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Accurate translation of the genetic code is critical to ensure expression of proteins with correct amino acid sequences. Certain tRNAs can cause a shift out of frame (i.e., frameshifting) due to imbalances in tRNA concentrations, lack of tRNA modifications or insertions or deletions in tRNAs (called frameshift suppressors). Here, we determined the structural basis for how frameshift-suppressor tRNA5401 (a derivative of tRNA5501) reprograms the mRNA frame to translate a 4-nt codon when bound to the bacterial ribosome. After decoding at the aminoacyl (A) site, the crystal structure of the anticodon stem-loop of tRNA5401 bound in the peptidyl (P) site reveals ASL conformational changes that allow for recoding into the +1 mRNA frame. Furthermore, a crystal structure of full-length tRNA5501 programmed in the P site shows extensive conformational rearrangements of the 30S head and body domains similar to what is observed in a translocation intermediate state containing elongation factor G (EF-G). The 30S movement positions tRNA5501 toward the 30S exit (E) site disrupting key 16S tRNA–mRNA interactions that typically define the mRNA frame. In summary, this tRNA-induced 30S domain change in the absence of EF-G causes the ribosome to lose its grip on the mRNA and uncoouples the canonical forward movement of the tRNAs during elongation.

The ribosome coordinates the concerted movement of mRNA and tRNA pairs during translation while accurately maintaining the mRNA reading frame for the correct sequential addition of amino acids (1). Three-nucleotide mRNA sequences, or codons, encode for amino acids, and the selection of correct tRNAs helps maintain the precise mRNA frame. In the absence of translational fidelity, nonsense protein products are expressed or else premature termination occurs. Nevertheless, programmed recoding of the mRNA coding sequence can occur and is well established in viruses, prokaryotes, and eukaryotes (2–4). Certain tRNAs can cause a shift out of frame (i.e., frameshifting) due to imbalances in tRNA concentrations (5–8), lack of RNA modifications (9–14), or insertions or deletions in tRNAs known as frameshift suppressors (15–21). Synthetic biology has attempted to reprogram the genetic code by using frameshift-suppressor tRNAs for the incorporation of unnatural amino acids into proteins to probe questions of cellular protein localization, protein–protein interactions, and posttranslational modifications (22). Currently, however, unnatural amino acid incorporation suffers from low efficiency, leading to the inability to add multiple and different chemical moieties at defined locations in proteins. Such issues stem from a poor understanding of how the ribosome controls the normal mRNA frame and how this can be repurposed for recoding.

To determine whether the genetic code can be altered to nontriplet codons, experiments were conducted to identify suppressors of nucleotide insertions or deletions in essential genes (23–25). The ability to restore the mRNA reading frame by decoding a noncanonical mRNA codon was mediated predominately by mutated tRNAs, called frameshift suppressor tRNAs (reviewed in ref. 21). These tRNAs contained insertions or deletions along their entire body, but the majority were located in their anticodon stem-loop (ASL) region (Fig. 1A).

Recoding events induced by these frameshift-prone tRNAs could occur in either direction on the mRNA (5′ or 3′), resulting in positive or negative mRNA frameshifting, that is, a −1 event (a codon containing two nucleotides) or a +1 event (a codon containing four nucleotides). Although the structure and function of the ribosome has been extensively studied, it remains unclear at what point during elongation these tRNAs cause ribosome dysregulation and mRNA frameshifting.

The structures of +1 frameshift-suppressor or frameshift-prone tRNAs bound to the 70S ribosome provide important insights into how an ASL containing an extra nucleotide interacted with the aminoacyl (A) site during decoding of the mRNA codon (26–28). These studies established that the frameshift-prone tRNA decoded the mRNA in the unshifted or zero frame, thus indicating that the move into the frameshift must be in a postdecoding step. However, the point at which the shift occurs after the tRNA-mRNA pair leaves the A site is not clear. Indeed, the interactions between the ribosome and the mRNA-tRNA pairs bound at the A, peptidyl (P), and exit (E) sites change substantially, providing additional support for the idea that the move into the +1 frame occurs after the frameshift-prone tRNA has moved from the A site (Fig. 1B). For example, in the A site, the mRNA codon and the tRNA anticodon are closely monitored by 16S rRNA nucleotides G530, C1054, A1492, and A1493 to ensure cognate or correct tRNA selection
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These interactions likely enforce a 3-nt codon-anticodon pairing between frameshifting-prone tRNA and a 4-nt codon, thus preventing a shift into the new +1 frame (26–28). Once the tRNA-mRNA pair is moved or translocated to the P site by elongation factor G (EF-G), the ribosome minimally inspects the codon-anticodon pairing; P-site 16S rRNA nucleotides A790, A1338, and A1339 engage the anticodon stem, while nucleotide C1400 packs beneath the third codon-anticodon pair (30) (Fig. 1B). At the opposite end of the tRNA on the 50S, 23S rRNA nucleotides form extensive interactions to stabilize the tRNA for peptidyl transfer with the incoming aminoacyl-tRNA (aa-tRNA). On translocation of the tRNA to the E site, the lack of interactions between the ribosome and the codon-anticodon helix persists, and only 16S rRNA nucleotide G693 flanks the 5′ of the mRNA path (Fig. 1B). The absence of interactions of the ribosome with the codon-anticodon helix in the P and the E sites provides opportunities for disruption of the mRNA-tRNA pairing.

