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LR11, also known as SorLA, is a mosaic low-density lipoprotein receptor that exerts multiple influences on Alzheimer disease susceptibility. LR11 interacts with the amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) and regulates APP trafficking and processing to amyloid-β peptide (Aβ). The functional domains of LR11 suggest that it can act as a cell surface receptor and as an intracellular sorting receptor for trans-Golgi network to endosome traffic. We show that LR11 over-expressed in HEK293 cells is radiolabeled following incubation of cells with [32P]orthophosphate. Liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used to discover putative LR11 interacting kinases. Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK) 2 was identified as a binding partner and a candidate kinase acting on LR11. LR11 and ROCK2 co-immunoprecipitate from post-mortem human brain tissue and drug inhibition of ROCK activity reduces LR11 phosphorylation in vivo. Targeted knockdown of ROCK2 with siRNA decreased LR11 ectodomain shedding while simultaneously increasing intracellular LR11 protein level. Site-directed mutagenesis of serine 2206 in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail reduced LR11 shedding, decreased LR11 phosphorylation in vitro, and abrogated LR11 mediated Aβ reduction. These findings provide direct evidence that LR11 is phosphorylated in vivo and indicate that ROCK2 phosphorylation of LR11 may enhance LR11 mediated processing of APP and amyloid production.

LR11 is a multi-domain low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family member expressed prominently in brain (1).
ROCK2 Regulates LR11 Shedding

sequence, analogous to the NPYX motif for coated pit-mediated endocytosis (14, 16–18). LR11’s NPYX-like motif (FANSHY) does not appear to be an essential internalization signal, but an acidic cluster in the cytoplasmic tail is required for AP-2 complex-dependent endocytosis (19). Phosphorylation of VPS10p family members, including sortilin and LR11, as well as MPRs is suggested to regulate protein-protein interactions with GGA adaptors to facilitate receptor traffic from Golgi to endosomes; however, direct demonstration of LR11 phosphorylation is lacking (20). To verify that LR11 is indeed phosphorylated in vivo, LR11 was expressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells and incubated with [32P]orthophosphate. Using LC-MS/MS we identified Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK) 2 as a putative LR11-interacting kinase. LR11 interaction with ROCK2 was validated in post-mortem human frontal cortex as well as LR11-mediated Aβ shedding, indicating that serine 2206 is necessary for LR11 shedding as well as ROCK2 associated shedding. Site-directed mutagenesis of potential ROCK2 phosphorylation sites in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail indicate that serine 2206 is necessary for LR11 shedding as well as LR11-mediated Aβ reduction.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Antibodies—V5 epitope: monoclonal AbD Serotec (MCA1360); LR11: monoclonal BD Transduction Labs (611860), polyclonal anti-sera to C terminus generated against the peptide CEDAPMITGFSDVPMVIA by Covance Research Products, Inc. (Denver, PA), preimmune sera collected before the first immunization; ROCK2 monoclonal Abcam (ab56661); APP monoclonal 6E10 Covance (Signet, SIG-39320–200); Calnexin polyclonal Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI (SPA–860).

Generation of LR11 Mutants—N-terminal V5-tagged LR11: human LR11 cDNA in pcDNA3.1 was a gift from Dr. Chica Schaller (Zentrum für Molekulare Neurobiologie, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany). LR11 cDNA was amplified using AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase Supermix (Invitrogen), a sense primer with an XbaI site (5'-ATA TTC TAG AAG CGC TGC CCT GCA GCC CGC GA-3'), and an antisense primer introducing an XhoI site (5'-GAA GCC GAG AGG CTA TCA CCA TGG GGA-3'). The resulting PCR products were cut using the appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated into modified pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) plasmid that contained the LR11 signal peptide followed by the LR11 propeptide and V5 tag. To generate LR11 mutants, N-terminal V5-tagged LR11 was used as a template and QuikChange XL Site-directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was employed. For LR11 S2167A, the sense primer was 5'-GAA GCC CGG GAG GCT GCA GCC CAG TAT CAC CGC TTT CGC CAA CAG-3' and the antisense was 5'-CTG TGG GCG AAG GGC GTG AAG CTT GCC TGC AGC GCC CAG TGC TTC-3'. For LR11 S2206A, the sense primer was 5'-TTG ATT TGC AGA TGA CTT GCC CAT GGT GAT AGC CTG-3' and the antisense was 5'-GAG GCT GTC ACC ATG GGG ACG TCA TCT GCA AAT CCA GTT ATC ATA-3'. All constructs were verified by restriction digest and sequencing.

