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Neurostimulation to improve level of consciousness in patients with epilepsy
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When drug-resistant epilepsy is poorly localized or surgical resection is contraindicated, current neurostimulation strategies such as deep brain stimulation and vagal nerve stimulation can palliate the frequency or severity of seizures. However, despite medical and neuromodulatory therapy, a significant proportion of patients continue to experience disabling seizures that impair awareness, causing disability and risking injury or sudden unexpected death. We propose a novel strategy in which neuromodulation is used not only to reduce seizures but also to ameliorate impaired consciousness when the patient is in the ictal and postictal states. Improving or preventing alterations in level of consciousness may have an effect on morbidity (e.g., accidents, drownings, falls), risk for death, and quality of life. Recent studies have elucidated underlying networks and mechanisms of impaired consciousness and yield potential novel targets for neuro-modulation. The feasibility, benefits, and pitfalls of potential deep brain stimulation targets are illustrated in human and animal studies involving minimally conscious/vegetative states, movement disorders, depth of anesthesia, sleep-wake regulation, and epilepsy. We review evidence that viable therapeutic targets for impaired consciousness associated with seizures may be provided by key nodes of the consciousness system in the brainstem reticular activating system, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, thalamus, and basal forebrain.
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effects of seizures on consciousness or enhancing cognition in the postictal brain presents unique challenges for scientific exploration and fertile opportunities for novel therapies, possibly by DBS or related neuromodulatory strategies.

For patients for whom seizure prevention is neither surgically nor medically feasible, mitigating or preventing the alterations in consciousness that occur during seizures would profoundly improve safety and lifestyle. The previously tested utility of DBS for treating disorders of consciousness makes it an exciting modality for approaching a broad range of therapeutic indications as well as for scientific discovery.

Plum and Posner’s bidimensional model of consciousness dichotomizes consciousness into level and content, of which level of consciousness includes arousal, attention, and awareness. These facets are correlated with an underlying anatomical consciousness network that includes the upper brainstem reticular activating system, medial thalami, basal forebrain, and frontoparietal association cortices. Recent advances in understanding the mechanisms by which different types of seizures impair consciousness suggest disruption of essential nodes in the consciousness network.

Interacting with the networks from which level of consciousness emerges are absence, generalized tonic-clonic, and complex partial seizures. Absence seizures are associated with transient loss of awareness associated with a cortical electroencephalography (EEG) spike-and-wave pattern mediated by a thalamocortical circuit from the reticular nucleus to the medial frontal and parietal cortex. Partial seizures, although associated with focal onset, can impair awareness by disrupting wider frontotemporal networks and can maintain impaired levels of consciousness by activating deep inhibitory nuclei, such as the lateral septum and anterior hypothalamus, which in turn depress the reticular activating system—a tenet central to the network-inhibition hypothesis. Primary or secondary generalized tonic-clonic seizures are thought to involve not only inhibition of subcortical arousal nuclei but also simultaneous disruption of widespread networks involving both hemispheres because of seizure propagation. Generalized seizures are most associated with profound consciousness deficits and a prolonged postictal state.

The effect of electrical stimulation on the complex neuronal environment is not clearly understood. As the fundamental object of numerous technologies and therapies, such understanding is necessary for better network targeting, outcome efficacy, and side-effect control. The parameters that influence DBS results include location, frequency, pulse width, amplitude, temporal patterns (e.g., continuous, intermittent cycling, or responsive), electrode factors (e.g., size, shape, impedance), and stimulation field (e.g., monopolar, bipolar, multipolar).

Competing hypotheses about the mechanistic effects of DBS include axonal activation, local inhibition, network oscillation disruption, and effects on astrocytes. Physiological studies have shown that axons are the earliest elements to depolarize, which leads to action potentials. To test stimulation effects of axonal activation versus local inhibitory circuitry, one study measured cortical activation after a DBS target injection of ibotenic acid, which selectively destroys cell bodies without affecting passing axons, and muscimol, a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)–A receptor agonist. At low stimulation frequencies, use of muscimol mimicked the DBS effect, suggesting activation of local GABAergic networks leading to inhibitory effect of DBS. At high stimulation frequencies, use of ibotenic acid did not impair distant cortical effects of DBS despite loss of target area cell bodies. Additionally, axonal excitation probably proceeds in both orthodromic and antidromic directions. Other studies have suggested that disturbance of network oscillations, rather than excitation or inhibition, plays a role as the putative mechanism based on dissociation of input and output signals. Astroglia might also play a significant role in the mechanism of DBS by modulating and amplifying the effect of stimulation on local principal and interneuron populations. The uncertainty surrounding the DBS mechanism of action argues for a dual approach in which putative therapeutic targets are continually pursued in human patients while electrical and optogenetic stimulation in animal models help to refine DBS parameters.

