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V1 and V2b interneurons secure the alternating flexor-extensor motor activity mice require for limbed locomotion
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SUMMARY

The reciprocal activation of flexor and extensor muscles constitutes the fundamental mechanism that tetrapod vertebrates use for locomotion and limb-driven reflex behaviors. This aspect of motor coordination is controlled by inhibitory neurons in the spinal cord; however, the identity of the spinal interneurons that serve this function is not known. Here we show that the production of an alternating flexor-extensor motor rhythm depends on the composite activities of two classes of ventrally-located inhibitory neurons, V1 and V2b interneurons (INs). Abrogating V1 and V2b IN-derived neurotransmission in the isolated spinal cord results in a synchronous pattern of L2 flexor-related and L5 extensor-related locomotor activity. Mice lacking V1 and V2b inhibition are unable to articulate their limb joints and display marked deficits in limb-driven reflex movements. Taken together, these findings identify V1- and V2b-derived neurons as the core interneuronal components of the limb central pattern generator (CPG) that coordinate flexor-extensor motor activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial vertebrates use their limbs for a range of motor tasks, from simple protective reflexes and locomotion to more complex volitional movements such as reaching, grasping and grooming. These motor behaviors require the production of a reciprocating pattern of motor impulses to antagonist groups of flexor-extensor muscles (Sherrington, 1893; Grillner, 1975). Multiple studies have shown that flexor-extensor alternation is an intrinsic property of the locomotor CPG in limbed animals (Brown, 1911; Eccles et al., 1956; Goulding, 2009; Grillner, 1975; Grillner and Jessell, 2009; Kiehn, 2006; Ladle et al., 2007). However, efforts to identify the IN cell types that secure flexor-extensor alternation have met with limited success, and because of this we still know very little about the overall organization of the locomotor CPG in limbed vertebrates.

Prior efforts to interrogate the structure of the neural networks that control flexor-extensor alternation have shown the flexor-extensor control system is composed of inhibitory neurons that reside in each half of the spinal cord (Cowley and Schmidt, 1997; Sernagor et al., 1995; Talpalar et al., 2011; Whelan et al. 2000). However, a major drawback of the pharmacological approaches used in these studies is the widespread inactivation of inhibitory neurons irrespective of their subtype or connectivity (Cowley and Schmidt, 1995; Bracci et al., 1995; Kremer and Lev-Tov, 1997 Cazalets et al., 1998), which has precluded a more detailed determination of the neuronal cell types limbed animals use to produce an alternating flexor-extensor motor rhythm. More recently, genetic approaches in mice that selectively inactivate or delete specific interneuron classes have been employed to determine the contribution molecularly defined classes of INs make to locomotion (Crone et al., 2008; Gosgnach et al., 2006; Lanuza et al., 2004; Zhang, et al., 2008; Zagaoraiou et al., 2009). While these functional studies have identified neurons with selective roles in regulating left-right coordination, rhythmogenesis and the speed of the step cycle, the cells that are responsible for establishing an alternating flexor-extensor rhythm have still not been isolated (Goulding, 2009; Grillner and Jessell, 2009; Kiehn, 2006; Stepien and Arber, 2008).

Initial attempts to determine the molecular identity and developmental provenance of the spinal INs that establish the alternating flexor-extensor motor activity mice use for limb movements focused on V1 INs. V1 INs are a class of ipsilaterally-projecting inhibitory neuron (Betley et al., 2009; Sapir et al., 2004; Saueressig et al., 1999) that includes cells possessing the anatomical features of reciprocal Ia inhibitory interneurons (IaINs; Alvarez et al., 2005), a cell type thought to play a prominent role in flexor-extensor inhibition (Eccles et al., 1956; Feldman and Orlovsky, 1975). However, spinal cords lacking V1 INs retain reciprocal Ia inhibition (Wang et al., 2008), and they produce an alternating pattern of flexor-extensor locomotor activity (Gosgnach et al., 2006). We now show that V2b INs cooperate with V1 INs to secure the alternating pattern of flexorextensor motor activity that is necessary for limb locomotion. We also find that cells with the characteristic properties of IaINs develop from both V1 and V2b INs. Taken together, our results demonstrate that flexor-extensor control is a distributed property of the walking CPG shared by V1 and V2b IN cell types. Interestingly, V1 and V2b INs share a common phylogenetic heritage with two classes of inhibitory neurons in the spinal cords of aquatic vertebrates. This suggests that the neurons walking vertebrates employ for flexor-extensor control were originally part...
of the swimming CPG, and were recruited for this new function during the course of evolution.

RESULTS

A population of non-V1 INs secure alternating flexor-extensor activity

As a first step toward defining the cellular organization of the circuits that secure flexorextensor alternation in mice, we examined the pattern of motor activity in hemisected spinal cords lacking V1 IN-derived inhibition. Severing all commissural connections in the En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R2<sup>Gfloxstop-TcNT</sup> spinal cord produced a strictly reciprocating L2 (flexor-related) and iL5 (ipsilateral extensor-related) motor rhythm (Figure 1B; n=11 hemicords) following the induction of locomotor-like activity with N-methyl-D aspartic acid (NMDA) and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT). This pattern of L2-iL5 activity was similar to that seen in the intact En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R2<sup>Gfloxstop-TcNT</sup> spinal cord (Figure 1A) and Pax6<sup>-/-</sup> cord (Figure S1). Moreover, it suggests that other ipsilateral inhibitory neurons in the spinal cord secure flexor-extensor alternation, either alone or in combination with V1 INs.