Cell Culture and Transfection—Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Cellgro/Medi-tech Inc., Herndon, VA). Equivalent amounts of cells were plated and transfected with the indicated constructs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

ROCK2 ON-TARGET plus SMART pool (Dharmacon) and ON-TARGET Non-targeting Pool siRNA (Dharmacon) were transfected using DharmaFECT1 siRNA Transfection Reagent (Dharmacon) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Media Conditioning, Cell Lysis, and Immunoblotting—Media was conditioned for 24 h, and protein precipitation was performed by adding 4:1 ratio of methanol to chloroform to conditioned media (CM). CM was vortexed and spun at 15,000 × g for 2 min. Aqueous layer was removed, 1:1 methanol added, and spun as before. Protein pellet was air dried and solubilized in 2X Laemmli sample buffer and equivalent amounts of sample were loaded for immunoblot analysis.

Cells were lysed as previously described (4) in PBS plus protease inhibitor mixture (PIC) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), Halt phosphatase inhibitor mixture (Pierce), and lysis buffer containing 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 150 mM sodium chloride, and 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4 (PBS + PIC + Halt + lysis buffer). Post-mortem human frontal cortex brain tissue was provided by the Emory University Brain Bank. Tissue was homogenized (Dounce homogenizer) in the PIC + Halt + lysis buffer described above and subjected to a 1000 × g spin to remove nuclei and debris. Cleared lysate was used for co-immunoprecipitations described below.

Immunoblotting was performed as previously described (4). To load equivalent amounts of soluble lysate per sample (Fig. 5), protein concentration was determined by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method (Pierce). Images were captured using an Odyssey Image Station (LiCor, Lincoln, NE), and band intensities were quantified using Scion Image. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t test for independent samples.

Co-immunoprecipitations—For HEK293 cell co-immunoprecipitations (IP), cells were transiently transfected (when applicable) with indicated plasmids. After 48 h, cells were lysed as described above and 5% of the lysate was removed for later use as “lysates” in immunoblots. The remaining cell lysate was cleared with mouse immunoglobulin plus protein A-Sepharose beads (Invitrogen), incubated for 30 min at 4 °C, and IPs were then performed according to standard protocols. For human brain tissue, lysates for LR11 antisera IPs were pre-cleared with pre-immune sera plus beads. Additionally, control IPs were performed using beads alone and pre-immune sera to demonstrate specificity of LR11 antisera.

