice (Harlan). Animal studies were performed according to a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of University of Georgia.
**In Vivo Imaging**

The imaging studies were performed when tumors reached a size between 350 and 500 mm$^3$. We intravenously (i.v.) injected ZW800-labeled P-RFRTs (5 mg RFRT/mL) into mice ($n = 3$). For the control group, $30 \times c$(RGDyK) was administrated 30 min prior to the R-RFRT injection ($n = 3$). Fluorescence images were acquired on a Maestro II imaging system using an orange filter (640 to 820 nm) at 1, 4, and 24 h time points. The images were unmixed using the Maestro software. The average signal ($10^6$ photons/cm$^2$/s) for each region of interest (ROI) was measured. Tumor-to-normal tissue ratio (T/N) was determined and was expressed as mean ± SD. All mice were euthanized after the 24 h imaging. Tumors as well as major organs were collected and subjected to ex vivo imaging. After imaging, the tissues were snapfrozen in O.C.T. (Tissue-Tek) and stored in a −80 °C freezer.

**Therapy Studies**

For PDT studies, 20 mice bearing U87MG tumors were randomly divided into four groups. The treatment scheme is as follows: (1) P-RFRTs (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg), with irradiation; (2) P-RFRTs (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg), without irradiation; (3) free ZnF$_{16}$Pc (1.5 mg ZnF$_{16}$Pc/kg), with irradiation; (4) PBS, no irradiation. The photoirradiation was applied 24 h after the injection of P-RFRTs (671 nm laser, 0.3 W/cm$^2$ for 15 min). The tumor sizes and body weights were inspected every 3 days. The tumor weight was estimated using the formula, tumor volume = length $\times$ (width)$^2$/2, assuming a tumor density of 1 mg/mL. After therapy, major organs as well as tumors were collected and sectioned to 8 μm slices for caspase 3 and H&E staining.

**Immunofluorescence Staining**

The cryogenic slides were fixed with cold acetone for 30 min, washed by running water for 5 min, and blocked by 10% goat serum for 1 h. Anti-integrin $\beta_3$ or anti-caspase 3 antibodies were incubated with the slides at 4 °C overnight. Cy5.5-labeled secondary antibody was then added and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. After gently rinsing with PBS, the slides were mounted and ready for microscopic imaging.

**H&E Staining**

H&E staining was performed according to a protocol provided by the vendor (BBC Biochemical). Briefly, 8 μm cryogenic slides were prepared and fixed with 10% formalin for about 30 min at room temperature. After washing with running water for 5 min, the slides were treated with gradient concentrations of alcohol (100, 95, and 70%), each for 20 s. The hematoxylin staining was performed for about 3 min and washed with water for 1 min. The eosin staining was performed for about 1 min. The slides were washed, treated with xylene, and mounted with Canada balsam. The images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse 90i microscope.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
(a) Schematic illustration of the formation and working mechanism of P-RFRTs. (b) Photographs of P-RFRTs and free ZnF$_{16}$Pc in PBS under different conditions. AFM images of (c) RFRTs and (d) P-RFRTs. Scale bars, 100 nm.
Figure 2.
(a) Uptake of P-RFRTs (50 \( \mu \)g ZnF\(_{16}\)Pc/mL) by U87MG cells at different time points. The uptake can be efficiently inhibited if c(RGDyK) (30×) was coincubated. The P-RFRTs were labeled with ZW800 (ex/em = 780/800 nm). Scale bars, 50 \( \mu \)m. (b) Cell viability studies with P-RFRTs on U87MG cells. Elevated cytotoxicity (red fluorescence) was found with increased incubation time in the presence of light irradiation (671 nm, 0.1 W/cm\(^2\) for 200 s). Without irradiation, no significant cell death was found. Red, ethidium homodimer-1 (ex/em = 528/617 nm), which stains dead cells. Scale bars, 50 \( \mu \)m.
Figure 3.
(a) In vivo and ex vivo fluorescence imaging results. P-RFRTs were i.v. injected, and images were taken at 1, 4, and 24 h. In the control group, c(RGDyK) (30×) was injected to block the tumor homing. The organs were arranged in the following order: (1) tumor; (2) heart; (3) liver; (4) spleen; (5) skin; (6) lung; (7) kidneys; (8) intestine; (9) muscle; (10) brain. (b) Immunofluorescence microscopic imaging results. Integrin $\beta_3$ is upregulated on both tumor vasculature and tumor cells. The distribution of P-RFRTs (ZW800, ex/em = 780/800 nm) was well correlated with the positive integrin $\beta_3$ staining (Cy5, ex/em = 650/670 nm), indicating that the tumor homing was mostly mediated by RGD–integrin interactions. In the control group, where c(RGDyK) was preinjected, minimal ZW800 signals were found in tumors. Scale bars, 50 $\mu$m. (c) Tumor growth curve. The animals were divided into four groups. Group 1: P-RFRTs, with irradiation. Group 2: P-RFRTs, without irradiation. Group 3: ZnF$_{16}$Pc, with irradiation. Group 4: PBS, without irradiation. Significant tumor suppression was found in group 1 ($P < 0.05$). On day 12, a TIR of 83.64 ± 2.52% was found. (d) Weight growth curve. No significant weight drop was found with animals injected with P-RFRTs, with or without irradiation. (e) Caspase 3 staining with tumor tissues. High level of apoptosis was found in tumors from group 1 but not in the other control groups. Red, caspase 3 (Cy5, ex/em = 650/670 nm); blue, DAPI. Scale bars, 50 $\mu$m. (f) H&E staining with tumor tissues. Densely packed neoplastic cells were found in the controls. In the treatment group, markedly disturbed tumor architecture was observed. Scale bars, 10 $\mu$m.
Figure 4.
(a) Caspase 3 staining with the skin tissues from animals treated with P-RFRTs. No obvious apoptosis was found, either with or without light irradiation. Red, caspase 3 (Cy5, ex/em = 650/670 nm); blue, DAPI. Scale bars, 50 μm. (b) H&E staining with normal tissues. No abnormalities were observed. Scale bar, 100 μm.