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Abstract

To cause disease, Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile must resist killing by innate immune effectors in the intestine, including the host antimicrobial peptide, cathelicidin (LL-37). The mechanisms that enable C. difficile to adapt to the intestine in the presence of antimicrobial peptides are unknown. Expression analyses revealed an operon, CD630_16170-CD630_16190 (clnRAB), which is highly induced by LL-37 and is not expressed in response to other cell-surface active antimicrobials. This operon encodes a predicted transcriptional regulator (ClnR) and an ABC transporter system (ClnAB), all of which are required for function. Analyses of a clnR mutant indicate that ClnR is a pleiotropic regulator that directly binds to LL-37 and controls expression of numerous genes, including many involved in metabolism, cellular transport, signaling, gene regulation, and pathogenesis. The data suggest that ClnRAB is a novel regulatory mechanism that senses LL-37 as a host signal and regulates gene expression to adapt to the host intestinal environment during infection.

Author summary

C. difficile is a major nosocomial pathogen that causes severe diarrheal disease. Though C. difficile is known to inhabit the human gastrointestinal tract, the mechanisms that allow this pathogen to adapt to the intestine and survive host defenses are not known. In this work, we investigated the response of C. difficile to the host defense peptide, LL-37, to determine the mechanisms underlying host adaptation and survival. Expression analyses revealed a previously unknown locus, which we named clnRAB, that is highly induced by LL-37 and acts as a global regulator of gene expression in C. difficile. Mutant analyses indicate that ClnRAB is a novel regulatory system that senses LL-37 as a host signal to regulate adaptation to the intestinal environment.
Introduction

*Clostridioides difficile* (formerly *Clostridium difficile*) poses a serious, ongoing, public health threat. *C. difficile* infection (CDI) results in mild to severe diarrhea and leads to approximately 29,000 deaths each year in the United States [1]. Patients are typically infected after treatment with antibiotics, which disrupt the intestinal microbiota that provide colonization resistance against CDI by competition and release of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) [1, 2].

The host innate immune system also plays an important role in the prevention of infections. A critical feature of this defense is the production of AMPs, including defensins, cathelicidin (LL-37), and lysozyme [3, 4]. LL-37, a cationic AMP, is of particular importance in CDI because it is not only produced constitutively in the colon by the colonic epithelium, but it is also released in high levels from neutrophils, which are a key component of the initial immune response to CDI [5]. LL-37 is stored in neutrophil granules at a concentration of ~6 μg/ml and has been reported to reach levels of 15 μg/ml in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients and ~5 μg per gram of feces during shigellosis [6–8]. LL-37 forms an amphipathic alpha-helical structure that can insert into bacterial membranes and cause bacterial cell death [9–11]. Common bacterial resistance mechanisms to LL-37 include cell surface modifications that prevent LL-37 access to the bacterial surface, efflux pumps that eliminate LL-37 that enters the bacteria, secreted proteases that degrade LL-37, and modulation of host production of LL-37 [5].

*C. difficile* demonstrates inducible resistance to LL-37, and current epidemic ribotypes have higher levels of resistance to LL-37 than other ribotypes [12]. Although *C. difficile* resistance to LL-37 is documented, no clear homologs of known resistance mechanisms are apparent in the genome and no additional LL-37 resistance mechanisms have been identified. Moreover, the mechanisms by which *C. difficile* responds to this and other innate immune factors are poorly understood. We hypothesized that *C. difficile* recognizes and responds to LL-37 and that this response occurs, at least in part, at the level of transcription.

In this study, we determined the transcriptional response of *C. difficile* to the host peptide, LL-37. We identified an operon, CD630_16170-CD630_16190 (herein named clnRAB), that was highly induced by LL-37 and was not expressed in response to other cell-surface active antimicrobials. This operon encodes a predicted GntR-family transcriptional regulator (ClnR) and an ABC transporter system (ClnAB). We determined that the ClnR regulator represses clnRAB expression and yet is also necessary for LL-37-dependent induction of clnRAB transcription. Transcriptional analyses of a clnR mutant indicated that ClnR is a global regulator that controls the expression of numerous genes including toxins, alternative metabolism pathways, transporters, and transcriptional regulators. Growth analyses revealed that exposure to LL-37 modifies the metabolism of *C. difficile* and that this response occurs through ClnR. In addition, we observed that both a clnR and a clnAB mutant are more virulent in the hamster model of infection and a clnR mutant is defective at colonization in the mouse model of infection. In vitro analyses confirmed that ClnR is a DNA-binding transcriptional regulator that directly controls expression of the cln operon and other ClnR-regulated genes. Further, we observed specific binding of ClnR to LL-37, verifying the direct interaction of these factors. Based on these data, we propose that LL-37 acts as a host signal that is transmitted through ClnRAB, enabling *C. difficile* to regulate global gene expression to adapt to the intestinal environment during infection.

Results

**Discovery of an operon that is highly induced by LL-37**

To test the hypothesis that *C. difficile* responds to LL-37 through changes in gene transcription, we performed RNA-seq analysis on bacteria grown with or without sub-MIC levels of LL-37 to
determine which genes were differentially expressed. RNA-seq analysis revealed 228 genes that were differentially expressed at least 2-fold and with \(p < 0.05\), including 107 genes that were induced and 121 genes that were down-regulated in the presence of LL-37 (S1 Table). Genes differentially expressed in LL-37 include loci predicted to encode metabolic pathway components, nutrient acquisition mechanisms, transcriptional regulators, multidrug transporters, antibiotic resistance factors, conjugation-associated proteins, and genes of unknown function. Notably, several of these loci were previously investigated in \(C.\) difficile and found to contribute to growth, antimicrobial resistance or virulence, including genes involved in succinate, glucose, fructose, mannitol, ethanolamine, butyrate, acetyl-CoA and amino acid metabolism, oligopeptide permeases, elongation factor (EF-G), ferredoxin oxidoreductase, and ECF sigma factors [13–23].

Of the differentially regulated genes identified, the most highly induced by LL-37 were three genes comprising an apparent operon: \(CD630\_16170–16190\). These genes encode a putative GntR-family transcriptional regulator (\(CD630\_16170, clnR\)) and a downstream ABC transporter system composed of an ATP-binding component (\(CD630\_16180, clnA\)) and a permease (\(CD630\_16190, clnB\)). The results of the RNA-seq analyses for \(clnRAB\) expression were verified by qRT-PCR in the 630\(\Delta\)erm strain and for the epidemic 027 ribotype strain, R20291 (Fig 1). Based on the substantial induction of these genes and their resemblance to antimicrobial response systems, we pursued the function of this operon further. Transcriptional analysis of strains grown in increasing concentrations of LL-37 demonstrated that expression of each of these genes increased in a dose-dependent manner for both strains, illustrating that these genes are similarly expressed and regulated in diverse \(C.\) difficile isolates (Fig 1). Using nested PCR from \(C.\) difficile cDNA templates, we also confirmed that the \(CD630\_16170–16190\) genes are transcribed as an operon (S1 Fig).

