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During the last 20 years of neuroscience research, we have witnessed a fundamental shift in the conceptualization of psychiatric disorders, with the dominant psychological and neurochemical theories of the past now complemented by a growing emphasis on developmental, genetic, molecular, and brain circuit models. Facilitating this evolving paradigm shift has been the growing contribution of functional neuroimaging, which provides a versatile platform to characterize brain circuit dysfunction underlying specific syndromes as well as changes associated with their successful treatment. Discussed here are converging imaging findings that established a rationale for testing a targeted neuromodulation strategy, deep brain stimulation, for treatment-resistant major depression.

Depression affects at least 10% of the world population and is a leading cause of worldwide disability (1). Major depressive disorder (MDD) is clinically defined as a multidimensional syndrome, involving disruption of mood, cognition, sensorimotor functions, and homeostatic/drive functions (including those that control sleep, appetite, and libido). While depression can be treated in many cases with either medication or an evidence-based psychotherapy, remission rates in controlled trials using currently available treatments rarely exceed 30%, and relapse is the rule rather than the exception (2). For many patients, combinations of multiple medications and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) are required. For those who remain severely depressed despite these aggressive approaches, new strategies are needed (3). This Review describes the development and testing of a new interventional strategy, deep brain stimulation (DBS), directed at this group of patients who are otherwise resistant to treatment.

Critical to the development of DBS as a new treatment for intractable MDD has been the evolving understanding of the brain circuits that mediate normal and abnormal mood states and the systematic characterization of changes in these circuits that accompany successful and unsuccessful response to various treatments, measured using functional imaging (reviewed in refs. 4–8). Based on brain circuit models of depression derived primarily from PET scan measures of glucose metabolism and blood flow, the first region of the brain to be targeted with DBS in patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD) was the subcallosal cingulate (SCC), the ventral-most segment of the cingulate gyrus (Figure 1). As detailed in the following sections, this region of the brain was considered a critical node within a putative mood regulatory circuit, as it showed the most consistent changes in metabolism and blood flow with clinical recovery, using various well-established antidepressant interventions (9). As such, it was hypothesized that direct stimulation of the SCC and adjacent white matter would produce modulatory or normalizing changes within this otherwise unresponsive mood circuit, resulting in antidepressant effects (10). The first clinical results of DBS of the SCC were encouraging, leading to the initiation of additional clinical studies (11, 12). This initial clinical success further motivated continued refinement of this depression circuit model, with goals to optimize surgical targeting, define biomarkers that might effectively guide patient selection, and increase understanding of DBS mechanisms of action.

Neurological depression circuit model: theoretical framework

The development and evolution of a circuit model to explain the many regional brain abnormalities reported in numerous imaging studies of depressed patients is in keeping with the classical neurological tradition of symptom localization, using lesion-deficit correlational analyses. Using this strategy, construction of a depression circuit first assumes that depression is unlikely to be the result of injury or dysfunction of a single brain region but rather a system-wide disorder, in which interruption at specific sites or “nodes” within a defined functional circuit or network linking many brain regions can result in stereotypic depressive symptoms (9, 13). It is further hypothesized that a major depressive episode reflects the failure to appropriately regulate activity in this multi-region circuit, under circumstances of cognitive, emotional, or somatic stress (6). From this perspective, a given scan pattern is a readout of both the inciting insult or provocation and the resulting compensatory processes, influenced by various contributing factors, including heredity, temperament, early-life experiences, and previous depressive episodes (14–17). As such, variations in brain scan patterns among patients would be expected, thus defining distinct depression phenotypes with potentially predictable responses to different antidepressant treatments (Figure 2). While this premise is purely speculative, published findings that support this hypothesis are reviewed below, highlighting disease-, state-, and treatment-specific effects facilitated by pharmacotherapy, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), and DBS.

