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Abstract

Background—Few studies have examined the association between obesity and markers of kidney injury in a chronic kidney disease population. We hypothesized that obesity is independently associated with proteinuria, a marker of chronic kidney disease progression.

Study Design—Observational cross-sectional analysis.

Setting & Participants—Post hoc analysis of baseline data for 652 participants in the African American Study of Kidney Disease (AASK).

Predictors—Obesity, determined using body mass index (BMI).

Measurements & Outcomes—Urine total protein–creatinine ratio and albumin-creatinine ratio measured in 24-hour urine collections.

Results—AASK participants had a mean age of 60.2 ± 10.2 years and serum creatinine level of 2.3 ± 1.5 mg/dL; 61.3% were men. Mean BMI was 31.4 ± 7.0 kg/m². Approximately 70% of
participants had a daily urine total protein excretion rate <300 mg/d. In linear regression analyses adjusted for sex, each 2-kg/m$^2$ increase in BMI was associated with a 6.7% (95% CI, 3.2-10.4) and 9.4% (95% CI, 4.9-14.1) increase in urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios, respectively. In multivari-able models adjusting for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, serum glucose level, uric acid level, and creatinine level, each 2-kg/m$^2$ increase in BMI was associated with a 3.5% (95% CI, 0.4-6.7) and 5.6% (95% CI, 1.5-9.9) increase in proteinuria and albuminuria, respectively. The interaction between older age and BMI was statistically significant, indicating that this relationship was driven by younger AASK participants.

Limitations—May not generalize to other populations; cross-sectional analysis precludes statements regarding causality.

Conclusions—BMI is associated independently with urine total protein and albumin excretion in African Americans with hypertensive nephrosclerosis, particularly in younger patients.
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Obesity is a growing public health concern. Several observational studies have implicated obesity as an independent risk factor for the onset and progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD).1,2 The mechanism(s) underlying the association between obesity and CKD has not been elucidated. However, studies of mice and humans suggest that adipokines (cytokines derived from fat cells) may alter glomerular permeability to proteins, leading to proteinuria,3,4 which is a powerful predictor of progression of CKD. In the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK), baseline (and follow-up) proteinuria was a better predictor of subsequent decrease in kidney function than baseline glomerular filtration rate (GFR).5-7 Thus, correlates of baseline proteinuria are of special interest in identifying those at risk of progression of hypertensive nephrosclerosis. We hypothesized that obesity is associated independently with proteinuria. To test this hypothesis, we examined obesity and factors known to be independent predictors of proteinuria in 652 participants in the AASK Cohort Study. This study was a prospective observational follow-up study of participants previously enrolled in the AASK clinical trial (designated NCT00582777 in the ClinicalTrials.gov registry).

Methods
Study Population

The AASK Cohort Study was a National Institutes of Health–sponsored, large-scale, 5-year, multicenter, prospective observational study that followed the AASK clinical trial. Briefly, to be eligible for enrollment in the AASK clinical trial, study participants had to be African American (by declaration), be 21 years or older, have diastolic blood pressure (BP) ≥95 mm Hg, and have a measured GFR using renal clearance of iothalamate in the range of 25-65 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$. All study participants had a measured GFR at entry to the clinical trial, which occurred between 1994 and 1997.7 The AASK was a randomized double-blind controlled trial that used a 2×3 factorial design. The trial compared 2 levels of BP control (mean arterial pressure <92 mm Hg and 102-107 mm Hg) and 3 antihypertensive regimens
metoprolol, amlodipine, and ramipril). Additional antihypertensives were added, and doses of these add-on medications were adjusted as needed to achieve BP control levels throughout the study. The AASK cohort began enrolling study participants in July 2002, approximately 6 months after completion of the AASK clinical trial. Briefly, all AASK trial study participants who were alive and not on renal replacement therapy were invited to participate in the cohort study. A total of 691 African American men and women were recruited by the 21 centers to participate in the AASK cohort study and were followed up for up to 5 years to identify factors beyond BP that are important in the progression of hypertensive nephrosclerosis. This report is restricted to 652 of the 691 AASK cohort study participants who provided a 24-hour urine specimen for creatinine, total protein, and albumin measurement and in whom body mass index (BMI) was calculated at the baseline visit. The institutional review board approved the study protocol, and written informed consent was obtained at each participating institution.