**The cln operon does not contribute significantly to LL-37 resistance**

Given the high level of induction of the \(clnRAB\) operon in LL-37, and because transporters are common antimicrobial resistance mechanisms, we hypothesized that ClnAB may confer
resistance to LL-37. To test this, we generated insertional disruptions in the CD630_16170 (clnR::erm; herein clnR null mutant) and CD630_16180 (clnA::erm, herein clnAB null mutant) coding sequences (S2A Fig), and analyzed the ability of the mutants to grow in the presence of LL-37 (Fig 2). Although the clnR mutant has a minor growth defect when grown in BHIS alone, both the clnR and clnA mutants grew slightly better than the parent strain in 2.5 μg/ml LL-37. Evaluation of the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) for LL-37 in these strains revealed no observable differences in either the MIC or MBC for the clnR or clnAB null mutant in comparison to the parent strain (S2 Table). Considering that some antimicrobial transporter mechanisms are activated by and confer resistance to multiple classes of antimicrobials, we investigated the resistance of both mutants to other cell-surface acting compounds. Neither the clnR nor the clnAB mutant had altered MIC values for any other cell surface-active antimicrobial tested (S3 Table). These findings indicate that the cln operon does not play a significant role in resistance to LL-37 or other tested cell-surface active antimicrobials.

**Induction of clnRAB is specific to LL-37-like cathelicidins**

The induction of the cln operon suggested that this locus was responsive to LL-37; however, antimicrobials may induce changes in bacterial gene expression as a general stress response or due to disruptions in cellular processes [24, 25]. To determine whether the induction of this operon is specific to LL-37 or a general response to cellular stress, we evaluated the expression of clnR in the presence of a variety of other antimicrobial compounds (Table 1). Transcription of clnR was also induced when *C. difficile* was exposed to the mouse cathelicidin, mCRAMP, but clnR was not induced in the presence of sequence-scrambled LL-37 or the sheep cathelicidin, SMAP-29, which is less similar to LL-37 than mCRAMP (S3 Fig) [26–28]. Similarly, none of the other cell-surface-active antimicrobials tested (lysozyme, ampicillin, vancomycin, nisin, or polymyxin B) induced clnR expression (Table 1). These results indicate that induction of the clnRAB operon is dependent on the specific sequence of LL-37 and is not caused by
antimicrobial-induced cell-surface stress. Accordingly, we named this operon clnRAB to reflect the specificity of the induction in response to LL-37 and similar Cathelicidins.

ClnR is a global regulator of gene expression in C. difficile

As antimicrobial resistance did not explain the changes in growth for the clnR and clnAB null mutants in LL-37, we hypothesized that there were changes in the expression of genes other than clnRAB in the cln mutants. To test this, we examined gene expression by RNA-seq for the clnR mutant grown with and without LL-37, compared to that of the parent strain (S4 Table). This analysis revealed that 178 genes were differentially expressed at least 2-fold in the clnR mutant (p < 0.05). Notably, the clnR mutant demonstrated negative and positive changes in transcription, with many genes exhibiting additional conditional regulation by LL-37. In the absence of LL-37, the clnR mutant exhibited increased expression of 14 genes and decreased expression of 32 genes. Disruption of clnR had an even greater impact on expression in the presence of LL-37, resulting in increased expression of 29 genes and decreased expression of 103 genes. Of the 228 genes differentially regulated by LL-37 (S1 Table), 56 were also influenced by clnR (S5 Table). These results indicate that ClnR acts as both a repressor and inducer of gene expression, and that this regulatory potential is largely dependent on LL-37. The 178 genes regulated by ClnR fell into many different functional classes, with the most common ClnR-dependent genes encoding proteins with predicted metabolic functions (Fig 3). These results support the premise that ClnR acts as a global transcriptional regulator in response to LL-37.

RNA-seq results from the clnR mutant and parent strain were validated by qRT-PCR for several apparent ClnR-dependent genes, as well as analysis of expression in the clnAB mutant and complemented strains (S6 Table). Comparisons of clnR and clnAB mutant expression revealed that the regulator and transporter disruptions resulted in disparate effects on the transcription of some genes (vanZ1, cdd4 and iorA) (S6 Table). These disparate effects are most prominent in the presence of LL-37, highlighting that ClnR activity is dependent on LL-37. In some cases, the clnR and clnAB mutants had similar levels of expression, suggesting that the ClnAB transporter function is important for the activation of ClnR, whereas in other cases

**Table 1. Induction of clnR is specific to LL-37-like cathelicidins.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial</th>
<th>Relative clnR expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LL-37</td>
<td>530.1 ±110.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scrambled LL-37</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCRAMP</td>
<td>111.4 ± 27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAP-29</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nisin</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymyxin B</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Concentrations of antimicrobials used: 2 μg/ml LL-37, 2 μg/ml scrambled LL-37, 2 μg/ml mCRAMP, 0.35 μg/ml SMAP-29, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 4 μg/ml ampicillin, 0.5 μg/ml vancomycin, 7.5 μg/ml nisin, 200 μg/ml polymyxin B
- Relative expression determined by qRT-PCR and normalized to 630Δerm grown in BHIS without antimicrobials. Values are the mean of three replicates ± standard error of the mean.
- Bolded values indicate significant difference (adjusted p value < 0.05) from 630Δerm grown in BHIS without antimicrobials and analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007153.t001
vanZ1, cdd4 and iorA) expression diverged in the clnR and clnA mutants, suggesting a role for the ClnAB transporter in the regulation of some LL-37-dependent genes independent of ClnR. Complementation of clnR and clnAB was performed by restoring the entire clnRAB operon in trans, as restoration of expression was not possible using only the disrupted clnR or clnAB, respectively (S2B Fig). As a result, the clnR complemented strain expresses the acquired copy of clnRAB and the native clnAB. Similarly, the clnAB complement expresses the native clnR and the acquired clnRAB. The altered ratios of components in these complement strains (S2B Fig) may account for some discrepancies noted in these strains, further highlighting the complicated relationship between ClnR and ClnAB in regulation. These complex gene regulatory patterns suggest that multiple factors are involved in the transcription of some ClnR-regulated genes, and that ClnR has both direct and indirect effects on the expression of some loci.

ClnR conditionally represses and induces clnRAB in response to LL-37

To understand the molecular mechanism of ClnR function, we further explored regulation of the clnRAB locus. ClnR is annotated as a GntR-family transcriptional regulator, and protein sequence comparisons suggest that it is a member of the YtrA sub-family of GntR regulators (S4 Fig). GntR-family regulators are most common among bacteria that inhabit complex environmental niches [29]. The YtrA sub-family regulators are often found in conjunction with ABC-transporters and are typically autoregulatory [30]. We examined the impact of clnR and clnAB disruption on expression of the clnRAB operon to determine if ClnR regulates expression of itself and clnAB. qRT-PCR analysis using primers located downstream of the clnR and clnA insertional disruptions revealed that transcription of clnR and clnA are increased in the clnR mutant grown without LL-37 (Fig 4), suggesting that ClnR auto-represses the cln operon. Note that in the clnR insertional mutant, the clnR transcript cannot be translated into
functional protein because of the insertional disruption, but clnA and clnB transcripts are produced and are expected to be translated. These results indicate that the disruption of clnR is not polar on expression of clnA (or clnB), but clnAB expression is disregulated in the clnR strain. In the presence of LL-37, clnR and clnA expression are no longer induced in the clnR mutant, demonstrating that ClnR activates the cln operon in response to LL-37. Conversely, the clnAB mutant displays lower clnR and clnA expression during growth with or without LL-37 (Fig 4). These results provide further evidence that the ClnAB transporter contributes to regulation of the cln operon and the ability of ClnR to respond to LL-37.

