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An improved labeling strategy enables automated detection of single-virus fusion and assessment of HIV-1 protease activity in single virions
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Enveloped viruses transfer their genomes into host cells by fusing their membrane to that of the cell. To visualize single-virus fusion in living cells, researchers take advantage of the proteolytic maturation of HIV, type 1 (HIV-1), which can generate free fluorescent proteins within the viral particle. Co-labeling viruses with a content marker and a fluorescently tagged Vpr (a viral core protein) enables detection of single-virus fusions, but a major limitation of this approach is that not all viral particles incorporate both markers. Here we designed a labeling strategy based on the bifunctional mCherry-2xCL-Vpr construct, in which 2xCL denotes a tandem cleavage site for the viral protease. This bifunctional marker was efficiently cleaved during virus maturation, producing free mCherry and the core-associated YFP-Vpr. A nearly perfect colocalization of these two markers in virions and their fixed 1:1 ratio enabled automated detection of single-particle fusion in both fixed and live cells based on loss of the mCherry signal. Furthermore, a drop in FRET efficiency between YFP and mCherry because of cleavage of the bifunctional marker, which manifested as a marked shift in the normalized YFP/mCherry fluorescence ratio, reliably predicted viral protease activity in single virions. This feature could discriminate between the particles containing free mCherry, and therefore likely representing mature viruses, and immature particles whose fusion cannot be detected. In summary, our new labeling strategy offers several advantages compared with previous approaches, including increased reliability and throughput of detection of viral fusion. We anticipate that our method will have significant utility for studying viral fusion and maturation.

Viral content mixing assays are limited by the ability to trap a fluid phase marker within intact virions. “Soaking” of the influenza viruses in a concentrated solution of sulforhodamine B has reportedly allowed entrapment of sufficient amounts of this dye to detect single-virus content release upon fusion with supported lipid bilayers (19). However, this strategy does not appear to be broadly applicable to introducing a content marker into virions or for virus–cell fusion experiments. In this regard, retroviruses offer a unique advantage, as they undergo maturation after budding off the infected cells. Maturation involves cleavage of the Gag and Pol polyproteins by the viral protease (20). We and others have taken advantage of this process to generate free fluorescent proteins within virions through appending those to the C terminus of the murine leukemia virus Gag (6, 8, 21) or inserting between the matrix and capsid subdomains of the HIV-1 Gag (5–7).

We have previously labeled HIV-1 particles with YFP-Vpr or GFP-Vpr, which incorporate into the viral core, and Gag containing an “internal” mCherry protein inserted between matrix and capsid subdomains and flanked by the protease cleavage sites (7, 22, 23). Free mCherry released from Gag upon maturation is trapped in the virions, serving as a fluid phase
marker for sensitive detection of small fusion pores. This labeling strategy worked well for studies of fusion mediated by various viral glycoproteins (7, 22, 23). However, imperfect co-transfection of YFP-Vpr and Gag-imCherry plasmids into virus-producing cells and highly variable levels of their expression limit co-localization of these markers and tend to negatively affect virus maturation (22).

Here we introduce a novel approach to co-labeling of HIV-1 particles with the viral core and fluid phase markers for reliable visualization of single-virus fusion. This labeling strategy is based on tandem fluorescent proteins separated by the HIV-1 protease cleavage sequences and fused in-frame to the N terminus of the HIV-1 Vpr. This new strategy offers a number of advantages compared with the original labeling approach. First, introducing the two markers into virus particles at a fixed number ratio yields nearly perfect co-localization and enables robust automated identification of fused particles in the cytoplasm. Second, the use of a FRET pair of fluorescent proteins to tag Vpr provides a convenient reporter for the viral protease activity, which is manifested as a loss of FRET in single particles because of separation of the donor and acceptor proteins. We show that the FRET levels, as well as the relative intensities of the donor and acceptor signals associated with individual virions, can potentially discriminate between immature particles and mature virions capable of fusing with target cells.