**Study Procedures**

We collected demographic, clinical, and laboratory data at a baseline clinic visit for all AASK cohort study participants using standardized study-specific forms. Demographic information including age, sex, body weight and height, and employment status was collected and entered into a secure website for transmission to the data coordinating center. A 24-hour urine sample and fasting morning blood sample were obtained during the baseline evaluation period of the study. Study participants were instructed to collect 24-hour urine samples using standard procedures identical to those used in the AASK clinical trial. Of 691 participants, 39 were missing either a baseline 24-hour urine sample or BMI calculation. Systolic BP and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels were higher in the 39 excluded persons than in participants who provided BMI values and 24-hour urine samples. However, reflecting the relatively small proportion of excluded patients, there were no major differences in baseline characteristics between the full cohort and the subgroup of 652 included in the report.

**Measurements**

BMI was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Serum chemistry tests, including serum urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, electrolytes, total and HDL cholesterol, uric acid, and glucose, were performed using an autoanalyzer in the central laboratory of the data coordinating center (The Cleveland Clinic). Urine albumin was measured using nephelometry, and urine total protein, using pyrogallol red. All measurements were performed in duplicate. Urine creatinine was measured using an autoanalyzer by means of the modified Jaffé reaction. Median interassay coefficients of variation for urine total protein, urine creatinine, and urine total protein–creatinine ratio were 3.10, 0.58, and 3.11, respectively. Urine total protein and albumin indices were calculated from measured values obtained from 24-hour urine specimens and expressed as the ratio of total protein to creatinine in milligrams of total protein per gram of creatinine and in milligrams of albumin per gram of creatinine.
**Statistical Analysis**

We produced descriptive summaries (mean ± standard deviation) of baseline characteristics for the entire group and for the BMI categories <25, 25-29, 30-35, and >35 kg/m^2 as estimates of those with normal, overweight, obese, and very obese BMI (Table 1). Distributions of indices based on urine total protein and urine albumin (including total protein–creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios) were summarized separately for men and women using mean ± standard deviation and 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. These descriptive analyses were stratified by sex because of prior studies indicating sex differences in relationships among different indices of proteinuria.9-12

Simple linear regression analyses were used to relate log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine ratio and urine albumin-creatinine ratio to sex. Separate regression analyses then were performed to relate the 2 log-transformed proteinuria indices to other individual baseline factors (BMI, age, log-transformed serum creatinine level, HDL cholesterol level, total cholesterol level, non-HDL cholesterol level, triglyceride level, uric acid level, systolic BP, diastolic BP, and serum glucose level) while controlling for sex. These factors were selected before statistical analyses based on previously observed or hypothesized relationships of these factors with proteinuria. The decision to log transform serum creatinine values was made after examination of nonparametric smoothing spline regression curves that showed approximately linear relationships of the log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine indices with log-transformed serum creatinine level, but not with the untransformed serum creatinine values.

In sensitivity analyses, we extended each of the indicated regression analyses to test for the presence of an interaction between sex and the regression coefficients relating the log-transformed proteinuria indices to each of the other designated baseline factors. These pairwise interactions were tested by estimating regression coefficients relating proteinuria indices to baseline factors separately for men and women, then dividing the differences by the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors for men and women and comparing the resulting statistic with the standard normal distribution.

Multiple linear regression analysis was used to relate log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine indices to BMI after covariate adjustment for 3 prespecified baseline factors (ie, age, sex, and log-transformed serum creatinine level), which were viewed as potential confounders for the effects of BMI on indices of proteinuria. Three additional baseline factors (fasting serum glucose level, BP, and uric acid level) were viewed as both potential confounding factors and potential intermediate variables on causal pathways between BMI and proteinuria. An expanded set of multiple linear regression analyses was performed in which these 3 factors were added as additional covariates to the original multiple regressions. For both the basic and expanded regression models, potential interactions between sex or BMI with each of the other baseline factors included in the multiple regression analyses were examined by applying a forward stepwise variable selection procedure to pairwise interaction terms between sex or BMI and each other covariate in multiple regression models that included each of the individual covariates. Only the interaction of BMI with age met the criterion for significance and was added to the model. The resulting multivariate models are presented in the final table with each of the
main-effect variables being standardized to have a mean value of zero. Based on this standardization, regression coefficients for the main effects of age and BMI each indicate the effects of these factors evaluated at the mean level of the other factor.