ClnR regulates the metabolism of different nutrient sources in C. difficile

Based on the evident changes in metabolic gene expression in the clnR mutant and the impact of LL-37 on ClnR-dependent transcription, we investigated the effect of ClnR and LL-37 on the growth of C. difficile with relevant metabolites. To this end, we grew the clnR mutant and the parent strain in minimal medium supplemented with glucose, fructose, mannose, mannitol, N-acetylglucosamine, or ethanolamine, with and without LL-37 (Fig 5). We observed that for the first 2–3 h, growth of the cln mutants and the parent strain were indistinguishable in the presence or absence of LL-37, regardless of the supplemented carbon source (Fig 5). Other groups have also observed preferential utilization of peptides by C. difficile, as amino acids are a preferred energy source for this bacterium [31–33]. As anticipated, the addition of each of the examined carbon sources to minimal medium (MM) resulted in shorter doubling times (i.e., faster growth) for the parent strain cultures (630Δerm) than in the base minimal medium, with the exception of ethanolamine supplementation (Fig 5, S7 Table, column one). The clnR mutant grew less well than other strains in MM, MM with glucose, and MM with NAG, suggesting that ClnR is important for the utilization of peptides, glucose and NAG (Fig 5). When a low concentration of LL-37 (0.5 μg/ml; 1/30 MIC) was added to the growth medium, the
LL-37 response mechanism of *C. difficile*
Fig 5. ClnR and LL-37 regulate the metabolism of nutrients. Active cultures of strain 630Δerm (black), the clnR mutant (MC885, light purple), the clnAB mutant (MC895, light blue), clnR Tn::clnRAB (MC893, dark purple), and clnAB Tn::clnRAB (MC895, dark blue) were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ in 0.01 in minimal media (MM) either without (A-G) or with (H-N) 0.5 μg/ml LL-37. Base minimal media (A, H) or MM supplemented with 30 mM glucose (B, I), 30 mM fructose (C, J), 30 mM mannose (D, K), 30 mM mannitol (E, L), 30 mM N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) (F, M), or 30 mM ethanolamine (EA) (G, N). Graphs are plotted as the means +/- SEM from three independent replicates. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test, comparing to 630Δerm at each time point. Adjusted p value < 0.05 indicated by the symbols in the legend.

clnR and clnAB mutants grew better than the parent strain in base MM, MM with mannitol, MM with NAG, and MM with EA supplementation (Fig 5), suggesting that ClnRAB is important for growth in a variety of nutrients in the presence of LL-37. These results indicate that the changes in growth observed with low levels of LL-37 are due to changes in ClnR-dependent bacterial metabolism, rather than the antimicrobial activity of LL-37. Moreover, the data strongly suggest that the growth and metabolism delays observed in LL-37 are mediated by ClnR through repression and activation of metabolic gene expression (S4 and S5 Tables).

ClnRAB modulates growth and virulence in vivo

As LL-37 is a host-produced peptide and C. difficile inhabits the gastrointestinal tract, the natural consequences of ClnR-LL-37–dependent gene regulation would appear during the growth of the pathogen in the host intestine. To examine the effects of cln mutants in vivo, we used the hamster and mouse models of CDI. Like humans, hamsters and mice are sensitive to colonization by C. difficile, especially after receiving antibiotics, and both produce cathelicidins similar to LL-37 (S3 Fig) [34–36]. Syrian golden hamsters are acutely susceptible to infection by C. difficile, with as few as 1 to 10 CFU needed to produce fulminant disease [37]. Mice are naturally not as susceptible to CDI as hamsters and require 10⁴–10⁷ CFU to achieve colonization, usually with low morbidity [38]. For these reasons, the hamster model is most useful for examining early colonization and virulence, while mice allow for assessment of long-term C. difficile colonization [39].

Hamsters were infected with spores of 630Δerm, clnR, or clnAB strains and monitored for symptoms of infection as described in the Methods. Hamsters infected with either the clnR or clnAB mutant strains succumbed to infection more rapidly than animals inoculated with the parent strain, indicating that the clnR and clnAB mutants are more virulent (mean time to morbidity: 46.0 ± 12.2 h for 630Δerm, 32.5 ± 5.8 h for clnR, 35.2 ± 6.1 h for clnAB; Fig 6A). As the hamster model uses clindamycin to induce host susceptibility, it is conceivable that differences in strain outcomes were due to an advantage for growth provided by the ermB cassette in the clnR and clnAB mutants. To test this possibility, additional controls were performed using isogenic strains with and without the ermB cassette, as well as a range of clindamycin doses. No differences in infectivity were observed with or without the ermB cassette, nor did the dose of clindamycin impact infection in the 630 strain background (S5 Fig). C. difficile disease is mediated by the two primary toxins, TcdA and TcdB. To determine whether the increased virulence of the cln strains was related to increased toxin levels, we extracted RNA from cecal samples collected from animals at the time of morbidity and performed digital droplet PCR for absolute quantification of tcdA, tcdB, and clnR expression (S6 Fig). No significant differences in toxin expression were apparent at the time of morbidity. Because only one timepoint could be assessed, the results do not resolve whether the clnR and clnA mutants had altered toxin expression during the course of infection. But, the time from infection to morbidity for clnR and clnAB infections imply that these mutants produce toxin earlier in the course of infection, resulting in earlier symptoms of disease and morbidity.
To assess *C. difficile* colonization by the different strains, hamster fecal samples were taken at 12 h post-infection and plated onto selective medium. *C. difficile* was recovered from fecal samples in significantly more animals infected with the clnR strain than in the 630Δerm-infected group, suggesting that the clnR mutant colonizes the hamster intestine more rapidly (Fig 6B). In addition, the clnR mutant reached a higher bacterial burden at the time of morbidity (1.2 x 10^7 CFU/ml for 630Δerm, 2.7 x 10^7 CFU/ml for clnR, p < 0.05; Fig 6C). These results illustrate that the clnRAB operon plays a significant role in the colonization and virulence dynamics of *C. difficile* hamster infections.

The colonization results in hamsters suggested a role for ClnRAB in colonization dynamics, which was further examined in the mouse model. Mice were infected with spores of either 630Δerm, clnR, clnAB, clnR Tn::clnRAB, or clnAB Tn::clnRAB strains and monitored for colonization and disease as described in the Methods. Mice infected with clnR lost less weight and recovered more quickly, while mice infected with the clnAB mutant lost weight faster than those infected with 630Δerm (Fig 7A). Additionally, mice infected with clnR cleared the bacteria more quickly, with fewer animals having detectable CFU in their feces (Fig 7B; S7 Fig). While the impact on short-term colonization and virulence in hamsters and longer-term colonization and persistence in mice are contrasting, the results from both animal models strongly suggest that ClnR contributes to the ability of *C. difficile* to initiate colonization, cause disease, and persist in the intestinal environment.