Several models have been proposed to explain the mechanism of frameshift-suppressor tRNA dysregulation of the mRNA frame (31); however, how these tRNAs alone induce the ribosome out-of-frame remains unknown. Our previous structures of frameshift-suppressor tRNA_SufA bound to the ribosomal A site provided important insights into how this tRNA pairs with a 4-nt mRNA codon (28). Frameshift suppressor tRNA_SufA is derived from proline tRNA (tRNA_{Pro}) and contains an insertion between ASL nucleotides 37 and 38 (referred to as G37.5) that enables high levels of +1 frameshifting within mRNAs containing 5′-CCC-U/G/C-3′ (the proline codon is underlined, and the U, G, or C nucleotides can be decoded as the 4-nt codon) (15, 32) (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Likewise, parental tRNA_{Pro} also undergoes recoding in the absence of a posttranslational chemical modification at nucleotide position 37 in its ASL (17). Despite models suggesting that the ribosome decodes the +1 codon as four bases instead of the normal three in the A site (i.e., “quadruplet” decoding), our results establish that tRNA_SufA decodes the mRNA as a standard 3-nt codon-anticodon in the zero frame (28) (Fig. 2 B and C). Although we demonstrated that this recoding does not occur in the A site, there is significant disruption of evolutionarily conserved base-pairing interactions between anticodon stem nucleotides 32 and 38 of the mRNA (Fig. 2B). The nucleotide identity of the 32–38 interaction is a fundamental feature of all tRNAs and is directly correlated to the strength of the codon-anticodon interaction (high vs. low GC base pairs) (33).

Fig. 1. Interaction of tRNAs with the ribosome. (A) tRNA tertiary structure with nucleotides in which mutations cause mRNA frameshifs, highlighted in orange. (B) tRNA interactions with the A, P, and E sites on the ribosome (PDB ID code 4V51). (Insets) The extensive interactions of the 16S rRNA with the mRNA-tRNA pair at the A site, which are absent in the P and the E sites.

Disrupting this relationship leads to the inability of the ribosome to distinguish correct from incorrect tRNAs (34–36). These structures suggest that disruption of the tertiary structure of the tRNA is likely one consequence of the inserted nucleotide in the ASL that causes a +1 frameshift. Here we present X-ray crystal structures of the Thermus thermophilus 70S ribosome undergoing a +1 recoding event induced by tRNA_SufA after decoding that provide molecular insights into mRNA frame dysregulation.

Results and Discussion

To precisely define how an 8-nt anticodon loop interacts with a +1 codon after decoding, we solved the 3.3-Å X-ray structure of the ASL of tRNA_SufA programmed to a 4-nt codon in the P site on the ribosome (Fig. 2 D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Table S1). The anticodon loop of ASL_SufA is fully methylated at position 37, a critical feature of this tRNA family for selection by the ribosome (37). Our structure reveals that P-site-bound ASL_SufA interacts with the mRNA in the new +1 frame, engaging the +2 to +4 nucleotides of the codon (Fig. 2 D and E). This result demonstrates unequivocally that the frameshift-suppressor tRNA alone promotes movement into the +1 frame, consistent with primer extension studies of tRNAs containing expanded ASLs programmed in the P site (38, 39). Moreover, the +1 nucleotide of the codon is positioned toward the 30S E site, compaction the mRNA on the ribosome to accommodate seven nucleotides, instead of the usual six, of the E and P site mRNA codons (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