For both the basic and expanded regression models, potential interactions between BMI and each of the other baseline factors included in the multiple regression analyses were examined by applying forward stepwise variable selection procedure to pairwise interaction terms between BMI and each covariate after each of the individual covariates was entered in the model as a main effect.

In all analyses, the effect of each baseline factor was expressed as percentage of change in the geometric mean of urine total protein–creatinine ratio or urine albumin-creatinine ratio per increment in the baseline factor. Diastolic BP was excluded from multivariable models because of its high correlation with systolic BP. The shapes of the relationships of the log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios with baseline BMI were examined further by relating the log-transformed proteinuria indices to BMI quartiles after adjusting for the expanded set of baseline covariates. Because a significant interaction was identified between BMI and age, these analyses were performed after stratifying by age group (age at the median of ≤61 years or >61 years). Statistical significance was inferred for $P < 0.05$, without adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Standard regression diagnostics, including visual inspection of partial residual plots and examination of smoothing splines for each predictor variable, were used to investigate potential violations of the assumptions of regression analysis, including the assumed linearity of the relationships and the absence of major heteroscedasticity.

To evaluate the possibility that 24-hour urine collections during the baseline assessment may have represented severe over- or undercollection, we compared urine creatinine level (milligrams per day) at that assessment with the average of all 24-hour urine creatinine measurements obtained during either the 3 years before the cohort study or the first 3 years of the cohort study. Approximately 8 (median value) urine creatinine measurements per participant were used in this analysis. Six baseline measurements were identified as outliers, with deviations of at least 963 (women) or 2,176 mg/d (men) from the median 24-hour urine creatinine excretion during this 6-year period. The main analyses of this report were repeated after excluding these measurements and provided results similar to those obtained including all available data. Therefore, the analyses reported here include the complete data set.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**

Study participants were middle aged and 39% were women (Table 1). Most study participants had increased BMI ($>$25 kg/m$^2$). Those in the highest BMI category were slightly younger than those with lower BMI. As anticipated, average systolic and diastolic BPs were within the reference ranges, and average serum creatinine level was increased across categories of BMI, reflecting the nature of the study population. Mean fasting serum glucose level was in the high-normal range, non-HDL cholesterol level was moderately
increased, and mean serum triglyceride level was in the reference range. Mean serum uric acid level was higher than normal. There was a trend for increased BP and glucose, triglyceride, uric acid, and non-HDL cholesterol levels and a trend toward decreased HDL cholesterol level with increasing BMI.

**Urine Creatinine, Total Protein, and Albumin Excretion Rates**

Urine creatinine excretion was approximately symmetrically distributed and, as expected, higher in men compared with women (Table 2). In men, 25th-75th percentiles of urine creatinine index (creatinine per body weight per day) were 15-23 mg/kg/d, and for women, the corresponding values were approximately 12-18 mg/kg/d. Overall, these data combined with sensitivity analyses (see Methods) suggest that 24-hour collections were adequate for quantification of 24-hour urine creatinine, protein, and albumin excretion.

Urine total protein excretion rate was increased overall and numerically higher in men compared with women. Distributions of urine total protein–creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios by sex are shown separately for men and women in Fig 1. Both urine total protein–creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios varied considerably in both male and female study participants (Fig 1; Table 2), and their distributions showed marked positive skewness. Overall, approximately 70% of AASK cohort enrollees had a daily urine total protein excretion rate <300 mg/d; this is similar to the 67.2% previously reported for the larger group of enrollees in the AASK trial. The geometric mean of urine total protein–creatinine ratio was 41.5% (95% confidence interval [CI], 10.6-81.0) higher in women than men (Table 2). Distributions of urine albumin-creatinine ratios generally were similar between men and women. The fraction of urine total protein contributed by albumin was about 20%.