![Fig 6. clnR and clnAB mutants are more virulent in a hamster model of infection. Syrian golden hamsters were inoculated with approximately 5000 spores of strain 630Δerm (n = 12), clnR (MC885; n = 12), or clnAB (MC935; n = 12). A) Kaplan-Meier survival curve depicting time to morbidity. Mean times to morbidity were: 630Δerm 46.0 ± 12.2 (n = 11); clnR 32.5 ± 5.8 (n = 12); clnA 35.2 ± 6.1 (n = 12). * indicates p ≤ 0.01 by log-rank test. B) Total *C. difficile* CFU recovered from fecal samples collected at 12 h.p.i. Dotted line demarcates lowest limit of detection. Solid black line marks the median. Fisher’s exact test compared the number of animals without and with detectable CFU compared to 630Δerm (* indicates p < 0.05). C) Total *C. difficile* CFU recovered from cecal contents collected post-mortem. Dotted line demarcates limit of detection. Solid black line marks the median. Numbers of CFU are compared to 630Δerm by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test (* indicates p < 0.05).](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007153.g006)
Considering that differences in either sporulation or germination rates can also influence virulence and bacterial burden in vivo, we assessed sporulation and germination for the clnR and clnAB mutants for defects in either process. No significant difference in sporulation or germination rates was observed for either mutant (S8 Fig).

ClnRAB and LL-37 promote toxin production

Since toxin production is the primary virulence factor leading to C. difficile symptoms, we further investigated the effects of LL-37 and ClnRAB on toxin production under more controlled conditions in vitro. qRT-PCR analysis of tcdA and tcdB transcription was assessed for the cln mutants and parent strain during logarithmic phase growth in BHIS medium, with or without added LL-37. As shown in S9A and S9B Fig, LL-37 exposure resulted in increased expression of tcdA (4.6-fold) and tcdB (2.2-fold) in wild-type cells (p < 0.05). In contrast, the clnR and clnAB mutants demonstrated lower expression of toxins in LL-37, suggesting that ClnRAB is partially responsible for LL-37-dependent regulation of toxin expression in vitro. Toxin
expression is known to be controlled by several regulatory factors, many of which respond to low nutrient availability and/or the transition to stationary phase growth [40]. To determine which of the toxin regulators may be influenced by LL-37, we examined expression of regulators and regulator-dependent factors, including tcdR, sigD, ilcV (as an indicator of CodY activity), and CD0341 (as an indicator of CcpA activity) (S8 Table). Of these, only ilvC expression is statistically altered in LL-37; however, the increase in ilvC expression is far more modest (2.2-fold increase; \( p < 0.05 \)) than would be expected with robust CodY activation [18, 19].

Because toxin production is typically low at mid-logarithmic phase in BHIS medium, we also examined toxin protein levels after 24 h growth in TY medium. Western blot analysis indicated that TcdA levels were significantly lower in the clnR mutant in TY medium, relative to the parent strain. When cells were grown in medium supplemented with LL-37, final TcdA levels decreased about 3-fold in the parent strain (S9C Fig). In contrast, TcdA levels did not change for the clnR and clnAB mutants in LL-37. While these findings contradict the induction of tcdA expression observed at log-phase in BHIS medium, the data support the observation that LL-37 and ClnRAB influence toxin expression, and that the outcome of this regulation on toxin production is dependent on growth conditions. These observations provide further evidence that the ClnRAB system is involved in toxin production and that this system is necessary for the influence of LL-37 on toxin production.

ClnR acts as a DNA-binding regulator that binds multiple promoters

As a predicted GntR-family transcriptional regulator, we hypothesized that ClnR binds DNA. Because expression results suggested that ClnR is autoregulatory (Fig 4), we initially tested whether ClnR directly regulates its own promoter. We produced recombinant His-tagged ClnR and performed gel shifts with fluorescein-labeled DNA of the 84 bp upstream of the predicted clnR transcriptional start site. This DNA fragment was selected because it encompasses a predicted \( \sigma^A \)-dependent promoter with -10 (at -52 to -47 bp) and -35 (at -73 to -68 bp) consensus sequences and a tandem repeat sequence (at -46 to -16 bp) that includes a possible ClnR-binding site (S10 Fig). Incubation of His-ClnR with this DNA fragment resulted in a shift visible after electrophoresis, both with and without LL-37 (Fig 8A). The apparent \( K_d \) value for this interaction was calculated to be 118 nM (± 40 nM) without LL-37 and 85 nM (± 7 nM) with LL-37, indicating that the affinity of ClnR for this DNA sequence does not change significantly in the presence of LL-37 in these conditions.

Additional ClnR-regulated promoters were examined for direct binding, including predicted upstream promoter elements for the metabolic operons grd (CD630_23540), mtl (CD630_23340), and ior (CD630_23810); other transcriptional regulators, including sigU (csfU, CD630_18870) and CD630_16060, as well as the uncharacterized vanZ ortholog (CD630_12400). ClnR bound to all of these promoter sequences but exhibited specificity for P\textsubscript{vanZ}, P\textsubscript{CD630_16060}, and P\textsubscript{sigU}, with or without LL-37 (Figs 8B–5D). Binding was less specific for Pgrd, Pmtl and Pior under the conditions tested (S11 Fig). The calculated apparent \( K_d \) for P\textsubscript{vanZ} was 141 nM (± 40 nM) without LL-37 and 85 nM (± 7 nM) with LL-37, indicating that the affinity of ClnR for this DNA sequence does not change significantly in the presence of LL-37 in these conditions.

ClnR directly binds LL-37

Although the EMSA did not uncover differences in ClnR-DNA binding in the absence or presence of LL-37 \textit{in vitro} for the DNA targets examined, our expression and growth data suggest
Fig 8. ClnR directly and specifically binds several DNA targets. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed as described in Methods using His-tagged ClnR and fluorescein-labeled DNA encompassing regions upstream of A) clnR, B) vanZ, C) CD1606, or D) sigU. ClnR was added to reactions at varying concentrations (specified in nM in A, in μM elsewhere) either without or with 0.5 μM LL-37, as indicated. Competitive EMSAs were performed with the addition of unlabeled target DNA (specific) or unlabeled Psp0A DNA (non-specific) at either 10x or 100x the concentration of labeled target DNA. 125 nM ClnR was used for the competitive EMSA for Pcln, 8 μM for all others. Apparent $K_d$ values were calculated as described in Methods. Graphs are the binding curves showing the mean and standard deviation from three independent replicates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007153.g008
that ClnR regulates transcription in response to LL-37. Based on clnR mutant phenotypes for cells grown with and without LL-37, we hypothesized that ClnR directly binds LL-37 to regulate ClnR activity. To test this hypothesis, we performed surface plasmon resonance (SPR) to examine the binding kinetics of ClnR with LL-37. These experiments showed that His-ClnR interacts with LL-37 with a $K_d$ of 83 ± 14 nM (Fig 9A). This $K_d$ value is similar to the apparent $K_d$ values that were calculated for the affinity of His-ClnR for Pcln DNA. This result suggests that the concentrations of ClnR needed to interact with both LL-37 and Pcln DNA are similar, and that interactions of these three components could occur simultaneously. Furthermore, the interaction between His-ClnR and LL-37 is specific, as SPR using scrambled LL-37 found no apparent interaction between these molecules (Fig 9B).

**Discussion**

Many bacteria encode signaling systems for detecting conditions within the host environment, allowing for activation of genes that are necessary for survival within the host. LL-37 acts as a signal for many pathogens to adapt to the host, though most of the mechanisms that have been investigated are implicated in bacterial virulence and antimicrobial resistance [41–47]. Little is known about the molecular mechanisms that C. difficile uses to adapt and survive in the host intestinal environment. Our results have revealed that LL-37 alters global gene expression in C. difficile through the previously unknown regulator and ABC-transporter system, ClnRAB. Moreover, the activation of ClnRAB is specific to LL-37 and independent of the antimicrobial effects of this host peptide.