Defining affected brain regions

Structural imaging studies. Structure-function correlations performed in patients developing a depression syndrome, in the context of either acquired brain lesions (18, 19) or neurodegenerative disorders...
review series

Functional imaging studies. There are now a variety of imaging methods (PET, single photon emission computed tomography, functional MRI [fMRI], magnetic resonance spectroscopy, EEG, magnetoencephalography, and optical imaging) capable of quantifying a wide range of physiological parameters relevant to the study of major depression. In this brief overview, resting-state blood flow and glucose metabolism measures using PET are highlighted, as they make up the bulk of the published studies. Functional imaging studies of primary depression (reviewed in refs. 6–8) commonly report frontal cortex and cingulate abnormalities, a pattern also seen in neurological depressions (13). Other limbic-paralimbic (amygdala, anterior temporal, and insula) and subcortical (basal ganglia and thalamus) abnormalities have also been identified, but the findings are more variable. Across studies, the most robust and best-replicated finding is that of decreased prefrontal cortex function, although normal frontal activity and frontal hyperactivity have also been reported (35, 36). Localization of abnormalities within the frontal lobe includes regions of the dorsolateral and ventral-lateral prefrontal cortex (specifically Brodmann area 9 [BA9], BA46, BA10, and BA47) as well as orbitofrontal and ventromedial frontal cortices (specifically BA11, BA32, and BA10). Findings are generally bilateral, although asymmetries have been described. Cingulate cortex changes (increases or decreases in activity) are also commonly seen and consistently involve anterior sectors (in particular, BA24 and BA25).

Potential sources of scan variability

While there are clearly a highly reproducible set of functional and structural findings across studies, not all patients show the same pattern. Differences among patient subgroups (e.g., among those with familial, bipolar, unipolar, neurological, or early trauma depression) as well as heterogeneous expression of clinical symptoms, such as illness severity, cognitive impairment, anxiety, anhedonia, mood reactivity, and psychomotor slowing, are thought to contribute to the described variance, but there is not yet a consensus. The best-replicated behavioral correlate of a resting-state abnormality in depression is that of an inverse relationship between the activity of the prefrontal cortex and the severity of depression. Low prefrontal cortex activity has also been correlated with slowed reaction times and impairment in cognitive functions such as attention and working memory; low parietal and parahippocampus activity has been associated with anxiety; medial frontal and cingulate hypoactivity has been associated with impairments in performance in error detection and set-shifting tasks; ventral striatum/nucleus accumbens hypoactivity has been associated with anhedonia; and amygdala hyperactivity has been associated with cortisol status (37–43). A more complex ventral-dorsal segregation of frontal lobe functions has also been described, with anxiety/tension positively correlated with ventral prefrontal cortex activity and psychomotor and cognitive slowing negatively correlated with dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activity (40). The prefrontal cortex and amygdala hyperactivity seen in patients with a more ruminative/anxious clinical presentation is also consistent with findings described in individuals with primary anxiety and obsessive disorders (39), memory-evoked anxiety and fear in healthy subjects and response to the testing environment due to novelty or state anxiety as well as gene-mediated variability in emotional reactivity (44). Even with these considerations, the presence of clinical symptom variability within a given patient cohort does not appear to fully explain the consistent inconsistencies in the published imaging literature (6, 17).

Figure 1
Anatomical locations of key brain regions implicated in MDD. Midline, sagittal view of the brain, with subsections of the anterior cingulate cortex highlighted by coloring. A-Hc, amygdala hippocampus; BS, brainstem; C. callosum, corpus callosum; dMF9, dorso-medial frontal cortex BA9; MCC24, mid-cingulate cortex BA24 (blue); OF11, orbital frontal cortex BA11; pACC24, pregenual anterior cingulate cortex BA24 (yellow); PCC23, posterior cingulate cortex BA23; SCC24/25, SCC BA24 and BA25 (red); vMF10, ventro-medial frontal cortex BA10.

