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Abstract

Objective—Several reports suggest that cannabis use is associated with an earlier age at onset of psychosis, though not all studies have operationalized cannabis use as occurring prior to onset of symptoms. This study addressed whether pre-onset cannabis use (and alcohol and tobacco use) is associated with an earlier age at onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms. Additionally, effects of the progression of frequency of use were examined through time-dependent covariates in survival analyses.

Method—First-episode patients (n=109) hospitalized in two public-sector inpatient psychiatric units underwent in-depth cross-sectional/retrospective assessments. Prior substance use and ages at onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms were determined using standardized methods. Cox regression modeling was conducted.

Results—Whereas classifying participants according to maximum frequency of use prior to onset (none, ever, weekly, or daily) revealed no significant effects of cannabis or tobacco use on risk of onset, analysis of change in frequency of use prior to onset indicated that progression to daily cannabis and tobacco use was associated with increased risk of onset of psychotic symptoms. Similar or even stronger effects were observed when onset of illness/prodromal symptoms was the outcome. A gender by daily cannabis use interaction was observed—progression to daily use resulted in a much larger increased relative risk of onset of psychosis in females than males.

Conclusions—Pre-onset cannabis use may hasten the onset of psychotic as well as prodromal symptoms. Age at onset is a key prognostic factor in schizophrenia, and discovering modifiable predictors of age at onset is crucial.
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INTRODUCTION

Cannabis is the most abused illicit substance among individuals with schizophrenia (1,2). Data from 53 treatment studies involving schizophrenia patients revealed that 12-month prevalence estimates of use and misuse of cannabis were 29% and 19%, and lifetime use and misuse estimates were 42% and 23%, respectively (3). Regarding first-episode psychosis, rates of cannabis misuse range 15–65% (3–11). Rates of alcohol misuse range 27–43% in first-episode samples (9,11–15), and 50–75% of first-episode patients smoke cigarettes daily (11,13,16). Many first-episode studies demonstrate that substance use initiation typically precedes psychosis onset, often by several years (4,17–19). This is true of cannabis use in particular (1,20). It is less clear how often substance abuse precedes onset of the prodrome; however, two studies found that substance abuse occurs pre-predromally in 28–34% of cases (21,22).

At least seven published studies, of variable methodological rigor, suggest that pre-onset cannabis use may be associated with earlier age at onset of psychosis, which is critically important given the adverse prognostic implications of earlier onset. First, in 232 first-episode patients, mean ages at onset of the first sign, negative symptom, positive symptom, and admission were lower in the 32% who had abused drugs prior to admission than in those who had not (21). Second, females with moderate to severe substance use upon admission had an earlier onset than those with no history of substance misuse among 541 first-episode patients, though the study included patients with affective psychoses, who would be expected to have a later age at onset and likely a lower incidence of comorbid cannabis use (4). Third, 82 of 357 patients who used cannabis, with or without alcohol, had an earlier onset than non-users or those who used alcohol alone or with other drugs (12). Fourth, in an incidence cohort of 133 patients, 70 using cannabis had an earlier median age at first psychotic episode than 63 patients not using cannabis (22). Male cannabis users had their first psychotic episode on average 6.9 years earlier than male nonusers, and cannabis use was a stronger predictor of age at first psychotic episode than gender. Fifth, 79 retrospectively studied first-episode patients abusing cannabis had an earlier onset compared with 186 not using cannabis, though this study evidently did not control for the influence of gender, and only 18% of females used substances compared to 44% of males (19). Sixth, among 152 first-episode patients, those reporting past substance use were significantly younger at onset of psychotic symptoms than those who had not used substances (9). Gender and cannabis use, but not use of other substances, were significantly related to age at onset, which was on average 4.2 years older for women and 5.0 years younger for patients using cannabis, adjusting for other variables. Seventh, in 131 first-episode patients, a gradual reduction in age at onset was observed as the level of misuse of cannabis increased—a decrement of 7, 8.5, and 12 years for patients with cannabis use, abuse, and dependence, respectively—and the effect was not explained by use of other drugs or gender (23). However, the study included patients with affective psychoses, who, as noted above, likely have both a lower incidence of comorbid cannabis use and a later onset. While these studies suggest, but do not confirm, that pre-onset cannabis use may be associated with a younger age at onset of psychotic symptoms, it remains largely unknown whether cannabis use is associated with a younger age at onset of prodromal symptoms. In fact, one critique of the literature is that the possible influence of cannabis use on prodromal symptoms has not been explored (9). Furthermore, in several of the aforementioned studies (9,12,21,23), it is unclear whether the operationalization of cannabis use ensured that such use occurred prior to onset of symptoms, which is a crucial distinction in proving a potential effect of cannabis use in hastening onset. The present study assessed whether cannabis use (as well as alcohol and tobacco use) occurring prior to onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms is associated with an earlier age at onset of such symptoms. Additionally, unlike
prior studies, such effects were examined further by incorporating the level of frequency of use prior to onset as a time-dependent covariate in the analysis of time to onset of symptoms. Finally, the present study examined these relationships in a sample of urban, predominantly minority patients. Previous studies show that socially disadvantaged ethnic minority groups have substantially higher rates of cannabis use and psychosis than other populations (24–27).