Many of the genes regulated by LL-37 and ClnRAB function in metabolism and energy production (S4 Table, Fig 3). The regulation of metabolic pathways in response to LL-37 was previously observed in other pathogens, including S. pyogenes, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and S. pneumoniae, but their role in the bacterial response to LL-37 has not been clear [43, 46–48]. Our data indicate that the regulation of genes by LL-37/ClnRAB in vivo has notable effects on C. difficile colonization and virulence (Fig 6, Fig 7). Results from the mouse infection model suggest that disruption of ClnRAB results in dysregulation of metabolism that significantly
hinders the ability of *C. difficile* to colonize; in contrast, in the exquisitely toxin-sensitive hamster model, the metabolism defects in *cln* mutants quickly progress to nutrient deprivation and toxin production. These effects are not unexpected, given that nutrient deprivation is demonstrably the primary factor driving *C. difficile* toxin expression [20, 32, 40, 49–54]. *C. difficile* possesses an unusual metabolic repertoire for energy generation, including solventogenic fermentation [55, 56], Stickland (amino acid) fermentation [31], and autotrophic growth via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway [57]. However, the importance of most of these individual metabolic pathways for growth and virulence in vivo has not been determined. Because ClnR is a global regulator that negatively and positively influences the expression of multiple metabolic pathways, many of which are constitutively expressed in a *clnR* mutant, we cannot infer which of these pathways are most influential for host pathogenesis. Determining how and which ClnR-controlled pathways and mechanisms influence disease could expose potential vulnerabilities of *C. difficile* that may be exploited to prevent infections.

Overall, our results indicate that ClnRAB responds directly and specifically to LL-37 without conferring LL-37 resistance and suggest that ClnR responds to LL-37 as an indicator of the host environment, conferring a colonization advantage. The *clnRAB* locus is highly conserved in *C. difficile*, with representation at ≥99% amino acid sequence identity in over 500 strains at the time of this publication (NCBI, BLASTp). The data strongly suggest that ClnR acts as a pleiotropic regulator in *C. difficile* that controls the expression of genes involved in metabolism and virulence, in response to the host peptide, LL-37. Further study of the activation and downstream impacts of this regulatory pathway will contribute greatly to our understanding of how *C. difficile* adapts to the host environment and causes disease.

### Methods

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

*S8 Table* lists the bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study. *Escherichia coli* was grown aerobically in LB medium (Teknova) at 37˚C [58]. As needed, cultures were supplemented with 20 μg chloramphenicol ml⁻¹ (Sigma-Aldrich) or 100 μg ampicillin ml⁻¹ (Cayman Chemical Company). *C. difficile* was grown at 37˚C in an anaerobic chamber containing 10% H₂, 5% CO₂ and 85% N₂ (Coy Laboratory Products) in brain heart infusion medium supplemented with 2% yeast extract (BHIS; Becton, Dickinson, and Company), TY broth [51], or 70:30 sporulation agar [59], as previously described [60]. As needed, *C. difficile* cultures were supplemented with LL-37 (Anaspec) at the concentrations stated in the text, or 2 μg thiamphenicol ml⁻¹ (Sigma-Aldrich) for plasmid selection.

#### Strain and plasmid construction

Plasmids and strains used in this study are listed in *S9 Table*. *S10 Table* lists the oligonucleotides used in this study. *C. difficile* strain 630 (GenBank accession NC_009089.1) served as the reference for primer design and cloning. PCR amplification was performed using genomic DNA from strain 630Δerm as a template. PCR, cloning, and plasmid DNA isolation were performed according to standard protocols [60–62]. Plasmids were confirmed by sequencing (Eurofins MWG Operon).

Null mutations in *C. difficile* genes were introduced by re-targeting the group II intron of pCE240 using the primers listed in *S10 Table*, as previously described [16, 61]. Plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* strain HB101 pRK24 via transformation. *E. coli* strains were then conjugated with *C. difficile* for plasmid transfer. Transconjugants were exposed to 50 μg kanamycin ml⁻¹ to select against *E. coli*, 10 μg thiamphenicol ml⁻¹ to select for plasmids, and subsequently, 5 μg erythromycin ml⁻¹ to select for insertion of the group II intron into the chromosome.
Insertion of the group II intron into erythromycin resistant clones was confirmed by PCR using the primers listed in S10 Table.

The clnRAB coding sequence and apparent promoter (pMC649) were introduced into the Tn916 transposon of BS49 as MC951 [20]. MC951 was then mated with C. difficile strains MC885 and MC935 to generate MC950 and MC953, respectively. Transconjugants were exposed to 50 μg kanamycin ml⁻¹ to select against B. subtilis and 5 μg erythromycin ml⁻¹ to select for integration of the transposon. Insertion of the genes was confirmed by PCR using the primers listed in S10 Table. Complete information on plasmid construction is available in the supplemental materials (S11 Table).

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)
Active cultures of 630Δerm or the clnR mutant were diluted to approximately OD₆₀₀ 0.05 in BHIS alone or with 2 μg LL-37 ml⁻¹ and grown to OD₆₀₀ 0.5 for harvesting. RNA was extracted and DNase I treated as previously described [18, 63]. rRNA was depleted from the total RNA using the Bacterial Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (EpiCentre, Madison, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA libraries were prepared with the ScriptSeq v2 RNA-Seq library preparation kit (Epigenome, Madison, USA). Briefly, the rRNA depleted sample was fragmented using an RNA fragmentation solution prior to cDNA synthesis. The fragmented RNA was further reverse transcribed using random hexamer primers containing a tagging sequence at their 5' ends, 3' tagging was accomplished using the Terminal-Tagging Oligo (TTO). The di-tagged cDNA was purified using the AMPure XP (Agencourt, Beckmann-Coulter, USA). The di-tagged cDNA was further PCR amplified to add index and sequencing adapters, the amplified final library was purified using AmpureXP beads. The final pooled libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq3000 system in a Single-end (SE) 150 cycle format, each sample was sequenced to approximate depth of 8–12 million reads. Sequenced reads were aligned to the CD630Derm (GenBank Accession GCA_000953275.1) genome reference for the 630Derm strain of C. difficile using the STAR Aligner (version 2.4.0g1; [64]). Counts of reads that uniquely map to genes in the reference genome annotation were accumulated using htsq-count (HTSeq 0.6.1p1; [65]). Samples from two independent experiments were library size normalized separately in DESeq2 [66] and the resulting normalized gene read counts were used as the gene abundance estimation and imported into Excel for gene expression comparisons. Gene abundances from the two experiments were averaged, and data were analyzed using the Student’s two-tailed t-test. Cluster of orthologous genes (COG) designations were assigned according to the NCBI COG database (2014 updated version) [67]. Sample preparation and analyses were performed by the Yerkes Nonhuman Primate Genomics Core (Emory University). Raw data files are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession number (GSE115638).