Results

Design and characterization of a bifunctional content/core marker for near-perfect co-labeling of HIV-1 virions

We have previously visualized single-virus fusion in living cells by co-labeling HIV-1 particles through co-transfection of virus-producing cells with two separate plasmids encoding for YFP-Vpr or GFP-Vpr (core markers (22, 24)) and Gag-imCherry (a virus maturation-dependent fluid phase marker) (5, 7). To improve virus labeling with the core and fluid-phase markers, we designed a novel bifunctional marker that consists of a tandem of fluorescent proteins fused in-frame to the N terminus of Vpr (referred to as mCherry-2xCL-YFP-Vpr, Fig. 1A). To generate free mCherry upon virus maturation, a linker containing two HIV-1 protease cleavage sites, SQNY and IRKVL, was inserted between mCherry and YFP. We found that the inclusion of a second protease site increased the cleavage efficiency (data not shown). This construct efficiently incorporated into the HIV-1 pseudoviruses and, upon processing by the viral protease, produced both releasable mCherry and core-associated YFP-Vpr (Fig. 1, B, C, and E).

We compared virus samples labeled with the bifunctional marker to samples containing only YFP-Vpr or co-labeled with Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr. Co-incorporation of Gag-imCherry and YFP-Vpr into the same virus particle and subsequent proteolytic processing of Gag-imCherry yielded releasable mCherry (Fig. 1B), as shown previously (5, 7). Although larger amounts of Gag-imCherry incorporated into virions compared with the Vpr-based bifunctional marker, the mCherry incorporated via the latter marker was readily detectable by single-virus particle imaging (Fig. 1C). Western blotting with anti-HIV serum showed a comparable Gag processing efficiency among virus samples labeled with any of the Vpr-based markers. However, incorporation of the Vpr-based markers somewhat reduced the Gag processing efficiency, and this efficiency was further diminished upon co-labeling with YFP-Vpr and Gag-imCherry (Fig. 1B, table). Viral Gag-imCherry and the bifunctional marker mCherry-2xCL-YFP-Vpr were partially cleaved, suggesting that these preparations contain a mixture of mature and immature virions, similar to unlabeled virions. Next, we assayed the specific infectivity of virus samples. Although a bifunctional marker caused only a modest reduction in infectivity, co-incorporation of Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr more strongly impacted virus function (Fig. 1D), perhaps because of the reduced maturation efficiency (Fig. 1B).

Visualization of the coverslip-immobilized viruses under stringent imaging conditions to ensure an optimal signal-to-background ratio revealed that ~98% and ~91% of YFP-positive particles co-labeled with mCherry in bifunctional and Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr samples, respectively (Fig. 1, C and E, and data not shown). The novel labeling approach significantly (about 4-fold) reduced the number of YFP-Vpr—only particles that did not contain detectable amounts of mCherry (Fig. 1F). The imperfect apparent colocalization of YFP and mCherry in virions containing the bifunctional marker was somewhat surprising. We therefore asked whether the lack of mCherry fluorescence could reflect the presence of particles with a “leaky” membrane. In fact, even a transient small (>4 nm) pore in the viral membrane would quickly deplete the virus of the mCherry marker. To test whether the fluid phase marker could be lost because of virus handling, we compared YFP/mCherry co-localization in freshly produced unconcentrated virus versus preparations that were subjected to a freeze–thaw cycle, shear forces, prolonged incubation in the cold, or ultracentrifugation (supplemental Fig. S1). Because none of the treatments altered the apparent colocalization of the two markers, it appears that a small fraction of virions lost their content marker either through membrane defects forming at the time, or shortly after virus budding, or because of premature activation of the viral protease that causes the release of mCherry into the cytoplasm of producer cells.

We next assessed the extent of cleavage of fluorescent markers in single particles containing Gag-imCherry or bifunctional marker by subjecting these to mild saponin lysis. A greater fraction of particles incorporating a bifunctional marker contained cleaved mCherry than those co-labeled with Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr, as evidenced by the larger fraction of virions that lost mCherry upon addition of saponin (Fig. 1, C and E). Collectively, the above results demonstrate that the bifunctional labeling strategy improves the standard Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr two-marker labeling system.

Detection of single-particle fusion with the bifunctional marker

To demonstrate the utility of mCherry-2xCL-YFP-Vpr for detecting single-virus fusion, we pseudotyped HIV-1 cores labeled with the bifunctional marker with the Env glycoprotein of HIV-1 HXB2 strain. Live-cell imaging of single pseudovirus entry/fusion into CV1-derived cells expressing CD4 was performed. Viral fusion was detected as the near instantaneous loss
of the fluid phase mCherry marker against the retention of the YFP-Vpr core-associated fiducial marker (Fig. 2, A and B), similar to events detected using the conventional Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr labeling approach (7, 14, 23). In agreement with our previous results (23), 2.6% of co-labeled HXB2 pseudoviruses fused with these cells in the course of 1 h (Fig. 2, C and D). We found no difference in the single-particle fusion kinetics when comparing HXB2 pseudoviruses labeled with the bifunctional marker and Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (fusion half-times, ~13 min; Fig. 2D and Ref. 23).