METHODS

Setting/Sample

Participants (n=109) were admitted to a psychiatric unit of an urban, university-affiliated, public-sector hospital (n=99) or an urban county psychiatric crisis center (n=10) for an initial manifestation of nonaffective psychosis. The community served by these inpatient units is socially disadvantaged (e.g., low-income) and predominantly African American. English-speaking patients aged 18–40 years were eligible. Exclusion criteria were: mental retardation, a Mini-Mental State Examination (28) score of <23 (which would indicate disorientation or cognitive impairment to an extent that could interfere with the extensive clinical research assessment), a significant medical condition compromising ability to participate, prior treatment for psychosis lasting >3 months, previous hospitalization for psychosis >3 months before index hospitalization, or inability to provide informed consent. The study was approved by the Emory University Institutional Review Board, the Grady Health System Research Oversight Committee, and the Georgia Department of Human Resources Institutional Review Board. Diagnoses determined using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) (29) were: 62 (56.9%) with schizophrenia, 22 (20.2%) with schizophreniform disorder, eight (7.3%) with schizoaffective disorder, 12 (11.0%) with psychotic disorder not otherwise specified, four (3.7%) with brief psychotic disorder, and one (0.9%) with delusional disorder.

Materials

The SCID-I (29) was used for diagnosing substance use disorders in addition to primary psychotic disorders. All obtainable data were used, including interviews, data from chart reviews, and informant/family member collateral interviews when available. Data on substance use were collected by inquiring about use at three levels of frequency—ever, weekly, and daily—for cannabis, alcohol, and tobacco. The corresponding age at which each level of frequency of use began also was recorded for each substance. Data were collected as part of a larger clinical research assessment after rapport had been established, in a non-judgmental manner, using a structured interview.

Ages at onset of prodrome and psychosis were determined using the Symptom Onset in Schizophrenia (SOS) inventory (30) and complementary items from the semi-structured Course of Onset and Relapse Schedule/Topography of Psychotic Episode (CORS/TOPE) interview (31). The SOS has demonstrated good to excellent inter-rater reliability regarding the presence of each symptom and duration, as well as good agreement for length of time from initial symptom onset to first treatment contact—between clinicians and patients, and between clinicians and family members (30). Given complexities of obtaining exact dates of prodromal and psychotic onsets (32), conventions were used to enhance the accuracy of dating (e.g., cross referencing with life milestones). Onset of the prodrome was operationalized as the date of onset of the first-occurring of 14 possible SOS-defined prodromal symptoms. Onset of psychosis was operationalized as the date on which hallucinations or delusions were estimated to have first met the threshold for a Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (33) score ≥8. Thirty-three patients (30.3%) had no evidence of a retrospectively identifiable prodrome prior to onset of psychotic symptoms. For those
patients, in the prodrome-related analyses, age at onset of psychosis was used rather than an age at onset of the prodrome; thus, the term “age at onset of symptoms” also is used below.

**Data Analyses**

Onset and escalation of cannabis, alcohol, and tobacco use were assessed with basic descriptive statistics prior to addressing the main research questions. Analyses assumed that use did not regress; that is, once any given frequency of consumption (e.g., weekly) occurred, it was assumed to have continued beyond that age until a higher level of frequency occurred or until onset of prodromal or psychotic symptoms. Although the dataset did not have the granularity needed to indicate potential decreases in usage over time, it did allow for an assessment of progression of use, enabling analyses using time-dependent variables. Further, extensive clinical experience with the population of interest suggests that decreased usage over time after a given level of use has developed is quite rare during the years preceding onset (typically in adolescence).