In Vitro and in Vivo Labeling with [32P]Orthophosphate—For in vitro labeling, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with indicated plasmids, and IPs were performed as described above. IPs were washed four times in PIC + lysis buffer and washed once in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA). IPs were suspended in kinase buffer plus cold 100 μM ATP and 1 μCi
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In Vivo Phosphorylation of LR11—Because of low endogenous expression of LR11 in cultured human cells, we overexpressed N-terminal V5-tagged LR11 to facilitate immunoprecipitation (IP). To determine whether LR11 is phosphorylated in vivo, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmid expressing N-terminal V5-tagged LR11 or empty vector (pcDNA3.1) and incubated with $^{32}$P$_2$ orthophosphate for 2 h in phosphate-free medium to label the intracellular ATP pool and phosphoproteins. IP of LR11 using anti-V5 antibody followed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography revealed covalent incorporation of phosphate into two closely spaced bands of $\sim 250$ kDa (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analysis for V5-LR11 revealed an identical pattern and electrophoretic mobility, consistent with the $^{32}$P$_2$-labeled bands being V5-LR11. That LR11 migrated in SDS-PAGE as a doublet has been previously attributed to differences in N-glycosylation (23). Lack of $^{32}$P$_2$-labeled and LR11-specific bands in controls confirms the specificity of the IP. Calf-intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) treatment was employed to verify the incorporation of radio-
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FIGURE 1. LR11 is phosphorylated in vivo. A, HEK293 cells transfected with empty vector (Vector ctrl) or V5-LR11 were metabolically labeled with \(^{32}P\)orthophosphate for 2 h, as described under "Experimental Procedures." IPs were performed with V5 antibody and subjected to SDS-PAGE, autoradiography, and immunoblot. The incorporation of \(^{32}P\) into bands at \(-250\) kDa that co-migrate with V5-LR11 immunoreactivity in subsequent immunoblots is consistent with V5-LR11 being a phosphoprotein. The absence of LR11 bands in Vector ctrl lane indicates specificity of V5 IP. B, HEK293 cells expressing V5-LR11 were labeled as above and IPs were performed as described under "Experimental Procedures." Before SDS-PAGE, immunoprecipitates were incubated with calf-intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) or without (Mock) for 1 h at 37 °C. Autoradiography reveals loss of V5-LR11 phosphorylation in CIP-treated samples, and immunoblots indicate that V5-LR11 levels in the IPs are unchanged. Data shown are representative of two independent experiments.

active phosphate in V5-LR11. IPs prepared as in Fig. 1A were incubated with or without CIP prior to SDS-PAGE, and autoradiography revealed the loss of labeled bands in the CIP-treated sample (Fig. 1B). Hence, these results confirm that V5-LR11 is phosphorylated when expressed in HEK293 cells.

Identification and Validation of LR11-interacting Proteins by Mass Spectrometry—To identify potential kinases acting on LR11 we tested for protein-binding partners using co-IP (Fig. 2A). HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with empty vector or plasmid expressing V5-LR11 and IPs were performed with V5 antibody. IPs were resolved by SDS-PAGE, each lane was cut into six pieces, and proteins were digested with trypsin. Peptides were independently analyzed by LC-MS/MS, and MS/MS spectra were collected and searched against a concatenated target-decoy database. Our LC-MS/MS data identified 2,462 peptides clustered in 305 protein groups in LR11 IPs and 1662 peptides clustered in 238 groups for control IPs. After V5-LR11, the most abundant protein in our V5-LR11 IPs was ROCK2, as quantified by spectral counts (Fig. 2, B and C). ROCK2 scored highest in total peptides (22), total spectral counts (24), and was in the top three candidates in percent protein coverage for potential LR11 interacting partners. Moreover, ROCK2 was the only kinase identified in LR11 IPs. Additional candidate LR11 interacting partners are listed in (Fig. 2C), but these interactions have not been biochemically validated using other methods. A list of all identified peptides and spectral counts in control and LR11 IPs is provided in supplemental Tables S1 and S2, respectively.

ROCK2 is a serine/threonine kinase that is a downstream effector of the Rho family of small GTPases. The Rho-ROCK pathway is involved in multiple aspects of neuronal function including neurite outgrowth and retraction, and it has recently become an attractive target for drug development due to its role in spinal cord injuries, stroke, and Alzheimer disease (reviewed in Refs. 24, 25). ROCK exists as two isoforms, ROCK1 and ROCK2, but to date their functional differences remain largely uncharacterized. There is 65% similarity in their amino acid sequences overall and 92% identity in their kinase domains (residues 92–354 of ROCK2) (26). We found 21 peptides unique to ROCK2 in our LC-MS/MS analyses of LR11 IPs, but no unique peptides were identified for ROCK1. Notably, ROCK1 is predominantly expressed in non-neuronal tissues, whereas ROCK2 is preferentially expressed in brain (26). Like LR11, ROCK2 is highly expressed in pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus and Purkinje cells of the cerebellum (4, 27).

