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SUMMARY

The dopamine receptor 5 gene (DRD5) holds much promise as a candidate locus for contributing to neuropsychiatric disorders and other diseases influenced by the dopaminergic system, as well as having potential to affect normal behavioral variation. However, detailed analyses of this gene have been complicated by its location within a segmentally duplicated chromosomal region. Microsatellites and SNPs upstream from the coding region have been used for association studies, but we find, using bioinformatics resources, that these markers all lie within a previously unrecognized second segmental duplication (SD). In order to accurately analyze the DRD5 locus for polymorphisms in the absence of contaminating pseudogene sequences, we developed a fast and reliable method for sequence analysis and genotyping within the DRD5 coding region. We employed restriction enzyme digestion of genomic DNA to eliminate the pseudogenes prior to PCR amplification of the functional gene. This approach allowed us to determine the DRD5 haplotype structure using 31 trios and to reveal additional rare variants in 171 unrelated individuals. We clarify the inconsistencies and errors of the recorded SNPs in dbSNP and HapMap and illustrate the importance of using caution when choosing SNPs in regions of suspected duplications. The simple and relatively inexpensive method presented herein allows for convenient analysis of sequence variation in DRD5 and can be easily adapted to other duplicated genomic regions in order to obtain good quality sequence data.
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INTRODUCTION

Dopamine receptor genes are key players in regulating neurotransmission in response to dopamine and, therefore, are of central interest in a range of neuropsychiatric diseases, including schizophrenia, substance addiction, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Since many behaviors and psychiatric conditions show moderate to high heritability, the study of genetic variation in the dopamine-related genes will contribute to unraveling the molecular mechanisms of the catecholamine pathway. These insights into the
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role of genetic variability could contribute to the development of personalized pharmaceutical intervention based upon the genotype of each individual patient.

There are five dopamine receptors belonging to two families, characterized by whether they activate (DRD1 and DRD5) or inhibit (DRD2, DRD3 and DRD4) adenylate cyclase in response to ligand binding (Gingrich and Caron 1993). These dopamine receptors have been the subject of numerous studies focusing on the role of dopaminergic genes in a variety of diseases (Wong, Buckle, and Van Tol 2000). DRD5 has been examined for association primarily with ADHD but also with other disorders such as blepharospasm, cervical dystonia, migraine, smoking initiation and nicotine dependence, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and substance abuse (Mill et al. 2004; Barr et al. 2001; Hawi et al. 2003; Daly et al. 1999; Misbahuddin et al. 2002; Placzek et al. 2001; Shepherd et al. 2002; Sullivan et al. 2001; Muir et al. 2001; Williams et al. 1997; Asherson et al. 1998; Vanyukov et al. 1998).

Particular methodological problems confront those attempting to understand the role of the DRD5 gene because of the presence in the human genome of two segmental duplications each with more than 95% sequence identity to the DRD5 gene, reported to encompass the transcribed region of the DRD5 gene and 14 kb of downstream sequence (Grandy et al. 1991; Sunahara et al. 1991). In order to examine the association of the DRD5 locus with disease status, most studies have used two polymorphic microsatellites generally assumed to be outside the duplication, one located 18.2 kb upstream of the transcription start site and one within the DRD5 promoter (Daly et al. 1999; Mill et al. 2004). However, in this paper, we call attention to an additional segmental duplication encompassing the entire region upstream of the DRD5 transcription start site, including the microsatellites, which has the potential to significantly contribute to genotyping errors.

The goal of this study was to characterize the true DRD5 coding region without interference from the closely related pseudogenes. Although several variable SNPs have already been identified within or directly surrounding the DRD5 locus, they are not often used for association studies, possibly due to concern about confounding results produced by the presence of the segmental duplications and the technically challenging nature of the assays (Feng et al. 1998; Sobell et al. 1995, Asherson et al. 1998).