We next asked whether the new labeling strategy is broadly applicable to visualization of fusion induced by viral proteins other than HXB2 Env. HIV-1 particles labeled as above were pseudotyped with the Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV) Env glycoprotein of the avian sarcoma and leukosis virus.

The abbreviations used are: VSV, vesicular stomatitis virus; ASLV, avian sarcoma and leukosis virus; SQV, saquinavir; CB, color balance; Env, envelope glycoprotein; eYFP, enhanced YFP; eGFP, enhanced GFP; HIV-1, HIV, type 1; TVA, tumor virus A.
(ASLV), and single-particle imaging was performed in live CV1 cells expressing the TVA receptor for subtype A ASLV (25). Both proteins direct virus entry through endocytic pathways and mediate efficient low pH-dependent fusion with endosomes (26–28). In agreement with results published previously (22, 23, 25, 26, 29), we found that virus samples labeled with the bifunctional marker and pseudotyped with VSV G and ASLV Env fused much more efficiently than those pseudotyped with HXB2 Env (22.9% and 25.2%, respectively; Fig. 2 C). ASLV Env mediated much faster fusion with CV1/TVA cells than VSV G or HXB2 Env (half-times, 3.0, 13.9, and 12.7 min, respectively; Fig. 2 D), also in agreement with our previous studies (22, 23, 25, 26, 29). Thus, the bifunctional marker enables reliable measurements of the extent and kinetics of single virus fusion in living cells.

YFP-mCherry FRET in the bifunctional marker predicts virus protease activity and the presence of free mCherry in single virions

We used a FRET pair (YFP/mCherry) in the bifunctional marker to help identify immature virions and potentially exclude those from analysis of single virus fusion events. The short (~3.5-nm) linker sequence containing the two HIV-1 viral protease cleavage sites between mCherry and YFP allows for an efficient FRET between this donor/acceptor pair with a Forster critical distance of 5.66 nm (30). As expected, we readily detected the YFP-mCherry FRET signal in viruses produced in the presence of the HIV-1 protease inhibitor saquinavir (SQV+; Fig. 3, A–C; and supplemental Fig. S2). By comparison, single-particle FRET in untreated samples (SQV−) ranged from high to zero or near-zero (Fig. 3, D–F). This broad distribution of FRET values is consistent with a heterogeneous population containing mature and immature viruses, as suggested by the Western blotting and virus lysis results (Fig. 1). Indeed, the single-particle acceptor-normalized FRET (see “Experimental procedures” and supplemental Fig. S2) (31, 32) for untreated samples was bimodally distributed (Fig. 3 G). The high-FRET component overlapped the unimodal distribution for the saquinavir-treated sample, and the low-FRET mode was only slightly shifted from the control (no-FRET) viruses labeled with Gag-imCherry (Fig. 3 G). These results suggest that the YFP/mCherry FRET reflects the extent of cleavage of the bifunctional marker upon virus maturation.

To further assess the link between single-virus FRET and cleavage of the bifunctional marker, viruses were imaged before and after mild lysis with saponin, which forms small pores in the viral membrane (33). The resulting loss of free mCherry (if any) provides a convenient means to verify the cleavage efficiency of the Vpr-based bifunctional marker. Comparison of the same image field before and after saponin lysis confirmed an inverse relationship between normalized FRET and protease activity. Single-particle FRET efficiency significantly correlated with the fraction of mCherry signal remaining after addition of saponin (Pearson correlation, ρ = 0.886) (Fig. 3 H). Thus, YFP/mCherry FRET reliably predicts the HIV-1 protease activity on a single-particle level (Fig. 3 H) and, thus, likely reports the particle maturation status.
The relative intensity of YFP and mCherry in single virions is an indicator of protease activity