Here we review the brain structures involved in networks that modulate or maintain level of consciousness and discuss the clinical and translational literature pertaining to potential sites of stimulation in the brainstem, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, thalamus, and basal forebrain. Incorporating studies of DBS for consequences of traumatic brain injury, movement disorders, epilepsy, and others disorders, we suggest that related targets may prove to be beneficial in the context of impaired consciousness in patients with epilepsy. The mechanisms underlying how electrical stimulation via a wide spectrum of parameters can act on specific brain circuits to produce clinical effects are complex, incompletely understood, and ultimately beyond the scope of this review.

Upper Brainstem

Notable brainstem nuclei associated with level of arousal, particularly those in the reticular activating system, have been the subject of study since the early 1950s. Stimulation in the reticular activating system was found to desynchronize cortical EEG patterns and abolish high-amplitude slow waves in anesthetized patients. However, the relative contributions of subsequently discovered nuclei in the networks underpinning the level of consciousness remain uncertain. Among the best studied, stimulation in the region of the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus has been shown to play a dual role in postural stability, probably resulting from glutamatergic efferents and cognitive functions including rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, resulting from cholinergic efferents. Its consideration and subsequent exploration as a DBS target in patients with Parkinson disease has yielded mixed results; however, for some patients, improvements in attentiveness have been reported. Improvement in cognitive, rather than motor, domains may result in part from the regulatory effects of pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus DBS on sleep architecture (Table 1). Nevertheless, low-
frequency stimulation may enhance patient alertness and high-frequency stimulation may promote sleep. Each phenomenon may represent overlapping but distinct network effects (Table 1).

Interpatient targeting variability and the uncertainty of DBS mechanisms might limit the interpretation of such preliminary findings. However, animal experiments that used optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic efferents from the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus have confirmed its role in arousal on the basis of cortical desynchronization during and after temporal lobe seizures (Table 1). Improved human pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus electrode targeting and stimulation techniques may improve its potential as a target for arousal.

Stimulation experiments point to a number of other brainstem nuclei as having the capacity to produce alertness, including the ventral tegmental area, the locus coeruleus, and the pontine reticular nucleus oralis. In rats, electrical stimulation of the ventral tegmental area induces reanimation during continuous anesthetization with propofol (Table 1). Similarly, in anesthetized rats, unilateral stimulation of the locus coeruleus showed bilateral desynchronization in the medial prefrontal cortex (Table 1). This study measured responses in the medial prefrontal cortex on the order of 1 second, indicating a norepinephrine-dependent switch in cortical state toward information processing.

Optogenetic stimulation of the locus coeruleus indicated that temporal characteristics of stimulation altered the resultant arousal effects. Vagus nerve stimulation, a widely available neuromodulatory device that modestly reduces seizure frequency in appropriately selected patients, putatively acts via activation of the locus coeruleus and/or nucleus tractus solitarius, and the device has been noted to improve arousal in patients with epilepsy.

Stimulation of the pontine reticular nucleus oralis in a lightly anesthetized patient has also been shown to increase cortical desynchronization with increased poststimulation functional connectivity to basal forebrain-paralimbic structures such as the nucleus basalis of Meynert, central-medial nucleus of the thalamus, retrosplenium, and caudate/putamen (Table 1). Preliminary data from studies of rats show robust cortical desynchronization after bilateral electrical stimulation of the pontine reticular nucleus oralis during and after partial seizures (Table 1) (A. Kundishora, personal communication, 2015). These diverse brainstem regions provide but a few potential DBS targets for enhancing consciousness in patients with epilepsy.

