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ABSTRACT

Stroke is a major cause of death and long-term disability, affecting one in six people worldwide. The only currently available approved pharmacological treatment for ischemic stroke is tissue plasminogen activator; however, relatively few patients are eligible for this therapy. We hypothesized that intravenous (IV) infusion of banked unrelated allogeneic umbilical cord blood (UCB) would improve functional outcomes in patients with ischemic stroke. To investigate this, we conducted a phase I open-label trial to assess the safety and feasibility of a single IV infusion of non-human leukocyte antigen (HLA) matched, ABO matched, unrelated allogeneic UCB into adult stroke patients. Ten participants with acute middle cerebral artery ischemic stroke were enrolled. UCB units were matched for blood group antigens and race but not HLA, and infused 3–9 days post-stroke. The adverse event (AE) profile over a 12 month postinfusion period indicated that the treatment was well-tolerated in these stroke patients, with no serious AEs directly related to the study product. Study participants were also assessed using neurological and functional evaluations, including the modified Rankin Score (mRS) and National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). At 3 months post-treatment, all participants had improved by at least one grade in mRS (mean 2.8 ± 0.9) and by at least 4 points in NIHSS (mean 5.9 ± 1.4), relative to baseline. Together, these data suggest that a single IV dose of allogeneic non-HLA matched human UCB cells is safe in adults with ischemic stroke, and support the conduct of a randomized, placebo-controlled phase 2 study.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Data from this phase I study suggest that it is safe and feasible to infuse banked, nonhuman leukocyte antigen matched, unrelated allogeneic, umbilical cord blood into adults during the 3–10 day window following an acute ischemic stroke in the middle cerebral artery distribution.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke remains a major cause of death and long-term disability, and is associated with a one in six lifetime risk worldwide. Approximately 795,000 Americans suffer a stroke each year, 140,000 of which are fatal, making stroke a leading cause of death in the United States [1–3]. Although stroke can occur at any age, most (~75%) occur among individuals over the age of 65, and risk of stroke more than doubles for each decade after the age of 55 [1].

The majority (85%) of strokes are ischemic and occur when blood flow to a region of the brain is reduced beyond a critical threshold. Rapid restoration of blood flow to the ischemic penumbra is the most robust predictor of good clinical prognosis after ischemic stroke [2]. Following vascular occlusion, a complex chain of events occurs at the molecular level including energy depletion, glutamate-induced excitotoxicity and calcium overload, loss of transmembrane ionic gradients, and free radical production. Together, these result in neuronal dysfunction and ultimately lead to immediate and irreversible necrotic cell death within the ischemic core [4–6]. In contrast, the tissue surrounding the core, the ischemic penumbra, undergoes delayed programed death [3], suggesting that early intervention may facilitate recovery to this region.

Neuroinflammation plays a significant role in the pathophysiology of stroke. In the healthy brain, microglia, the resident immune cells of the brain, help to maintain tissue integrity and
neuronal function by continually surveying the brain for changes in the microenvironment that could upset homeostasis [7]. Within minutes following ischemic stroke, microglia become activated, and this activation peaks several days later, and persists for weeks [8, 9]. In the setting of acute brain injury, activated microglia may play a paradoxical role, releasing factors which both exacerbate the inflammatory response and secondary neuronal injury, as well as trophic factors that mediate tissue repair and regeneration [10]. To this end, Hu et al. showed that reparative microglia populations are more active during the acute phase of stroke and exhibit enhanced phagocytic activity, secrete fewer inflammatory mediators, and promote the survival of cortical neurons. In contrast, a more detrimental microglia phenotype, characterized by reduced phagocytosis and increased secretion of proinflammatory mediators, is more prominent during the subacute and chronic phases of stroke [11]. This suggests that maintaining the nuanced balance between protective and toxic microglia phenotypes could benefit recovery following ischemic brain injury.