The distinct FRET levels found in the untreated samples (Fig. 3G) implied that single virions could be classified a priori as protease-active or -inactive. However, the cumbersome nature of measuring sensitized emission FRET inherently limited the utility of this approach, especially in a live-cell setting. We therefore used the relative intensities of YFP and mCherry as a proxy for FRET, which is manifested in the dynamic range of the FRET measurement for ease of implementation. Color balance in the high-FRET, protease-inactive particles with large amounts of uncleaved mCherry should be lower than in the low-FRET particles because of rerouting of YFP emission to mCherry emission (particles appear more red; Fig. 4, A and B). In this sense, particles that appear as “mCherry-only” must contain uncleaved bifunctional marker in which the YFP fluorescence is quenched and are therefore unlikely to contain free mCherry that can report the fusion events. Moreover, HIV-1 Env–mediated fusion is dependent on virus maturation (34, 35) and is unlikely to occur with virions lacking protease activity.

Importantly, color balance analysis can be applied to assess the protease activity in single virions containing the bifunctional marker, which produces a fixed (1:1) ratio of YFP and mCherry molecules in single virions. In agreement with the partial Gag cleavage in our preparations (Fig. 1B), we observed a bimodal distribution of CB values (Fig. 4C). The lower CB peak/shoulder roughly matched the values of SQV+ sample,
whereas the larger intermediate peak likely corresponded to protease-active particles. Indeed, upon saponin lysis, the higher CB mode shifted to $CB_{\text{saponin}}$ whereas the lower CB mode was not affected by viral membrane permeabilization (Fig. 4C). Similar to the FRET experiments shown in Fig. 3, imaging before and after saponin lysis demonstrated a good correlation between the releasable mCherry pool and CB (Fig. 4, A–D). By contrast, there is no constraint on the numbers of YFP and mCherry incorporated into virions co-labeled with Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr so that the distribution of CB does not have any predictive value (Fig. 4, E and F).

**Automated detection of post-fusion viral cores in fixed cells**

The marked shift in color balance after saponin lysis of single viruses labeled with the bifunctional marker (Fig. 4, A–C) prompted us to use this parameter to detect viral fusion, which manifested as a loss of mCherry (Fig. 2, A and B). We performed imaging of ASLV pseudovirus fusion with target cells using stringent, high signal-to-background imaging conditions (see “Experimental procedures”). The cells were fixed at different times post-infection, and the color balance parameter was calculated for every particle (Fig. 5, A and B). This analysis clearly identified the appearance of a sizeable population of YFP-only labeled spots with above-threshold CB values under conditions permissive for viral fusion. Approximately 10% of all cell-associated particles pseudotyped with the ASLV Env lost mCherry within the first 5 min of infection (Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. S3 and Movie S1), in agreement with the fast kinetics of fusion.

**Figure 4. Single-particle color balance as an indicator of HIV-1 protease activity.** HXB2 pseudoviruses labeled with either the bifunctional marker or Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (data not shown) and treated with 500 nM SQV or left untreated were immobilized and imaged at high signal-to-noise before and after the addition of saponin. Single-particle color balance (see “Experimental procedures”) was measured using local background-corrected sum intensities of particles in the YFP and mCherry channels. A and B, representative images and measured color balance of particles labeled with bifunctional markers before (A) and after (B) addition of saponin. C and E, single-particle color balance distributions of HXB2 pseudovirus labeled with the bifunctional marker (C) or Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (E) produced in the presence (gray) or absence of saquinavir before (red) and after (green) addition of saponin. D and F, scatterplot of single-particle color balance before saponin treatment and uncleaved mCherry content fraction of SQV− virus labeled with the bifunctional marker (D) or Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (F). Color balance strongly (Pearson correlation, $r = -0.741$) and weakly (Pearson correlation, $r = 0.316$) correlated with uncleaved mCherry content for bifunctional labeling and Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr, respectively.

\[
\text{Color Balance} = \frac{I_{\text{YFP}} - I_{\text{mCh}}}{I_{\text{YFP}} + I_{\text{mCh}}}
\]
mediated by this glycoprotein (Fig. 2D and Refs. 21, 25, 26, 36). By contrast, only a very low background number of YFP-only particles was detected at different times post-infection in the presence of the inhibitory peptide R99 (Fig. 5C).