Although the anticodon of ASL_SufA forms a canonical 3-nt interaction with the mRNA codon in the P site, albeit in the +1 frame, its ASL undergoes conformational remodeling in two distinct ways compared with normal tRNAs (Fig. 2 D and E). First, the extra nucleotide G37.5 is ejected from the anticodon loop, allowing the important base-pairing interactions between nucleotides U32 and A38 to reform. Previously, we found that the 32–38 interaction was disrupted when tRNA_SufA decoded the mRNA codon in the A site (28). One likely reason for the restoration of the 32–38 base pair is the lack of interactions of the ribosome with the codon-anticodon pair in the P site. This relaxed environment allows the 32–38 pair to form and consequently causes the ejection of G37.5. A second difference is that the G37.5 ejection causes a reduction in the base-stacking interactions of the 3′ end of the anticodon loop from five to four bases (compare Fig. 2 B and C with Fig. 2 D and E). Almost all tRNAs contain a 7-nt anticodon loop, and these nucleotides...
forms a 4-nt stack (residues 34–37), a stabilizing feature important for interactions with mRNA and the ribosome. Modification at ASL position 37 enhances the stability of the codon–anticodon interaction as well as this stacking interaction (37, 40) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> forms a 5-nt stack in the A site during decoding (28), further supporting the hypothesis that the restrictive environment of the A site influences the tRNA structure and likely prevents the ejection of G37.5 (Fig. 2 B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Our structure demonstrates that once the ASL of tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> transits to the more relaxed environment of the ribosomal P site, the important U32–A38 interaction reforms at the expense of extruding G37.5, allowing the mRNA to shift into the +1 frame. These data suggest that the intrinsic geometric plasticity of frameshift-prone tRNAs likely drives unusual tRNA conformations that promote frameshifting.

The structure of ASL<sub>SufA6</sub> in the P site addresses the fundamental question of the timing of recoding by frameshift-suppressor tRNAs. We next sought to understand how such a change would propagate along the entire tRNA by solving a 3.9-Å resolution X-ray structure of the tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> programmed to the 70S ribosomal P site (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 D–F and Table S1). Although tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> is deacylated, we assume that the tRNA would adopt a canonical position in the P site (e.g., a P/P position denoting location on the 30S/50S) similar to other deacylated tRNAs bound to the 70S ribosome (30, 41). Surprisingly, however, tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> adopted a previously unidentified tRNA position on the ribosome whereby the ASL is biased toward the 30S E site (Fig. 3 A and B). We refer to this position as the e* site, with the lowercase letter denoting a chimeric position between the P and E sites on the 30S. The CCA 3′-end of the tRNA is positioned in the 50S E site; however, its position is likely influenced by its deacylated state (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). We note that if the P-tRNA contained a peptidyl moiety, the CCA 3′-end would be located in the 50S P site. In the e* position on the 30S, the ASL of tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub> undergoes additional and distinct remodeling compared with when it is bound in the P or A site (28). This remodeling of the tRNA is likely due to the absence of interactions of the tRNA with the interior of the ribosome. Nucleotide G37.5, which was ejected from the anticodon loop in the P site, swaps positions with m<sup>1</sup>G37; m<sup>1</sup>G37 now extends toward 16S rRNA helix 24 nucleotide A790 (Fig. 2 F and G and SI Appendix, Fig. S2F). Since methylation of nucleotide 37 stabilizes the frame during translocation events in normal tRNAs (37, 42), we propose that base-flipping of modified m<sup>1</sup>G37 contributes to the frameshifting capability of tRNA<sub>SufA6</sub>.

