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ABSTRACT Like most viruses that replicate in the cytoplasm, mammalian reoviruses assemble membranous neo-organelles called inclusions that serve as sites of viral genome replication and particle morphogenesis. Viral inclusion formation is essential for viral infection, but how these organelles form is not well understood. We investigated the biogenesis of reovirus inclusions. Correlative light and electron microscopy showed that endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes are in contact with nascent inclusions, which form by collections of membranous tubules and vesicles as revealed by electron tomography. ER markers and newly synthesized viral RNA are detected in inclusion internal membranes. Live-cell imaging showed that early in infection, the ER is transformed into thin cisternae that fragment into small tubules and vesicles. We discovered that ER tubulation and vesiculation are mediated by the reovirus $\sigma$NS and $\mu$NS proteins, respectively. Our results enhance an understanding of how viruses remodel cellular compartments to build functional replication organelles.

IMPORTANCE Viruses modify cellular structures to build replication organelles. These organelles serve as sites of viral genome replication and particle morphogenesis and are essential for viral infection. However, how these organelles are constructed is not well understood. We found that the replication organelles of mammalian reoviruses are formed by collections of membranous tubules and vesicles derived from extensive remodeling of the peripheral endoplasmic reticulum (ER). We also observed that ER tubulation and vesiculation are triggered by the reovirus $\sigma$NS and $\mu$NS proteins, respectively. Our results enhance an understanding of how viruses remodel cellular compartments to build functional replication organelles and provide functions for two enigmatic reovirus replication proteins. Most importantly, this research uncovers a new mechanism by which viruses form factories for particle assembly.
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Cell membranes function as platforms to coordinate numerous steps in viral replication (1, 2). Mitochondria, lysosomes, phagosomes, Golgi complex, peroxisomes, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) are subverted and remodeled by viruses (3, 4), many of which use the ER as a preferred membranous compartment to build replication organelles (5).

The ER is the largest organelle in eukaryotic cells. The peripheral ER is composed of
a single continuous membrane that branches from the nuclear envelope and consists of two main structural domains, flat membrane cisternae (also called sheets) and tubules, which are dynamic structures (6). ER sheets are covered with ribosomes and support synthesis, translocation, and folding of proteins. Tubules, whose function is less well understood, associate with significantly fewer ribosomes and may be sites for lipid synthesis and communication with other organelles (7). It is not known how the ER maintains this dynamic network of sheets and tubules, although the process requires contributions from motor proteins, the cytoskeleton, proteins that mediate ER-ER fusion, and membrane-bending proteins (8). Collectively, ER shape is influenced by a surprisingly small set of proteins (9).

Viruses often interfere with the dynamic organization of the ER. Viruses use ER membranes and ribosomes to protect the viral genome and synthesize viral proteins (10, 11). Viruses also remodel ER membranes to form a variety of structures, including single-membrane spherule vesicles in the ER lumen (12, 13), double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) (14, 15), convoluted membranes (CMs) (16), and single-membrane sheets (17). Spherules and DMVs appear to function in viral genome replication, CMs are likely sites of polyprotein synthesis or storage of proteins and lipids, and single-membrane sheets may participate in viral morphogenesis. How viral proteins remodel the ER during viral infection is largely unknown.

Mammalian reoviruses are nonenveloped, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses that replicate in a wide range of cells and tissues (18). These viruses infect humans throughout their lifetime (19) and have been implicated in the pathogenesis of celiac disease (20). Reovirus replication, transcription, and assembly occur in large cytoplasmic structures termed viral inclusions (VIs) (21). Inclusions were generally thought to be membrane-free structures, but they contain smooth membranes attached to mitochondria (22). ER cisternae surround reovirus inclusions, and ribosomes are distributed within these structures (21, 22). These findings point to the potential participation of the ER in VI formation and architecture.