Herein we report, for the first time, the haplotype structure of the DRD5 coding region. We describe a simple and reliable method that allows confident, high volume genotyping of known SNPs within the DRD5 coding region, while simultaneously allowing the discovery of novel variation. Analysis of the DRD5 coding region reveals two highly polymorphic SNPs in weak linkage disequilibrium and a low frequency of rare variants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DNA samples**

Buccal swab samples were obtained from 31 trios consisting of one child and their biological parents and 171 unrelated control individuals. The sample population was drawn from unrelated families and individuals in mid-Michigan, consisting of 71% Caucasians, 16% African American, and 13% other (mixed ethnicity, Latino, Asian, or Pacific Islander). Genomic DNA was prepared from buccal swabs using phenol/chloroform as previously described (Meulenbelt et al. 1995). Informed written parental consent and written assent for minor children was obtained according to University IRB-approved procedures.

**Bioinformatics analysis**

Human DRD5 coding region sequences were obtained from the UCSC genome browser (Kent et al. 2002) (http://genome.ucsc.edu; March 2006 build). The DRD5 coding region
and 200 bp of surrounding sequence were compared to the whole human genome sequence using BLAT (Kent 2002). The corresponding homologous pseudogene sequences on HSA1 and HSA2 (human chromosome 1 and human chromosome 2) were obtained from the browser. Each pseudogene sequence was compared to \textit{DRD5} by BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) and restriction sites unique to the pseudogenes were identified.

**Elimination of pseudogenes, and PCR amplification of DRD5**

Genomic DNA was digested with NcoI, trace amounts of DNA uncut by NcoI were removed with T7 endonuclease, and the \textit{DRD5} gene was amplified in two minimally overlapping fragments using the following primers: fragment A (P1: 5′-GAGGGTCCCTTGCTGAG-3′ and P2: 5′-CCCTCTCCAGGGAGGAAATC-3′); fragment B (P3: 5′-GACTCCAGCTGAATCGAAC-3′ and P4: 5′-GATAAAGGGAGCAGCAGCCTGG-3′). Each primer set was designed such that the amplicon would span the NcoI restriction site that is found in the homologous pseudogenes (see online supplement for additional detail).

**Genotyping and haplotype analysis**

Sequencing was performed using both the forward and reverse primers in separate reactions, on an ABI Prism 3700 DNA Analyzer at the Research Technology Support Facility at Michigan State University and analyzed using Sequencher™ v4.7 (Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI). Haplotypes for each parent were inferred from parent and offspring genotypes. Allele and haplotype frequencies were estimated using only the 60 parents from the trios and 171 individual controls, who were assumed to represent unrelated individuals in the sample population. The program Haploview (version 3.32) (www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview/) (Barrett et al. 2005) was used to examine the LD structure and to estimate $D'$ and $r^2$ (see online supplement for additional detail).

**Genomic duplication breakpoints and rearrangements**

The segmental duplication (SD) track from the UCSC human genome browser was used to identify the extent of the segmental duplications surrounding \textit{DRD5} and to compare the two pseudogenes to one another. Additional genomic sequence from HSA2 was identified from the trace database (BLASTn: Unfinished High Throughput Genomic Sequences) by BLAST (see online supplement for additional details).

**RESULTS**

**Determination of the extent of duplications**

A bioinformatics approach was used to analyze the extent and boundaries of the \textit{DRD5} duplications and to determine how these duplications might interfere with genotyping of the \textit{DRD5} gene in humans. This analysis (described briefly below and provided in detail in the online supplementary material) revealed that the first duplication event of the \textit{DRD5} region was from HSA4 to HSA2, followed by an inversion on HSA2, and finally by a second duplication event from HSA2 to HSA1 (figure 1). A partial analysis of the pseudogenes was previously published by another group, but it was limited because, at that time, the complete human genome sequence was not available (Marchese et al. 1995).