Two sets of analyses were conducted. First, similar to prior reports, each subject was classified according to his or her use. Unlike most prior reports, however, participants were classified according to their frequency of use and it was verified that this use was in fact prior to onset. This resulted in each subject having a maximum use level (none, ever, weekly, daily) for each type of substance (cannabis, alcohol, tobacco) before onset of symptoms. Second, because the first classification does not incorporate each subject’s use pattern prior to onset (e.g., some patients progressed quickly to daily use, while others took several years), use level (none, ever, weekly, daily) was coded as a time-dependent covariate in survival analyses. This was done to further test if the progression or increasing severity of use had a significant effect on time to onset of symptoms. The time-dependent covariate was represented by repeated observations within subjects that indicate the progression of each type of substance use from birth to age at onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms.

Cox regression modeled the effects of level of use (both fixed and time-dependent) on time to onset in years; in both cases non-users were the reference category and frequency of use (ever, weekly, daily) was a categorical predictor. Tests of the proportional hazards assumption were performed for each model to verify the model assumptions (34). Gender and family history of psychosis were planned to be examined as possible moderators of any observed effects, but family history in first-degree relatives was present in only 13.4% of subjects, making it difficult to estimate those effects with any reasonable certainty. Thus, only analyses concerning gender are presented, in which female non-users was the reference category.

**RESULTS**

Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1. Mean ages at first ever use, initiating weekly use, and the onset of daily use of cannabis, alcohol, and tobacco were described previously (11). Assessment of the maximum reported frequency of substance use prior to onset of psychosis showed a large percentage of daily cannabis users (40.6%) and daily tobacco users (44.1%), but relatively few daily alcohol users (7.9%) (Table 2). Of note, the maximum reported frequency classification (prior to onset of psychosis) was highly associated with current SCID-I diagnoses of abuse and dependence for both cannabis and alcohol. For example, of those with cannabis abuse, 88% were classified as weekly (43.8%) or daily (43.8%) users prior to onset; while of those with cannabis dependence, 90% were classified as weekly (7.5%) or daily users (82.5%) prior to onset.
Cox regression analysis with participants classified according to maximum frequency of use prior to onset (none, ever, weekly, or daily) indicated no significant effects of cannabis or tobacco use on risk of onset of psychotic symptoms, but showed an effect of weekly and daily alcohol use on age at onset of psychosis (Table 2). Those using alcohol weekly or daily prior to onset had a later age at onset of psychosis than those having never used alcohol prior to onset.

A quite different pattern was observed when examining changes in use over time (using time-dependent covariates) as a predictor of time to onset. Significant effects of progression to both daily cannabis use and daily tobacco use on risk of onset of psychosis were observed, while progression of alcohol use had no significant effect (Table 3). Predicted survival curves based on Cox regression, with cannabis and tobacco use as time-dependent covariates, are shown in Figure 1. These effects were even stronger when onset of symptoms, which represented onset of prodromal symptoms in 69.7%, was used as the endpoint (Table 4) instead of onset of psychosis. Additionally, a significant effect of an increase to weekly alcohol use was shown for the onset of prodromal symptoms (the daily use category showed a slight decrease in the expected relative risk compared to the weekly category; however this estimate is based on very few observations (2.5%), so the difference is likely unremarkable).

Gender was assessed as a potential moderator of the effects of progression of substance use on age at onset of psychosis. Because only 24% of participants were female, similar relative risk categories (ever and weekly use) were collapsed before testing for a gender difference in the relationship between progression of prior substance use and onset of psychosis. The only type of substance use that exhibited a gender by progression of frequency of use interaction was daily cannabis use ($z=-1.92, p=0.054$), such that progression to daily use was associated with a larger increased relative risk for onset of psychosis in females (HR=5.154) than males (HR=3.359). That is, males with no history of use and males with ever/weekly use had higher HRs than the female comparison groups (2.250 compared to 1.000, and 2.329 compared to 2.049), which was expected, though the males and females were not significantly different at those levels. However, for daily users, the HR was 3.359, compared to 5.154, indicating a reversal of the pattern of findings (higher in females).