In this study, we discovered that major remodeling of the ER during reovirus infection leads to collections of vesicles and tubules that form the inclusion structures. These membranous components remain attached to the remodeled ER to build large replication factories. Remarkably, expression of reovirus proteins σNS and μNS is sufficient to mediate this dramatic reorganization of the ER. Moreover, we demonstrate that σNS causes ER tubulation and μNS causes ER fragmentation. Our results enhance an understanding of how the ER is reshaped and transformed by viruses and point to a new process used by viruses to form factories for particle assembly.

RESULTS

Remodeled ER membranes associate with reovirus inclusions. ER cisternae surround and contact reovirus inclusions in HeLa and MDCK cells (22). Here, we studied the association of ER with VIs by confocal and electron microscopy (Fig. 1). The ER was immunolabeled with an antibody specific for the ER membrane marker calreticulin. Fluorescence confocal microscopy showed that reovirus infection triggers a massive transformation of the ER that becomes thin, undulated, and fragmented (Fig. 1A and B). Three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions of serial transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed that groups of thin, undulated ER tubules concentrate around VIs (Fig. 1C). Similar findings were obtained in studies of reovirus-infected mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). ER membranes were observed surrounding and inside VIs using confocal microscopy (Fig. S1A). TEM of VIs at various magnifications showed rough ER (RER) surrounding and contacting the VIs, and membrane fragments were often seen inside VIs (Fig. S1B).

To determine whether remodeled ER membranes associate with reovirus inclusions early in infection, we used correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM). HeLa cells expressing mCherry fused to the first 230 amino acids of μNS, which incorporate a domain required to interact with several other viral proteins and localize to VIs (23), were cultured on photoetched gridded coverslips, infected with reovirus, and imaged...
using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1D and E). Fluorescent mCherry-\(\mu\)NS protein was recruited to nascent VIs that were selected for ultrastructural analysis. TEM of ultrathin sections showed membranes inside and at the periphery of early VIs (Fig. 1F).

**ER proteins and newly synthesized viral RNA are detected inside VIs.** The Tokuyasu cryosectioning technique does not require sample dehydration and provides optimal preservation of membranes and the highest accessibility of antigens to antibodies for immunostaining (24, 25). We prepared Tokuyasu cryosections of reovirus-infected cells for immunogold labeling of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) and calreticulin, luminal, and membrane ER proteins, respectively (Fig. 2). Both anti-PDI (Fig. 2A and B) and anti-calreticulin (Fig. 2C and D) antibodies labeled characteristic ER cisternae in the cytosol as well as membrane fragments, vesicles, and viral particles inside inclusions.

To determine whether tubulovesicular elements of the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) are present inside VIs, we immunogold labeled the ERGIC marker KDEL-R. In mock-infected and reovirus-infected cells, KDEL-R was detected in pre-Golgi and cis-Golgi membranous elements but not in VIs (data not shown).
Immunogold labeling with an antibody specific for dsRNA that labels viral replication sites (26, 27) and reovirus ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs) showed signals in viral particles and membranes inside the Vls (Fig. 2E). Colocalization of dsRNA with PDI also was observed (Fig. 2E). To localize viral RNA synthesis relative to Vls, we assessed bromouridine (BrU) incorporation via immunogold labeling of Tokuyasu cryosections (Fig. 2F). BrU labeling was observed in inclusions associated with viral particles, marking viral RNA that had accumulated during the 5-h labeling window (Fig. 2F). These particles are viral cores in which genome replication takes place (28, 29) but also likely mature virions, which may retain signal due to the prolonged labeling window. BrU labeling also was associated with membranes inside Vls (Fig. 2F). Although BrU was maintained in the cell culture medium for 5 h, BrU signal was found only in Vls. A total of 258 labeled structures in Vls from 5 cells were photographed. BrU labeling was
detected in viral particles (35%) and membranes (46%) as well as in some labeled structures that were not clearly identified (19%). Double labeling with antibodies specific for BrU and the λ3 viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, which resides in the virion core, showed that inside VIs, both antibodies label viral particles (Fig. 2G and H) and membrane fragments (Fig. 2G, inset). These results suggest that the ER-derived, tubulovesicular membranes inside VIs support viral replication and assembly. Considering that genome replication of Reoviridae viruses occurs inside viral cores (28, 29), the significance of membrane fragments labeled with anti-dsRNA or anti-BrU antibodies inside VIs is uncertain. Nonetheless, our findings raise the possibility that reovirus genome segments associate with membranes prior to assortment into viral particles inside VIs.