As expected from the fast fusion kinetics observed in live-cell settings (Fig. 2D), the fraction of high-color balance (YFP-only) particles automatically detected in fixed cells plateaued at 5 min post-infection (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, the number of these particles tended to decline at later times (Fig. 5C and data not shown) instead of remaining steady. This trend is in agreement with the previously reported loss of YFP-Vpr after fusion of viruses co-labeled with Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (22). Indeed, we routinely observed YFP-Vpr intensity decay shortly after fusion of particles containing the bifunctional marker (Figs. 2B and Fig. 6B, bottom).

**Automated detection of viral fusion in live cells**

The above results demonstrate the feasibility of automated detection of fusion of virions containing the bifunctional marker based on color balance analysis. However, the loss of the Vpr marker after fusion (Fig. 2B) confounds quantitative measurements of the fusion efficiency. Although the rate of the Vpr signal decay varied, on average, the YFP fluorescence decreased with a half-time of ~6 min (Fig. 6C). The existence of two competing processes that lead to appearance (fusion) and loss (YFP-Vpr decay) of detectable post-fusion particles with high CB values is also likely to distort the measured fusion kinetics because these processes occur on a similar time scale (Figs. 2F and 6, A and B, and supplemental Movie S2). We therefore attempted to prevent the loss of a fiduciary marker from post-fusion cores. We asked whether the YFP-Vpr loss may be due to degradation of post-fusion cores in proteasomes. Cells were pretreated with an inhibitor, MG132, and the live-cell fusion experiments were carried out in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor. Strikingly, the loss of YFP-Vpr after fusion was dramatically delayed in treated cells compared with control cells (Fig. 6B and supplemental Fig. S4 and Movie S3), showing that post-fusion HIV-1 cores were targeted to proteasomal degradation in CV1-derived cells. We are currently

![Figure 5. Detection of post-fusion viral cores in fixed cells.](image-url)
pursuing the mechanism of MG132-mediated protection of post-fusion HIV-1 cores.\(^5\)

The prevention of the quick loss of YFP-Vpr after fusion in MG132-treated cells allowed accumulation of YFP-only puncta under fusion-permissive conditions and greatly facilitated quantitative automated analysis of viral fusion (Fig. 6, C and D, and supplemental Fig. S5). For automated detection of ASLV fusion in living cells, we employed stringent imaging conditions to ensure a high signal-to-background ratio combined with less frequent image acquisition (every 30 s) to avoid excessive photobleaching. Curve-fitting the dependence of the number of high-CB (YFP-only) spots as a function of time using a simple equation (see “Experimental procedures”) yielded the kinetics and extent of ASLV fusion as well as the rate of core degradation in the presence of MG132 (Fig. 6D). This enabled compar-
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ison of the fusion parameters obtained by manual annotation and by automated analysis. Encouragingly, both the kinetics and the extent of ASLV fusion obtained through automated analysis were indistinguishable from those measured by conventional and much more tedious manual annotation of images (Fig. 6E).

The automated analysis was clearly augmented by the highly efficient and quick fusion mediated by ASLV Env compared with the slow loss of YFP-Vpr after fusion in MG132-treated CV1/TVA cells. We therefore tested whether this analysis could be applied to the much less efficient fusion driven by the HIV-1 Env. However, although a few HXB2 pseudotyped YFP-only particles seemed to appear in MG132-treated cells at relevant times post-infection (15–30 min), these numbers were comparable with the background level and did not allow for meaningful automated quantitative analysis (supplemental Fig. S6).

Discussion

A relatively small number of studies have visualized single-virus fusion in living cells, especially using a fluid phase marker, which necessitates virus co-labeling with a fiduciary core marker for reliable detection of fusion events (5–7, 21, 23, 37, 38). Most importantly, these experiments rely on specialized and rather expensive equipment and image processing software. Live cell imaging experiments also require a temperature/humidity/CO₂-controlled microscope enclosure or a stage-top ware. Live cell imaging experiments also require a temperature/and rather expensive equipment and image processing soft-

 environmental microscope enclosures or highly sensitive electron multiplying charge-coupled device cameras are not required for high signal-to-background imaging of viruses in fixed cells.

Second, the excellent co-localization of the viral core and content markers afforded by the bifunctional probe should augment the application of correlative light-electron microscopy approaches to study post-fusion particles. Fused (YFP-only) particles can be identified following fixation or plunge freezing and analyzed by electron microscopy.