Retrograde dextran tracing experiments with FITC-dextran were then used to search for ipsilaterally-projecting inhibitory neurons in the ventral spinal cord of Pax6<sup>-/-</sup> mice. In E18.5 Pax6<sup>+/+</sup> spinal cords, we detected ipsilaterally-projecting neurons that express the inhibitory vesicular amino acid transporter vGAT (Slc32a1) (Figure 1D), many of which were located rostral to the injection site (Figure 1E). In view of prior studies showing V2b INs are inhibitory (Al-Mosawie et al., 2007; Lundfald et al., 2007), a Gata3<sup>lacZ</sup> knock-in allele (van Doorninck et al., 1999) was used to test whether the Pax6<sup>-/-</sup> cord contains a normal complement of V2b INs. No difference was detected in the number of differentiating V2b INs in age-matched E11.5 embryos (Figure 1F-H; wild-type 52±4 cells versus Pax6<sup>-/-</sup> 57±3 cells per section), thereby raising the possibility that V2b INs secure flexorextensor alternation in the Pax6<sup>-/-</sup> cord in the absence of V1 INs.

Characterization of the V2b INs

We then mapped the distribution and number of Gata3<sup>+</sup> V2b INs in spinal cords of GlyT2-GFP (Zeilhofer et al., 2005) and Gad1-GFP (Tamamaki et al., 2003) transgenic mice. These analyses were performed at E13.5, as Gad1-GFP is strongly downregulated in the ventral horn at later developmental times. Localization of Gata3 and GlyT2-GFP at E13.5 revealed that >90% of Gata3<sup>+</sup> neurons co-express GFP (Figure 2A and E). A slightly higher number of Gata3<sup>+</sup> V2b INs (~95%) expressed GFP in E13.5 Gad1-GFP reporter mice (Figure 2B and E). Cell counts revealed that Gata3<sup>+</sup> V2b INs constitute ~19% of the Gad1-GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the ventral half of the spinal cord and 9% of the Gad1-GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the whole cord at E13.5 (Figure 2F). By comparison V1 INs account for ~37% of the ventral inhibitory neurons and 17% of the inhibitory neurons in the whole cord (Figure 2C and F).

The medial ventral horn (lamina VIII) was largely devoid of V2b and V1 INs (Figure 2A-D), which is consistent with previous studies showing lamina VIII is primarily comprised of commissural IN cell types (Harrison et al., 1986; Stepien et al., 2010). These include Gad1-GFP<sup>+</sup>/Pax2<sup>+</sup> cells (Figure 2D) that are likely to be V0 INs and dI6 INs (Andreason et al.,
While the majority of ipsilaterally-projecting inhibitory INs in lamina VII (~85%) are V1 and V2b INs, these two classes only account for approximately 30-35% of the ipsilaterally-projecting inhibitory neurons in the spinal cord as a whole. Most of Gad1-GFP+ neurons (~56%) in the E13.5 spinal cord are derived from dorsal Lbx1+ neurons, which are for the most part, ipsilaterally-projecting dI4 and dIL A INs (Gross et al., 2002).

To assess the potential contribution that V2b INs make to flexor-extensor motor control, we asked whether motor neurons innervating the hindlimb musculature receive inhibitory synaptic inputs from V2b INs. To this end, a Gata3Cre knock-in allele was generated (Figure S2) and crossed with a Cre-conditional Thy1::floxstop-YFP reporter (Bufelli et al., 2003) to indelibly mark the V2b INs and their axons. V2b INs are primarily located in lamina VII, and have processes that extend throughout the ventral horn (Figure 3A and F). In order to assess the number and distribution of V2b inhibitory synaptic contacts on hindlimb motor neurons, Cy5-conjugated Cholera Toxin-B (CTB) was injected into single hindlimb muscles in Gata3Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP mice (Figure 3B). Sections through the lumbar spinal cords of these CTB-labeled mice at P15 revealed numerous vGAT+/GlyT2+ presynaptic contacts on motor neurons within the iliopsoas (IP), gluteus (GL), quadriceps (Q), posterior biceps-semimembranosus (PB/St) motor pools (Figure 3C and E). Motor neurons innervating the gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior muscles were also decorated with V2b inhibitory contacts (data not shown). V2b inhibitory contacts were also found on the soma of cholinergic V0c INs, and on other neurons in lamina VII and VIII, many of which are likely to be CPG neurons (Figure 3D).

Further analysis of V2b-derived and non-V2b-derived inhibitory contacts onto the soma of CTB-labeled hindlimb motor neurons revealed that the proportion of V2b-derived vGAT+/GlyT2+ inhibitory contacts versus all vGAT+/GlyT2+ contacts varies in a motor pool specific manner (Figure 3E), from 23% (IP motor neurons) to 41% (GL motor neurons). V2b INs are therefore a significant source of the inhibition to the somal compartment of these motor neurons. A similar analysis of V1 IN-derived contacts (Figure 3E) revealed that 38-59% of the inhibitory synaptic contacts on the soma of hindlimb motor neurons are derived from V1 INs (Figure 3E). Of these, a third of the contacts can be attributed to Renshaw cell inputs (Figure 3E), which like IaIN synapses are primarily located on the soma and proximal dendrites of motor neurons (Jankowska, 1992). Interestingly, the relative proportion of V1 and V2b inhibitory contacts on hindlimb motor neurons matches the ~2-fold difference in V1 versus V2b cell numbers in the lumbar cord (95±14 V1 cells versus 42±10 V2b cells per ventral horn section). These analyses point to V1 and V2b INs being the primary source of inhibition to the somal compartment of hindlimb motor neurons. They are also consistent with the idea that the V2b IN population may include, IaINs, Nonetheless, we were unable to determine the number and relative abundance of V1- and V2b-derived synaptic contacts on the dendrites of these motor neurons due to the limitations of the CTB labeling technique.