(reviewed in ref. 13), provided an early anatomical perspective, consistently identifying clear abnormalities in both the frontal cortex and basal ganglia. Studies in individuals with primary depression, on the other hand, have indicated that structural abnormalities are more subtle, generally necessitating more advanced image acquisition and analytic approaches. Such studies have identified volume changes in the amygdala, hippocampus, and anterior cingulate, ventromedial, and prefrontal cortices but with considerable variability (8, 20–22) (Figure 1). Postmortem studies further identify glial cell loss in primary depression but the findings are also not localized to any one brain region (23–26). Unlike depression following a selective brain lesion or injury, in which causal inferences can be reasonably asserted, volume changes reported in MDD appear to be more complex, particularly since acute lesions of the amygdala, hippocampus, cingulate cortex, and ventromedial frontal cortex do not precipitate depressive symptoms or syndromes (18, 19). Furthermore, genetic risk factors and environmental stress may further contribute to some of these reported structural abnormalities, independent of the presence of depression (27–29). Nonetheless, comparative cytoarchitectural and anatomical connectivity studies generally confirm the critical involvement of these regions, most consistently the frontal cortex, cingulate cortex, basal ganglia, amygdala, and hippocampus in animal models of depression and associated emotional behaviors (30–34), supporting the hypothesis that even subtle disruption of pathways linking these regions in humans can result in disturbances in emotion regulation typical of MDD, namely negative mood coupled with sustained changes in motivation, motor performance, cognition, and circadian functions. Studies of regional brain dysfunction with functional imaging further support this hypothesis.
One can alternatively consider variable patterns from a systems perspective, as outlined in Figure 2, in which dysregulated circuit activity identified in the baseline depressed state is seen to reflect both foci of primary dysfunction as well as sites of attempted (or failed) adaptation. Such a model would theoretically accommodate the reported variability among published depression cohorts, the recognized heterogeneity of depressive symptoms, and purported etiologic risk factors (15, 16) and is also in keeping with conceptual models of sustained allostatic load (45). Hypothetically, in the setting of sustained overactivity of the regulatory circuit (whatever the cause), an exaggerated or hypersensitive compensatory response may result in an agitated, mood-reactive, ruminative depressive state in one patient, whereas failure to initiate or maintain an adequate compensatory response may lead to anergy, psychomotor retardation, apathy, and mood nonreactivity in a second patient with equally severe depression. In this context, net circuit activity resulting in a sustained but only partially compensated state would probably respond equally well to either pharmacological or psychological treatments, consistent with empirical clinical experience as well as randomized controlled studies (46). On the other hand, more extreme states of adaptive changes in the circuit (either overactive or underactive) would require more specific treatments (i.e., CBT or interpersonal psychotherapy when overactive [ref. 47] and medication augmentation or ECT, vagus nerve stimulation, or repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation when underactive [refs. 2, 48–51]), with failure of the circuit to initiate an adaptive response defining patients with TRD and a need for more aggressive interventions such as DBS (11, 12, 52–54). Such hypotheses lay the foundation for a related goal, namely to define a specific brain circuit signature that could eventually provide a therapeutic road map for optimal treatment selection in individual depressed patients — if baseline variability and associated change patterns with different treatment interventions can be fully characterized (6). While important insights have been made using group-based analyses, as described in the following sections, practically speaking, fMRI may prove a more agile technology to achieve this goal, since circuit patterns, commonly termed “functional connectivity”, are testable in individual subjects. Such strategies emphasize not merely the absolute state of regional activity, but rather, the way in which activities in different locales influence one another as indexed using region-region correlations or covariances (55–57).

**Scan variability as a biomarker of response likelihood**

In an attempt to define a neural signature that could indicate the treatment most likely to be effective in a given patient, several groups have already identified pretreatment scan patterns that differentiate response-specific subtypes to various treatments (58–67). Retrospective analyses of resting-state PET studies and, more recently, fMRI studies using behavioral tasks have consistently reported that increased pretreatment activity in the pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (pACC; BA24) distinguishes responders to several different antidepressant interventions from nonresponders (58, 59, 63, 67). However, prospective testing has not been done to indicate whether this pattern differentially predicts response to a specific treatment class. Using similar methods, SCC (specifically BA25) hyperactivity has been shown to predict response to sleep deprivation (64) and cingulotomy (66) in patients who previously did not respond to medication treatment, identifying a potential marker of treatment resistance (11, 62, 68). Systematic characterization of these potential predictive patterns alone or in combination with genetic markers (69) has important therapeutic implications in light of increasing evidence that the presence of residual symptoms places patients at increased risk for future relapse or recurrence (48).

**Brain targets of antidepressant treatments**

As seen in studies of the baseline depressed state, PET measures of regional cerebral glucose metabolism and blood flow, and, more recently, resting-state and task fMRI have also proven to be sensitive indices of changing brain function following various treatments. Changes in cortical, limbic-paralimbic, and subcortical regions have been described following treatments as diverse as medication, psychotherapy, sleep deprivation, ECT, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, vagus nerve stimulation, ablative surgery, and DBS (6, 7, 10–12, 62, 70–78). While normalization of frontal abnormalities is the best-replicated finding, other regional effects are reported with variable patterns with different treatments. The nature of the specific imaging strategy and the behavior provocation or task used to test the effect of treatment contribute to variability. Despite this caveat, modality-specific effects are consistent with the hypothesis that different interventions modulate specific regional targets, resulting in a variety of complementary, adaptive chemical, and molecular changes sufficient to reestablish a euthymic, remitted state.