To date, there is no Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved pharmacological treatment targeting neuroprotection in acute ischemic stroke, and the only approved therapy to promote early reperfusion is i.v. administration of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) [12]. Given the short window for administration post-stroke and increased risk of bleeding, tPA is used in only about 20% of patients with ischemic stroke, and cannot be used to treat hemorrhagic stroke [13, 14]. Recent data also suggest the utility of mechanical reperfusion via endovascular intervention; however, therapy is limited to patients with proximal occlusion and specialized treatment facilities, and has greatest benefit when performed within 6 hours following stroke onset [15–19]. In addition to mechanical thrombectomy and tPA [20, 21], decompressive hemicraniectomy in selected patients with malignant cerebral edema within 48 hours after stroke onset has been demonstrated to reduce mortality following ischemic stroke, as has the establishment of specialized stroke care units [22]. Numerous clinical trials conducted during the past 2 decades have tested a variety of pharmacological interventions to reduce tissue injury and improve functional outcomes following acute stroke, but their outcomes have not been as promising as desired [23–25].

More recently, significant efforts have been made to develop cell-based therapies that improve recovery following ischemic stroke [2, 26–28]. Delivery of exogenous human stem cells into animal models for stroke have shown that stem cells survive, are capable of migration and immunomodulation, secrete trophic factors thought to enhance neurogenesis and angiogenesis, reduce infarct volume, and improve outcomes [29–33]. In particular, cells derived from umbilical cord blood (UCB) confer several advantages and have been widely used for over 2 decades as a blood stem cell donor graft for allogeneic, unrelated donor, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation [28, 34, 35]. One major advantage of UCB stem cells is that they are immunologically tolerant, rendering them less reactive to human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-mismatch than bone marrow or mobilized peripheral blood grafts [36]. Furthermore, human UCB is a readily available, cryopreserved, banked product that is a preferable option for medically fragile stroke patients because it does not require collection of autologous cells via bone marrow harvest or peripheral stem cell collection.

To date, numerous phase I/II trials conducted in ischemic stroke patients have reported favorable safety profiles for both autologous stem cells from bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells and mesenchymal stem cells, as well as with several modified cell lines [37–39]. In the majority of these studies, cells were delivered intravenously, although intra-arterial (IA), intrathecal, and intracranial routes have also been used [27]. Additionally, limited pilot clinical studies support the safety of infusion of both expanded and nonexpanded allogeneic cells derived from bone marrow or umbilical cord in stroke patients who were not pre-treated with immunosuppression or myeloablation [27].

UCB cells were used in this study because of their superior immunotolerance and availability for infusion compared with bone marrow cells. We hypothesized that infusion of unrelated donor allogeneic UCB in ischemic stroke patients would improve recovery by downregulating inflammation and promoting neuroprotection and plasticity, as demonstrated by reduced neurological, physical, and functional deficits. The purpose of this phase I study was to investigate if a single IV infusion of non-HLA matched human unrelated donor UCB cells was safe and feasible in adult participants with normal immune function who had experienced an acute ischemic stroke.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Overview**

Cord blood infusion for adults with ischemic stroke (CoBiS) 1 was a phase I, multisite, open-label, prospective clinical trial studying the safety and feasibility of a single allogeneic UCB i.v. infusion into 10 adults who had each experienced an ischemic stroke. This study was conducted at Duke University and Houston Methodist Neurological Institute, and UCB was obtained from the Carolinas Cord Blood Bank, Durham, NC or the MD Anderson Cord Blood Bank, Houston, Texas, respectively. The study was approved by each clinical site and cord blood bank’s local institutional review board, and registered under investigational new drug (IND) #16274 and www.ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03004976.

Participants were treated with a single i.v. infusion of allogeneic human unrelated donor banked UCB cells within a window of 3–10 days following an acute ischemic stroke event. The study was designed such that subjects 1 and 2 were infused days 7–10 post-stroke; subjects 3–5 were infused on days 5–10 post-stroke; and subjects 6–10 were infused 3–10 days post-stroke. There was a 1 month interval between enrollment of subjects 1, 2, and 3 to allow for Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) review. Subsequent subjects (4–10) were enrolled and treated without prescribed hold intervals between subjects, though enrollment was temporarily suspended for DSMB review prior to the enrollment of subject 7 per study protocol. Subjects were assessed clinically by a trained neurologist and were strongly encouraged to participate in rehabilitative therapy. Adverse events (AEs) were documented and reviewed by the study team at scheduled visits and via telephone.