Hypothalamus

Since the early twentieth century, when naturally oc-
### TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Stim Type</th>
<th>Uni- vs Bilateral</th>
<th>HFS/ LFS</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Amp</th>
<th>Pulse Width</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Findings &amp; Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper brainstem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnulf et al., 2010</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Uni- + bilat</td>
<td>LFS/ HFS</td>
<td>10–25 Hz/80 Hz</td>
<td>2.7–2.8 V/0.8–1.5 V</td>
<td>60 μsec</td>
<td>5 mins on, 3 mins off</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>During LFS, patients reported increased alertness. HFS caused acute-onset sleepiness &amp; episodes of REM sleep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppe et al., 2012</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>25 Hz</td>
<td>1.8–2.2 V</td>
<td>60 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous vs nighttime</td>
<td>Awake &amp; sleep</td>
<td>PPT + STN stimulation improved daytime sleepiness, nocturnal restlessness, &amp; nocturnal psychosis compared w/ STN stimulation alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optogenetics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Optogenetic</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>LFS*</td>
<td>5–40 Hz</td>
<td>20–60 mW/mm²</td>
<td>1–60 msec</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>ChR2 stimulation of PPT efferents caused cortical desynchronization during seizure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solt et al., 2014</td>
<td>VTA</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>30–120 μAmp</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>30 sec on, 30 sec off, 3 mins</td>
<td>Anesthesia (isoflurane)</td>
<td>Stimulation of VTA caused increase in beta (12–30 Hz) EEG &amp; induced reanimation from anesthesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marzo et al., 2014</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>20–50 Hz</td>
<td>30–50 μAmp</td>
<td>400 μsec</td>
<td>50–200 msec</td>
<td>Anesthesia (urethane)</td>
<td>Pulse train stimulations caused mPFC desynchronization, increased power in bands &gt;20 Hz, &amp; decreased delta band power (0–4 Hz).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillay et al., 2014</td>
<td>PnO</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>300 Hz</td>
<td>5–7 V</td>
<td>100 μsec</td>
<td>3 sec on, 57 sec off</td>
<td>Anesthesia (isoflurane)</td>
<td>PnO stimulation caused cortical desynchronization &amp; decreased delta band power. Increase in FC was seen w/ NBM, central medial thalamus, retrosplenium, caudate, &amp; putamen stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Kundishora, personal communication, 2015</td>
<td>PnO</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>30–75 μAmp</td>
<td>500 μsec</td>
<td>120 sec</td>
<td>Light anesthesia (ketamine) ictal + postictal</td>
<td>Stimulation in PnO caused cortical desynchronization during deep anesthesia &amp; during &amp; after electrically triggered seizures (under light anesthesia) w/ decrease in delta band power.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
**TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Stim Type</th>
<th>Uni- vs Bilateral</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Amp</th>
<th>Pulse Width</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Findings &amp; Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypothalamus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishida et al., 2007</td>
<td>TMN Rat</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Uni- + bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>80–150 μAmp</td>
<td>300 μsec</td>
<td>30 mins; 10 sec on, 10 sec off</td>
<td>Anesthesia (urethane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al., 2008</td>
<td>TMN Rat</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Uni- + bilat</td>
<td>LFS/ HFS</td>
<td>1 Hz/ 100 Hz</td>
<td>100–200 μAmp</td>
<td>100 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous/ 3–15 mins</td>
<td>Awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blik et al., 2015</td>
<td>TMN Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>68–88 μAmp</td>
<td>300 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Sleep &amp; awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franzini et al., 2008</td>
<td>PH Human</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100–185 Hz</td>
<td>1.5–3.0 V</td>
<td>90 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franzini et al., 2013</td>
<td>PH Human</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>185 Hz</td>
<td>1–3 V</td>
<td>60–90 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting et al., 2013</td>
<td>LH Human</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>185 Hz</td>
<td>1–7 V</td>
<td>90 μsec</td>
<td>12–14 hrs, daily</td>
<td>Awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basal ganglia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moll et al., 2009</td>
<td>GPi Human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>130 Hz</td>
<td>0.5–0.7 V</td>
<td>60 μsec</td>
<td>2–3 mins</td>
<td>Anesthesia (propofol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fimm et al., 2009</td>
<td>STN Human</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>130–185 Hz</td>
<td>1.4–4.2 V</td>
<td>60–90 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Awake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
### TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Stim Type</th>
<th>Uni- vs Bilateral</th>
<th>HFS/ LFS</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Amp</th>