**Medication.** Across studies of chronic antidepressant treatment using commonly prescribed medications, prefrontal cortical changes are the most consistently reported, with normalization of frontal cortex overactivity and underactivity both described (62, 70–72). Additionally, changes are also seen in limbic and subcortical regions, including the subgenual cingulate, amygdala, hippocampus, posterior cingulate cortex, and insula, with decreased activity most commonly observed (70, 71, 74–77). The time course of these medication effects and differences between responders and nonresponders have provided additional localizing clues as to critical brain changes mediating depression remission. In one such experiment (70), responders and nonresponders to the selective...
serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) fluoxetine were differentiated by their pattern of glucose metabolism observed six weeks after the initiation of therapy, with clinical improvement associated with limbic-paralimbic and striatal decreases and dorsal cortical increases in glucose metabolism. Failed response was associated with persistence of the pattern of glucose metabolism observed one week after the initiation of therapy (when the same pattern was seen in both groups) and absence of either SCC (specifically BA25) or prefrontal cortex changes. This combination of reciprocal dorsal cortical and ventral limbic changes appears to be a common pattern in individuals who respond to treatment with SSRIs (72), placebo medication (79), and combination serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) (62). The change in the glucose metabolism pattern in only those patients who showed clinical improvement suggests a process of neural plasticity or adaptation in specific brain regions with chronic treatment. These responder-nonresponder differences are also consistent with the time course and location of changes identified in animal studies of antidepressant medications, which emphasize early brainstem and hippocampal changes and late cortical effects, involving presynaptic autoregulatory desensitization, up- and downregulation of multiple postsynaptic receptor sites, and receptor-mediated second messenger and neurotrophic intracellular signaling effects (80, 81).

**Psychotherapy.** In contrast to pharmacological treatments, CBT is thought to retrain brain activity by modifying attention and memory functions involved in the mediation of depression-relevant explicit cognitions, affective bias, and maladaptive information processing, all of which are putatively localized to orbital, medial frontal, prefrontal, and anterior cingulate regions of the cortex (82, 83). Imaging studies examining brain changes following interpersonal psychotherapy and CBT report substantial regional effects — most prominently decreased glucose metabolism and blood flow in the prefrontal cortex (62, 71, 84, 85), but with differential non-frontal cortex changes depending on the specific therapeutic intervention employed. For example, using CBT, remission was associated with not only prefrontal cortex changes but also decreased metabolism in posterior cingulate, dorsomedial frontal, and orbital frontal cortices as well as increased metabolism in anterior mid-cingulate cortex and parahippocampal regions (71). This CBT-specific change pattern was generally replicated in a follow-up, randomized study comparing treatment with CBT and the SNRI venlafaxine (62), Interestingly, this second study identified both a common decrease in dorsomedial frontal cortical activity with both treatments as well as reciprocal changes in subgenual cingulate cortex activity (increased with CBT, decreased, as seen previously, with medication), further suggesting a critical role for this region in mediating remission from depression across treatments.

**Critical role for the SCC**

Among the series of treatment studies surveyed, the involvement of the SCC is especially prominent (Figure 3, A–E). Not only do changes in this region appear critical for antidepressant response
to active and placebo pharmacotherapy, ECT, and CBT (62, 70, 73, 79), but functional hyperactivity of this region best characterizes more treatment-resistant patients (Figure 3, F–J) (11, 62, 65, 66, 68). Furthermore, anatomical changes on structural MRI scans as well as postmortem identification of glial cell abnormalities (21, 23) are reported in depressed patient samples. In addition, structural and functional variability in this region has been linked to a normal polymorphism in the serotonin transporter, an emerging risk factor for depression (28). These converging anatomical findings complement a large functional imaging literature, linking the SCC to the regulation of negative emotional states, as illustrated by increased activity in this region with the provocation of sad mood, using either autobiographical memory (Figure 3J) (10) or a pharmacological challenge, such as tryptophan depletion (86), as well as passive exposure to sad, negative, or unpleasant pictures and words (61, 87).