Our observation that a subpopulation of V2b INs are located close to the border with lamina IX (Figure 3A) where IaINs in the cat spinal cord are found (Jankowska and Lindström, 1972) coupled with the persistence of Ia inhibition in mice lacking V1 INs (Wang et al., 2012; Lanuza et al., 2004).
2008), prompted us to ask if the V2b INs might be a source of IaINs. Gata3Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP reporter mice were used to search for V2b INs that possess the immunohistochemical features of IaINs. En1Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP mice were also used to identify and analyze putative V1-derived IaINs. 172 putative V2b-derived neurons (19% of the V2b cells) were categorized as IaINs in two P15 Gata3Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP animals on the basis of high-density convergent inputs from calbindin+ Renshaw cell axons and vGluT1 + primary sensory afferents (Alvarez et al., 2005). Using these same criteria, 29% of the V1 INs were categorized as IaINs. These numbers are likely underestimates, as some IaINs may receive vGluT1 inputs on more distal dendrites that could not be scored in this study. These findings reveal for the first time that cells with the anatomical characteristics of IaINs are generated from two inhibitory neuron lineages, V1 and V2b INs.

**Inactivating V2b IN transmission compromises flexor-extensor coordination**

To determine the functional contribution that V2b INs make to motor coordination and flexorextensor alternation, we crossed the Gata3Cre knock-in allele (Figure S2) with a conditional TeNT allele (R26floxstop-TeNT; Zhang et al., 2008), so as to abrogate inhibitory transmission in all V2b-derived INs. As expected, wild-type spinal cords produced a strong locomotor-like rhythm in which L2-iL5 ventral root activity was strictly out of phase (Figure 4A). This alternating L2-iL5 activity is widely considered to be a proxy measure of flexor-extensor activity (Kiehn, 2006). Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cords also displayed a predominantly alternating pattern of L2 and iL5 ventral root activity, although the phasing of this activity was more variable than that seen in wild-type spinal cords (Figure 4B). This suggests that L2-iL5 coordination is mildly degraded in cords lacking V2b-derived inhibition, although the overall phase relationship between L2 and iL5 in the Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord remains alternating rather than synchronous. Abrogating V2b transmission had no effect on left-right alternation between opposing L2 ventral roots (Figure 4B).

We then asked whether the CPG network that generates L2-iL5 alternation is fully functional in hemisected spinal cords where V2b-derived inhibition is disrupted. Whereas wild-type (Figure 4C) and En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT (Figure 1B) hemicords exhibited an invariant reciprocating pattern of L2-iL5 activity, the majority of Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT hemicords displayed synchronous L2-iL5 activity (Figure 4D). Thirteen of twenty Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT hemicords had mean L2-iL5 vector points close to 0, which indicates synchronous L2-iL5 activity. There were, however, some Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT hemicords (n=7/20) that retained a loosely alternating pattern of L2-iL5 activity. This suggests that other ipsilateral inhibitory neurons secure flexor-extensor alternation in the absence of V2b-derived inhibition. The observation that hemisected Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cords generate a synchronous pattern of L2-iL5 activity (Figure S3) suggests these cells may be V1 INs.

**Inactivation of V2b and V1 INs abrogates reciprocal flexor-extensor motor activity**

The observation that L2-iL5 coordination is markedly degraded upon abrogating V2b functionality prompted us to test whether V2b and V1 INs act in a cooperative manner to generate an alternating flexor-extensor motor rhythm. Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT
mice induced to locomote with NMDA and 5-HT displayed a strictly synchronous pattern of flexor-extensor motor activity (Figure 5A; n=14/14 cords). Electrically stimulating sacral sensory afferents also produced a synchronous pattern of L2-iL5 activity in all Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cords tested (Figure 5C; n=8/8 cords). By contrast, L2-iL5 activity was always alternating in electrically-stimulated wild-type cords (Figure 5B; n=7/7 cords). Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cords displayed a strictly alternating activity pattern in the contralateral roots (Figure 5A and C). V1 and V2b INs therefore play an important role in controlling the phasing of L2-iL5 activity, but do not contribute to left-right coordination.

**Altering the balance of excitatory and inhibitory transmission fails to rescue alternation in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord**

To rule out the possibility that the synchronous L2-iL5 activity we observe in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord might be due to a change in the balance between excitation and inhibition within the locomotor network, we asked if flexor-extensor alternation is rescued when excitatory transmission is decreased or inhibitory transmission is enhanced in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord. Excitatory transmission was either attenuated by reducing the concentration of NMDA in the perfusate, or by adding a low concentration of 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) to the perfusate to weaken non-NMDA excitatory transmission, which is the major source of excitatory drive to the locomotor CPG (Cowley et al., 2005; Whelan et al., 2000). When excitation was decreased by either method, L2-iL5 ventral root activity remained synchronous (Figure 6A-C). In a second series of experiments sarcosine was added to the perfusate to enhance glycinergic transmission. This treatment also failed to restore alternating L2-iL5 activity in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord (Figure 6D), as did the addition of nipecotic acid (Figure S4). Left-right alternation was preserved following all of these manipulations, thus providing further evidence that V1- and V2b-derived inhibition has a selective role in securing flexor-extensor coordination. By contrast, blocking all inhibitory transmission in the spinal cord produced an abnormal pattern of motor activity in which all lumbar ventral roots were synchronously active (Figure S4). Furthermore, this pattern of activity was highly irregular rather than rhythmic, suggesting that it does not represent normal locomotor activity. Taken together, these data argue that differences in the balance of excitation and inhibition are unlikely to account for the loss of flexor-extensor alternation in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT cord.