**Events leading to the segmental duplications containing the DRD5 pseudogenes**

An inferred order of events leading to the creation the \textit{DRD5} pseudogenes is shown in figure 1. Because the \textit{DRD5} pseudogenes are also found on gorilla chromosomes 1 and 2, these events most likely occurred prior to the divergence of the human and gorilla lineages (Marchese et al. 1995). The most likely scenario by which the duplications occurred
involves a segmental duplication of a 32.9 kb region containing the \textit{DRD5} gene from chromosome 4 to the pericentromeric region of an ancestral chromosome 2. A subsequent inversion containing part of the segmental duplication (SD) occurred from the original duplicated region fragmenting it into two pieces (which we refer to as the distal and proximal regions) separated by a 22.2 kb segment of DNA picked up from the ancestral chromosome 2 (shown in pink in figure 1). An even larger segment encompassing this entire region was subsequently duplicated to chromosome 1.

The microsatellite commonly used for psychiatric association studies of \textit{DRD5} (Daly et al. 1999) is present in the proximal SD (encompassing 18.8 kb upstream of \textit{DRD5}) on HSA2. Its presence on HSA1 is likely, given that a clone (AC023576), containing unassembled pieces of HSA1, contains 654 bp of sequence, including the microsatellite, that is 92\% identical to the 5‘ end of the proximal duplication of HSA2 and extends 67 bp 5‘ to the HSA2/HSA4 breakpoint.

For accurate genotyping, potential PCR products from these segmental duplications must be eliminated for studies that include not only the coding region on HSA4, but also the nearby flanking regions (from 8,933,730 to 9,410,892, NCBI Build 36.1).

\textbf{Elimination of pseudogenes in the DRD5 amplicon}

Comparison of \textit{DRD5} to the two pseudogenes, \textit{ΨDRD5-1} and \textit{ΨDRD5-2}, revealed several restriction enzyme sites unique to the pseudogenes. The \textit{NcoI} recognition site was chosen because it is present in both pseudogenes directly in the middle of the region homologous to the coding exon (figure 2A). The coding region of \textit{DRD5} is contained within a single exon and is over 1400 bp in length with 60.8\% GC content, making it difficult to amplify in one piece. Therefore, the coding region was amplified in two overlapping segments surrounding the \textit{NcoI} site. Using this strategy, only a single restriction enzyme digestion of the genomic DNA was needed prior to amplification with either primer set.

Before proceeding with sequence analysis, we verified that the pseudogene was not present in the PCR product (figure 2B). Genomic DNA not treated with enzyme prior to PCR generated mainly pseudogene amplicons as seen by the presence of primarily \textit{NcoI} digested DNA post-amplification (lane 3; figure 2B). \textit{NcoI} pretreatment eliminated the majority of the pseudogene sequences (lane 4; figure 2B), however, a residual amount of contaminating sequence remained. We reasoned that this might be due to the presence of a small amount of single-stranded DNA at the \textit{NcoI} site which could not be efficiently cut by the restriction enzyme, therefore allowing some pseudogene sequence to still be amplified (Svaren et al. 1987; Belotserkovskii and Johnston 1997). The inclusion of a single-strand specific endonuclease along with the restriction enzyme eliminated the majority of the visual trace of the contaminating pseudogenes (lane 5; figure 2B). Sequence analysis of the resulting PCR products from fragments A and B confirmed the absence of pseudogene sequence in the amplicons.

\textbf{Sequence analysis, SNP detection, and haplotype structure of DRD5 after elimination of the pseudogenes}

To test for variation and determine \textit{DRD5} haplotype structure, genomic DNA from 31 parent/child trios was treated and sequenced as described above. Four previously described SNPs (L88F, P326P, C335X, and C1481T) were identified and their details are summarized in Table 1. Of the two common SNPs, rs6283 (P326P) is a synonymous variation and rs1967551 (C1481T) exists in the 3‘UTR. The two additional variants were each seen once in two separate parents: one change results in a non-synonymous substitution (L88F) and the
other results in a nonsense mutation (C335X). Both of these rare variants were found in a heterozygous state, each in a different parent from unrelated families.