**Associations of Urine Total Protein–Creatinine and Urine Albumin-Creatinine Ratios With Baseline Covariates**

After adjustment for sex only, age, BMI, serum creatinine level, diastolic BP, systolic BP, and uric acid level were each significantly associated with both urine total protein–creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios (Table 3). Of baseline factors considered in Table 3, we observed a significant interaction with sex only for serum triglyceride level \( (P = 0.03) \) on log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine ratio. Each 50-mg/dL increase in serum triglyceride level was associated with a 17.0% (95% CI, 5.8-29.3) increase in urine total protein–creatinine ratio \( (P = 0.002) \) for women \( (n = 221) \), but only a 0.1% (95% CI, −9.1 to 10.2) increase for men \( (P = 0.9; n = 357) \).

In multivariable analysis, age, serum glucose level, log-transformed serum creatinine level, systolic BP, and BMI were independently associated with one or both indices (Table 4). Statistically significant interactions were found between BMI and age, such that associations of log-transformed urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine indices with BMI were more pronounced in younger than older participants.
Association Between Urine Total Protein Excretion and BMI

Approximately 50% of the study population had a BMI within the obese range (>30 kg/m²; Fig 2). As noted, both urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios were associated independently with BMI in the full cohort in both regression models shown in Table 4. Under the expanded regression models, at a mean age of 60 years, each 2-kg/m² increment in BMI was associated with a 3.5% (95% CI, 0.4-6.7; P = 0.03) increment in geometric mean urine total protein–creatinine ratio and a 5.6% (95% CI, 1.5-9.9; P = 0.007) increment in geometric mean urine albumin-creatinine ratio. Because of the interactions between BMI and age, these estimated effects, expressed as percentages of increase in geometric mean levels per 2 kg/m², increased by 4.9% and 6.3% for each decade younger than 60 years for urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios, respectively.

As shown in Fig 2A and C, in patients younger than the median age of 61 years, there was a graded increase in adjusted geometric mean urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios with increasing BMI after controlling for the other baseline factors listed in the expanded regression model shown in Table 4. This relationship was not apparent for the subgroup older than 61 years (Fig 2B and D).

Discussion

The principal new finding in this study is the independent association between BMI and urine total protein and urine albumin excretion in African Americans with hypertensive nephrosclerosis. We found that BMI was related independently to both urine total protein–creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios, and that higher urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios were observed in those with the highest BMI. This association was independent of traditional factors previously observed or hypothesized to be related to proteinuria, including BP, level of kidney function, glycemia, and hyperuricemia. In addition, we found that this association was particularly evident in individuals younger than 61 years. This finding raises the possibility that obesity is a risk factor for proteinuria and albuminuria in hypertensive nephrosclerosis and may have a role in the development and progression of kidney disease, particularly in younger patients.

Recent studies suggest that people with metabolic syndrome are at higher risk of nephropathy. Cross-sectional analyses of NHANES III (Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) data identified an association between metabolic syndrome and BMI and risk of CKD.1,2 Also, the Framingham investigators reported an independent association between BMI and proteinuria.13 In contrast, longitudinal data from the CHS (Cardiovascular Health Study) and the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities) Study indicated that waist-to-hip ratio, but not BMI, was associated with incident CKD.14 A weakness of all the mentioned studies was the use of urine dipstick or spot urine samples to detect or quantify total protein or albumin. In contrast, our study used 24-hour urine samples to measure urine total protein, albumin, and creatinine excretion and calculate urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios.
In the AASK, we previously reported a high prevalence of metabolic syndrome and that metabolic syndrome was associated with proteinuria and progression of kidney disease in African Americans with established CKD. However, after controlling for proteinuria, metabolic syndrome was not associated independently with CKD progression. In the present study, we evaluated a population of individuals with known CKD to identify factors that may be associated with proteinuria, a known predictor of kidney disease progression in hypertensive nephrosclerosis. We found that after controlling for level of kidney function and other components of metabolic syndrome (glucose, triglyceride, and HDL cholesterol levels and BP), increased BMI was associated strongly with both albuminuria and proteinuria. We also found a significant interaction between BMI, proteinuria, and age, such that the relationship between BMI and proteinuria was stronger in younger compared with older participants. The precise explanation for this interaction is not clear. Both increased and decreased BMI have been associated with incident CKD in Asian population-based studies. In the US population, higher BMI has been associated with increased risk of end-stage renal disease independent of age. It is of interest that increased BMI is associated independently with proteinuria in younger individuals in Japan. We speculate that the stronger association between BMI and proteinuria in younger participants in the AASK cohort may be caused by differences in adipokine secretion and/or visceral body fat, the latter having a stronger association with albuminuria. Additional studies are needed to better understand the important interaction between age and BMI on proteinuria shown in our analysis.