<th>Pulse Width</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Findings &amp; Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thalamus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher et al., 1992</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>65 Hz</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>95 msec</td>
<td>2 hrs/day; 1 min on, 4 mins off</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>Nonstatistically significant decrease in seizure frequency (30% vs 8%); however, after 24 hrs of continuous DBS, decrease in seizure frequency rose to 50%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velasco et al., 1995</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>60 Hz</td>
<td>440–790 μAmp</td>
<td>0.09–1 msec</td>
<td>1 min for 2 hr/day</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>CM stimulation dramatically reduced seizure frequency; was most effective for generalized tonic-clonic seizures but did not change frequency of complex-partial seizures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamamoto et al., 2005</td>
<td>CM-Pf</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>21 VS; 5 MCS</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>25 Hz</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>every 2–3 hrs</td>
<td>VS/MCS</td>
<td>8/21 VS patients were able to follow verbal commands, 4/5 MCS patients were no longer bedridden, according to 10 yrs of follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentin et al., 2012</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>6 Hz</td>
<td>6 V</td>
<td>90 μsec</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Status epilepticus</td>
<td>Reduced myoclonic jerks with resolution of EEG epileptiform discharges in patient with refractory SE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiff et al., 2007</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>4 V</td>
<td>90 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>Central thalamic stimulation improved ratings in revised Coma Recovery Scale for patient in minimally conscious state 6 yrs after a traumatic brain injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirvalkar et al., 2006</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>1.5 mAmp</td>
<td>50 μsec</td>
<td>30 mins</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>Increased object recognition memory, arousal-related exploratory motor behavior, &amp; goal-directed seeking behaviors in rats with CL stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gummadavelli et al., 2014</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>8–12 (terminal group); 3 (chronic group)</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>100 Hz</td>
<td>400 μAmp</td>
<td>500 μsec</td>
<td>20 sec</td>
<td>Postictal anesthesia (ketamine-xylazine anesthesia, or spontaneous sleep decreased low-frequency power &amp; increased spontaneous exploratory behaviors.</td>
<td>Stimulation after electrically induced seizure, ketamine-xylazine anesthesia, or spontaneous sleep decreased low-frequency power &amp; increased spontaneous exploratory behaviors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Stim Type</th>
<th>Uni- vs Bilateral</th>
<th>HFS/LFS</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Amp</th>
<th>Pulse Width</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Findings &amp; Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thalamus (continued)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mair &amp; Hembrook, 2008</td>
<td>rlLN</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>120 Hz</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>200 μsec</td>
<td>2 sec</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>Low-current stimulation improved delayed matching-to-position working memory task when applied in memory delay or choice phases, indicating role in memory retrieval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basal forebrain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freund et al., 2009</td>
<td>NBM</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>Bilat</td>
<td>LFS</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
<td>1 V</td>
<td>120 μsec</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>Bilat electrical stimulation of the NBM caused significant improvement in cognitive tasks. STN stimulation alone showed no improvement. Patient underwent cognitive decline 24 hrs after cessation of NBM stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ch-BF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al., 2014</td>
<td>Ch-BF</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Optogenetic</td>
<td>Unilat</td>
<td>LFS*</td>
<td>10 &amp; 20 Hz</td>
<td>0.5–1.5 mW</td>
<td>30 msec</td>
<td>1–15 sec every 1 min for 1 hr</td>
<td>Slow-wave sleep</td>
<td>Photostimulation induced a decrease in slow-wave &amp; increase in theta-wave EEG activity &amp; increased total time spent in the awake state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amp = amplitude; Ch-BF = cholinergic basal forebrain; ChR2 = channelrhodopsin; CL = central lateral thalamus; CM = centromedian thalamus; CM-Pf = centromedian–parafascicular thalamus; FC = functional connectivity; freq = frequency; GPi = globus pallidus interna; HFS = high-frequency stimulation; LC = locus coeruleus; LH = lateral hypothalamus; LFS = low-frequency stimulation; MCS = minimally conscious state; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; NBM = nucleus basalis of Meynert; NR = not reported; PH = posterior hypothalamus; PrO = pontine nucleus oralis; PPT = pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus; PTZ = pentylenetetrazol; rlLN = rostral intralaminar thalamus; SE = status epilepticus;stim = stimulation; STN = subthalamic nucleus; TMN = tuberomammillary nucleus; VS = vegetative state; VTA = ventral tegmental area.