The 3D membranous internal organization of VIs was analyzed in detail by electron tomography of Tokuyasu cryosections. The tomographic volumes revealed that VIs consist of groups of thin tubules and vesicles (Fig. 3). The diameter of the thin tubules is approximately one-third of the normal ER cisternae. Mitochondria and ER cisternae are adjacent to the inclusions. Remarkably, all viral particles inside the VIs are attached to membranes (Movies S1 and S2). We conclude that the membranous compartment primary involved in construction of reovirus inclusions is the ER.

**ER remodeling during the initial stages of reovirus infection.** To visualize ER remodeling during reovirus infection, HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry-KDEL and adsorbed with reovirus. ER remodeling during infection was visualized using real-time, live-cell microscopy. Early in infection, the ER fragmented, collapsed, and aggregated (Fig. 4A; Movie S3). Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy imaging after video recording confirmed that cells with the observed ER remodeling were indeed infected (Fig. S2; Movie S4).
For a more precise, higher-resolution analysis of ER remodeling during infection, we used confocal microscopy to image HeLa cells transfected with mCherry-KDEL and infected with reovirus (Fig. 4B). ER remodeling in infected cells was found to occur by a process that begins with tubule thinning, followed by fragmentation, and concludes with collapse. Similar findings were obtained using live-cell imaging and confocal microscopy of U-2 OS cells engineered to stably express mCherry-KDEL, transfected with an N-terminal-tagged green fluorescent protein (GFP) construct expressing residues 1 to 230 of the \( /H_9262 \)NS protein, and infected with reovirus (Movie S5). Live-cell imaging showed that VIs interact with the remodeled ER during infection.

Stimulated emission depletion (STED) superresolution microscopy revealed additional fine details of the remodeled ER in reovirus-infected cells (Fig. 4C). The reovirus \( /H_9268 \)NS protein was found to associate with ER thin tubules and fragments surrounding and inside nascent, small inclusions (arrows in Fig. 4C). VIs of a variety of sizes remained attached to the remodeled ER. Based on these observations, we conclude that the ER undergoes rapid remodeling in an ordered process after infection and that VIs associate with the remodeled ER to build large inclusions and replication factories.

Reovirus \( /H_9268 \)NS and \( /H_9262 \)NS remodel the ER. To identify the viral proteins that induce ER remodeling, we ectopically expressed the reovirus \( /H_9268 \)NS and \( /H_9262 \)NS proteins and...
monitored ER morphology. Both proteins distribute to reovirus inclusions (30, 31), although precise functions for each are not well understood. HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry-KDEL, /\(\sigma\)NS, and /\(\mu\)NS and imaged by confocal microscopy. Experiments with expression plasmids encoding /\(\sigma\)NS and /\(\mu\)NS from reovirus strains T1L and T3D produced similar results (Fig. 5 and S3). The expression of these viral proteins caused ER remodeling like that observed during reovirus infection, with ER tubulation followed by fragmentation and culminating in collapse (Fig. 5A).

To determine the effect of independent expression of /\(\sigma\)NS and /\(\mu\)NS on alterations in ER structure, HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry-KDEL alone or in combination with either /\(\sigma\)NS or /\(\mu\)NS and imaged by confocal microscopy 24 h posttransfection. Cells expressing /\(\sigma\)NS showed an altered ER with separated, thin, branched tubules (Fig. 5B and S3). The /\(\sigma\)NS protein concentrated in the gaps between the tubules, producing a ring-like pattern (Fig. 5B). Cells expressing /\(\mu\)NS showed long, thin ER tubules without branches (Fig. S3) and a fragmented ER (Fig. 5C). /\(\mu\)NS associated with ER tubules and fragments (Fig. 5C and S3).