In order to genotype the common SNPs in a large sampling of unrelated individuals, 171 subjects were sequenced for fragment B, which contained both common polymorphisms. Overall minor allele frequencies for rs6283 and rs1967551, calculated using the 171 unrelated individuals and the parents from the trios, were .31 and .44, respectively (Table 1). This re-sequencing effort revealed three additional SNPs, two of which (P330Q and P330P) were previously reported by Sobell and colleagues (Sobell et al. 1995). Allele frequencies for all identified SNPs are reported in Table 1. The allele frequencies for the two common SNPs are also summarized by self-identified ethnicity (Table 2). No individuals homozygous for the rare alleles were identified. One novel non-synonymous SNP, D342E, was discovered in a single individual. The three other rare variants reported by Sobell et al. (1995) were not found in our sample.

Haplotypes for the two common SNPs were assembled using the data from thirty informative trios (Table 3). All four possible haplotypes were found in the population. Haplotype 3 was the most common, occurring on 56% of the chromosomes. The other three haplotypes were each present on 13–15% of the population chromosomes. These two SNPs are in statistically significant linkage disequilibrium (LD) (D’=0.34; r²=0.11, p<0.002).

Analysis of gene/pseudogene sequence differences and previously reported putative SNPs

The dbSNP database was queried for SNPs listed within the coding region sequenced in our study in order to compare the SNPs present in the published database with those found by direct sequencing (Table 4). Although 23 SNPs are listed in dbSNP, we observed only two of them in our study population (rs6383 and rs1967551). The other SNPs we identified did not appear in either database. A search of the HapMap database revealed only five SNPs with extremely low reported MAFs within and surrounding DRD5. One SNP (rs1967551) represents C1481T with a reported heterozygosity in HapMap of <1%, based upon the report for record ss44517958. In contrast, this SNP had a heterozygosity of 0.43 in our population of mixed ethnicity and is reported to have an MAF of 0.35 in only one of the three dbSNP records (ss2871256). The other SNP that was identified and genotyped in our study, rs6283, does not exist in the Hapmap database but is reported in dbSNP with allele frequencies of 0.325 and 0.16. Comparison of the DRD5 sequence to that of the pseudogenes revealed that three of the other four SNPs found in HapMap in the DRD5 gene, all with reported MAFs <1%, probably represent differences between DRD5 and the two pseudogene sequences.

An analysis comparing all 23 recorded SNPs in the DRD5 coding region to nucleotide differences with the two pseudogenes revealed that 13 of the SNPs correspond to differences with the pseudogenes (Table 4; Likely PSV). It is possible that some of these and the other non-validated SNPs actually represent polymorphisms on HSA1 or HSA2 or, perhaps more likely, represent differences between duplicated chromosomal segments that are incorrectly assigned as polymorphisms by the computer algorithms used to detect SNPs.

DISCUSSION

The presence of segmental duplications surrounding the DRD5 gene has been an impediment to accurate genotyping of markers near the locus. These technical complications obscure efforts to evaluate its association with neuropsychiatric disorders. Thus, it is important to fully understand the genomic structure of the gene and to develop an accurate
and convenient method to genotype this locus so its involvement can be properly evaluated. The present paper addresses this problem with a new analysis of the DRD5 gene.

Previous studies discovered and described the distal duplication of the DRD5 genomic region (Grandy et al. 1991; Eubanks et al. 1992). However, the publication of the nearly complete human genome sequence allowed us to use bioinformatics to examine the duplication in detail. This approach revealed a previously unreported, proximal duplicated region that includes the DRD5 basal promoter and 16.8 bp of upstream sequence. It is tempting to speculate that any regulatory variation of DRD5 affecting phenotypes will lie proximal to the OTOP1/DRD5 inversion breakpoint inferred from this study (i.e., bases centromeric to 9,373,840 on HSA4; details in the online supplement).

The proximal SD contains 16.8 kb of sequence upstream from the actual DRD5 transcription start site, as defined by Beischlag et al., and extends 18 bp into the 5’ UTR (Beischlag et al. 1995). It should be noted that the TSS reported in the UCSC genome browser is not consistent with the experimentally derived TSS established using RACE (Beischlag et al. 1995). The proximal SD exists in an inverted orientation and is located 22.2 kb away from the distal duplication containing the pseudogene on HSA1 and 2 (figure 1).