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis (qRT-PCR)
Active cultures were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of approximately 0.05 in BHIS alone or BHIS with antimicrobials. The antimicrobials used included: LL-37 (Anaspec), scrambled LL-37 (Anaspec), mCRAMP (Anaspec), SMAP-29 (Anaspec), ampicillin (Cayman Chemicals), vancomycin (Sigma Aldrich), nisin (MP Biomedicals), or polymyxin B (Sigma Aldrich). Cultures were harvested at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5, mixed with 1:1 ethanol:acetone on ice and stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted, DNase I treated, and used to generate cDNA as described above for RNA sequencing. qRT-PCR reactions were performed using the Bioline Sensi-Fast SYBR and Fluroescein kit on a Roche LightCycler 96 instrument. Primers were designed with the assistance of the IDT PrimerQuest tool (Integrated DNA Technologies) and are listed in S10 Table. Each
qRT-PCR reaction was performed as technical triplicates for at least three biological replicates. The ΔΔCt method was used to normalize expression to rpoC, an internal control transcript, for relative quantification [68]. Statistical analysis of the results was performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, GA) to perform either one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s or Sidak’s multiple-comparison test, as indicated.

**Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR)**

RNA was extracted from cecal samples as previously described [22]. RNA was subsequently DNase I treated and used to generate cDNA as described above for qRT-PCR. cDNA was diluted to a final concentration of 5 ng/μl RNA equivalent. Samples were prepared in duplicate with 1.25 ng/μl cDNA, 70 nM each of forward and reverse primers (as listed in S10 Table), and 1x QX200 ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). 20 μl of each sample was loaded into a Bio-rad DG8 cartridge for droplet generation in a Bio-Rad QX200 Droplet Generator with 70 μl Droplet Generation Oil for EvaGreen (Bio-Rad) per sample. Droplets were transferred to an Eppendorf Twin-Tech 96-well plate, which was sealed with foil prior to PCR on a C1000 Touch thermal cycler with the following reaction parameters: 5 min at 95˚C, 40 rounds of 30 s at 95˚C and 1 min at 53˚C, 5 min at 4˚C, 5 min at 90˚C (all steps with 2˚C/s ramp). Droplets were then read on the Bio-Rad QX200 Droplet Reader. Samples without reverse transcriptase were run as a negative control and were used as reference to manually set the threshold values for positive calls in the QuantaSoft analysis software. Samples were only analyzed for tcdA, tcdB, and clnR expression if rpoC transcripts were detected (as a housekeeping gene, the detection of rpoC indicates sufficient C. difficile genomic material was present in the sample). Statistical analysis of the results using GraphPad Prism version 7 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, GA) to perform two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)**

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were determined as previously described [69]. MICs were determined for LL-37 (Anaspec), ampicillin (Cayman Chemicals), vancomycin (Sigma Aldrich), nisin (MP Biomedicals), and polymyxin B (Sigma Aldrich). Briefly, overnight cultures of C. difficile strains were diluted 1:50 in Mueller-Hinton Broth (Difco) and grown to OD600 of 0.45 (~5 x 10^7 CFU/ml). Cultures were then diluted 1:10 in MHB and seeded at a further 1:10 dilution in a round-bottom 96-well plate prepared with serial dilutions of antimicrobials for a starting concentration of ~5 x 10^5 CFU/ml. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 37˚C in the anaerobic chamber. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial at which no growth was visible after 24 h. For MBC determination, the full volume of wells at concentrations at and above the MIC were transferred as a 1:10 dilution into BHIS and incubated for 24 h at 37˚C in the anaerobic chamber. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial at which no growth was visible after 24 h.

**Western blots**

C. difficile strains were grown in BHIS medium containing 0.2% fructose and 0.1% taurocholate, as previously described [20]. Cultures were diluted into BHIS medium and grown to OD600 of ~0.5, then diluted 1:10 into TY medium with or without 2 μg LL-37 ml^-1 and grown for 24 h at 37˚C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading
buffer (without dye) and mechanically disrupted as previously described [19, 70]. Protein concentrations were assessed using a micro BCA assay (Thermo Scientific) and 6 μg of whole cell protein was loaded onto a 12% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad). Proteins were subsequently transferred from the SDS-PAGE gel onto nitrocellulose membranes (0.45 μM; Bio-Rad), and probed with mouse anti-TcdA antibody (Novus Biologicals). Membranes were then washed and probed with goat anti-mouse secondary Alexa Fluor 488 antibody (Life Technologies). Imaging and densitometry analyses were performed using a ChemiDoc MP and Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad). Density of the TcdA band was normalized to total protein density. Three biological replicates were analyzed for each strain and condition. Statistical analyses were performed using either a Student’s t test with Holm-Sidak correction or a one-way ANOVA, followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)**

Recombinant N-terminally His-tagged ClnR was produced by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). Gene transcription in the clnR mutant complemented with His-tagged ClnR confirmed the functionality of this protein (S12 Table). 5’-fluorescein-labeled DNA (10 ng per reaction; purified by extraction from a 4–20% TGX polyacrylamide gel) was incubated for 30 min at 37˚C with His-ClnR (0–8 μM) with 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM KCl, 7.5% glycerol, and 2mM DTT. 50 ng of salmon sperm DNA was added to each reaction as a noncompetitive inhibitor. In competition experiments, either 100 ng (10x) or 1 μg (100x) unlabeled target DNA (specific) or unlabeled Psp0A (nonspecific) DNA was incubated with His-ClnR for 20 min at 37˚C prior to the addition of labeled PcInR DNA for a further 10 min incubation. Reactions were loaded onto a pre-run 4–20% TGX polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) and imaged on a Typhoon phosphoimager (GE Lifesciences) using the 520 BP fluorescence channel. Images from at least three replicates were analyzed in ImageLab (Bio-Rad) to determine the density of signal in bound and unbound fractions. Using GraphPad Prism, apparent K₅ values were calculated by non-linear regression using an equation for cooperative binding of Y = F₅₅⁺((x/K₅⁺)ⁿ)/(1+(x/K₅⁺)ⁿ), where Y = the fraction of bound DNA, x = the concentration of ClnR, F₅₅ = the saturation level of bound DNA, K₅ = the concentration of ClnR when half of the DNA is bound, and n = cooperativity coefficient [71].

**Growth curves in minimal medium**

Growth curves were performed using a minimal medium based on a previously described complete defined minimal media (CDMM), but lacking D-glucose as used by Cartman et al. and adjusted to pH 7.4 [33, 72]. The base medium was supplemented with 30 mM D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich), 30 mM D-fructose (Fisher), 30 mM D-mannose (BD Difco), 30 mM D-mannitol (Amresco), 30 mM N-acetylglucosamine (Chem-Impex), or 30 mM ethanolamine-HCl (Sigma-Aldrich), as noted. Growth curves in minimal medium (MM) were carried out as follows: log-phase cultures were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 in BHIS medium, then diluted 5-fold into MM. Diluted cultures were then used to inoculate minimal medium broth for growth assays at a starting OD₆₀₀ of ~0.01 (2 ml into 23 ml of MM). Growth curve data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons, comparing each strain to Δerm at each time point. Doubling times were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons or by Student’s t test, as indicated.

**Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)**

LL-37 (10 μm in acetate buffer, pH 5.0; Anaspec) was immobilized onto a CM5 sensor chip (GE Healthcare) using standard amine-coupling at 25˚C and targeted at 2000 RU (actual RU
sLL37 (Anaspec) was immobilized on a separate CM5 sensor chip using the same procedure (final signal RU 1726). SPR analyses were performed using a Biacore X100 instrument (GE Healthcare). Running buffer I was HBS-P buffer (GE Healthcare). Running buffer II was composed of 113 mM NaCl, 24 mM NaHCO$_3$, 3.9 mM KCl, 1.3 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.6 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.005% surfactant P20, pH 7.3. His-ClnR (as described above for EMSAs) was dialyzed into running buffer II, then diluted into running buffer II for two-fold serial dilutions from 10 $\mu$M to 0.625 $\mu$M. His-ClnR was injected over immobilized LL-37 or sLL-37 for 180 s association time and 300 s dissociation time. The chip surfaces were regenerated by injecting 1 M NaCl in 50 mM NaOH for 90 s, then the surface was stabilized for 300 s prior to the next cycle run. The flow rate used was 10 $\mu$l/min. Binding to the reference cell was subtracted and the data were evaluated by Baicore X100 evaluation software (V2.0.1). Experiments were performed a minimum of two times.

Animal studies

Male and female Syrian golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*; Charles River Laboratories) were housed individually in sterile cages within a biosafety level 2 facility in the Emory University Division of Animal Resources. Sterile water and rodent feed pellets were available for the animals to consume ad libitum. Hamsters were administered 30–60 mg/kg body weight clindamycin as indicated (Hospira) by oral gavage 7 days prior to inoculation with *C. difficile*, to promote susceptibility to infection [19, 70]. Spores were prepared as previously described [35, 36] and stored in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 1% bovine serum albumin. Spores were heated for 20 minutes at 60˚C and cooled to room temperature prior to inoculating hamsters. Hamsters were administered spores of strains 630$_{\Delta}$erm, clnR (MC885), or clnAB (MC935) by oral gavage as indicated and monitored for signs of disease. Hamsters were considered moribund after $\geq$ 15% weight loss from maximum body weight or when lethargic, with or without concurrent diarrhea and wet tail. Hamsters were euthanized once reaching either of these criteria. Fecal samples were collected daily, and cecal samples were collected post-mortem at the time of morbidity. Colony forming units (CFU) in fecal and cecal samples were plated on TCCFA medium as described previously [20]. Differences in CFU counts were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test, and differences in survival were analyzed using log-rank regression. Fisher’s exact test was performed to examine differences in the numbers of animals with detectable CFU at 12 h.p.i. These statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Workspace surfaces were treated with Clorox Healthcare Bleach Germicidal Cleaner to disinfect and prevent spore cross-contamination.

For the murine studies, similar methods were used with the following exceptions. Male and female C57BL/6 mice (*Mus musculus*; Charles River Laboratories) were co-housed, with two to five animals per cage, by treatment group. Instead of clindamycin treatment, mice were provided cefoperazone (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma Aldrich) in drinking water for six days, beginning 8 days prior to inoculation with *C. difficile* [75]. Cefoperazone-containing water was exchanged every other day to maintain antibiotic potency. Two days prior to inoculation with *C. difficile*, animals were returned to antibiotic-free sterile drinking water. Spores were prepared as detailed above, and administered as a dose of approximately $1 \times 10^5$ spores by oral gavage. Weight loss of $\geq$ 20% maximum body weight qualified animals as moribund. Differences in CFU counts were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test at each time point, and differences in weight were analyzed using two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. These statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).
Ethics statement
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Germination assays

Spores were purified as described previously, with some modifications [19, 76]. \textit{C. difficile} strains were grown on 70:30 sporulation agar plates for 72 h to induce spore formation and allow for vegetative cell lysis. Cells were then scraped from the agar plates, resuspended in sterile water, briefly frozen at -80°C, thawed at 37°C, and left overnight at room temperature. Spore preparations were pelleted at 3200 x g for 20 min, washed in 10 ml of spore stock solution (1x PBS, 1% BSA), pelleted, and resuspended in 1 ml of spore stock solution. The spore suspension was then applied to a 12 ml, 50% sucrose solution and centrifuged at 3200 x g for 20 min. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted and the spore pellet was checked by phase contrast microscopy to verify the elimination of vegetative cells. Sucrose purification was repeated, if necessary, to achieve >95% spore purity. Purified spores were diluted in spore stock solution to a stock concentration of OD\textsubscript{600} = 3.0. Spores were heat activated for 30 min at 60°C immediately prior to germination assessments. Activated spores were then diluted 1:10 into 800 μl BHIS with either 100 μl of 50 mM taurocholic acid or 100 μl dH\textsubscript{2}O as a negative control, and the OD\textsubscript{600} was then recorded every 2 min for 20 min. Assays were carried out at room temperature. The percentage decrease in optical density was determined based on the starting OD\textsubscript{600} for each sample. Assays were performed with spores from three independent spore preparations. Data from the three replicates was averaged and analyzed by a one-way ANOVA for each time point.

Sporulation assays

Sporulation efficiency was assessed as previously described [77]. Briefly, mid-log \textit{C. difficile} cultures at OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.05 were plated on 70:30 agar and incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. Cells were scraped from the plate, resuspended in BHIS, and imaged on a Nikon Eclipse Ci-L microscope with an X100 Ph3 oil-immersion objective. At least 1,000 cells from at least 2 fields of view were assessed per strain and experiment. The percentage of spores was calculated as the number of spores divided by the total number of cells, multiplied by 100. The mean percentage of spores and the standard error of the mean were calculated from three independent experiments and analyzed by two-way ANOVA.

Accession numbers

\textit{C. difficile} strain 630 (GenBank accession NC_009089.1); \textit{C. difficile} strain R20291 (NC_013316.1). The locus tags for individual genes mentioned in the text are listed in S10 Table.
Supporting information

S1 Fig. clnRAB is transcribed as an operon. A) The organization of CD630_16160-CD630_16190 (clnRAB). Cultures of strain 630Δerm were grown with or without the addition of 1 μg/ml LL-37, samples collected for RNA and cDNA generated as described in Methods. PCR was performed using genomic DNA (gDNA, positive controls), cDNA templates, or cDNA without reverse transcriptase (RT-, negative controls). Products were generated using primers B) located at the 3’ end of CD630_16160 (oMC1427) and at the 5’ end of clnR (oMC1291), C) within clnR (oMC1290) and at the 5’ end of clnA (oMC1293), or D) within clnA (oMC1292) and at the 5’ end of clnB (oMC1295). 25 cycles of PCR were performed and the product visualized on a 0.7% agarose gel. Arrows indicate the expected product size.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Confirmation of clnR and clnA mutants, and their complemented strains. A) PCR products were generated using primers flanking the Targetron erm::RAM insertion sites of clnR or clnA, and genomic DNA from 630Δerm (control), clnR (MC885), clnR Tn:clnRAB (MC950), clnA (MC935), or clnA Tn:clnRAB (MC953) templates. The wild-type PCR product for clnR is 696 bp (primers oMC1416/oMC1297), and 558 bp for clnA (primers oMC1410/oMC1483). Mutants with intron insertions generate ~2 kb larger product. Complemented strains yield both the wild-type and insertion products. B) Genotypes and phenotypes of mutants and complement strains.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Alignment of mature cathelicidin sequences. Cathelicidins from humans (LL-37), mice (mCRAMP) and sheep (SMAP-29) were aligned using the CLUSTAL format alignment (MAFFT, V7.310). Residues corresponding to hydrophobic side chains are labeled above the sequence (Wang et al. 2008).