Translocation of the tRNAs through the ribosome requires the disruption and reestablishment of interactions of ribosomal
proteins, tRNA, and intersubunit molecular bridges, and the flexing of the tRNAs (43–46). Ribosomes actively undergoing translocation of tRNAs by EF-G reveal the disruptive nature of this movement (44, 47, 48) (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). In the context of a chimeric pe*E tRNA trapped during translocation between the 30S P and E sites, EF-G causes large-scale conformational changes, including counterclockwise intrasubunit rotation of the 30S body domain (1–3°) and counterclockwise intersubunit swiveling of the 30S head domain (18–21°) (44, 47–49). In addition, these states were shown to be functionally relevant in the translocation mechanism in the context of biochemical experiments (50). These conformational changes result in the significant displacement (3–24 Å) of 30S ribosomal proteins S3, S7, S9, S10, S13, S14, and S19 and a 14-Å inward movement of the L1 stalk to contact the tRNA elbow. The location of tRNA_SufA6 resembles a tRNA trapped in the pe*E translocation intermediate state (47), with some notable differences. For example, tRNA_SufA6 induces an ~20° swiveling of the 30S head domain and a ~0.3–2.5° rotation of the body domain relative to the 50S (Fig. 3 B–D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 and Table S2). In addition, tRNA_SufA6 is also positioned ~3.5 Å toward the 30S E site as compared with pe*E tRNA (47) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). Finally, these large conformational changes caused by tRNA_SufA6 occur in the absence of EF-G. This remarkable translocation-like movement induced by frameshift-prone tRNA_SufA6 alone in the absence of EF-G strongly suggests that the process of translocation is disrupted by tRNAs able to recode.

As EF-G translocates the tRNA-mRNA pairs through the ribosome, the large conformational changes cause the ablation of interactions between the codon and the anticodon even in the cognate case (47) (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Consistent with these findings, the near-cognate codon–anticodon interaction in the 70S-e*E tRNA_SufA6 complex is also disrupted (Fig. 4 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). Therefore, although the anticodon loop of tRNA_SufA6 moves toward the E site on the 30S in the absence of EF-G, the disengagement of the tRNA from the codon is likely not influenced by this lack of interaction, as this occurs normally even with a cognate codon–anticodon interaction during translocation (47).

The ribosome has been proposed to play an active role in maintaining the mRNA frame via base-stacking interactions of 16S rRNA residues A1503 and C1397 with mRNA 5′ and 3′ nucleotides, respectively (47). One role for these stabilizing interactions is to prevent reverse movement of the mRNA during translocation, thereby preserving the correct mRNA frame (47). In the case of the mRNA-tRNA_SufA6 pair in the e*E site, base-stacking interactions between A1503 with the mRNA nucleotides 5′ of the E-site codon are disrupted (Fig. 4 A and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). A possible reason for this disruption could be the additional nucleotide in the codon that structurally constrained the mRNA to accommodate seven instead of six nucleotides in the E and the P sites. Then, as the mRNA progresses through the path after the E site, the ribosome loses its grip on the mRNA due to the absence of base-stacking interactions between the 16S rRNA and the mRNA.

Although the inability of the ribosome to monitor the mRNA frame is likely influenced by the scrunching of the mRNA codon in the E site, one important question regarding the mechanism of frameshifting is how does the extra mRNA nucleotide of the expanded codon fit into this restricted space? In 70S-EF-G translocation intermediate states where tRNAs are trapped between
Fig. 4. Interactions of e* tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} with 16S rRNA. (A) tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} binds in a newly defined e*/E site where the CCA end is located on the 50S E site and the ASL is closer to the 30S E site. The mRNA is numbered with +1 (yellow) corresponding to the 5' nucleotide of the 4-nt frameshifting codon. The boxed region is shown in more detail in C. (B) Structure of 70EFG translocation intermediates state showing the tRNA located between the 30S P and the E sites, termed pe*E (PDB ID code 4V9L). In this state, the +1 and +2 mRNA codon nucleotides (magenta) are in the E site, the +3 nucleotide is in the P site, and 16S tRNA nucleotide G926 is packed beneath. The dotted line signifies the boundary between the E and the P sites, and the black arrow denotes the 1-nt gap between G693 and the +1 nucleotide of the codon. 16S tRNA nucleotide A1503 is stably intercalated between the –1 and –2 nucleotides of the mRNA. (C) In the e*E tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} structure, the +1 mRNA nucleotide (yellow) is pulled into the E site and packs directly against G693. 16S tRNA nucleotide G926 also flanks the +3/+4 mRNA codon, but A1503 no longer stacks with mRNA nucleotides –1 and –2 (denoted by red arrows).

Complex Formation and Structural Studies of 70S-ASL\textsubscript{SufA6} and 70S-tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6}

The 70S ribosomes (4.4 μm) were programmed with mRNA (8.8 μm) for 6 min at 37 °C. A 2.5-molar excess of ASL\textsubscript{SufA6} (11 μm) and a 2-molar excess of tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} (8.8 μm) were individually incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Each tRNA was programmed with mRNA (8.8 μm) for 6 min at 37 °C. The 70S-ASL\textsubscript{SufA6}–mRNA complex was precipitated, and the pellet was thawed and suspended in 10 mm Tris-HCl pH 7.0 and 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} followed by incubation at 37 °C for 18 h. The ligation reaction was run on a 12% denaturing 8 M urea-polyacrylamide gel, and the ligated fragment was excised followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 min and then slow-cooling to room temperature on the benchtop. The RNA was aliquoted and stored at –20 °C.