To investigate how /\(\mu\)NS induces ER fragmentation, we localized /\(\mu\)NS molecules with metal-tagging TEM (METTEM), a highly sensitive labeling technique (32, 33). HeLa cells engineered to express mCherry fused to /\(\mu\)NS residues 1 to 230 and metallothionein (MT) (mCherry-\(\mu\)NS-MT) were adsorbed with reovirus, incubated with gold and silver,
fixed, and embedded in resin. Ultrathin sections were stained and imaged using TEM (Fig. 5D and S4). Gold-silver particles bound to MT revealed the precise location of MT-tagged µNS molecules. We observed that prior to VI assembly, mCherry-µNS-MT distributes with vesicles near the nucleus where the mCherry fluorescent signal concentrates (Fig. 5D); mCherry-µNS-MT gold-silver molecules also distributed to thin, strangled ER cisternae where they distribute with near-uniform spacing (Fig. 5E). Together with the confocal images shown in Fig. 5C, this finding suggests a role for µNS
in ER fragmentation. Results from gene silencing experiments using either αNS- or μNS-specific small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in reovirus-infected cells showed only minor ER remodeling. This finding is consistent with the observation that μNS expression is diminished following αNS silencing and vice versa (Fig. S5). The ER morphological changes caused by reovirus infection or ectopic expression of αNS and μNS were quantified microscopically (Fig. 6). In this analysis, αNS causes a thinning of the tubular ER, whereas μNS disrupts the branches of the thin tubules and fragments those structures into small pieces. At later stages of infection, the ER collapses and aggregates, leaving large gaps in the cytosol.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrate that the membranes in reovirus inclusions (22) originate by ER tubulation and fragmentation, and we provide evidence that the reovirus αNS and μNS proteins are responsible for this remodeling. We also discovered that the collections of ER-derived membranous elements that form inclusions are not free in the cytosol but remain associated with a net of remodeled ER tubules that forms...
the internal organization of the inclusion structure. This VI/ER association most likely facilitates the incorporation of newly synthesized viral proteins and RNAs into nascent particles (21). A model of VI formation consistent with our findings is shown in Fig. 7.

The peculiar and massive ER remodeling induced by reovirus has not been reported for any virus. Reovirus VIs have been mainly studied using fluorescence microscopy and TEM of ultrathin sections. It was concluded from these studies that reovirus VIs are isolated structures unmoored in the cytoplasm. We employed a more comprehensive imaging approach to investigate reovirus inclusions that included real-time, live-cell imaging, confocal and STED superresolution microscopy, CLEM, 3D EM and electron tomography, quantitative microscopy, and two highly sensitive methods for molecular mapping in situ. Results gathered using these complementary techniques have provided us with a new understanding of the organization and biogenesis of reovirus inclusions.

Other members of the Reoviridae use membranes during their life cycle. In polarized intestinal Caco-2 cells, rotavirus particles enter ER cisternae on the periphery of rotavirus factories, which are called viroplasms. Virions later exit the ER and incorporate into small smooth vesicles that are transported to the cell surface. This nonconventional vesicular transport mechanism bypasses the Golgi complex and mediates rotavirus nonlytic egress (34). Rotavirus RNA synthesis occurs in viroplasms (35), which are dynamic structures that move perinuclearly during infection and fuse with each other in a process dependent on microtubules (36, 37). To generate a unifying model of Reoviridae inclusion morphogenesis, it will be important to determine whether viroplasms formed during rotavirus infection (as well as the factories formed by other members of the Reoviridae) also consist of membranes.