Third, the level of YFP/mCherry FRET and, thus, the color balance are reliable indicators of the HIV-1 protease activity in single virions containing the bifunctional marker and, thus, likely report the virus maturation status. We have previously demonstrated a correlation between Gag-imCherry/Gag processing and the fraction of viruses losing mCherry upon saponin treatment (7). Of course, cleavage of the bifunctional Vpr-based marker does not directly reflect Gag cleavage. It is worth noting, however, that the extent of Gag cleavage in samples containing the bifunctional marker or Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr (Fig. 1B) tends to correlate with the fraction of virions releasing mCherry in response to saponin (Fig. 1E). Indeed, 55% of Gag cleaved in samples containing the bifunctional marker is in good agreement with the extent of saponin lysis (67%) of these particles. For virions containing Gag-imCherry/YFP-Vpr, these numbers are 41% and 39%, respectively. It is thus likely that YFP/mCherry FRET/color balance of single pseudoviruses reflects the extent of Gag cleavage and can thus discriminate between single mature and immature particles.

Despite the above advantages offered by the new labeling strategy, there are also limitations. A small uncertainty in identification of fused particles due to an imperfect co-localization of the core and fluid phase markers (∼2.5% of pre-existing YFP-only particles, Fig. 1F) diminishes the utility of automatic detection of the fusion mediated by less efficient viral glycoproteins, such as HIV-1 Env (supplemental Fig. S6). Under our conditions, HIV-1 Env mediates fusion of only 2.6% of double-labeled pseudoviruses with CV1-derived cells (Fig. 2C) and a similarly low fusion efficiency with other cell lines (6, 23, 39), so the number of fused particles is comparable with the background fraction of particles lacking mCherry (Fig. 1F). This problem could be alleviated by optimizing the fusion conditions and/or improving the Env incorporation into virions on one hand and further slowing down post-fusion decay of the YFP-Vpr signal (Fig. 6B) on the other hand. Of course, this labeling strategy is perfectly compatible with detection of fusion based on real-time particle tracking (Fig. 2). In conclusion, the experimental and analytical tools developed in this study should enable reliable measurements of robust viral fusion in fixed cells without the need for specialized equipment or single-particle tracking software.

Experimental procedures

Cell lines, reagents, and plasmids

HEK293T/17 cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA). TZM-bl cells (donated by Drs. J. C. Kappes and X. Wu (40)) and the HIV-1 protease inhibitor saquinavir were from the
National Institutes of Health AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. CV1/CD4/CXCR4 (CF3 clone) were a gift from Dr. David Kabat (Oregon State University). The CV1/TVA950 cell line has been described previously (26). All cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 units/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Gemini Bio-Products, Sacramento, CA). The growth medium for HEK293T/17 cells was supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml G418 (Cellgro, Mediatech, Manassas, VA).

Heat-inactivated FBS and poly-L-lysine were from Sigma-Al drich (St. Louis, MO). Live-cell imaging buffer and Fluoro-Brite™ DMEM were from Life Technologies. The pCAGGS plasmid encoding HXB2 Env was provided by Dr. J. Binley (Torr- rey Pines Institute). The pMDG-VSVG plasmid expressing VSV-G was a gift from J. Young (Roche). The subgroup A ASLV Env glycoprotein lacking the cytoplasmic domain (Env∆CT) has been described previously (9). The HIV-1-based packaging vector pR9Env was from Dr. C. Aiken (Vanderbilt University). The YFP-Vpr plasmid was a gift from Dr. T. Hope (Northwestern University). The HIV-1 Gag-imCherryEnv plasmid has been described previously (7).

mCherry-2xCL-YFP-Vpr was cloned into the pMM310 BlaM-Vpr construct (National Institutes of Health, AIDS Re-pository, catalog no. 11444) by excising BlaM using enzymes HindIII and Xhol. DNA encoding for mCherry-SQNY and IRKVL-eYFP-Vpr was PCR-amplified from the HIV-1 Gag-imCherry and eYFP-Vpr plasmids, respectively, using primers with overhangs that contained protease cleavage sites. The two DNA sequences were later digested with HindIII + NotI (mCherry-SQNY) and NotI+Xhol (IRKVL-eYFPVpr) and introduced into the pMM310ΔBlaMVpr plasmid by three-way ligation. The identity of the insert was verified by sequencing.