Obesity has been associated with heavy proteinuria in those with focal sclerosis and glomerulomegaly. However, apart from a preliminary report suggesting that overweight habitus is an independent risk factor for proteinuria in kidney transplant recipients, we are unaware of reports of obesity as a risk factor for proteinuria and albuminuria in the general population or patients with CKD. We found a graded increase in the magnitude of urine total protein–creatinine and urine albumin-creatinine ratios and BMI (Fig 2). In addition, we found an independent association between albuminuria and BMI when BMI was evaluated as a continuous variable (Table 4).

Possible mechanisms by which obesity could cause proteinuria include alteration in podocyte structure or function, glomerular capillary hypertension, and adipocyte-derived cytokines. The latter have been purported to increase in glomerular capillary permeability to proteins and enhance renal fibrosis. Recent studies suggest that adipokines, such as adiponectin, may increase glomerular permeability to plasma proteins, leading to proteinuria. In addition, obesity has been associated with transforming growth factor β, a cytokine that contributes to proteinuria, renal fibrosis, and progressive kidney disease.

Our study describes the association between BMI and proteinuria; however, it cannot establish a causal link between them. Still, in separate models, we assessed the impact of covariates that could be in the causal pathway for both obesity and proteinuria, including glucose, uric acid, and BP. Hypertension, hyperglycemia, and insulin resistance are associated with obesity and albuminuria. In addition, in patients with diabetes, hyperuricemia is associated with metabolic syndrome, albuminuria, and uric acid stone
After taking these factors into account, we found that BMI remained associated independently with both proteinuria and albuminuria in our study population.

Limitations of our study include that the analysis was cross-sectional in nature and involved a single measurement of urine total protein and albumin. Because urine total protein can vary from day to day, repeated measurements may provide better precision for assessing the relationships between proteinuria and factors considered in this study. However, prior reports of analyses of proteinuria and outcomes in the AASK indicate that total protein-creatinine ratio is a strong predictor of end-stage renal disease.\(^7\) Study participants were selected on the basis of a clinical diagnosis of CKD with decreased GFR; therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to populations with hypertension and GFR in the reference range. In addition, because most study participants had very low levels of urine total protein and albumin, the data may be limited in applicability to the general population. In this regard, it will be important to further explore the nonalbumin component of urine total proteins to determine whether better protein biomarkers of kidney disease prediction and progression can be identified, particularly in those with kidney disease that progresses to end stage. Finally, we did not measure waist circumference or waist-to-hip ratio in our study, a potential independent risk factor for CKD, as discussed.