Experimental Procedures

mRNA, ASL, and Ribosome Purification. ASL\textsubscript{SufA6} containing an mG37-modified ASL (18 nucleotides) and mRNA (19 nucleotides) were purchased from GE Healthcare Dharmacon and dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0 and 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} (SI Appendix, Table S2). We used a chemically synthesized 18-nt ASL to ensure complete 1-methyl modification at position 37 (mG37), as previously used to examine interactions in the A site (28). Purification of T. thermophilus 70S ribosomes was performed as described previously (53).

tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} Ligation and Purification. To ensure that tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} was methylated at tRNA nucleotide 37 (mG), the 5' half of the tRNA was chemically synthesized (GE Healthcare Dharmacon) to position 39 and enzymatically ligated to the chemically synthesized 3' half (SI Appendix, Table S2). To anneal the 5'- and 3'-ends of the tRNA, the following protocol was followed (54, 55). In brief, after 5'-end phosphorylation of the 3' half by T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England BioLabs), the enzyme was heat-inactivated, and the two 5' and 3' halves of each tRNA were mixed and annealed in the T4 RNA ligase buffer at 80 °C for 5 min and then slow-cooled on the heat block to room temperature. tRNA ligase (New England BioLabs) was added (final concentration of 500 U/mL), followed by incubation at 37 °C for 18 h. The ligation reaction was run on a 12% denaturing 8 M urea-polyacrylamide gel, and the ligated fragment was excised and purified using a modified crush-and-soak method (56). The RNA was ethanol-precipitated, and the pellet was thoroughly air-dried and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0 and 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, followed by annealing at 70 °C for 2 min and then slow-cooling to room temperature on the benchtop. The RNA was aliquoted and stored at –20 °C.

Structural Comparisons. 30S head swivel and body rotation were calculated relative to a nonrotated 70S complex (PDB ID code 4V51) using the structural

Sites (e.g., pe*/E and ap/ap chimeric positions), only two mRNA nucleotides of the E-site codon are translocated, allowing space for the additional nucleotide of the E-site mRNA codon on the completion of translocation (44, 47, 48) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7D). In contrast, when tRNA was methylated, it adopts an e* position on the 30S in the absence of EF-G, the +1 nucleotide of the 4-nt codon occupies this typical vacant space in the E site and directly stacks with 16S tRNA G693 (Figs. 2F and 4A and C). We predict that once tRNA\textsubscript{SufA6} is fully translocated to the E site by EF-G, the first nucleotide of the codon (+1) will be required to exit the E site, ensuring and sustaining the +1 frame of the mRNA.

These studies provide critical insight into how tRNA structural plasticity can result in dysregulation of the reading frame by inducing large-scale conformational changes of the 30S head domain in the absence of EF-G. In addition, our present results may have important implications in understanding other recoding events caused by mRNAs, including that of viral mRNAs that are required for gene expression (2, 51). Frameshift-suppressor tRNAs are a potentially powerful tool in chemical biology for genetic reprogramming to incorporate unnatural amino acids (52). Mechanistic insights into recoding induced by these tRNAs suggest that tRNAs can be rationally designed to promote higher efficiencies to facilitate innovative and new protein-based technologies.
alignment of 505 large subunit (23S rRNA residues 200–800) (SI Appendix, Table 52). The PyMOL plugin for Euler-Rodrigues transformations was used (49) in which the 30S head is defined as 16S rRNA residues 921–1396 and the body is defined as 16S rRNA 1–920 and 1397–1542.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant R01 GM093278. C.M.D. is a Burroughs Wellcome Fund Pathogen of Infectious Diseases Fellow. The X-ray crystallography datasets were collected at the Northeastern Collaborative Access Team beamlines, which are funded by National Institute of General Medical Sciences Grant P41 GM103403, and at the Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team beamlines. The Pilatus 6M detector on beamline 24-IDC is funded by National Institutes of Health Office of Research Infrastructure Programs High-End Instrumentation Grant S10 RR029205. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a US Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility operated by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.