Although many viruses partition the ER to form replication organelles, we do not know how ER remodeling is induced in infected cells. In this regard, only a few cellular proteins are known to participate in ER transformation during viral infection. Reticulons, which are ER-shaping proteins, function in the assembly and stabilization of spherules containing viral replication complexes of brome mosaic virus (38). Reticulons also induce tubules and vesicles of positive curvature and enhance replication of enteroviruses (39). Rab18, a small GTPase that cycles between the cytosol, ER, and lipid droplets, participates in the recruitment of lipid droplets to hepatitis C virus replication sites in ER membranes, bringing together several components required for viral replication and morphogenesis (40). Finally, the ER-resident vesicle-associated membrane protein

---

**FIG 7** Model of ER remodeling induced by reovirus infection and the specific action of αNS and μNS. Normal ER is composed of ER sheets and tubules. Reovirus targets ER tubules, leaving the sheets untouched. (A) Early in infection, αNS binds to ER cisternae and transforms these structures into thin tubules. (B) μNS binds to thin tubules, eliminates their branches, and severs them into small membranous pieces that aggregate, attach to the remodeled ER, and form VIs. Inside inclusions, replication viral cores and newly synthesized vRNPs bind to membranes that most likely serve as assembly sites for new viral particles. Schematics at the bottom show how αNS and μNS might remodel ER tubules.
of viral infection, we found that these proteins can induce pronounced ER curvature in yeast (46). For example, occupation of as little as 10% of the tubular ER surface by bending proteins eliminates branches and fragments the tubules into small pieces. Both proteins likely modify the ER simultaneously during infection, with αNS inducing tubulation and μNS disturbing the three-way junctions to produce unbranched, thin tubules, followed by scission of the tubules into smaller fragments (Fig. 7). Thinning of the ER by αNS may generate tension in the tubules just before fragmentation. Since μNS also can mediate formation of thin tubules, the synergistic effect of both proteins in thinning the ER likely facilitates tubule fragmentation by μNS. αNS and μNS could transform the ER by interacting with lipids in ER membranes or interfering with ER-shaping proteins, such as reticulons, Rab GTPases, or luna park (8). μNS also could target proteins at the three-way junctions such as atlastins (45). Although confocal and superresolution STED microscopy showed that during infection αNS and μNS distribute to the ER in abundance, the protein concentration required for the observed ER remodeling need not be large. For example, occupation of as little as 10% of the tubular ER surface by bending proteins can induce pronounced ER curvature in yeast (46).

Contrary to the currently accepted concept of reovirus inclusions as isolated neo-organelles assembled in the cytoplasm of infected cells, we found that reovirus replication factories are comprised of remodeled peripheral ER with attached VIs as active domains formed by clusters of ER-derived vesicles and tubules. Our work uncovers a new mechanism by which viruses form neo-organelles for particle assembly and a new type of virus-induced ER remodeling. The large membrane surface generated by ER fragmentation would provide an adequate shelter for reovirus replication complexes, which are protected inside viral cores (18, 47), as well as the reovirus translation machinery (21). We hypothesize that (i) viral cores actively replicating the genome are bound to membranous tubules, (ii) newly synthesized viral RNAs exit the cores and attach to these membranes via specific interactions with viral proteins, and (iii) assembly of the inner core and outer capsid to build progeny viral particles occurs around vRNPs anchored to these sites (Fig. 7). High-resolution studies showing the precise localization and movement of molecules inside VIs will be required to understand how viral genome replication and particle assembly are coupled inside the VIs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, viruses, and plasmids. HeLa CCL2 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; D6429; Sigma) supplemented to contain 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin G, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B, nonessential amino acids, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma).

Engineered mCherry-T1LM3 230MT-HeLa CCL2 cells stably expressing viral μNS protein fused to mCherry and a metallothionein (MT) tag were generated by transducing cells with replication-incompetent retrovirus. Cells were then cultured in the same medium supplemented with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma). L929 cells and MEFs were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (D6429; Sigma) supplemented to contain 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin G, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), nonessential amino acids (Sigma), and 2 mM l-glutamine.