The presence of this proximal duplication at two other loci could affect genotyping of the commonly used STR marker, which lies within the 5’ end of this SD on HSA1 and HSA2 (figure 1). One of the published PCR primers is a perfect match, while the other primer has five mismatches with the duplication, four of which are at the extreme 5’ terminus, so it may still be possible to get co-amplification of unwanted products along with the sequence of interest, complicating genotyping calls. In addition, the other SNP that has been used for association studies, C1481T, lies in the doubly duplicated distal SD and similar complications could arise in genotyping this polymorphism.

Although the original technique used to identify variants in the DRD5 gene, called REF (restriction endonuclease fingerprinting), was unique, this method is not amenable to contemporary automation and did not incorporate an internal control to ensure lack of pseudogene amplification (Sobell et al. 1995). Our goal of re-sequencing the DRD5 gene in a large sample required the development of an approach more suited to a larger scale of genotyping and the inclusion of internal controls for specific amplification of the locus of interest without interference from the SDs. Given that roughly 5% of the human genome is segmentally duplicated and that many of these regions contain active genes, we believe that this approach should be of value to other researchers faced with similar predicaments.

A preliminary screen of 31 trios for variants in the DRD5 coding region facilitated accurate genotyping of two SNPs with minor allele frequencies >0.3 and two previously reported rare variants, one non-synonymous change and one premature stop codon (Feng et al. 1998; Sobell et al. 1995). Haplotype analysis of the association between the two common SNPs, separated by 503 bp, revealed that they are in significant, but weak, LD (D’=0.34; p<0.002, Fisher’s exact test). An additional 171 unrelated individuals were re-sequenced for the fragment in order to determine allele frequencies for each common SNP in a larger population. This re-sequencing approach revealed only three additional low frequency SNPs (P330P, P330Q, and D342E).

Although neither of the two common SNPs are predicted to be functional polymorphisms, since rs6283 (P326P) is synonymous and rs1967551 (C1481T) exists in the 3’ UTR (and which does not appear to overlie an miRNA target), they are highly variable markers across populations and will be useful for further association analyses for testing genetic linkage of the DRD5 coding region to any phenotype of interest, particularly ADHD given the previous results of positive association of the linked microsatellite with the disorder (Lowe et al. 2002).
2004). These markers are only 503 bp apart, but the weak degree of LD suggests that they exist in separate haplotype blocks and can both be genotyped using the same sequencing reaction, thus enabling the two LD blocks to be tested for association using a single assay. This re-sequencing method also allows for screening of less frequent mutations, such as the nonsense mutation (C335X), P330Q, P330P, and the newly discovered variant, D342E.

The characterization of the extent of duplications in this region illustrates the importance of examining genomic structure prior to embarking on large genotyping studies and choosing markers which do not lie within duplicated chromosomal regions. Caution should be taken in using non-validated SNPs in regions of duplications due to an inability to determine on which chromosome the actual variation exists. In the case of DRD5, choosing a nearby non-duplicated area to examine is not possible because this locus is surrounded by numerous segmental duplications.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Schematic representation of the segmental duplications (SD) surrounding \( \text{DRD5} \). The large blue arrow represents the \( \text{DRD5} \) coding region, the small blue line is the 5' UTR. The positions of the TSS and the commonly used STR are indicated with arrows. Black lines represent unique sequence on each chromosome. The green box represents the proximal SD. The green hatched box represents homologous sequence between HSA4 and HSA2, however, this region is missing in the assembly of HSA1 (gap). The light blue box represents the distal SD, which exists in an inverted orientation to the proximal SD on HSA1 and 2. The orange box is unique sequence within the 5' UTR which is not found in either SD. The pink box and the dotted gray box represent sequence that is homologous between HSA1 and 2 only. BP indicates the 5’ breakpoint between the distal duplication and HSA1 and 2 as described by Beischlag et al. (1995). Numbers represent nucleotide positions for each breakpoint as determined from the UCSC browser (March 2006 build).
Figure 2.
Figure 2A. Schematic of the $DRD5$ amplification strategy and detail of restriction enzyme recognition site. The black line represents the HSA4 region containing $DRD5$, the approximate positions of the start codon (↑) and stop codon (▼) are indicated. The two PCR fragments are shown with forward and reverse primers and locations indicated by arrows. The highlighted box indicates the region surrounding the $NcoI$ restriction enzyme site (CCATGG) in the pseudogenes, or lack thereof in $DRD5$.