**DISCUSSION**

Several noteworthy findings emerged from this analysis involving a relatively large, well-characterized sample of socially disadvantaged, predominantly African American, first-episode patients. First, as reported previously (11), rates of substance use, and cannabis use in particular, were remarkably high. This, and the fact that use of other substances is relatively rare in this sample, provides an ideal opportunity to examine the relations of pre-onset cannabis, alcohol, and tobacco use with age at onset. Second, and particularly interesting, in contrast to prior reports, analyses of frequency and changes in frequency indicate that risk of onset was not associated with simple classifications of use or frequency of use, but rather with progression of use prior to onset. Third, similar or stronger effects were observed when onset of prodrome/symptoms was used as the endpoint, which is of importance in clarifying temporality. Fourth, a gender by progression to daily cannabis use interaction was observed, such that progression to daily use increased the relative risk of onset of psychosis in females more than in males. Rapid progression to the highest levels of use (especially tobacco and cannabis use, which are very prevalent in this population) appears to increase risk of onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms.

Clear inferences about the role of cannabis use in hastening the onset of psychosis have not been possible to date. The present findings extend the literature by limiting analyses to cases
of nonaffective psychosis in which substance use began prior to the emergence of symptoms. Further, whereas most past analyses have dichotomized subjects by use/non-use or by presence or absence of a substance use disorder, the present study accounted for frequency and escalation of use over time. Although an interesting association between cannabis use and age at onset of psychotic symptoms was revealed, this is an association, not a demonstration of causality; increased use of cannabis by those imminently approaching the onset of psychotic symptoms is also a reasonable interpretation of these data.

These analyses augment prior studies by demonstrating a possible effect of pre-onset cannabis (and tobacco) use on age at onset of symptoms/prodrome. The prodrome, now studied extensively using both retrospective and prospective designs (35,36), is emerging as a potential syndromal, therapeutic target in indicated preventive interventions using both psychopharmacologic and psychotherapeutic modalities. Importantly, this study suggests that other modalities—including prevention, early identification, and treatment of cannabis use—may delay onset in clinical high-risk samples.

Although the present study cannot establish a mechanism by which increasing cannabis use is associated with earlier onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms, accumulating research on the cannabinoid system suggests biological plausibility. Exogenous and endogenous cannabinoids exert their effects (such as modulating release of neurotransmitters including glutamate, norepinephrine, and dopamine) through specific cannabinoid (CB1) receptors (37,38) distributed in brain regions implicated in schizophrenia (39). Several studies show increased CB1 receptor density in regions of interest in schizophrenia (40–42), as well as elevated levels of endogenous cannabinoids in blood and cerebrospinal fluid of patients (43–45). These findings, in addition to studies showing that acute administration of cannabis causes both patients and controls to experience transient worsening of cognition and schizophrenia-like positive and negative symptoms (46,47), add to arguments for biological plausibility. From an epidemiological perspective, growing evidence implicates cannabis use as a component cause, or independent risk factor for psychotic symptoms and psychotic disorders (48), and some evidence indicates that earlier or heavier use are associated with greater risk (49).

Several findings require confirmation before clear mechanisms can be established. First, this study suggests an interaction whereby progression to daily use may lead to a larger increased relative risk for onset of psychosis in females than males. Similar findings were observed in a sample of 541 patients with first-episode affective or nonaffective psychoses (4). If this finding is further replicated, it may be similar to the observation that gender effects on age at onset of psychosis are overridden by competing risks such as family history of psychosis (i.e., family history has a more powerful impact on age at onset in females, potentially overriding the effects of gender) (50). The gender effect on age at onset may be reversed in the context of heavy pre-onset cannabis use. Such findings could be driven by differences in other exposures or differences in neurodevelopmental trajectories across genders. Alternatively, the later age at onset typically observed in females could lengthen the time period of pre-onset exposure to cannabis, effectively increasing the window of opportunity for cannabis to impact age at onset. Second, the apparent equally strong relationship between nicotine use and onset is difficult to interpret given the level of concordance between cannabis and nicotine use. However, despite remarkably high rates of cigarette smoking in schizophrenia, there is little evidence supporting nicotine as a component cause for psychotic symptoms or psychotic disorders. Nonetheless, extensive research documents links between nicotinic cholinergic neurotransmission and schizophrenia (51). Though effects of nicotine on cognition are thought to be most pertinent, affected individuals also may smoke to regulate mood and reduce stress (52). However,
abnormal neurotransmission in the nicotinic system potentially could contribute to the pathophysiology of schizophrenia (51).