U-2 OS cells stably expressing mCherry-KDEL (U-2 OS mCherry-KDEL cells) were provided by Carolyn Coyne (University of Pittsburgh). U-2 OS mCherry-KDEL cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented to contain 5% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin G, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B, and 50 μg/ml of G418 sulfate (Thermo Fisher).

Cells were infected with reovirus strain T1L M1-P208S, which is identical to the prototype T1L strain except for a proline-to-serine mutation at position 208 of the μ2 protein (M1 gene). This mutation...
changes inclusion morphology from filamentous to globular (48). This virus was recovered using reverse
-628-628 genetics (49). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to engineer the P208S substitution in the M1 gene
-628-628 with 5¢ KpnI and 3¢ NotI restriction sites. The amplified DNA was digested with NotI-HF and
-628-628 (New England BioLabs [NEB]) and purified from agarose gel fragments following electrophoresis. The purified PCR product was ligated into pcDNA3.1+ vectors between the NotI-HF and KpnI-HF restriction sites.

Transfections and siRNA silencing assays. HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry-ER-3 plasmid
-628-628 expressing mCherry fused with calreticulin, ER signal peptide, and KDEL (Addgene) alone or in combi-
-628-628 nation with mNS or mNS plasmids using Trans-IT 2020 (Mirus) as a transfection reagent according to the
-628-628 manufacturer’s instructions. At 24 h posttransfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)
in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature for 20 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.25% saponin and imaged using immunofluorescence microscopy.

For silencing experiments, HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry-ER-3 and siRNAs specific for mNS
(Dharmacon, sense sequence: UGA UGG ACU UAA GGU AUU AUU), mNS (Dharmacon, sense sequence: 
GAG CAA GGC UCU AUG UCU AUU), or luciferase (Qiagen, catalog no. 1022073, sense sequence: CUU 
ACG CUG AGU UCG ATT) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufactur-
-628-628 er’s instructions. At 24 h posttransfection, virus was adsorbed to HeLa cells at a multiplicity of infection
(MOI) of 1 PFU/cell on glass coverslips. Following incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS at room temperature for 20 min and processed for immunofluorescence.

BrU incorporation assay. Newly synthesized viral RNA was labeled in reovirus-infected cells using a
bromouridine (BrU) incorporation assay. HeLa cells were adsorbed with reovirus at an MOI of 1 PFU/cell.
At 14 h postadsorption, cells were incubated for 6 h with 50 μg/ml α-amanitin (Sigma) to block cellular
RNA synthesis. At 15 h postinfection, cells were incubated for 5 h with 10 mM BrU (Sigma), washed with 
PBS, fixed for 1 h with 4% PFA in PBS, and processed for cryosectioning and immunogold labeling using a
monoclonal antibromodeoxyuridine antibody (Sigma) diluted 1:50 in saturation buffer for 1 h followed
by a secondary antibody conjugated with 10-nm colloidal gold particles for 30 min. Samples were imaged using an IOLM JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope.

Confocal microscopy. HeLa cells cultivated on glass coverslips in 6-well plates were adsorbed with 
reovirus at an MOI of 1 PFU/cell. Following incubation at 37°C for 14 h, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in
PBS (pH 7.4) at room temperature for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.25% saponin, and labeled with a
calreticulin-specific antibody (Novus Biologicals, Inc.), a PDI-specific antibody (MD-12; Sigma), a
NS-specific antibodies 2F5 and VU82 (53), or μNS-specific antibodies VU267 and chicken polyclonal
antiserum, provided by John Parker (Cornell University) and previously described (21). 4¢,6-Diamidino-
2¢-phénylindole (DAP; Invitrogen) was used to stain nuclei. Alexa Fluor-conjugated antibodies (Invitrogen)
were used as secondary antibodies. Antibodies and DAPI were diluted in saturation buffer (1% bovine
serum albumin [BSA] in PBS) as follows: 1:200 for anti-PDI and anti-calreticulin antibodies and
DAPI, 1:1,500 for α-amanitin (Sigma) to block cellular new RNA synthesis. At 15 h postinfection, cells were incubated for 5 h with 10 mM BrU (Sigma), washed with 
PBS, fixed for 1 h with 4% PFA in PBS, and processed for cryosectioning and immunogold labeling using a
monoclonal antibromodeoxyuridine antibody (Sigma) diluted 1:50 in saturation buffer for 1 h followed
by a secondary antibody conjugated with 10-nm colloidal gold particles for 30 min. Samples were imaged using an IOLM JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope.