Figure 2B. Restriction enzyme analysis of the $DRD5$ fragment “A” PCR product for coamplification of pseudogene fragments. PCR amplifications were carried out with primers P1 and P2 using genomic DNA template as follows: lanes 2 & 3: no pre-treatment; lane 4: $NcoI$ predigest; lane 5: $NcoI$ and T7 endonuclease predigest. Amplification results in a PCR product of 905 bp (lane 2). $NcoI$ digestion following PCR cleaves 80 bp from the pseudogene amplicons resulting in an 825 bp fragment if coamplification products are present (lanes 3–4). Lane 1: 100 bp ladder (New England Biolabs); lane 6: negative control. The minor products larger than 905 bp in lanes 2, 3, and 4 are assumed to be heteroduplexes between the three coamplified PCR products.
Table 1

Sequence variations discovered in the DRD5 gene using trios and individual subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP ID</th>
<th>nucleotide change</th>
<th>codon change</th>
<th>minor allele</th>
<th>MAF b</th>
<th>Hc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs6283</td>
<td>C262T&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>L88F</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T978C&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P326P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C989A&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P330Q</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G990A&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P330P</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1005A&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C335X</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1026A</td>
<td>D342E</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1967551</td>
<td>C1481T&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3′ UTR</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> numbered from the translation start site

<sup>b</sup> MAF: minor allele frequency (determined from parents from trios and/or individual subjects)

<sup>c</sup> H: heterozygosity

<sup>d</sup> Feng J. et al. 1998

<sup>e</sup> Sobell J.L. et al. 1995
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele frequencies by ethnicity for 171 individuals.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171 total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>H: Heterozygosity

<sup>b</sup>others includes: Latinos, Asians, Pacific islanders
Table 3

DRD5 haplotypes in parents from 30 informative trios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype ID</th>
<th>rs6283</th>
<th>rs1967551</th>
<th>Observed no. of chromosomes</th>
<th>Observed percentage</th>
<th>Expected no. of chromosome</th>
<th>Expected percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The rs1967551 genotype was not obtained from two individuals, so 4 chromosomes are missing from the dataset of 60 individual parents (30 families).

b. Based upon Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.
Table 4

Information obtained on putative DRD5 coding region SNPs from dbSNP and HapMap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP ref ID</th>
<th>Likely PSV&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Het&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Alleles</th>
<th>Functional class</th>
<th>Validation method&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Method class&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs2227839</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227840</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>C/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>hybridize, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227848</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6282</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>G/T</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>hapmap</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227849</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227841</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227845</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>G/T</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227846</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227843</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency, hapmap</td>
<td>hybridize, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227852</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency, hapmap</td>
<td>hybridize, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227847</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227853</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227842</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227850</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency, hapmap</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227851</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>not validated</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2227844</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6283&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>2hit-2allele, frequency</td>
<td>computed, sequence, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1800762</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6281</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>not validated</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3205146</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs16888557</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>A/T</td>
<td>synonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs16888561</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>nonsynonymous</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1967555&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>mRNA-3'UTR</td>
<td>2hit-2allele, hapmap</td>
<td>computed, unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Likely PSV’s (paralogous sequence variants; Cheung et al. 2003): the nucleotide change described by the SNP also represents a sequence difference between the gene and each pseudogene

<sup>b</sup> Heterozygosity as reported in dbSNP

<sup>c</sup> Validation and Method class are as described in dbSNP

<sup>d</sup> Boldface type indicates the two SNPs confirmed herein