In conclusion, we found that BMI was associated independently with urine total protein-creatinine and albumin-creatinine ratios in African Americans with hypertensive nephrosclerosis, particularly in younger patients. An important observation is that obese individuals (BMI >30 kg/m\(^2\)) and especially those with BMI >35 kg/m\(^2\) have higher urine total protein and albumin excretion rates. This finding raises the possibility that obesity may be causally related to kidney injury and could represent a modifiable risk factor for the development and progression of CKD. The association between obesity and proteinuria and albuminuria requires further investigation.
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Figure 1.
Histograms of urine albumin-creatinine ratio (left-hand panels) and urine total protein-creatinine ratio (right-hand panels) in men (top) and women (bottom) in the AASK (African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension) cohort.
Figure 2.
Relationships between body mass index (BMI) and (A, B) geometric mean urine total protein–creatinine ratio (UPCR) and (C, D) urine albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR) in patients (A, C) 61 years or younger and (B, D) older than 61 years. Shown are adjusted geometric mean values and 95% confidence intervals, controlling for age, sex, blood pressure, serum creatinine level, serum uric acid level, and fasting serum glucose level.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Variables</th>
<th>All Patients (N = 652)</th>
<th>&lt;25 (n = 114)</th>
<th>25-30 (n = 200)</th>
<th>30-35 (n = 156)</th>
<th>&gt;35 (n = 182)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y) $^a$</td>
<td>60.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>63.0 ± 9.95</td>
<td>61.1 ± 10.1</td>
<td>60.7 ± 10.2</td>
<td>57.2 ± 9.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCr (mg/dL)</td>
<td>2.27 ± 1.46</td>
<td>2.44 ± 2.08</td>
<td>2.21 ± 1.38</td>
<td>2.18 ± 1.17</td>
<td>2.31 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>135 ± 21.6</td>
<td>136 ± 23.6</td>
<td>134 ± 21.2</td>
<td>136 ± 22.7</td>
<td>137 ± 19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>80.4 ± 12.3</td>
<td>79.3 ± 11.5</td>
<td>79.9 ± 13.0</td>
<td>80.8 ± 13.0</td>
<td>81.4 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>201 ± 45.3</td>
<td>200 ± 51.4</td>
<td>199 ± 44.4</td>
<td>204 ± 42.4</td>
<td>202 ± 45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) $^a$</td>
<td>47.2 ± 15.2</td>
<td>51.8 ± 18.6</td>
<td>48.7 ± 15.7</td>
<td>46.0 ± 14.1</td>
<td>43.9 ± 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>154 ± 43.5</td>
<td>148 ± 50.5</td>
<td>150 ± 42.3</td>
<td>158 ± 39.7</td>
<td>158 ± 42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL) $^a$</td>
<td>144 ± 90.4</td>
<td>127 ± 83.6</td>
<td>142 ± 103</td>
<td>141 ± 73.8</td>
<td>158 ± 91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (mg/dL) $^a$</td>
<td>8.78 ± 2.17</td>
<td>8.45 ± 2.20</td>
<td>8.63 ± 2.22</td>
<td>8.85 ± 2.17</td>
<td>9.09 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$) $^a$</td>
<td>31.4 ± 7.02</td>
<td>22.4 ± 19.8</td>
<td>27.6 ± 13.9</td>
<td>32.4 ± 14.0</td>
<td>40.4 ± 4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>101 ± 34.2</td>
<td>94.8 ± 21.0</td>
<td>101 ± 44.3</td>
<td>101 ± 26.1</td>
<td>106 ± 33.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Conversion factors for units: SCr in mg/dL to $\mu$mol/L, ×88.4; total, HDL, and non-HDL cholesterol in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.02586; triglycerides in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.01129; uric acid in mg/dL to $\mu$mol/L, ×59.48; glucose in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.5551.*

*Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SCr, serum creatinine.*

*Significant difference ($P < 0.05$) among mean levels in the 4 groups using analysis of variance.*
### Table 2
Summary of Baseline Urine Indices of Cohort Population by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients (N = 652)</th>
<th>Men(n = 400)</th>
<th>Women (n = 252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median (25th-75th percentiles)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine Cr (mg/d)</strong></td>
<td>1,596 ± 855</td>
<td>1,502 (1,122-1,987)</td>
<td>1,833 ± 956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine Cr/weight (mg/kg/d)</strong></td>
<td>18 ± 10</td>
<td>17 (13-21)</td>
<td>19 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine protein (mg/d)</strong></td>
<td>533 ± 1,067</td>
<td>91 (40-461)</td>
<td>536 ± 1,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UPCR (mg/g)</strong></td>
<td>377 ± 821</td>
<td>63 (29-325)</td>
<td>340 ± 762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine Alb (mg/d)</strong></td>
<td>178 ± 464</td>
<td>10 (5-88)</td>
<td>180 ± 448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UACR (mg/g)</strong></td>
<td>123 ± 336</td>
<td>7 (3-57)</td>
<td>113 ± 296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine Alb/protein (%)</strong></td>
<td>21 ± 17</td>
<td>16 (9-26)</td>
<td>23 ± 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Alb, albumin; Cr, creatinine; SD, standard deviation; UACR, urine albumin-creatinine ratio; UPCR, urine total protein–creatinine ratio.