To validate the putative interaction between LR11 and ROCK2 identified by LC-MS/MS, we performed reciprocal co-IP experiments in HEK293 cells expressing V5-LR11 and endogenous ROCK2 (Fig. 3, A and B). V5 IPs were enriched with ROCK2 immunoreactivity while control IPs showed no detectable ROCK2 (Fig. 3A). Conversely, V5-LR11 was co-immunoprecipitated with endogenous ROCK2 (Fig. 3B). To confirm the physiological relevance and bona fide interactions between LR11 and ROCK2 in brain, we performed co-IP experiments using post-mortem human brain tissue from the Emory University Alzheimer Disease Research Center and NINDS Neuroscience Core Facilities Brain Bank. While low endogenous expression in HEK293 cells required LR11 overexpression, interaction between endogenous proteins was identified by co-IP from human frontal cortex (Fig. 3, C and D). ROCK2 was observed in IPs using LR11 anti-sera, and LR11 was found in IPs using antibodies to ROCK2. Control co-IPs using beads alone or pre-immune sera failed to enrich either LR11 or ROCK2. These findings were replicated in three independent postmortem cases and add strong support to the conclusion that LR11 and ROCK2 form a stable complex in human cells and tissues.

Inhibition of Rho Kinase Reduces LR11 Phosphorylation in Vivo—The results of the LR11 phosphorylation and LR11 - ROCK2 interaction studies suggest that ROCK2 may phosphorylate LR11. To more directly test this hypothesis, we used pharmacological inhibitors of the kinase activity of ROCK2 and determined their effects on LR11 phosphorylation in cells. Several Rho kinase inhibitors have been used to study ROCK2 substrates, including myosin light chain (for review see Ref. 28). We chose Y-27632, a reversible Rho kinase inhibitor that offers higher specificity than Y-27632, another widely used ROCK inhibitor (29, 30). Often to test whether a kinase can directly phosphorylate a...
potential substrate, full length recombimant proteins are generated and purified from bacteria and subjected to in vitro kinase assay. However, bacterial expression of large transmembrane proteins, like LR11, is quite challenging due to their hydrophobicity and structure, and previous attempts by our lab to generate full-length LR11 in bacteria have failed. To circumvent this issue, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmid directing expression of V5-LR11 and IPs were performed using the V5 antibody. IPs were washed in kinase buffer and resuspended in kinase buffer containing cold ATP, [32P]orthophosphate, and DMSO (mock) or 10 μM RKI. IPs were incubated at 30 °C for 30 min, and then subjected to SDS-PAGE, autoradiography, and immunoblot analyses. Autoradiography revealed covalent incorporation of phosphate into a band of ~250 kDa in the mock-treated IP, but no band was observed in the RKI treated sample (Fig. 4A). Immunoblot analysis indicated the same relative intensities of LR11 and ROCK2 in all IP samples. Although the LC-MS/MS analysis described above identifies ROCK2 as the only kinase observed in V5-LR11 IPs, we cannot exclude the possibility that other kinases are present. The absence of phosphoproteins in the RKI treated sample suggests that V5-LR11 is a substrate for ROCK2, therefore we sought to test this hypothesis in vivo. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmid expressing V5-LR11, and cells were metabolically labeled with [32P]orthophosphate for 2 h in the presence or absence of 50 μM RKI. IP was performed using V5 antibody, followed by SDS-PAGE, autoradiography, immunoblot analysis, and quantification (normalized to the amount of LR11). The results revealed ~42% reduction (p = 0.002) in LR11 phosphorylation in RKI treated samples compared with untreated controls (Fig. 4, B and C). Thus, LR11 phosphorylation is dependent upon ROCK2 activity, and because there was not complete loss of LR11 phosphorylation, other kinases may also be involved in LR11 phosphorylation in vivo and/or RKI inhibition was incomplete.