* Mechanism of optogenetic stimulation ensures neuronal depolarization, regardless of frequency.
curring hypothalamic lesions were paradoxically associated with either narcolepsy or insomnia, the hypothalamus has been theorized to be a key effector of arousal and sleep regulation. Since then, the antagonistic relationship between the sleep-promoting anterior hypothalamus and arousal centers in the posterior hypothalamus, basal forebrain, and brainstem has been elucidated. It has been hypothesized that mutual inhibition among distinct neuronal populations in the hypothalamus acts as a neural switch that transitions the brain between aroused and sedated states. Sleep-promoting neurons in the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus have inhibitory GABAergic and galanergic projections to the wake-promoting orexin/hypocretin neurons of the lateral hypothalamus and the histaminergic neurons of the tuberomammillary nucleus in the posterior hypothalamus. In turn, orexin/hypocretin and histamine have inhibitory effects on the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus; the tuberomammillary nucleus sends direct projections to the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus in addition to its diffuse cortical targets. Chemical inhibition of the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus with dexmedetomidine induces electrophysiological desynchronization and behavioral arousal from isoflurane anesthesia. DBS targeting the lateral hypothalamus has been tried as a treatment for refractory obesity in 3 patients; in addition to augmenting the resting metabolic rate, 1 of the stimulated contacts consistently increased arousal (Table 1).

As the major seat of histaminergic neurons in the brain, the tuberomammillary nucleus is involved in regulation of sleep/wakefulness and states of consciousness and, as such, is a putative site for stimulation. Furthermore, different frequencies of tuberomammillary nucleus stimulation in animal experiments have shown either pro- or antiseizure effects, suggesting a complex relationship between the tuberomammillary nucleus and seizures. High-frequency (100 Hz) stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus in a pentylentetrazol model of epilepsy protected against seizure occurrence in a histamine-1 receptor–dependent manner (Table 1). A subsequent study found that low-frequency (1 Hz) stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus and bilateral tuberomammillary nucleus lesions facilitated amygdaloid kindling–induced epileptogenesis, although low-frequency stimulation had no effect on pentylentetrazol–kindled seizures (Table 1). In conflict with prior results, that study concluded that high-frequency stimulation also facilitated progression of amygdaloid-kindled seizures, indicating that differences in animal model preparations and high-frequency stimulation parameters (pulse width and stimulation duration) may have led to disparate results. Most recently, tuberomammillary nucleus stimulation in a WAG/Rij rat model of absence epilepsy concluded that open-loop 100-Hz stimulation reduced the number of spike-wave discharges and altered the animals’ sleep cycle by increasing active wakefulness (Table 1).

Few human studies document stimulation of the posterior hypothalamus, of which the tuberomammillary nucleus is a subnucleus. For 2 patients, posterior hypothalamic DBS successfully treated refractory multifocal epilepsy by decreasing seizure activity as well as pathologically disruptive behavior (Table 1); this location has since been stimulated to treat aggressive behavior disorders in 5 additional patients and possibly had simultaneous antiseizure effects (Table 1). These data are complementary to data from recent human trials of posterior hypothalamic stimulation for the treatment of cluster headaches that report prolonged periods of wakefulness and disrupted sleep after DBS.

Viewed in the context of these studies, the posterior and lateral hypothalamus could have a therapeutic role in epilepsy by affecting seizure frequency and impaired consciousness. Further data are needed regarding the effect of tuberomammillary nucleus DBS on histamine release. DBS to these locations may alter vegetative functions such as sleep and appetite. Such side effects could conceivably be mitigated by varying stimulation parameters over the day-night cycle.