*a* Significant difference (*P* < 0.05) between women and men using the Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>UPCR (mg/g) % Change (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>UACR (mg/g) % Change (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>41.5 (10.9 to 81.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>17.0 (-13.8 to 58.9)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted for sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (/10 y)</td>
<td>−34.4 (−41.4 to −26.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−37.0 (−45.3 to −27.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (/2 kg/m²)</td>
<td>6.7 (3.2 to 10.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>9.4 (4.9 to 14.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (/10 mm Hg)</td>
<td>34.1 (22.0 to 47.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>41.1 (25.4 to 58.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (/10 mm Hg)</td>
<td>16.9 (10.7 to 23.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>20.9 (13.0 to 29.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCr (/doubling)</td>
<td>257.9 (201.9 to 324.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>260.7 (188.7 to 350.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (/20 mg/dL)</td>
<td>−0.5 (−5.7 to 5.1)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>−0.2 (−6.8 to 6.8)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (/5 mg/dL)</td>
<td>−2.3 (−6.2 to 2.0)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>−2.2 (−7.1 to 3.1)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-HDL cholesterol (/20 mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.6 (−4.9 to 6.3)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8 (−6.0 to 8.0)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (/50 mg/dL)</td>
<td>5.4 (−1.7 to 13.0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.9 (−2.9 to 15.6)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (/1 mg/dL)</td>
<td>10.6 (4.6 to 16.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>7.7 (0.5 to 15.5)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (/10 mg/dL)</td>
<td>2.3 (−1.2 to 6.0)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.3 (−0.1 to 9.0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Percentages of differences in geometric mean UPCRs or UACRs associated with the indicated increases in the respective predictor variables in univariate analyses adjusting for sex. Conversion factors for units: creatinine in mg/dL to μmol/L, ×88.4; total, HDL, and non-HDL cholesterol in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.02586; triglycerides in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.01129; uric acid in mg/dL to μmol/L, ×59.48; glucose in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.5551.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SCr, serum creatinine; UACR, urine albumin-creatinine ratio; UPCR, urine total protein–creatinine ratio.
### Table 4
Multivariable Models Relating UPCR and UACR to Baseline Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UPCR (mg/g) (n = 649)</th>
<th>UACR (mg/g) (n = 648)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Change&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Using Selected Set of Baseline Variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (/10 y)</td>
<td>−20.8 (−28.6 to −12.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (/2 kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.4 to 6.7)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>89.1 (52.8 to 134.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCr (/doubling)</td>
<td>227.2 (176.3 to 287.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &amp; age interaction</td>
<td>−4.9 (−7.5 to −2.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Using Expanded Set of Baseline Variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (/10 y)</td>
<td>−23.8 (−31.0 to −15.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (/2 kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.5 to 6.7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>69.7 (37.9 to 108.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCr (/doubling)</td>
<td>245.9 (190.8 to 311.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (/10 mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.7 to 6.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (/10 mm Hg)</td>
<td>17.7 (12.5 to 23.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (/1 mg/dL)</td>
<td>−5.0 (−9.5 to −0.2)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &amp; age interaction</td>
<td>−4.1 (−6.7 to −1.5)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Conversion factors for units: SCr in mg/dL to μmol/L, ×88.4; uric acid in mg/dL to μmol/L, ×59.48; glucose in mg/dL to mmol/L, ×0.5551.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; SCr, serum creatinine; UACR, urine albumin-creatinine ratio; UPCR, urine total protein–creatinine ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Percentage of differences in geometric mean UPCRs or UACRs associated with the indicated increases in the listed predictor variables in multivariable analysis.