ROCK2 Influences LR11 Ectodomain Shedding—Protein-protein interactions are physiologically relevant when modification of one interacting protein occurs in parallel with a change in function of its partner. LR11 ectodomain shedding
is stimulated by receptor-ligand interaction and blockade of LR11 shedding affects cell proliferation (23). Therefore, we investigated effects of ROCK2 depletion on LR11 ectodomain cleavage using siRNA techniques. HEK293 cells were transfected with ROCK2 targeted or control (non-targeting) siRNA smart pools and cells were collected after 96 h to assess the efficiency of knockdown. As seen in Fig. 5, A and B, ROCK2 was reduced to ~41% of controls. The incomplete depletion of ROCK2 can be at least partially explained by the transfection efficiency of HEK293 cells, which in our hands is ~60% under these conditions.

To assess any changes in LR11 ectodomain shedding resulting from ROCK2 knockdown, media were conditioned for 24 h beginning 72 h after transfection with ROCK2 siRNA smart pools. Immunoblotting was performed on conditioned media and corresponding cell lysates to determine the levels of shed ectodomain and cell associated LR11, respectively. LR11 ectodomain shedding decreased to ~56% of controls ($p = 0.0006$) while cellular LR11 increased ~33% ($p = 0.0002$) in cells depleted of ROCK2 (Fig. 5, A and B). In contrast, no changes in APP secreted products were observed. Because APP ectodomain release is mediated primarily by metalloproteinase cleavage of APP at the cell surface, we infer that general ectodomain shedding of receptors is not affected by the depletion of ROCK2, and there is specificity to the reduced ectodomain cleavage of LR11 upon ROCK2 knockdown.

The increase in cell-associated LR11 protein might be predicted in cells in which LR11 ectodomain shedding was decreased but other explanations are possible. To determine if changes in ROCK2 expression alter LR11 gene expression, total RNA was harvested in parallel from control and ROCK2 siRNA-transfected cells, and LR11 mRNA levels were determined using semi-quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR). LR11 mRNA levels were unchanged between ROCK2 knockdown and control samples (Fig. 5C). Because no change in the level of LR11 mRNA was observed it is more likely that the increase in protein level seen in ROCK2-depleted cells results from an increase in the protein stability or half-life. This interpretation is also consistent with the decrease in LR11 ectodomain shedding in ROCK2-depleted cells.
Mutagenesis of LR11 Ser-2206 Reduces LR11 Ectodomain Shedding—Based on the results of the Rho kinase drug inhibition and ROCK2 siRNA knockdown experiments we hypothesized that ROCK2 phosphorylation of LR11 enhances LR11 shedding. To investigate this hypothesis, we sought to identify LR11 phosphorylation sites by mass spectrometry. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmid directing expression of V5-LR11 and IPs were performed with V5 antibody. IPs were resolved by SDS-PAGE and a single gel piece containing proteins was excised for trypsin digestion. Additionally, parallel V5-LR11 IPs were in-solution digested with trypsin. To enrich for LR11 phosphopeptides, we employed immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC) incorporating Fe³⁺ ion followed by LC-MS/MS as previously described (31). Calcium phosphate precipitation (CPP) was also performed as an alternative to enrich for phosphopeptides (32). These strategies failed to identify LR11 phosphopeptides, however complete sequence coverage of LR11 was not achieved. Specifically, peptides containing amino acids 2180–2213 (Fig. 6A), in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail, were not observed. Notably, C-terminal peptides are under-represented in complex proteomic samples and only recently have C terminus-centric techniques been reported (33). To circumvent these issues, the Group-based Prediction System (GPS) version 2.1 software, with a modified version of Group-based Phosphorylation Scoring algorithm, was used to predict potential Rho kinase phosphorylation sites in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail (34, 35). GPS calculated that serine (Ser)-2167 and Ser-2206 were the most likely candidates for Rho kinase activity. Therefore, LR11 mutants S2167A and S2206A were generated by site-directed mutagenesis, substituting alanine for Ser-2167 and Ser-2206, respectively. To evaluate the effects of these mutations on LR11 ectodomain shedding, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with empty vector, V5-LR11, V5-LR11S2167A, or V5-LR11S2206A and media were conditioned for 24 h beginning 24 h after transfection. Conditioned media samples and corresponding cell lysates were subjected to immunoblot analyses to determine the levels of shed ectodomain and cell associated LR11, respectively. Mutagenesis of Ser-2167 had no observable impact on LR11 ectodomain shedding, but mutation of Ser-2206 resulted in a 46% reduction (p = 0.008) of shedding as compared with wild-type LR11 (Fig. 6, B and C). Although the LR11S2206A phenotype mimicked the reduction of LR11 shedding that was observed under ROCK2 knockdown conditions (Fig. 5, A and B), LR11S2206A did not display an increase of cell associated LR11. These results suggest that Ser-2206, and possibly phosphorylation of Ser-2206, is necessary for LR11 shedding but may not impact LR11 half-life or stability. To address whether mutagenesis of Ser-2206 affected LR11 interaction with or phosphorylation by ROCK2, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with empty vector, V5-LR11, or V5-LR11S2206A, and IPs were performed using V5 antibody. V5 IPs from empty vector, V5-LR11, and V5-LR11S2206A transfected cells were incubated with kinase buffer containing cold ATP and [³²P]orthophosphate for 30 min at 30 °C and subjected to SDS-PAGE, autoradiography, and immunoblot analyses. Autoradiography revealed decreased phosphoryla-
tion of V5-LR11S2206A, compared with V5-LR11, and immuno blotting indicated that comparable amounts of LR11 and ROCK2 were present in the IPs (Fig. 6D). These data sup port the hypothesis that ROCK2 phosphorylates LR11 Ser 2206 and increases LR11 ectodomain shedding. Moreover, these results suggest a means of uncoupling the regulation of domain shedding from that of LR11 protein half-life that may provide important insights in future studies of LR11 biology.