**Newborn mice lacking V1 and V2b inhibitory transmission are unable to move their limbs**

To confirm that the loss of alternating L2-iL5 activity seen in vitro represents an absence of reciprocating flexor-extensor activity in the hindlimb CPG, we examined the motor activity that is produced in antagonist Q and PB muscles following drug induced locomotion. As inactivating the V1 and/or V2b INs with TeNT results in early neonatal lethality, these analyses were performed at P0. Electromyographic (EMG) recordings made from P0 wild-type animals using a limbs-attached spinal cord preparation (Pearson et al., 2003) revealed a strictly alternating pattern of Q-PB activity, as expected (Figure 7A, n=6/6 mice). By contrast, Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT newborn mice displayed rhythmic co-activation of both muscle groups (Figure 7B, n=7/7 mice).
In addition to the loss of flexor-extensor coordination that occurs during fictive locomotion, distinct differences were noted in the motor behaviors of newborn *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* mice. Whereas P0 wild-type pups displayed spontaneous bouts of air stepping in which they extended and flexed their limbs, P0 *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups displayed no such movements. Instead, all four limbs were completely immobilized. Further behavioral testing of the *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups revealed clear deficits in a range of motor reflexes, including the righting response that requires a sequence of flexion and extension movements by all four limbs. Whereas newborn wild-type pups displayed a strong righting response when placed on their backs (n=6 mice, 3 trials each), *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups were never able to fully rotate from a supine to prone position (n=6 mice, 3 trials each). Occasionally *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups were able to place both front feet on the ground, although the time to do so was >18 s for the *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups as compared to <5 s for wild-type pups. There was no measurable difference in the righting time for *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* and *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups compared to wild-type pups.

The response of newborn pups to tail pinch was also examined. Newborn mice normally respond to a single tail pinch by executing two rounds of hindlimb extension/flexion movements (Figure 7C and E). This sequence of limb movements was observed in wild-type, *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* and *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups (Figure 7E, n=43 mice), but never in *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups (Figure 7D-E, n=10 mice). *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* pups did however produce marked lateral bending movements of the torso (Figure S5), indicating the sensory reflex pathways for sensing noxious stimuli are still intact in these animals. These behavioral tests, together with the *in vitro* spinal cord analyses, demonstrate that mice lose their ability to execute flexor-extensor-driven limb movements when V1 and V2b inhibitory transmission is abolished.

**Abolition of reciprocal inhibitory reflexes in mice lacking V1 and V2b INs**

To further understand the nature of the loss of alternating flexor-extensor activity in the *Gata3*<sup>Cre</sup>; *En1*<sup>Cre</sup>; *R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>* mice, we asked whether these animals retain the inhibitory reflex pathway between the quadriceps nerve and PB/St motor neurons (Figure 8A; Wang et al., 2008) that is used as a measure of IaIN-mediated reciprocal inhibition in the cat (Hultborn et al., 1971; Jankowska and Roberts, 1972). In newborn wild-type pups (n=8 mice), inhibitory potentials with synaptic latencies of 13-17 ms were recorded in 23 of 29 motor neurons, which we know from our previous analysis are likely to be disynaptic (Wang et al., 2008). All 23 potentials with a disynaptic latency were hyperpolarizing when the cell was first impaled, demonstrating that these potentials are inhibitory. During the recording, hyperpolarizing potentials gradually became depolarizing as the resting potential of the cell became more negative (Figure 8, see also Wang et al., 2008). To confirm that these potentials are inhibitory, we performed an additional test on some of these motor neurons. Short positive current pulses (80-150 pA) were injected to depolarize the membrane potential by 35–50 mV and test if these depolarizing currents were converted to hyperpolarizing potentials. In 8 motor neurons from 4 mice tested in this manner, positive current injection converted depolarizing disynaptic potentials to hyperpolarizing potentials (Figure 8B).
This same pathway was examined in P0 Gata3\textsuperscript{Cre}; En1\textsuperscript{Cre}; R26\textsuperscript{flostop-TeNT} pups (n=5 animals) where only 7 of 20 motor neurons displayed potentials consistent with a disynaptic latency (≤ 16.5 ms). In all instances these potentials were depolarizing and none were reversed upon positive current injection (Figure 8C and F; n=7/7 motor neurons). Thus, while some Gata3\textsuperscript{Cre}; En1\textsuperscript{Cre}; R26\textsuperscript{flostop-TeNT} mice still possess disynaptic afferent inputs to motor neurons, these inputs are not inhibitory. Interestingly, long-latency inhibitory potentials (> 18 ms) were observed in many PB/St motor neurons (see Figure 8D and E), demonstrating inhibitory inputs to PB/St motor neurons are not entirely eliminated when V1 and V2b transmission is abrogated. Finally, eliminating either V1- or V2b-derived inhibitory transmission alone failed to abolish inhibitory potentials in PB/St motor neurons that fall within the disynaptic latency window (Figure S6; Wang et al., 2008). These findings show that the V1 and V2b IN classes both contribute to reciprocal inhibition in the spinal cord, and together with the anatomical analysis in Figure 3 demonstrate a clear dichotomy in the developmental origin of IaINs.

**DISCUSSION**

V1 and V2b INs constitute the core elements of a distributed inhibitory network that secures the reciprocal pattern of flexor and extensor motor activity that mice need for limb-driven movements. This finding is consistent with our discovery that IaINs are derived from V1 and V2b IN progenitors. Our results also suggest that V1 and V2b INs are largely responsible for this facet of motor coordination, as the inactivation of other inhibitory neuron populations, including V0 INs and Lbx1-derived inhibitory neuron cell types, does not alter flexor-extensor phasing (Lanuza et al., 2004; Talpalar et al., 2013; MG, unpublished data). While flexor-extensor coordination appears to be a primary function of V1 and V2b INs, we cannot rule out additional roles for V1 and V2b INs in motor behaviors that cannot be assessed in the isolated spinal cord preparation.

