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Successful Treatment of Neoplasm-related Leg Pain with Spinal Cord Stimulator Therapy

Jerry P. Kalangara, MD1,2 and Vinita Singh, MD1

Nearly 15.5 million people were affected by cancer in 2015 (1). Consequently, neoplasm-related pain continues to be a challenging problem that affects about 50.7% of patients in all cancer stages (2). The World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines are often used for the treatment of cancer pain, but unfortunately, 10 to 15% of these cancer patients receive suboptimal pain relief (3,4). Therapy often consists of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, opioids, and adjuvant medications (such as gabapentinoids, tricyclic anti-depressants), but medication management can be limited by undesired side effects (3). For these refractory cases, there needs to be alternative treatment modalities. Here, we present a case of a patient with cancer related pain of his leg successfully treated with spinal cord stimulator (SCS) therapy. Since the patient was deceased at the time of writing this case report, we were unable to obtain informed consent. We thus sought approval from our local IRB, who determined that approval is not required.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 68 year old male with a history of Parkinson’s disease and stage IV melanoma that has relapsed into his left psoas muscle presented with continuous left leg pain for 8 months. He had a history of multiple pelvic lymph node dissections and radiation treatment to his left leg (Fig. 1). The pain was rated as 7-9/10 on the pain numeric rating scale (NRS) and described as deep, sharp, and aching. Physical exam was remarkable for left leg lymphedema...
adequate coverage and to provide more flexibility in the future if the pain pattern broadened with disease progression. This provided adequate paresthesia coverage over his painful areas, including left groin and anterior thigh. He reported 75% pain relief and improved activity with SCS trial. His pain score with activity (worst pain score) was 3/10 and without activity (best pain score) was 1/10. He was able to attend church, which he had not previously due to an inability to walk in from the parking lot.

He then underwent permanent implantation of SCS with dual octrode leads placed at the previous location (Fig. 2). Following implantation, he reported 85% pain relief and reduced his fentanyl patch dose by 50%. He also reported improved function and participated in more activities. His pain remained well controlled with fentanyl patch 12.5 mcg per hour and SCS at 5 months. Unfortunately, his disease burden worsened (with left thigh subcutaneous metastasis, multiple lung metastasis, and brain metastasis) and he developed failure to thrive. Additionally, he developed anemia requiring repeated transfusions secondary to his underlying illness of metastatic melanoma and possible medication effect (immunotherapy). His pain continued to be located in left groin and anterior thigh and was fairly controlled at 8 months with his SCS, but required additional fentanyl patch (37.5 mcg per hour). Further, he had fatigue and weakness (from disease progression and anemia) as well as increasing tremors (from Parkinson's disease) leading to unsteady gait/frequent falls, which limited his quality of life. He entered a hospice program for end of life care at 8.5 months and expired 11 months after the SCS placement.

DISCUSSION

Cancer pain is often seen as a mixed pain type with both neuropathic and somatic-nociceptive components (5,6). This neuropathic pain component can represent 15 to 40% of chronic cancer pain (7). Further, neuropathic pain often responds poorly to opioid therapy and there is a real need for developing alternate treatment strategies.
SCS therapy is a growing technology that has been shown to successfully treat multiple pain conditions, including complex regional pain syndrome, lumbar radicular pain, post-laminectomy syndrome, and refractory angina/peripheral vascular disease (8). SCS is an established therapeutic modality that has the potential for application in cancer pain. Thus far, SCS has only been scantly used for this population and represents an avenue that needs to be further explored. There have been a handful of reports describing successful pain relief in intractable chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (9,10). In our case report, we demonstrate the effective use of SCS for pain relief of neoplasm-related leg pain. Not only did the patient demonstrate a long lasting reduction in NRS score by over 50%, but he also reported increased functionality and improved quality of life, which are critical with the management of terminal disease. Part of the reluctance to undertake SCS for cancer pain may stem from cost concerns in patients with a terminal disease. The estimated cost of SCS placement is approximately $25,000. However, neoplasm-related pain has been treated with intrathecal drug delivery system using opioids and other medications for several years and this strategy can entail expenses of over $10,000 for the pump itself. When taking into consideration added hospital stay (for inpatient intrathecal/epidural catheter trial and observation after pump implant, given a risk of respiratory depression with initiation of intrathecal opioids), subsequent medication refills and follow-up visits, the incremental costs are even greater. Despite this, multiple studies have demonstrated a cost effective profile of this modality in cancer pain patients with a life expectancy of at least 6 months (11,12). This patient survived for 11 months after implant. Further, SCS therapy has fewer significant adverse effects associated with it when compared to intrathecal pumps.

In addition, the average baseline health care cost among opioid treated patients is $17,120 with follow-up costs of $42,829 in one estimate (13). Direct cost estimates are unavailable for pain related health care in cancer patients. However, inadequate pain control in
this population is a major contributor to emergency department visits, frequent hospitalizations, and utilization of skilled nursing facilities (14). In particular, our patient avoided pain related hospitalizations for up to 6 months, likely justifying the cost of the SCS therapy. Thus, SCS therapy should at least be considered if it may have some potential benefit in these patients with recalcitrant neoplasm-related pain especially with neuropathic pain component. Due to the myriad of variables in the treatment of cancer pain, further research is needed to substantiate and validate the long-term feasibility and safety of SCS for cancer-related pain.
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