**Participants**

Eligible patients were male and female adults 18–90 years of age who experienced an acute, cortical ischemic stroke in the middle cerebral artery (MCA) distribution that was verified by a diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) abnormality on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Patients who received tPA or underwent mechanical reperfusion were eligible to participate, but immunocompromised patients and patients being treated with immunosuppressive drugs were excluded. Patients with a medical history of neurological or orthopedic pathology resulting in a modified Rankin Score (mRS) [40] score >1 prior to stroke, or
with a pre-existing cognitive deficit were ineligible for the study. Additional exclusion criteria included patients with clinically significant hemorrhage associated with the stroke; evidence of midline shift, edema, or mass effect placing patient at increased risk for secondary deterioration; required craniotomy or mechanical ventilation; and serious psychiatric or neurological disease which could alter evaluation on functional or cognitive scales. Subjects were also excluded from the study if they had an active malignancy or autoimmune disease requiring immunosuppressive therapy within 3 years prior to the start of screening (excluding skin cancers other than melanoma), known coagulopathy, or concurrent illness or condition that might interfere with treatment or safety evaluations. A detailed list of inclusion/exclusion criteria is provided in Supporting Information Table S1. In addition, subjects must have had neurological deficit, defined as National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) [41] scores of 8–15 (right hemisphere) and 8–18 (left hemisphere) at the time of enrollment, with no more than a 4 point increase (worsening of score) over baseline in the 24 hours prior to infusion to receive treatment.

**DSMB Review**
A DSMB was formed and charter established. Members of the study’s DSMB were neurologists and a physician with experience in cell therapy; faculties of participating clinical sites were recused. Reviews were conducted after each of the first three subjects, and after the cohort of subjects 4–6 was treated. The DSMB was notified immediately for all serious AEs directly related to the study product throughout the study. Additionally, a total safety report was prepared and assessed by the DSMB annually.

**Selection of UCB Units**
UCB units were selected from an accredited United States public cord bank (Carolina Cord Blood Bank or MD Anderson Cord Blood Bank) based on blood type and race, provided they satisfied the following criteria: (a) a targeted cell dose ranging between $5 \times 10^8$ and $5.0 \times 10^7$ total nucleated cell count (TNCC) per kg, based on the precryopreservation TNCC, (b) viability $\geq 85\%$, (c) sterility cultures that were negative for growth, and (d) maternal infectious disease markers tested on the maternal donor or UCB product that were negative. Screening of maternal blood collected within the 7 days before or after delivery was used for UCB donor infectious disease screening. Maternal testing was performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments certified donor-testing laboratory and included hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc), hepatitis C antibody, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-I and HIV-2 antibodies, human T cell lymphotropic virus (HTLV)-I and HTLV-II antibodies, cytomegalovirus (CMV), and syphilis. Additional screening dependent on the timing of the UCB collection included nucieic acid testing (NAT) for HIV, hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B virus (HBV), and West Nile virus, as well as serological testing for Chagas disease. The donor mother must have screened negative for all tests, except for CMV or anti-HBc. Units from mothers that tested positive for anti-HBc but negative for HBsAg and HBV NAT were considered acceptable. Following UCB selection, units were thawed into Dextran 40 (Hospira, Inc., Lake Forest, IL) + 5% human serum albumin (Grifols Biologicals, Inc., Los Angeles, CA), washed on the Sepax 2 RM automated cell processor (Biosafe, Geneva, Switzerland), tested, released, and infused intravenously using common standard operating procedures common to all sites.

**Procedures**
**Allogeneic UCB Infusion.** Subjects were not HLA-matched and did not receive immunosuppressive or myeloablative medications between the time of consent and the infusion. On the day of treatment, UCB was thawed and washed in an automated fashion using a Sepax 2 RM device. The washed product was deposited into a transfer bag on the washing kit (Biosafe, Geneva, Switzerland) and was in 50 ml Dextran 40 and 5% human serum albumin. Thawed UCB units were tested for enumeration of TNCC, viable CD34+ cells, colony forming units, cell viability via trypan blue, confirmatory HLA type, and sterility cultures. A final 50 ml volume of the cellular product was delivered to the participant in the transfer bag using a container validated to maintain 20°C–24°C. Once thawed, UCB units have an expiry of 4 hours at ambient temperature.