This study, together with previous studies (Whelan et al., 2000; Kiehn, 2006), argues that commissural neurons do not contribute directly to flexor-extensor alternation, as the rodent spinal CPG still produces a reciprocating pattern of L2-iL5 flexor-extensor activity when all commissural connections are severed. Interestingly, the degradation of flexor-extensor alternation is more pronounced in the hemisected Gata3\textsuperscript{Cre}; R26\textsuperscript{flostop-TeNT} cord compared to the intact Gata3\textsuperscript{Cre}; R26\textsuperscript{flostop-TeNT} cord. This raises the possibility that some commissural INs provide excitatory drive to V1 INs, with the combined loss of this drive and V2b inhibition resulting in synchronous L2-iL5 activity in a large fraction of the hemisected cords. V1-derived IaINs are contacted by glutamatergic V3 INs (Zhang et al., 2008), which raises the possibility that V3 commissural INs subserve this function.

**Contribution of V1 and V2b IN inputs to motor neuron inhibition**

The high density of V1 and V2b inhibitory contacts on the soma and proximal dendrites of motor neurons suggests that V1 and V2b INs provide most of the inhibitory input to the soma of these cells (Figure 3). They are therefore likely to strongly gate excitation to motor neurons, either by hyperpolarizing the proximal dendritic-somatic compartment, or by shunting. Other studies show inhibitory commissural INs (Kiehn, 2006; Lanuza et al., 2004)
and inhibitory INs in the dorsal horn (Jankowska, 1992; Tripodi et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2010) also synapse with motor neurons. The location of these synapses is not known, and a significant fraction of these may be on motor neuron dendrites (see Ornung et al., 1998; Shigenaga et al., 2005). Given our current inability to score inhibitory contacts on dendrites, the relative contribution that commissural INs, dorsal inhibitory INs and V1/V2b INs make to motor neuron inhibition remains to be determined. Nonetheless, our demonstration that V1 and V2b INs are strictly required for flexor-extensor alternation (see Figures 5 and 7), coupled with the abundance of V1 and V2b inputs on motor neuron soma, suggests the actions of these other inhibitory cell types on flexion-extension movements are likely to be highly dependent on V1 and V2b INs. It should also be noted that V1 and V2b INs provide inhibitory inputs to other CPG neurons (Figures 3), including excitatory neurons providing rhythmic excitation to motor neurons, are also likely to play an important role in shaping flexor-extensor activity (Figure 9).

**Role of inhibition in establishing flexor-extensor alternation**

Prior studies demonstrating flexor-extensor alternation is only abrogated upon blocking all inhibitory transmission in the cord has led to speculation that the relative level of inhibition versus excitation in the spinal motor network may be the mechanism that generates an alternating flexor-extensor motor output from the walking CPG. Our data argue against this possibility, as pharmacological manipulations that reduce excitation in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26flostop-TeNT cord spinal cord, while changing the amplitude and frequency of the motor rhythm, do not alter the phasing of L2-iL5 flexor-extensor-related activity (Figure 6). Furthermore, the motor pattern that emerges when bicuculline and strychnine are used to block inhibitory transmission differs in two key aspects from the pattern observed following V1 and V2b IN inactivation. First, widespread pharmacological blockade of glycinergic and GABAergic neurotransmission results in irregular episodes of high amplitude motor neuron bursting interspersed with periods of little or no activity (Figure S4). The periodicity of these bursts is highly variable, arguing that this activity is not rhythmic. Second, in contrast to Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26flostop-TeNT cord where left right alternation is preserved (Figures 4 and 5), abrogating inhibition causes all the lumbar ventral roots to burst synchronously (Figure S4; see also Cowley and Schmidt, 1995; Kremer and Lev-Tov, 1997).

**Functional organization of the walking CPG**

This study demonstrates two important principles about the cellular organization of the walking CPG. First, flexor-extensor activity is not controlled by a single class of neurons, but is instead encoded by the composite actions of V1 and V2b INs. This suggests that the evolutionary transition from a swimming circuit to a walking circuit was not accomplished by simply adding a new cell type with a dedicated role in flexor-extensor control. Second, it shows V1 and V2b INs are not part of the rhythm-generating network, and rhythm generation does not require an alternating pattern of flexor-extensor activity. Our demonstration that IaINs and Renshaw cells are dispensable for rhythm generation also argues against half center models that position IaINs and Renshaw cells at the center of a rhythm-generating network (Miller and Scott, 1977; Talpalar et al., 2011). Such models fail to account for the persistence of the motor rhythm when flexor-extensor alternation is disrupted (Figures 4 and 5), and they are difficult to reconcile with the more complex
patterns of motor activity and the resetting-deletions that occur during in vivo locomotion (reviewed McCrea and Rybak, 2008).

The pattern of locomotor activity produced by the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26loxstop-TeNT cord is consistent with a two-level CPG model that contains separate rhythm generating level and pattern formation layers (McCrea and Rybak, 2008). In this configuration, V1 and V2b INs would be embedded in the pattern formation layer, where they would be a source of phasic inhibition to flexor and extensor motor neurons. They would also provide inhibitory feedback to the rhythm-generating layer to produce an alternating pattern of excitation to flexor and extensor motor neurons (Figure 9). Such a model is consistent with our demonstration that the flexor-extensor rhythm collapses into a single synchronous rhythm when V1- and V2b-derived inhibition is abrogated. This also leads us to speculate that the synchronous rhythmic activity seen in the Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26loxstop-TeNT cord might be an atavistic rhythm, which is equivalent to a primitive swimming rhythm that lacks the differential flexor-extensor component. From an evolutionary perspective, this would provide a far more satisfactory solution to the issue of rhythm generation in limbed vertebrates than those presented by flexor-extensor half-center models.