Pseudovirus production and infectivity assays
Pseudoviruses were produced by transfection of HEK293T/17 cells, essentially as described in Ref. 7. Briefly, cells were transfected with the following amounts of plasmids per 60-mm dish: for bifunctional marker labeling, 0.8 µg of pR9Env, 0.16 µg of mCherry-2xCL-YFP-Vpr, 0.2 µg of pcRev, and 0.8 µg of HXB2 Env or ASLV Env∆CT or 0.4 µg of VSV G; for conventional two-plasmid labeling, 0.4 µg of pR9Env, 0.2 µg of pcRev, 0.4 µg of HIV-1 Gag-imCherryEnv, 0.2 µg of YFP-Vpr, and 0.8 µg of HXB2 Env. Transfection was carried out using JetPrime transfection reagent. Twelve hours post-trans- fection, the medium was exchanged to fresh medium with or without 500 nM SQV, an HIV-1 protease inhibitor. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the supernatant was collected, aliquoted, and stored at −80 °C. To obtain concentrated viral stocks, the viral supernatants were pelleted through a 20% sucrose cushion by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 2 h at 4 °C. RT activity in the virus preparation was measured using the real-time quantitative PCR-based product-enhanced reverse transcription assay (41), and, where indicated, the p24 content was determined by ELISA (7). Viral supernatants with equal RT activity were used to determine specific infectivity on TZM-bl cells, as described previously (42, 43).

Western blotting
We loaded 400 pg of p24 onto a 10% polyacrylamide gel (Bio- Rad). Proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose mem- brane, blocked with 10% blottin-grade blocker (Bio-Rad) for 30 min at room temperature, and incubated with human anti-HIV serum (HIV IG) (1:2000 dilution), Living Colors monoclonal anti-eGFP mouse antibody (Invitrogen, 1:1000), or rabbit-anti-mCherry antibody (Abcam, 1:1000) for 1 h at 25 °C or overnight at 4 °C. Following three 10-min washes with PBST (PBS + 0.1% Tween), secondary antibodies, HRP goat anti-rab- bit antibody (1:500 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), HRP goat anti-human (1:2000, Bio-Rad), or HRP rabbit anti-mouse (1:1000, Millipore) and a chemiluminescence re- agent from GE Healthcare were used for protein detection. Preci- sion Plus Protein Standards (Kaleidoscope™, Bio-Rad) were used as molecular weight markers. Densitometry performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) yielded percent of protein cleavage (the amount of cleaved product divided by the sum of cleaved and precursor products).

Single-virus imaging in live and fixed cells
Single-virus fusion experiments were performed with either CV1/CD4/CXCR4 cells (CF3 clone, for HXB2 pseudoviruses) or CV1.TVA950 cells (for ASLV or VSV G pseudoviruses). Cells were plated on collagen-coated glass-bottom dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) in FluoroBrite DMEM and grown to 70% conflu- ency. Before imaging, the cells were chilled on ice, washed with ice-cold Ca2+/Mg2+-containing phosphate buffer (PBS2+), and spinoculated with freshly thawed pseudovirus for 20 min at 1500 × g and 4 °C. After spinoculation, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS2+ to remove unbound virus. Images were acquired with a Personal DeltaVision imaging system (Applied Precision, GE Healthcare) using an UPlanFlu ×60/1.4 numerical aperture (NA) oil objective (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and a YFP/mCherry standard filter set (Chroma, Bellows Falls, VT), and two-channel fluorescence emission was recorded in series by an EM-CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) for a single field of view. Live cells were imaged in a single axial plane for either 30 (ASLV) or 60 min (VSV G or HXB2). Immediately before imaging, virus entry was initiated by addition of pre- warmed live-cell imaging buffer. For event detection by visual annotation, low signal-to-noise fluorescence emission was sampled every 3 s using low excitation intensities. For autom- ated event detection, excitation intensities were increased 3-fold, image integration times were increased 2-fold, and high signal-to-noise fluorescence emission was sampled every 30 s. During time-lapse imaging, an environmental chamber was used to maintain samples at 37 °C and high humidity, and the UltimateFocus module (Applied Precision, GE Healthcare) was used to compensate for axial drift. For fixed cell imaging, samples were incubated at 37 °C for indicated times and immedi- ately fixed by treatment with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. Image stacks with a Z interval of 0.2 µm were acquired, deconvolved, and collapsed by maximum inten- sity projection. Where indicated, cells were preincubated for 30 min with 20 µM MG-132, and cells were imaged in the presence of the same MG132 concentration.
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Single-virus imaging for measuring co-labeled/lysed fraction, color balance, and FRET