Stroke participants were premedicated with diphenhydramine 0.5 mg/kg/dose IV (maximum 50 mg), hydrocortisone 1 mg/kg dose i.v. (maximum 100 mg), and acetaminophen 10–15 mg/kg (maximum 650 mg) by mouth per os (PO) or per rectum (PR) 30–60 minutes prior to the UCB infusion. Antihypertensive medication was available at the patient’s bedside because of the potential risk that hydrocortisone and residual dimethyl sulfoxide in the cell product would elevate blood pressure. A peripheral IV was used to administer allogeneic UCB over a period of 5–30 minutes, at a maximum rate of 5 ml/kg per hour; this was performed under direct physician supervision. Participants received IV hydration of normal saline infused at a minimum of 75 ml/hour for 2–4 hours postinfusion.

**Safety Evaluation.** Safety was evaluated during the infusion, the first 24 hours postinfusion, and at scheduled visits or telephone calls 30 days ($\pm 7$ days), 3 months ($90 \pm 14$ days), 6 months ($\pm 14$ days), and 12 months ($\pm 14$ days) after treatment.

The day of treatment, urine output was monitored, and vital signs (heart rate, blood pressure, temperature, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation) were reviewed preinfusion, every 5 minutes during the infusion, every 15 minutes for 1 hour postinfusion, every 30 minutes for 2 hours postinfusion, and then hourly until 6 hours postinfusion. Subjects were observed during the infusion, and 6 and 24 hours postinfusion to document AEs and any changes to their functional statuses.

Additional safety monitoring included assessing alloimmunization by direct and indirect Coombs and HLA reactive antibody testing, prior to and 3 months postinfusion. Clinical symptoms for graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), infection, and hypersensitivity were monitored at the 3 month visit, and at 6 and 12 months by remote follow-up. Additional AEs were identified in person at the 3-month clinic visit, and through phone interviews with participants at 1, 6, and 12 months post-treatment.

For analysis, verbatim AE terms were mapped onto standard terminology defined by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0 and summarized according to severity and relationship to the intervention, as judged by the investigator. For the purposes of this study, grade 1 and 2 AEs were defined as mild, grade 3 AEs were moderate, and grade 4 AEs were severe. No grade 5 AEs (death) occurred. AEs were considered serious if they resulted in any of the following: death, a life-threatening AE, in-patient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization $\geq 24$ hours, a persistent or significant incapacity, substantial disruption of the ability to
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conduct normal life functions, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect.

**Clinical Assessments.** General physical and neurological examinations were conducted by study team neurologists during screening, the day of treatment (before and after the infusion), and at the 3 month follow-up visit. Neurological evaluations were also performed so as to assess the impact of treatment: mRS for disability [42, 43] NIHSS for determining neurological impairment [41], and Barthel Index (BI) for activities of daily living [44].

The mRS is a commonly used ordinal scale for measuring the degree of disability or dependence in the daily activities following stroke, and has become the most widely used clinical outcome measure for stroke clinical trials. The mRS is scored from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (death).

The NIHSS is a validated scoring system to assess the level of neurological impairment following a stroke. It consists of a 15-item neurologic examination that evaluates the impact of acute cerebral infarction on the levels of consciousness, language, neglect, visual-field loss, extracranial movement, motor strength, ataxia, dysarthria, and sensory loss. A trained observer rates the subject’s ability to answer questions and perform activities. Ratings for each item are scored on 3- or 5-point scales (0 is normal), with an allowance for untestable items. A subject with a completely normal neurological exam will have an NIHSS score of 0. The maximum NIHSS score is 42.

The BI is a standard measure used to assess the disability of stroke patients. The scale consists of 10 items addressing self-care (feeding, grooming, dressing), ability to use the bathroom, and acts of mobility. A higher score reflects greater independence, with a maximum score of 100.

**Neuroimaging.** To be eligible, participants were required to have evidence of an MCA ischemia with cortical involvement confirmed by MRI as a DWI abnormality. When the UCB infusion was initiated >24 hours after the baseline brain MRI, a noncontrast head computerized tomography (CT) was obtained within 24 hours prior to infusion, to evaluate for pretreatment hemorrhage, increasing edema, or midline shift. Each institution performed initial (baseline) and 3 month postinfusion brain MRI imaging, using standard stroke imaging protocols and imaging equipment.