Role of Ia inhibitory INs in flexor-extensor coordination

Our study leaves open the question as to whether flexor-extensor alternation is broadly encoded by V1 and V2b populations as a whole, or by the activity of specific V1 and V2b cell types such as IaINs. The V1 and V2b IN classes are each composed of multiple interneuron cell types (Goulding, 2009). These include putative IaINs that constitute 29% and 19% of lumbar V1 and V2b cells, respectively. Renshaw cells make up a further 10-12% of the V1 population (Sapir et al., 2004). The V1 and V2b IN classes are likely to include other inhibitory subtypes with different connections and function, and because of this caution needs to be exercised when ascribing the role of flexor-extensor coordination to a particular cell type, such as IaINs. While IaINs possess the necessary attributes to play a prominent role in flexor-extensor control (Jankowska, 1992; Feldman and Orlovsky, 1975), their exact contribution to flexor-extensor alternation has yet to be determined. Our discovery that cells possessing the anatomical and functional features of IaINs arise from V1 and V2b INs (Figures 3 and 8) is consistent with a role for IaINs in flexor-extensor alternation. However, we currently lack the means to genetically isolate IaINs and evaluate their exact contribution to flexor-extensor motor behaviors. Furthermore, it is likely that flexor-extensor control, rather than being restricted to a dedicated and homogeneous physiological cell type, is instead distributed over multiple V1 and V2b cell types. In this respect it is worth noting that fish with a primitive appendicular system do not possess specialized muscle spindles (Young, 1981), which is consistent with the idea that IaIN specialization occurred after the initial recruitment of V1 and V2b INs for flexor-extensor control.

Relationship of V1 and V2b INs to spinal neurons in swimming vertebrates

Our results reveal that the neurons mice use to secure alternating flexor-extensor driven limb movements share a common developmental origin with neurons that are part of the motor circuitry aquatic vertebrates use for swimming. The developmental homologues of V1 and
V2b INs are present in the spinal cords of swimming vertebrates, with V2b INs being molecularly homologous to VeLD neurons (Batista et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2007) and V1 INs having as their homologues, aIN cells in *Xenopus* and CiA cells in zebrafish (Higashijima et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004). aIN and CiA inhibitory neurons are rhythmically active during swimming (Higashijima et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004), and they are candidates for the longitudinal inhibitory pathways that regulate intersegmental delay and swim cycle period (Gabriel et al., 2008; Tegnér et al., 1993; Tunstall and Roberts, 1994). This leads us to posit that V1 and V2b INs were co-opted to produce an alternating motor output from motor neurons that innervate the fin adductor/abductor muscles in primitive fish.

In summary, this study provides evidence that the flexor-extensor system is organized around inhibitory V1 and V2b IN cell types including IaINs, thereby demonstrating a developmental dichotomy in the composition of the inhibitory network that secures flexor-extensor alternation in walking vertebrates. We would like to propose that the distribution of flexor-extensor control between V1 and V2b IN cell types arose as a result of the evolutionary reorganization of the swimming CPG circuit, which was a necessary step in the emergence of movable bilateral appendages.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**

The generation, characterization and genotyping of the *En1Cre, Gata3lacZ, Pax6−/−, R26floxstop-lacZ, R26floxstop-TenT, R26floxstop-GFP, R26floxstop-Tomato, Gad1-GFP, GlyT2-GFP* and *Thy1::floxstop-YFP* mice have been described previously (Bufelli et al., 2003; Gosgnach et al., 2006; van Doorninck et al., 1999; Stam et al., 2012; Tamamaki et al., 2003; Zeilhofer et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008). Animal studies were approved by the Salk Animal Care and Use Committee and performed in accordance with the guidelines stipulated by NIH.

**Generation of Gata3Cre mice**

Sequences encoding for Cre recombinase and FRT-PGKneopA-FRT were inserted into the ATG of exon 2 of the *Gata3* gene by recombineering. 2A ES cells electroporated with linearized targeting vector were screened for homologous recombination. Two recombinant knock-in cell lines gave germine transmission and the expected pattern of Cre recombination (see Figure S2).

**In Situ Hybridization and Immunohistochemistry**

X-gal staining, immunohistochemistry and digoxigenin *in situ* hybridization analyses were performed using standard techniques (see Lanuza et al., 2004). *In situ* images were collected with a Zeiss Axioskop microscope using brightfield illumination. Fluorescence antibody stainings of spinal cord sections were imaged with Zeiss LSM510 and Olympus Fluoview 1000 confocal microscopes. All images were assembled using Photoshop software.
**Retrograde Labeling of Neurons**

**Fluorescent Dextran Labeling**—Fluorescent dextran labeling was performed as described previously (Sapir et al., 2004). Further details can be found online in accompanying Supplemental Information.

**Cholera Toxin-B (CTB) Labeling of Motor Neurons**—Individual muscles were injected unilaterally with Cy5-CTB as previously described by Shneider et al. (2009). Antibodies to the presynaptic markers vGAT and GlyT2 were used in combination with a V1 and V2b IN-specific YFP reporters to visualize putative inhibitory synaptic contacts on Cy5-CTB-labeled motor neurons. Calbindin immunohistochemistry was used to score Renshaw cell-derived contacts on motor neurons in the same pool.

**Electrophysiology**

**Electroneurogram (ENG) Recordings**—Electrophysiological recordings were performed on embryonic E18.5 or postnatal P0 mice. Animals were anesthetized, decapitated and spinal cords were dissected out in ice-cold Ringers solution. Ventral root ENG recordings were made as described previously (Lanuzza et al., 2004). Rhythmic locomotor-like activity was induced either by adding NMDA (5 μM) and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; 15 μM) to the Ringer's perfusate, or by electrical stimulation. For the latter, a train of 40 individual pulses (40-50 μA in amplitude and 500 μs in duration) were applied to the S1-S3 dorsal root on one side of the cord at 500 ms intervals. Signals were amplified, bandpass filtered, digitized and collected with Axoscope and pCLAMP software.