Pseudoparticles were immobilized on poly-L-lysine–coated 8-well chamber coverslips (Lab-Tek, Nalge Nunc International, Penfield, NY) for 30 min at 4 °C, and wells were washed with cold PBS* to remove unbound virus. Virus samples were imaged before and after the addition of 0.1 mg/ml saponin to mildly permeabilize the viral membrane and release the mCherry content marker. For co-labeling/lysis fraction and color balance experiments, images were acquired in a single axial plane with a Personal DeltaVision imaging system (using the high signal-to-noise ratio protocol described above). Single-particle color balance was defined as CB = \((I_{\text{IFP}} - n \times I_{\text{mCh}})/(I_{\text{IFP}} + n \times I_{\text{mCh}})\), where the integer n is a free parameter that is fixed to define the zero point of the CB distribution. CB is related to the “generalized polarization” parameter described in Ref. 44. Generalized polarization is calculated on a pixel-by-pixel basis, and CB is calculated for preidentified objects/single virus particles. For FRET experiments, virus samples were imaged for intensity of FRET with a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope using a Plan-Apo 63/1.4 NA oil immersion objective in a single Z plane by sequential excitation with 488 nm and 594 nm while detecting in both YFP (517–587 nm) and mCherry (613–692 nm) emission bands. YFP (I_{\text{IFP/YFP}}) and mCherry (I_{\text{mCh/mCh}}) intensities were detected in 488 nm/YFP and 594 nm/mCherry channels, respectively, and sensitized emission FRET intensity (I_{\text{IFP/mCh}}) was detected in the 488 nm/mCherry channel. All analyses were performed on local background-corrected single-particle intensities. First-order cross-talk contributions were measured in parallel using single-labeled YFP-Vpr or mCherry virus as 2.24% for YFP emission bleedthrough and 3.67% for mCherry excitation bleedthrough (supplemental Fig. S2). The single-particle uncleaved content fraction was corrected for mCherry photobleaching, which was measured as 4.58% in a parallel experiment (supplemental Fig. S2). To find the normalized FRET, the single-particle FRET intensity was adjusted for cross-talk and normalized by acceptor intensity (31, 32) as follows: normalized FRET = \((I_{\text{IFP/mCh}} - 0.0224 \times I_{\text{IFP/YFP}} - 0.0367 \times I_{\text{mCh/mCh}})/I_{\text{mCh/mCh}}\).

Event annotation, curve fitting, and single-particle tracking

For event detection by visual inspection, single-particle color change events were annotated using the ROI Manager in ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Annotated particles were tracked using Volocity software (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) as described previously (14, 21, 23). For automated event detection, a Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA) script was designed to identify particles, calculate background-corrected single-particle CB, and classify particles as either co-labeled or YFP+/mCherry− (CB > 0.85) (supplemental Fig. S3). Manually annotated fusion kinetics were fit to a single exponential model, \(y(t) = A0 \times (1 - \exp(-k \times t))\), where \(A0\) is the number of fusion-competent particles and \(k\) is the fusion rate. Curves from computer-automated detection of YFP-only particles were fit to a double-exponential model that solves the two-step reaction \(A \to B \to C\): \(B(t) = (k1 \times A0)/(k2 - k1)) \times \exp(-k1 \times t) + (B0 - (k1 \times A0)/(k2 - k1)) \times \exp(-k2 \times t)\). Here, \(A0\) and \(B0\) are the numbers of fusion-competent particles and post-fusion particles at time zero, respectively; \(k1\) and \(k2\) are rates of fusion (\(A \to B\)) and degradation of post-fusion particles (\(B \to C\)), respectively.

Statistical analysis

Unless stated otherwise, statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. Triplicate data for each independent experiment (usually two to three experiments) performed under identical conditions were normalized to the internal control and pooled to calculate the mean and standard error. *, \(p < 0.05\); **, \(p < 0.01\); ***, \(p < 0.001\); N.S., not significant.
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