**Basal Ganglia**

The role of basal ganglia stimulation (e.g., stimulation of the globus pallidus interna or subthalamic nucleus) is well recognized as a treatment for Parkinson disease and other movement disorders. However, evidence analyzing the association of these regions with DBS-driven alertness and conscious states is sparse. Many studies of subthalamic nucleus DBS in patients with Parkinson disease debate postsurgical decline in cognition and verbal fluency. Among these studies, alertness was not directly evaluated by use of consistent measures. When alertness was measured by use of a reaction-time task, reaction time was decreased in Parkinson disease patients who had undergone bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation versus those who had undergone sham stimulation (Table 1). Results of studies that have stimulated both the subthalamic nucleus and another arousal site indicate that the observed improvement in reaction time may be a motor phenomenon rather than improved attentiveness.

The globus pallidus interna has functional connections to the arousal systems of the basal forebrain. Unilateral stimulation of the globus pallidus interna in an anesthetized patient with cervical dystonia induced a state of wakeful unarousal (Table 1). This report by Moll et al. exemplifies that measuring changes in alertness, arousal, or consciousness across DBS implantation locations and indications could contribute to understanding the role of the basal ganglia in arousal networks.

**Thalamus**

The intralaminar nuclei have long been the predominant thalamic nuclei implicated in arousal and attention for patients in various physiological (sleep) and pathological (posttrauma/stroke coma, vegetative, minimally conscious) states. Numerous historical experiments with electrical stimulation of the “nonspecific” intralaminar thalamus noted behavioral and electrophysiological markers of arousal from sleep and trauma-induced coma. Studies, however, were limited by low patient sample sizes and imprecise stimulation-site targeting. In 1 patient experiencing “subcoma” from medial midbrain and upper brainstem infarction, for whom electrode location was verified via postmortem histology, electrical stimulation of the “thalamic unspecific activating system” (left nucleus...
reticularis polaris thalami) induced immediate signs of arousal for prolonged periods. These findings, however, have not since been investigated in animal or human studies.

More recent trials of stimulation in the centromedian and rostral intralaminar thalamic nuclei yielded optimistic results in the context of vegetative and minimally conscious states; however, application was limited. Initial studies suggested improvement of nearly 50% of patients from a vegetative state after chronic centromedian–pars fasciculii stimulation; later studies with increased sample sizes found greater recovery from a minimally conscious state (Table 1). A major limitation of these studies was absence of an effective control group to show that the behavioral improvements were not the result of spontaneous improvement, which is known to occur at varying rates for patients in vegetative and minimally conscious states. In an attempt to address this issue, analysis of electrophysiological features between a larger cohort of patients who did and did not receive chronic centromedian–pars fascicularis DBS was performed. However, a complete lack of any recovery among those who did not receive chronic stimulation suggested the possibility of selection bias, whereby patients for whom expected clinical outcome was better were chosen for the procedure.

In contrast to the numerous centromedian–pars fasciculii DBS trials, few human or animal studies have experimentally stimulated the rostral intralaminar nuclei. In rats, electrical stimulation of the rostral intralaminar nuclei has led to improved visual object recognition, arousal behaviors, and memory retrieval (Table 1). According to a report of a case for which multiple internal controls were used, a patient who had been in a minimally conscious state for 6 years showed functional improvement in numerous components of the revised Coma Recovery Scale, including arousal, after bilateral high-frequency central thalamic stimulation targeting the central lateral nucleus (Table 1). It has been hypothesized that anterior forebrain network integrity was essential to the results noted in this case. Additional work with this approach is forthcoming and should be pursued in future studies.

Although studies investigating intralaminar thalamic DBS and level of consciousness in patients with epilepsy are rare, this procedure shows promise. Numerous early studies of humans suggested mixed results of chronic centromedian nucleus stimulation to reduce seizure frequency (Table 1). In some cases, increased alertness as a side effect of long-term stimulation was noted. Of note, low-frequency stimulation of the centromedian nucleus increased cortical slowing and spike-and-wave activity and was associated behaviorally with lip smacking and unresponsiveness, but high-frequency stimulation was associated with cortical EEG desynchronization. For 1 patient, bilateral centromedian nucleus stimulation interrupted refractory status epilepticus (Table 1). However, this patient remained in a persistent vegetative state despite stimulation, suggesting anatomical or functional disruption to the arousal network, or refuting prior evidence that centromedian–pars fasciculii DBS has a beneficial effect on level of consciousness.