**Analysis of Locomotor Activity**

Step cycle phase values (mean of 25-50 locomotor cycles) were calculated as described previously (Lanuzza et al., 2004). Circular statistics (Zar, 1974) were used to determine the phasing and coupling strength between the L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots. The vector points (black dots) representing the mean phase values for 25-50 steps were determined for each cord. Angular vectors (blue arrows) illustrating the mean phase and concentration of phase values around the mean were then calculated for each experimental group.

**Electromyogram (EMG) Recordings**—EMG recordings were performed on P0 mice. P0 pups were decapitated and dissected in ice-cold Ringer's solution. The cervical and thoracic spinal cord was exposed from the spinal column while the trunk below diaphragm and hind legs being was kept intact. For recordings, two bipolar tungsten electrodes were inserted separately into thigh (Q) and posterior hamstring (PB/St) muscles.

Locomotor activity was induced in the hindlimbs-attached preparation by adding NMDA (10 μM), 5-HT (25 μM) and dopamine (50 μM) to the Ringer's perfusate (Pearson et al., 2003). EMG signals were collected and filtered as described above for ventral root ENG recordings.

**Intracellular recordings of muscle afferent input to motor neurons**—The preparation used to assess the disynaptic inhibitory inputs from muscle afferents to motor
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neurons in neonate mice is shown schematically in Figure 8A. The methods used for recording and analyzing synaptic potentials are described in Wang et al. 2008. Positive current pulses (80-150 pA) were injected into some cells to depolarize them by 35-50 mV and test whether they are inhibitory, as assayed by the conversion of depolarizing synaptic potentials into hyperpolarizing potentials.

**Tail Pinch Test**

Behavioral tests were performed on awake P0 pups. Newborn pups were placed back down on a flat surface and the tail gently pinched by a pair of fine forceps. Each episode was video recorded and the number of limb/torso movements for each episode was counted. Three replicates were performed for each pup.

**Data Analysis and Statistical Tests**

Circular statistics were used to evaluate the uniformity, quality and coupling strength of ventral rooting activities as previously described (Lanuza et al., 2004; Zar, 1974). 25-50 cycles of activity were used to calculate the angular value and step duration for each cord. Rayleigh’s test was performed to examine the uniformity within each group of recordings. The difference of angular value between each group was examined by the Watson-William’s test. Students t-test (with Bonferroni correction when needed), ANOVA and Mann-Whitney tests were used for all other statistical analyses. p values less than 0.01 were considered highly significant.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. A non-V1 Interneuron population is involved in securing reciprocal flexor-extensor activity

(A-B) Left: Representative extracellular recordings from ventral roots of a P0 En1\textsuperscript{Cre}; R26\textsuperscript{floXstop-TeNT} spinal cord showing locomotor ENG activity prior to and after hemisection. Signals were recorded from the L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots. Right: Circular plots showing averaged L2-iL5 phase relationships in whole (upper) and hemisected (lower) preparations. Inner circle indicates an r significance value of p=0.01. Points located near 0.5 represent alternation. Alternating L2-iL5 L2-cL2 locomotor activity is maintained before and after hemisection. The L2-cL2 phase relationship is also shown. The number of cords analyzed is...
indicated (n). (C-D) Representative sections of FITC-dextran injected spinal cords from wild-type and Pax6/^/- embryos at E18.5, showing FITC-dextran^+ cells rostral and ipsilateral to the injection site. vGAT in situ hybridization identifies a subset of FITC-dextran^+ cells as inhibitory neurons in wild-type and Pax6/^/- spinal cords (arrowheads). (E) A section from a F-dextran-labeled E18.5 Pax6/^/- embryo showing F-dextran^+/Gata3^+ cells (yellow, arrowheads). (F-H) Comparison of Gata3^+ V2b cell numbers in E11.5 Gata3^lacZ and Gata3^lacZ; Pax6/^/- spinal cords as assessed by β-galactosidase staining. Quantification is shown in H (mean±SEM.; n=12 sections, 3 cords for each sample).
Figure 2. Neurotransmitter phenotype and axonal projections of V2b INs

(A-B) Gata3 expression in the spinal cords of GlyT2-GFP (A) and Gad1-GFP transgenic mice at E13.5. (C) Co-expression of En1 and Gad1 (D) Co-expression of Pax2 and Gad1.