**Endpoints.** The primary endpoint was safety, as determined by the incidence of study-related AEs and proportion of participants experiencing GVHD during the 12-month postinfusion period, and the frequency of unexpected complications detected by brain MRIs at 3 months postinfusion. The secondary endpoint was to assess change in neurological function from baseline to 3 months postinfusion.

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants and infused autologous cord blood units (n = 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. (%)</td>
<td>Male 10 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (range)</td>
<td>65.5 (45–79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, no. (%)</td>
<td>White 6 (60.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black/African American 3 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Native American 1 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, no. (%)</td>
<td>Hispanic 0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not hispanic 10 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Umbilical cord blood characteristics, median (range)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNCC infused, $\times 10^9$</td>
<td>1.68 (0.84–2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dose infused, $\times 10^7$/kg</td>
<td>1.54 (0.83–3.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viable CD34+ cell dose infused, $\times 10^7$/kg</td>
<td>2.03 (0.10–6.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TNCC, total nucleated cell count.

significant disability despite symptoms; able to carry out all usual activities, due to a bilateral below-the-knee amputation. At infusion (baseline), six participants had a mRS of 4 (moderate severe disability; unable to walk without assistance and attend to own bodily needs without assistance) and four participants had a mRS of 5 (severe disability; bedridden, incontinent and requiring constant nursing care and attention) (Table 2). The mean NIHSS score at baseline was 11.2 ± 1.6 (range 9–14). The majority of participants had risk factors for stroke including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and smoking history.

**Allogeneic UCB Infusion**

Allogeneic UCB units were retrieved from two U.S. public banks and screened for sterility and risk of infection or genetic disease transmission as described in the “Materials and Methods” section. All subjects were infused 3–9 days post-stroke (Table 2). On the day of infusion, clinical laboratory tests and neuroimaging were reviewed prior to infusion. In the event that the UCB infusion was scheduled to be initiated >24 hours after the baseline MRI, a noncontrast CT was obtained prior to the infusion. In addition, a general physical and neurological examination was performed by a study team neurologist before and following infusion. Per protocol, participants with a greater than 4 point increase (worsening) in their NIHSS scores from baseline to 24 hours prior to infusion were ineligible for infusion. All remaining participants eligible for treatment received a single i.v. infusion of allogeneic UCB cells selected to match for ABO/Rh and race but not for HLA, administered 3–10 days post-stroke. Characteristics of the thawed UCB administered to participants are shown in Table 1. The median TNCC and viable CD34+ cell doses infused were 1.54 × $10^7$ TNCC (range: 0.83–3.34 $\times 10^7$ TNCC/kg) and 2.03 $\times 10^7$ CD34+ cells/kg (range: 0.1–6.8 $\times 10^7$ CD34+ cells/kg), respectively.

**Safety**

The primary endpoint of this open label phase I trial was safety based on the frequency of study-related AEs and the proportion of subjects experiencing GVHD during the 12-month follow-up
A total of 113 AEs were reported in 10 participants, with a median of 10.5 events per participant (range: 3–32) (Fig. 1). Sixty-two events were graded as mild, 42 as moderate, and 9 as severe (Fig. 1). There were no AEs that were determined to be definitely or probably related to investigational treatment, and only one AE that was determined to be possibly related to the investigational treatment: pruritis of moderate severity. This AE was pruritis of moderate severity, was expected, and resolved the same day.

Eight serious AEs were reported in two subjects and were determined to be unrelated to study therapy. Six of these occurred in one patient who required hospitalization on five occasions for the treatment of sepsis secondary to a urinary tract infection associated with a chronic indwelling suprapubic urinary catheter, and once for chronic paralytic ileus. The serious adverse event (SAE) incidence rate, defined as the number of participants experiencing an event divided by the number receiving treatment, was 20% (2/10 participants experienced an SAE). There were no reports of subjects experiencing GVHD in the 12 months following treatment. The frequency of AEs according to the CTCAE classification is shown in Figure 2. A summary of AEs per participant is provided as Supporting Information Table S2.