STED superresolution microscopy. HeLa cells were adsorbed with reovirus at an MOI of 1 PFU/cell
on glass coverslips. Following incubation at 37°C for 26 h, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS at room
temperature for 20 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.25% saponin and labeled with a
NS-specific antibody and a calreticulin-specific antibody followed by secondary antibodies conjugated with
Alexa Fluor 488 and 546 (Invitrogen). Images were acquired using a Leica TCS SP8 microscope with a 3× STED module for superresolution.

3D image reconstructions from serial sections. HeLa cells were adsorbed with reovirus at an MOI
of 1 PFU/cell. Following incubation at 37°C for 14 h, cells were fixed with a mixture of 4% PFA and 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS at room temperature for 1 h, postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in increasing concentrations of acetone, and embedded in EML-B12 epoxy resin (TAAB Laboratories). Samples were polymerized at 60°C for 48 h. Consecutive ultrathin (~60- to 70-nm) sections were collected on Formvar-coated copper slot grids (TAAB Laboratories), stained, and imaged using a JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope operating at 100 kV. Three series of 15 consecutive sections were obtained, and the one with the best contrast was processed for 3D reconstruction as described previously (22). Images of reovirus inclusions were obtained using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Gatan) at a nominal magnification of ×40,000 and a resolution of 72 pixels per inch (ppi). Digital images with an 8.82-nm final pixel size were aligned with a free editor for serial section microscopy, Reconstruct (54) (http://synapseweb.clm.utexas.edu/software-0). Segmentation and 3D visualization were conducted using Amira. Movies from the 3D reconstructions were assembled using the Camera Rotate and Movie Maker applications of the Amira software.

Immunogold labeling of Tokuyasu cryosections. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.4 M HEPES buffer, pH 7.4, at room temperature (RT) for 2 h. Free aldehyde groups were quenched with 50 mM NH₄Cl. Cells were removed from the plastic with a rubber policeman and collected by centrifugation in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube. The pellet was embedded in 12% gelatin (TAAB Laboratories) in PBS, and after solidification, cubes of 1 mm³ were cut and infiltrated with 2.3 M sucrose in PBS
at 4°C overnight. Cubes were mounted on metal pins and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Thin cryosections were prepared at −120°C using an FC6 cryoultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems), collected from the diamond knife with a 1:1 mixture of 2% methylcellulose in H₂O and 2.1 M sucrose in PBS, and placed after thawing on 200-mesh grids with a carbon-coated Formvar film. For single and double immunogold labeling, sections were incubated with primary and secondary antibodies. Primary antibodies were diluted in saturation buffer (1% BSA in PBS) as follows: 1:200 for anti-PDI and anti-calreticulin, 1:50 for anti-dsRNA (English and Scientific Consulting) and anti-Bru (Sigma), 1:100 for rabbit polyclonal λ3-specific antiserum (52), and 1/200 for the affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal anti-KDEL-R (55) provided by Irina Majoul (MPI for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany). Grids were incubated at RT for 1 h. Secondary antibodies conjugated with 10- or 15-nm colloidal gold particles were diluted 1:50 in saturation buffer, and samples were incubated at RT for 30 min. Protein A conjugated with 10-nm colloidal gold particles was diluted 1:100 in saturation buffer. All colloidal gold conjugates were supplied by British Biocell Int. (BBI). After labeling, images were collected using a JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope operating at 100 kV. At least two independent labeling assays were performed for each experimental condition.