(E) Quantification of Gata3 and En1 co-expression with Gad1 and GlyT2. Bars indicate the number of cells per 30 μm thick hemicord section (mean±SEM, n=3 cords). (F) Quantification of the proportion of Gad1+ and GlyT2+ neurons that are either V1 or V2b INs at E13.5.
Figure 3. IaINs are derived from V1 and V2b INs
(A) P15 Gata3Cre; Thy1::flox-stop-YFP spinal cord showing V2b INs are located predominantly in lamina VII. Note the V2b cells close to the border of lamina VII/IX. (B) Schematic showing the protocol for CTB-labeling of specific hindlimb motor neuron pools and visualization of inhibitory synaptic contacts. (C) Section showing the soma and proximal dendrites of two motor neurons (blue) labeled by injecting Cy5-CTB into the posterior biceps muscle. GlyT2+/vGAT+ inhibitory synaptic contacts from V2b INs are indicated (arrowheads). (D) Section showing V2b IN-derived GlyT2+/vGAT+ inhibitory contacts (arrowheads) on the soma of lamina VII neurons. Inset: Inhibitory contacts (arrowheads) from V2b INs on a ChAT+ V0c IN (blue). (E) Quantification of V1- and V2b-derived synaptic contacts on iliopsoas (IP), gluteus (GL), posterior biceps-semimembranosus (PB/ST) and iliococcygeus (OC).
(PB/St) and quadriceps (Q) motor neurons. Error bars = SEM. (F-G) Sections through the lumbar spinal cord of P15 Gata3Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP (F), and En1Cre; Thy1::floxstop-YFP (G) mice, showing neurons with the anatomical features of IaINs. Cells decorated with calbindin+ (F’, G’) and vGluT1+ (F”, G”) contacts were scored as IaINs. Cell counts for V2b-derived IaINs and V1-derived IaINs are given in Table S1.
Figure 4. Abolishing V2b IN transmission degrades flexor-extensor coordination
(A-B) Left; extracellular recordings from L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots of wild-type and
Gata3Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT (whole cord) from P0 whole cords following the induction of locomotor
activity with 5 μM NMDA and 15 μM 5-HT. Right; circular plots showing the phase of
bursts in iL5 and cL2 with respect to L2. Each point in the circular plot represents the
average phase for one spinal cord (25-50 steps). The angular vector (arrow) and r-value (r)
for each genotype represents the mean phase relationship for all recorded cords (n). The
inner circle indicates an r significance value of p=0.01. Alternating flexor-extensor (L2-iL5)
activity is observed in wild-type (A) whole cords and in all but one Gata3^{Cre}; R26^{floxstop-TeNT} (B) whole cord. (C-D) Left: Locomotor-activity following spinal cord hemisection. Left; the normal pattern of flexor-extensor (L2-iL5) alternation in wild-type hemisected cords (C) is markedly reduced in Gata3^{Cre}; R26^{floxstop-TeNT} hemisected cords (D), with 13/20 cords displaying synchronous L2-iL5 activity. Right; circular plots showing the average L2-iL5 phase and r-values for the cords in each experimental group. n = number of cords analyzed.
Figure 5. V1 and V2b INs function cooperatively to establish flexor-extensor alternation
(A) Left: Example of extracellular recordings from L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots of a P0
Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT spinal cord following application of 5 μM NMDA and 15
μM 5-HT. Right: Phase relationship between L2 and iL5 (L2-iL5), and between L2 and cL2
(L2-cL2) ventral roots. The inner circle indicates an r significance value of p=0.01. (B-C)
Extracellular recordings from L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots of P0 wild-type (B) and P0
Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT; (C) spinal cords following sacral dorsal root stimulation.
Normal flexor-extensor (L2-iL5) and left-right (L2-cL2) alternation is observed in wild-type
cords (B). Flexor-extensor (L2-iL5) alternation is absent in Gata3Cre; En1Cre;
$R26^{\text{floxstop-TeNT}}$ cords, however, left-right (L2-cL2) alternation remains intact. $n =$ number of cords analyzed.
Figure 6. Loss of flexor-extensor alternation in Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT spinal cords is not due to changes in the balance of excitation and inhibition

(A) Extracellular recordings from the L2, iL5 and cL2 ventral roots of Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT whole-cord preparations at P0 after induction of locomotor activity with 5 μM NMDA and 15 μM 5-HT. (B-C) Synchronous flexor-extensor (L2-iL5) activity is maintained at lower NMDA concentrations (B) and after reducing AMPA-dependent excitatory transmission with 2.5 μM CNQX (C). (D) Enhancing inhibitory transmission with the glycine uptake inhibitor sarcosine (500 μM) does not rescue L2-iL5 alternation. Note that left-right (L2-cL2) alternation is preserved under all conditions.
Figure 7. Defective limb movement in newborn Gata3<sup>Cre</sup>; En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup> mice (A-B) EMG recordings from knee extensor (quadriceps, Q) and knee flexor (posterior biceps, PB) muscles of newborn P0 mice following induction of locomotor activity in a limbs-attached spinal cord preparation with a cocktail of 10 μM NMDA, 25 μM 5-HT and 25 μM dopamine. Quadriceps (Q) and posterior biceps (PB) muscle activity is alternating in wild-type animals (A), and synchronous in Gata3<sup>Cre</sup>; En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup> mice (B). (C) A series of time-lapse images of P0 wild-type pups showing flexion-extension movements of the hindlimbs in response to tail pinch. (D) Gata3<sup>Cre</sup>; En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup> mutant animals show no flexion-extension movements and their limbs are immobile. (E) Number of flexion-extension movements in response to a single tail pinch in wild-type pups and age matched En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup>, Gata3<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup> and Gata3<sup>Cre</sup>; En1<sup>Cre</sup>; R26<sup>floxstop-TeNT</sup> animals.
Figure 8. Loss of reciprocal inhibitory reflexes in mice lacking V1 and V2b INs
(A) Schematic showing disynaptic pathway from quadriceps (Q) afferent nerve to posterior biceps-semitendinosus (PBS) motor neurons. (B-E) Intracellular recordings of synaptic responses in PBS motor neurons were elicited by stimulating the Q nerve (3-5x threshold). Hyperpolarizing inhibitory potentials often become depolarizing as the resting potential (indicated at the beginning of each trace) increased. An inhibitory potential (IPSP) in a wild-type mouse that is disynaptic (13-17 ms) is shown in B. In Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT mice, synaptic potentials with disynaptic latencies (13-17 ms) were either depolarizing and could not be reversed with current injection (C), or were long latency (D and E). A hyperpolarizing response (23 ms) that is inconsistent with disynaptic inhibition is shown in E. (F-G) Frequency of disynaptic inhibitory potentials in control and Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT pups before (F) and after (G) current injection. The significance between the number of disynaptic IPSPs in wild-type versus Gata3Cre; En1Cre; R26floxstop-TeNT samples was determined using the Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. The sample size is indicated below each graph.
Figure 9. Model for V1 and V2b IN control of flexor-extensor motor activity. 
Prospective model for how the limb CPG is functionally organized with respect to V1 and V2b interneurons. Arrows indicate excitatory pathways. Lines with filled spheres indicate inhibitory pathways. Weak excitatory connections between presumptive rhythm-generating excitatory neurons result in the synchronous rhythmic excitation of flexor and extensor motor neurons when V1 and V2b inhibition is absent.