### Neurological Outcomes

The mRS is the most widely used clinical outcome measure used by stroke clinical trials to measure the degree of disability or dependence in the daily activities of individuals following a stroke [40]. We used the mean change in mRS score from baseline (infusion) to 3 months postinfusion as a secondary endpoint for the study. The median mRS among study participants was 4.4 ± 0.5 at baseline (Table 2). Three months later, all participants had improved at least one grade in mRS relative to baseline (time of infusion) with a mean mRS of 2.8 ± 0.9 (range 1–3 points), indicating improved outcome. Fifty percent of subjects exhibited a 1 grade improvement in mRS, 40% improved by 2 grades, and 10% (1 patient) by 3 grades. The NIHSS was used to measure impairments due to stroke at baseline and 3 months after stroke and similarly demonstrated favorable outcomes from time of infusion (mean 11.2 ± 1.6; range 9–14) to 3 month assessment (mean 5.3 ± 2.2; range 3–9), with improvement by at least 4 points (mean 5.9 ± 1.4; range 4–9) (Table 2). In addition, all participants showed improvement in activities of daily living as indicated by an increase in points (mean 52.0 ± 24.7; range 10–80) from baseline to 3 months using the BI scale. MRIs performed at 3 months postinfusion revealed normal evolution of the stroke with no significant increase in infarct volume, unexpected bleeding, or other safety concerns, when qualitatively compared to baseline scans.

**DISCUSSION**

This phase I open-label study investigated the safety of a single IV infusion of banked, non-HLA matched, unrelated, human, allogeneic UCB in adults following ischemic stroke. A dose of 0.83 × 10^7 to 3.34 × 10^7 TNCC/kg UCB was delivered 3–9 days following an ischemic stroke to 10 male participants (median age: 65.5 years,
age range: 45–79 years) who were not treated with immunosuppressive or myeloablative medications prior to infusion. The dose needed for a therapeutic effect in stroke is not known and doses were selected that were known to be safe and feasible with one cord blood donor. Participants treated with IV or intra-arterial (IA) tPA, or those who underwent endovascular reperfusion following stroke were eligible for the study. Safety was assessed at 24 hours and at 3, 6, and 12 months postinfusion. Assessment of AEs over the 12 month period following infusion indicated that UCB was safe and well tolerated by these ischemic stroke patients. The majority (54.8%) of AEs were of mild intensity. One AE, which resolved the same day was possibly related to the cord blood infusion, but was expected. None of the eight SAEs reported, which occurred in only two participants, were related to the infusion. In addition, no incidents of GVHD were observed in any of the participants during the 12 month follow-up period. Together, these data suggest that UCB is safe and well-tolerated in ischemic stroke patients.

There is not a consensus in the literature as to the time course for recovery following stroke or the trajectory of recovery for ischemic versus hemorrhagic stroke [45]. Most ischemic stroke patients will show the greatest degree of improvement within the first 3 months following stroke, with the greatest rate of recovery occurring during the first month [46–48]. Recovery generally plateaus at 6 months post-stroke, although functional improvement may continue for over a year. In our phase I CoBIS study, neurological, physical, and functional deficits in participants were evaluated using standard impairment scales.

Although this trial was designed to demonstrate safety and provide preliminary data on change in neurological function after administration of unrelated donor UCB, the open label study design and small patient population precludes making definitive statements regarding benefit. However, of note, the trend toward recovery was greater than described in previously published historical cohorts. For example, Lai and Duncan [48] found that 62% of participants improved at least 1 grade in the mRS at 3 months compared with baseline, whereas 100% of CoBIS 1 participants (n = 10) improved at least one grade, 40% by 2 grades, and 10% (1 patient) by 3 grades (Table 2). This trial’s 3 month postinfusion mRS results were better than what would be expected in patients with comparable injury [47]. Similarly, all CoBIS 1 participants demonstrated improved NIHSS scores from time of infusion to 3 month assessment, with an improvement of at least 4 points (Table 3). Improvement in activities of daily life as measured by an increase in points on the BI was similarly observed (Table 2). Together, these observations suggest that allogeneic UCB may benefit patients with acute ischemic stroke, although additional studies with concurrent controls are required to test this hypothesis. We observed no interaction between the effects of the cell based intervention and reperfusion therapy (tPA and/or mechanical thrombectomy), although the small sample size of this phase I trial makes this difficult to evaluate. We plan to specifically address this in our phase 2 study.