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Alignment of ClnR and GntR-family proteins. A) Unrooted phylogenetic tree featuring GntR and sub-family representatives: GntR (B. subtilis; CAB16042.1), FadR (T. maritima; NP_228249.1), HutC (P. putida; ADR62377.1), YtrA (B. subtilis; KIX83587.1) and ClnR (C. difficile; YP_001088118.1) generated using Phylod.io version 1.0k (http://phylo.io/; Robinson et al., 2016 arXiv:1602.04258). B) Protein sequences for C. difficile ClnR (YP_001088118.1) and B. subtilis YtrA (KIX83587.1) were analyzed using MAFFT version 7 (Katoh et al., 2005 Nucl Acids Res). An asterisk below the sequence indicates identical residues, colons indicate similar residues, and dots indicate low similarity.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Examination of clindamycin effects on hamster infections. A) Comparison of hamsters infected with erm-resistant and erm-sensitive C. difficile strains. Animals were administered 30 mg/kg clindamycin by oral gavage 7 days prior to inoculation with 5000 spores of strain 630 (n = 6) or 630Δerm (n = 6). B) Comparison of hamsters administered 30, 45, or 60 mg/kg of clindamycin by oral gavage seven days prior to inoculation with 1000 spores of strain 630Δerm (30 mg/kg, n = 5; 45 mg/kg, n = 5; 60 mg/kg, n = 6). No statistically significant differences in time to morbidity were observed (Log rank test). C) Minimal Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) for strains in clindamycin.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Toxin expression in clnR and clnAB mutant infected hamsters at the time of morbidity. RNA was extracted from cecal contents of hamsters infected with strain 630Δerm, the clnR mutant (MC885), or the clnAB mutant (MC935) at the time of morbidity. RNA was used
to generate cDNA and analyzed by droplet digital PCR, as described in Methods. Values shown are absolute copies of \( tcdA \) (A), \( tcdB \) (B), and \( clnR \) (C) detected per ng of RNA. Solid lines indicate the median. * indicates adjusted \( p \) value < 0.05, compared to \( 630Δerm \) by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test.

S7 Fig. Daily fecal CFU counts from mice infected with \( cln \) mutants. C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with approximately \( 1 \times 10^7 \) spores of \( 630Δerm \) (\( n = 10 \)), \( clnR \) (MC885; \( n = 10 \)), \( clnAB \) (MC935; \( n = 10 \)), \( clnR \) Tn::\( clnRAB \) (MC950; \( n = 9 \)), or \( clnAB \) Tn::\( clnRAB \) (MC953; \( n = 9 \)) and CFU in fecal contents were assessed daily, as detailed in Methods. Shown are total \( C. difficile \) CFU recovered from fecal samples. Dotted line demarcates the lowest limit of detection. Boxes demarcate the interquartile range with median marked by a line. Whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA at each time point, comparing to \( 630Δerm \) by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. * indicates adjusted \( p \) value < 0.05, ** indicates < 0.002, and *** indicates < 0.0002.

S8 Fig. \( clnR \) and \( clnA \) mutant germination and sporulation. A) Germination assessment for strains \( 630Δerm, clnR \) (MC885), and \( clnAB \) (MC935). Spores were purified as described in methods. Heat-activated spores were added to BHIS for a starting OD\(_{600}\) of approximately 0.3. Taurocholic acid (5 mM) was added at \( T_0 \) to the indicated samples, and the OD\(_{600}\) of the samples was assessed every two minutes for the duration of the experiment. Ratios of the OD\(_{600}\) at each timepoint (\( T_x \)) were plotted against the density observed at \( T_0 \). Three independent biological replicates are shown with error bars indicating the SD. * indicates an adjusted \( p \) value \( < 0.05 \) by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons, comparing the mutant strains to \( 630Δerm \) (\( p < 0.05 \) only for \( clnR \)). B) Sporulation frequency. Ethanol-resistant spore formation frequency per total viable CFU of the \( 630Δerm, clnR, \) and \( clnAB \) strains grown on 70:30 sporulation agar with or without \( 1 \mu g/ml \) LL-37 for 24 h. Sporulation frequencies were calculated as described in the Methods. The mean and standard error of the mean are shown for a minimum of three independent experiments. No statistically significant differences were observed by ANOVA assessment.

S9 Fig. LL-37 impacts toxin expression in a ClnRAB-dependent manner. qRT-PCR analysis of \( tcdA \) and \( tcdB \) from strains \( 630Δerm, clnR \) (MC885), \( clnAB \) (MC935), \( clnR \) Tn::\( clnRAB \) (MC950), and \( clnAB \) Tn::\( clnRAB \) (MC953) grown in BHIS or BHIS with 2 \( \mu g/ml \) LL-37. Graphs show the mean mRNA expression of A) \( tcdA \) and B) \( tcdB \) relative to expression for \( 630Δerm \) in BHIS. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test for comparisons to \( 630Δerm \) in the same condition, or by Student’s \( t \)-test with Holm-Sidak correction for comparisons of the same strain with/without LL-37. * indicates adjusted \( p \) value of < 0.05, ** indicates adjusted \( P \) value of < 0.002. C) TcdA western blot for culture lysates from strains grown 24 h in TY medium with or without 2 \( \mu g/ml \) LL-37. Results shown are representative of three independent replicates. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test for comparisons to \( 630Δerm \) in the same condition or by Student’s \( t \) test with Holm-Sidak correction for comparisons of the same strain with/without LL-37. * indicates adjusted \( p \) value < 0.05 compared to \( 630Δerm \) in the same condition, † indicates adjusted \( p \) value < 0.05 compared to the same strain without LL-37.

S10 Fig. Features of the sequence upstream of \( clnR \). 100 nucleotides upstream of the \( clnR \) translational start site (TSS in bold) are shown. Underlines indicate putitive -35 and -10
promoter sites. The italicized red region is a tandem repeat sequence that we hypothesize is a ClnR binding site. The construct used in EMSA experiments contained the 84 base pairs upstream of the transcriptional start site, with the final nucleotide indicated in gray.

S11 Fig. Competitive Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA) of ClnR-dependent genes. Competitive electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed as described in Methods using His-tagged ClnR and fluorescein-labeled DNA encompassing regions upstream of A) iorA, B) grdE or C) mtlA. ClnR was added at 8 μM and specificity was assessed with the addition of unlabeled target DNA or unlabeled Pspo0A DNA at either 10x or 100x the concentration of labeled target DNA.

S1 Table. Genes differentially expressed in LL-37.

S2 Table. LL-37 MIC and MBC values for clnR and clnAB mutants.

S3 Table. MIC values for clnR and clnAB mutants in various antimicrobials.

S4 Table. Genes differentially expressed in a clnR mutant in the presence/absence of LL-37.

S5 Table. Genes regulated by both ClnR and LL-37.

S6 Table. Relative expression of selected RNA-seq transcripts in clnR and clnAB mutants.

S7 Table. Doubling times for 630Δerm, clnR, clnAB, clnR Tn::clnRAB, and clnAB Tn::clnRAB in minimal media supplemented with metabolites, with or without LL-37.

S8 Table. Expression of toxin regulation-associated genes.

S9 Table. Plasmids and strains.

S10 Table. Oligonucleotides.

S11 Table. Plasmid construct details.

S12 Table. Expression of ClnR-dependent genes in the clnR mutant complemented with His-ClnR.
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