The foundation for a more specific role of the SCC in the autonomic and circadian aspects of emotion regulation, as occurs with both stress and depression, including alterations in sleep, appetite, libido, and endocrine functioning, is also suggested by the predominant afferent and efferent connections of the SCC to the insula, brainstem, and hypothalamus (34, 88–91). Reciprocal pathways linking SCC BA25 to orbitofrontal, medial frontal, and dorsal prefrontal cortices, the anterior and posterior cingulate cortices, and to the amygdala, hippocampus, and nucleus accumbens further identify plausible pathways by which interceptive and homeostatic processes might influence aspects of learning, memory, reward, and reinforcement (34, 91–94), which are core behaviors impaired in depressed patients. Interestingly, these various connections show considerable overlap with the pattern of regional changes seen with both CBT and pharmacotherapy treatment described above, providing strong evidence to pursue strategies that might effectively alter SCC connectivity in individuals with TRD, rather than focusing on merely correcting absolute activity in SCC in isolation.

**Testing the model: targeting the SCC with DBS**

The repeated observations of increased activity in the SCC in studies of acute negative affective states (sadness), cellular abnormalities in this region in depressed patients postmortem, and predictable decreases in activity with a variety of pharmacological and somatic antidepressant treatments provided the critical foundation to test the use of direct modulation of SCC (BA25), using high frequency DBS as a novel treatment strategy for individuals with otherwise treatment-resistant MDD. Based on the well-established evidence in Parkinson disease (PD), demonstrating that chronic, high frequency DBS in pathologically overactive motor circuits produces profound clinical benefits (95), it was hypothesized that focal stimulation of the SCC and adjacent white matter would not only reduce chronically elevated SCC activity but would also normalize aberrant activity throughout the depression circuit, with resulting clinical benefit.

In a proof-of-principle study, six patients with refractory MDD who had failed to respond to multiple medications as well as psychotherapy and ECT were implanted with two DBS electrodes (one in each hemisphere) under local anesthesia, using MRI guidance and stereotaxic surgical procedures routinely used in the treatment of PD. A protocol similar to that used in evaluating stimulation thresholds for efficacy and adverse effects for PD was also adopted for use in the patients with TRD, with stimulation settings programmed via an implanted pulse generator inserted in the subcutaneous chest wall. Chronic bilateral stimulation (pulse width = 90 μs; frequency = 130 Hz; voltage = 4 V) of the white matter tracts adjacent to the SCC produced a sustained remission of depressive symptoms in four of the six patients in the pilot study (11). Consistent with the circuit hypothesis stated above, clinical antidepressant effects were associated with a marked reduction in SCC blood flow as well as changes in downstream limbic and cortical sites (decreased activity in the hypothalamus, ventral striatum, and orbital and medial frontal cortices) and increased activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal, parietal, mid-cingulate, and posterior cingulate cortices) measured using PET (Figure 4). While it is not yet clear which of these remote changes is most critical to the sustained antidepressant effects, the clinical and imaging findings have now been replicated in an additional 14 patients, with an overall six-month response rate of 60% and with sustained response exceeding one year (12). Placebo-controlled trials extending these first studies are now underway, examining both clinical efficacy and mechanisms as well as potential clinical or imaging biomarkers that might identify the patients with TRD most likely to benefit from this intervention.
Emergent questions

In addition to the apparent sustained rate of clinical recovery in the first experimental group treated with DBS was the intriguing observation that the precise target of stimulation was extremely critical, with variable clinical effects seen with stimulation of adjacent contacts separated by mere millimeters along the same electrode (Figure 4, left panel). Similarly, with patients awake in the operating room, immediate behavioral effects were often seen with acute stimulation of some but not all the individual contacts. Such acute effects often predicted future sustained antidepressant response with chronic stimulation at the same contact. Among spontaneous patient reports, “a lifting of the void” or resolution of dread were most common, with heightened interest and a sense of “connectedness” as well as increased motor speed, increased volume and rate of spontaneous speech, and improved prosody also observed (11). These provocative findings have not been systematically characterized either clinically or with appropriate imaging strategies but are the focus of current experiments. New studies have also implemented diffusion imaging and probabilistic tractography analyses and are now examining the specific white matter tracts affected by focal stimulation of adjacent individual contacts to further delineate which pathways mediate these potentially critical acute stimulation effects (96, 97). Such studies combined with real-time electrophysiological recordings in animals will provide new models that inform on system-level dynamics, mediating the transition from acute to sustained antidepressant effects at the cellular, local circuit, and network level.