**Electron tomography of Tokuyasu cryosections.** Semithick (~300-nm) Tokuyasu cryosections of reovirus-infected cells were collected on copper grids with parallel bars. Four single-axis tilt series were obtained automatically between −63° and +60° with an angular interval of 1.5°. Images were recorded on an Eagle 4k-by-4k slow-scan charge-coupled device (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) using FEI software and a Tecnai G2 microscope (FEI) operating at 200 kV. Images were aligned, and tomograms were reconstructed using the IMOD software package (56). The tomogram with best contrast was segmented and processed for 3D visualization with Amira. Tomograms were subjected to noise filtering and automated segmentation to visualize membranes (57). METTEM. To visualize metallothionein-tagged μNS protein molecules, mCherry-TLML3 230MT-HeLa CCL2 cells were incubated in vivo with 0.5 mM HAuCl₄ (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMEM at 37°C for 15 min. This treatment allows gold nanoclusters to form on metallothionein-tagged proteins (58). Cells were washed with DMEM, fixed in a mixture of 4% paraformaldehyde and 1% glutaraldehyde in 0.4 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.4), washed with deionized water, and incubated for 10 min with silver salts (HQ Silver; Nanoprobes) (33). After washing with deionized water, samples were postfixed and embedded in epoxy resin as described above. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and imaged by TEM. For conformation of labeling specificity, control HeLa cells (lacking MT-tagged proteins) were incubated with gold and silver and processed as described above. At least three different resin blocks were sectioned for each experimental condition.

**Live-cell imaging.** HeLa cells transfected with mCherry-ER-3 plasmid expressing mCherry fused with calreticulin, ER signal peptide, and KDEL (Addgene) were cultivated on glass-bottom culture p35 plates (Ibidi). At 24 h posttransfection, cells were adsorbed with reovirus strain T1L. From 4 to 10 h postadsorption, fluorescence and differential interference contrast (DIC) images were collected every 15 min using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope and LAS X software. To identify infected cells, immediately after video recording, samples were processed for immunofluorescence staining using a μNS-specific antibody (2FS) and a secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa 488. U-2 OS mCherry-KDEL cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding residues 1 to 230 of the NS protein N terminally fused to GFP. At 24 h posttransfection, cells were adsorbed with reovirus strain T1L. From 9 to 18 h postinfection, fluorescence images were collected every 30 min using a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope and Zen software.

**CLEM.** HeLa cells engineered to stably express mCherry-μNS were cultured on photoetched grid p35 plates (Ibidi). Cells were adsorbed with reovirus strain T1L. From 4 to 10 h postadsorption, fluorescence and differential interference contrast (DIC) images were collected every 15 min using a Leica DMi6000B fluorescence microscope and LAS X software. To identify infected cells, immediately after video recording, samples were processed for immunofluorescence staining using an anti-μNS antibody (2FS) and a secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa 488. U-2 OS mCherry-KDEL cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding residues 1 to 230 of the μNS protein N terminally fused to GFP. At 24 h posttransfection, cells were adsorbed with reovirus strain T1L. From 9 to 18 h postinfection, fluorescence images were collected every 30 min using a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope and Zen software.

**Quantitative confocal microscopy.** Quantifications were conducted using mock-infected cells (n = 166), reovirus-infected cells (n = 97), cells transfected with μNS and μNS alone (n = 134), cells transfected with μNS alone (n = 147), and cells transfected with μNS alone (n = 135). ER remodeling was classified in four categories: (i) branched thin tubules, (ii) unbranched thin tubules, (iii) fragmented, and (iv) collapsed. The numbers of cells with normal ER and cells with zones of ≥15 μm² with low density of labeled ER elements (termed “ER-free zones”) were also quantified. Images were obtained using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope at a magnification of ×63 or ×100 using LAS X software.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01253-18.
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