Rostral intralaminar thalamic stimulation has not been attempted in human patients with epilepsy. However, in an animal model of temporal lobe seizure, neuroimaging, electrophysiological, and neurochemical data demonstrated decreased intralaminar thalamic functional MRI signal during and after complex partial seizures, decreased firing of cholinergic neurons in the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus and basal forebrain, and decreased concentrations of choline in the intralaminar thalamus and cortex. Another study that used this model provided evidence that bilateral high-frequency central lateral thalamic stimulation improved electrophysiological markers and spontaneous exploratory behaviors during the postictal period (Table 1). Noting the importance of specific neuromodulatory systems, optogenetic excitatory stimulation of efferents from the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus to the intralaminar thalamus dramatically reduced cortical slowing during complex partial seizures. Combined, these preclinical studies support activation of the intralaminar thalamus as a promising neurosurgical target for improving level of consciousness during and after seizures.

**Basal Forebrain**

Anatomically, the basal forebrain includes cholinergic subcortical structures such as the substantia innominata, the vertical and horizontal limbs of the diagonal band of Broca, the medial septum and nucleus basalis of Meynert, and the dopaminergic ventral pallidum–nucleus accumbens nuclei. In parallel with the central thalamus, the basal forebrain also receives input from nuclei of the reticular activating system. A substantial amount of literature about cognition in dementia and sleep physiology reports that the basal forebrain plays an integral role in arousal. For example, in a dog model of narcolepsy, cholinergic activation altered the level of arousal as well as muscle tone opposite to that of control dogs, suggesting a close link between REM sleep and arousal. Similarly, chemical stimulation of the cholinergic basal forebrain with neurotensin increased EEG desynchronization, which correlated behaviorally with increased wakefulness, paradoxical/REM sleep, and loss of slow-wave sleep. Optogenetic activation of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons was sufficient to alter the cortical state from slow-wave sleep, but not REM sleep, to wakefulness and prolonged poststimulation arousal (Table 1). DBS of the cholinergic basal forebrain nucleus basalis of Meynert has been proposed for treatment of dementia because of the cellular loss noted in the basal forebrain and subsequent decline in cortical acetylcholine. In a patient with Parkinson-dementia syndrome, stimulation of the nucleus basalis and subthalamic nucleus induced improvements in concentration, alertness, and motivation as well as motor symptoms; stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus alone improved motor symptoms only (Table 1).

Further evidence shows that impaired arousal of patients in the ictal and postictal states involves decreased activity in the basal forebrain caused by lack of multiple neuromodulatory drives. The output of the nucleus basalis is influenced by noradrenergic and cholinergic inputs to result in cortical desynchronization. In a temporal lobe seizure model of epilepsy, decreased firing of cholin-
Fig. 2. Anatomical localizations of key nuclei thought to play a role in level of consciousness. See text for references. ACh = acetylcholine; CL = central lateral; CM-PF = centromedian-parafascicular thalamus; FC = functional connectivity; GPe = globus pallidus externa; GPi = globus pallidus interna; LC = locus coeruleus; LO = lateral orbitofrontal cortex; MCS minimally conscious state; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; MR = midbrain raphe; NA = nucleus accumbens; NBM = nucleus basalis of Meynert; NE = norepinephrine; NTS = nucleus tractus solitarius; PAG = periaqueductal gray matter; PFC = prefrontal cortex; PH = posterior hypothalamus; PnO = pontine nucleus oralis; PPT = pedunculopontine tegmental area; PRF = pontine reticular formation; PTZ = pentylenetetrazol; RAS = reticular activating system; SC = superior colliculus; SNR = substantia nigra reticularis; STN = subthalamic nucleus; SWS = slow-wave sleep; TBI = traumatic brain injury; TMN = tubulomammillary nucleus; VS = vegetative state; VTA = ventral tegmental area.
ergic neurons in the nucleus basalis during seizures impaired consciousness in the same fashion as cholinergic neurons in the pedunculopontine tegmental area. This finding parallels findings of decreased serotonergic neuronal firing in the raphe, which also innervates the nucleus basalis, in the same animal model of partial seizures.