These results should be interpreted in light of several methodological limitations. First, representativeness/generalizability of findings is limited given the sample’s specific sociodemographic characteristics. However, the documented high prevalence of pre-onset substance use suggests that this population may be ideal for answering these and related research questions. Second, parsing the independent effects of individual substances (e.g., cannabis and tobacco) requires larger sample sizes. However, pre-onset use of other substances (e.g., methamphetamine, hallucinogens) is quite rare in this population, indicating that uncovering independent effects of cannabis may indeed be possible in future studies. Third, an inherent limitation is the difficulty in retrospectively measuring ages at onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms, as well as ages at first, weekly, and daily use of substances. Standardized methods were used to provide the most accurate data possible. Regarding age at onset of prodromal and psychotic symptoms, the investigative team is well-versed in the measurement of symptom onset (32); the SOS (30) and select CORS/TOPE items (31) were deemed the most rigorous and psychometrically sound—yet practical and user-friendly—method for estimating onset dates. Because of the lack of appropriate instruments assessing ages at which each level of frequency of use began, this study relied on a structured interview, though reliability and validity of substance use data collected in this manner cannot be determined. However, retrospective self-report is the most frequently used method of data collection for past substance use over a specified time interval. Despite known limitations, a substantial body of research supports the utility of thorough retrospective, self-report measurement of substance use (53,54).

The present findings expand an accumulating literature indicating that pre-onset substance use, especially cannabis use, may have a significant effect in hastening onset of psychotic, and now prodromal, symptoms among first-episode patients. Given the well-established finding that age at onset is a key prognostic factor in schizophrenia, discovering a modifiable predictor of age at onset has important implications. Earlier onset generally results in greater cognitive impairment, increased severity of functional disability, more severe symptoms and behavioral deterioration, less responsiveness to antipsychotics, and greater likelihood of rehospitalization (55,56). Thus, delaying onset by reducing adolescent/pre-onset substance use could result in substantial improvements in diverse outcomes. Specifically, as early psychosis research advances toward identifying potentially prodromal adolescents and young adults prior to onset of psychosis, targeted interventions could include curbing substance use, in addition to psychopharmacologic and psychotherapeutic interventions targeting prodromal symptomatology. Such interventions may delay onset of psychosis, perhaps averting it altogether, given that cannabis use in adolescence is increasingly recognized as an independent risk factor for psychosis and schizophrenia (57).
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Figure 1.
Predicted Survival Curves of Cannabis Use and Age at Onset of Psychosis\textsuperscript{a}
\textsuperscript{a} Based on Cox regression, with cannabis use as a time-dependent covariate. “Proportion surviving” refers to the proportion of participants free of psychosis or not yet having onset of psychotic symptoms.
Figure 2.
Predicted Survival Curves of Tobacco Use and Age at Onset of Psychosis

Based on Cox regression, with cannabis use as a time-dependent covariate. “Proportion surviving” refers to the proportion of participants free of psychosis or not yet having onset of psychotic symptoms.
Table 1

Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Study Sample (n=109)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at hospitalization</td>
<td>23.1±4.7 (range: 18–39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>83 (76.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, Black/African American</td>
<td>98 (89.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship status, single and never married</td>
<td>100 (91.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>11.6±2.4 (range: 6–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status prior to hospitalization, unemployed</td>
<td>67 (61.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Hazard Ratios for Onset of Psychosis (and Percentage of Patients Using the Three Substances, in Parentheses), by Maximum Use Reported Prior to the Onset of Psychosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Tobacco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.000 (21.8)</td>
<td>1.000 (13.9)</td>
<td>1.000 (27.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>1.302 (22.8)</td>
<td>0.589 (43.6)</td>
<td>1.167 (14.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1.142 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.392* (34.7)</td>
<td>1.055 (10.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1.249 (40.6)</td>
<td>0.325* (7.9)</td>
<td>1.026 (44.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant difference compared to the “None” reference category
Table 3
Hazard Ratios for Onset of Psychosis, Using Frequency of Use as a Time-Dependent Covariate (Testing the Effects of Progression of Use)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Tobacco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1.997*</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>1.796*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant difference compared to “None” reference category
Table 4

Hazard Ratios for Onset of Symptoms/the Prodrome, Using Frequency of Use as a Time-Dependent Covariate
(Testing the Effects of Progression of Use)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Tobacco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.749*</td>
<td>1.690</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.415*</td>
<td>2.043*</td>
<td>1.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.065*</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.825*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant difference compared to the “None” reference category