While the exact pathways by which UCB cells lead to recovery following brain injury have yet to be elucidated, animal models suggest several potential mechanisms. Transplanted cells may migrate to the ischemic area and deliver trophic factors that provide anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects, and improve the potential for host brain cell survival. For example, human UCB cells release brain-derived neurotrophic factor and vascular endothelial growth factor, which have been shown to play a role in neurogenesis and angiogenesis in rodent models of brain injury [29–31]. These factors may facilitate plasticity of the injured brain by enhancing synaptogenesis, neovascularization, and endogenous repair mechanisms, and by inducing migration and proliferation of endogenous neural stem cells [30].

The optimal delivery route for stem cells in the setting of stroke remains a significant translational issue and evidence is inconclusive as to whether the route of cell transplantation correlates with the extent of recovery [28, 49–54]. In this study, we used an IV route of administration, as this is the least invasive and safest, and preclinical studies have demonstrated functional improvements [29]. However, various more invasive routes of delivery have also been reported, including IA, intrathecal, and direct stereotactic parenchymal implantation [29]. Several groups comparing IV and IA delivery in preclinical models of stroke observed no differences in functional or structural outcomes between the two [29]. Given that the IV infusion of stem cells is safe, easily delivered, and inexpensive compared to other routes of administration, and is advantageous should repeat treatments prove beneficial, we felt it to be the best delivery route for this study.

At the time of this manuscript’s preparation, there were a small number of clinical trials being conducted to investigate the use of allogeneic stem cells as a treatment for ischemic stroke [27]. However, more clinical studies have been conducted using autologous stem cells in the setting of other brain injuries. In 2010, Yang et al. reported the safety of allogeneic human UCB infusion in patients without prior immunosuppression in a variety of degenerative conditions including paraplegia, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and traumatic brain injury [55]. Others have demonstrated that infusion of autologous stem cells...
derived from bone marrow and peripheral blood are safe in participants with acute (1–9 days), subacute (10–30 days), and chronic (6–60 months) stroke [56–63]. In addition to stem cells, modified cell lines and cell products have been investigated as stroke therapies. The first of these studies was conducted in 2000, when human neuronal cells derived from a teratocarcinoma cell line implanted into the infarcts of participants with basal ganglia stroke were shown to be safe, but did not improve outcome [64, 65]. Later, it was demonstrated that modified SB623 stromal cells transplanted into the brains of chronic stroke patients were safe and associated with improvement in clinical outcomes at 12 months [38]. More recently, the PISCES phase I trial demonstrated that intracerebral implantation of CTX003 cells, a genetically modified, immortalized, human neuronal stem cell line, was safe and associated with improved neurological function in participants with chronic stroke [39]. In contrast, MultiStem, a proprietary stem cell product derived from mononuclear bone marrow cells, did not improve 90-day outcomes in the setting of acute stroke, although treatment was associated with lower rates of mortality and life-threatening SAEs [66, 67].

UCB stem cells confer a number of advantages compared to bone marrow stem cells and cell lines [28]. UCB stem cells are biologically closer to embryonic stem cells, offer improved plasticity and faster growth rates, are more immunologically tolerant, and are readily available without the need for invasive procedures in compromised patients. Furthermore, we based this study on the hypothesis that i.v. infusion of allogeneic UCB cells in patients with stroke do not need to durably engraft but rather, to circulate and temporarily survive for sufficient time to alter the paracrine signaling of endogenous cells. Permanent engraftment is not a goal, and as such, myelo- and/or immunoablation is not a prerequisite for treatment. Although other groups have reported favorable results following surgical implantation of cells directly into the brain parenchyma, IV infusion of umbilical stem cells in stroke patients offers a less invasive, simpler, and more cost-effective delivery method. From our phase I study we suggest that IV infusion of allogeneic UCB is both safe and feasible in patients following acute ischemic stroke, and may offer an alternative therapy outside the narrow window for available reperfusion strategies. Several notable limitations of this study include its small sample size and open-label, nonrandomized design. Accordingly, we have initiated a larger phase 2 randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial to evaluate the ability of allogeneic human UCB to improve functional outcomes in acute ischemic stroke patients.

**CONCLUSION**

This phase I trial suggests that IV infusion of non-HLA matched allogeneic, unrelated donor UCB in adults after acute ischemic stroke is safe, well-tolerated, and feasible. In addition, improvements in functional outcome were observed in all participants by 3 months postinfusion.
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