Unifying depression circuit model

To help summarize converging findings and facilitate future experiments, an expanded version of a previously proposed and evolving multi-node circuit model of depression (6, 9) has been constructed from the various studies discussed in the previous sections (Figure 5). Regions within this model are clustered into four main functional compartments, based on reproducible patterns across various experiments, and informed by comparative anatomical, electrophysiological, and tract-tracing experiments in nonhuman primates and rodents (34, 88–94, 98). Such compartmental groupings aim to accommodate the major defining symptoms of MDD (sustained mood, motor, cognitive, and circadian dysfunction) and changes in these behaviors accompanying treatment and recovery. The model further attempts to capture basic cognitive (extraseptal) and visceral-motor (interoceptive) processes mediating normal responses to novel overt and covert emotional stimuli (34, 38, 44, 99–108), recognizing that the complexities and nuances of brain mechanisms mediating these behaviors are grossly oversimplified by this model. With this caveat, consistent functional interactions and region-region correlations are emphasized in the assignment of a particular region to a specific compartment, with explicit anatomical connections linking individual regions both within and across compartments critical to the core model construct.

While earlier versions of this model implicated a more limited set of brain regions (9, 13), what has remained consistent across models are the reciprocal interactions between ventral limbic (interoceptive) regions and dorsal cortical (extraseptal) regions as a hallmark of a negative mood state (increased limbic activity and decreased cortical activity), occurring either transiently such as with an acute emotional provocation or when sustained, as seen during a major depressive episode. Similarly, reversal of this pattern (decreased limbic activity and increased cortical activity) characterizes mood improvement, with depression remission (10, 11). Medial frontal cortical regions have been assigned a distinct compartment in the model, as these regions appear most critical to active cognitive control and overt regulation of emotional and affective state (37, 103–106, 109). Similarly, a set of subcortical regions, including the amygdala, basal ganglia, and thalamus, which have been consistently implicated in primary and often covert processing of novel emotional and nonemotional stimuli (37), have been grouped together to emphasize their more general role in evaluating salience (107) and in mediating reinforcement, learning, and habit (38, 44, 108, 110–112). Ventral (subcallosal SCC BA25), rostral (pACC BA24), and dorsal (supragranular or midcingulate cortex BA24) subregions of the anterior cingulate cortex (also shown in Figure 1) have been similarly segregated, in keeping with their differential anatomical connections within and between...
compartments (34, 88, 94, 98). Within this framework, synchro-
nized changes within and across compartments are considered
critical for illness remission, regardless of treatment modality,
accommodating the described pharmacotherapy as well as cogni-
tive and surgical interventions. That said, it is not yet clear if the
specific balance between regions or compartments is differentially
sensitive to a particular treatment, as postulated in Figure 2, but
this remains an important focus of ongoing studies.

Future directions
Multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trials are ultimately
necessary to determine the clinical efficacy of DBS for TRD, using
modulation of the SCC as described here (11, 12) or modulation
of other brain regions currently being tested, including the an-
terior limb of the internal capsule, the nucleus accumbens, and
the inferior thalamic peduncle (52–54). That said, complementary
research studies in patients undergoing these procedures offer
unique opportunities to examine both disease and treatment
mechanisms from new perspectives. Such studies will optimally
benefit from a flexible research infrastructure that can take best
advantage of ongoing advances in multimodal imaging and com-
putational modeling of complex circuits (109, 113–116) as well as
new animal models (30–32, 117–119). As has proven to be the case
with DBS for the treatment of PD (120–124), such platforms facili-
tate the necessary translational studies needed to fully character-
ize DBS effects at the cellular, molecular, and network levels. It is
further expected that continued refinement of brain-based circuit
models for depression and other neuropsychiatric disorders will
have a growing role not only in the development of novel therapies
but in defining a new depression nosology, a critical step toward
the eventual use of evidence-based brain biomarkers to optimize
treatment selection in individual patients.
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