Summary and Conclusions

We reviewed DBS targets that might have therapeutic potential for patients who experience deficits in consciousness after seizures (Table 1). There is evidence that in the upper brainstem, stimulation of the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus leads to improvements in attentiveness and changes in sleep architecture. Stimulation studies of anesthetized patients indicate that alertness might be regulated by the ventral tegmental area, locus coeruleus, and upper brainstem reticular formation including the pontine nucleus oralis. Another area of interest is the tuberomammillary nucleus of the posterior hypothalamus; its stimulation protected against seizure occurrence and increased active wakefulness. In addition, increased wakefulness has also been reported after tuberomammillary nucleus DBS in humans. It should be noted, however, that stimulation of the orexin efferents from the lateral hypothalamus may also contribute to the observed wakefulness. In the basal ganglia, DBS of the subthalamic nucleus and the globus pallidus interna is already widely used to treat movement disorders; however, data regarding effects on level of consciousness are limited, given the broad clinical use of these procedures, suggesting avenues for future inquiry. The intralaminar thalamic nuclei are attractive targets because of their surgical accessibility and prior applications in patients with epilepsy and a minimally conscious state; bilateral central thalamic DBS in a minimally conscious patient improved features of arousal. In an animal model, bilateral central lateral thalamic stimulation reversed postictal cortical slowing and behavioral freezing. Last, the cholinergic basal forebrain nuclei are clinically feasible and novel targets for improving level of consciousness. Stimulation increases arousal in animal models of disordered sleep and has improved alertness in a patient with dementia, while also proving to be safe. However, no prior studies have been conducted with regard to seizures or epilepsy.

The many anatomical regions implicated in level of consciousness imply parallel networks with a currently unknown degree of integration. The interaction of these targets also highlights the convergence and cross-communication among 5 major neuromodulatory systems that are involved in supporting the level of consciousness. DBS target selection for patients with impaired consciousness during seizures should be approached as a complex problem; optimal targeting for any particular patient will probably vary according to epilepsy and seizure classification. The understanding of how seizure types interact with the consciousness network may inform the targeting decisions. DBS in arousal-related nuclei may decrease the risk for sudden unexplained death in patients with epilepsy. Recent studies have indicated that dual deficits in dysregulated consciousness and breathing while in the ictal and postictal states may underlie this risk. For example, chemical stimulation of the region within the medullary raphe, one of the serotonergic nuclei known to participate in arousal and respiratory control, produced prolonged apnea. The medullary raphe was found to have multiple afferents from thermoregulatory, cardiovascular, nociceptive, and respiratory centers, indicating its integrative role in airway protection. A recent study in humans suggested that stimulation of the unilateral amygdala, which receives input from a major chemosensory nuclei (nucleus tractus solitarius), also produced asymptomatic apnea. Although directly modulating respiratory centers may pose challenges for investigating the mechanisms of sudden death during epilepsy and its treatments, the communication between respiratory circuitry and arousal circuitry provides potential therapeutic targets.

We have proposed numerous nodes within the consciousness network that may be investigated to modulate level of consciousness in the context of epilepsy (Fig. 2). Many of these areas have been targeted for indications other than improving consciousness; therefore, levels of arousal and cognitive function have been only secondarily evaluated (Table 1). The ethical aspect of DBS use for improving consciousness is prevalent in the literature about traumatic brain injury; although outside the scope of this review, we recognize the importance of such considerations when potentially restoring awareness during a seizure. Some of these areas have been investigated in animal models only; although objective data about safety in humans for DBS in these nuclei are scarce, the anatomical locations of these areas are in close proximity to more frequently targeted areas. In addition to the dramatic improvement in quality of life that could be gained by maintaining consciousness during seizures, some data indirectly suggest that improvement in level of consciousness decreases seizure frequency in patients with multiple seizure types. It is our opinion that use of DBS in these areas should be explored further with the aim of measuring level of consciousness by use of specific assessments such as the modified Coma Recovery Scale or comprehensive neuropsychiatric testing.
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