**Mutagenesis of LR11 Ser-2206 impacts LR11-mediated Aβ Reduction**—LR11 interacts with APP and when overexpressed, LR11 consistently reduces Aβ secretion (4, 11, 36). However, which of the many LR11 domains are important for these effects in human cells remains unclear. To assess whether mutagenesis of LR11 Ser-2206 influences LR11 mediated Aβ reduction, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with empty vector, V5-LR11, or V5-LR11S2206A, and media were conditioned for 48 h beginning 24 h after transfection. Endogenous full length APP and -secretase cleaved secreted APP (APPs) were analyzed by immunoblotting cell extract and conditioned media, respectively, while secreted Aβ1–40 was detected by sandwich ELISA. There were no differences in the levels of full length, cell-associated APP or APPs in the conditioned media after expression of V5-LR11 or V5-LR11S2206A, as compared with empty vector control (Fig. 7A). However, Aβ1–40 levels were reduced by ~44% (p < 0.0001) in conditioned media from cells transfected with V5-LR11 and reduced by only ~28% (p = 0.0004 compared with empty vector, p = 0.0043 compared with V5-LR11) in V5-LR11S2206A samples (Fig. 7B). Because LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ secretion was significantly hampered by mutagenesis of Ser-2206, we hypothesize that phosphorylation of LR11, most likely by ROCK2, enhances LR11 modulation of APP processing in a non-amyloidogenic manner.
LR11 is a multifunctional low-density lipoprotein receptor that influences AD susceptibility. While LR11 has multiple cell biological activities that may be relevant to AD pathogenesis, we hypothesize that LR11 is an endosomal chaperone that increases APP traffic in a non-amyloidogenic pathway and helps retard amyloid accumulation (3, 4, 6, 11–13). Therefore, it is important to better understand the functional motifs of LR11 and the proteins that regulate LR11 activity. This study provides direct demonstration that LR11 is phosphorylated in vivo and presents compelling evidence that LR11 interacts with the serine/threonine kinase, ROCK2, in human brain. Moreover, we observed a reduction in LR11 phosphorylation in vivo following drug inhibition of ROCK2, suggesting that LR11 is a substrate of ROCK2. Targeted knockdown of ROCK2 with siRNA resulted in decreased LR11 ectodomain shedding, thus implicating ROCK2 in the mechanism(s) that promote shedding of LR11. Site-directed mutagenesis of potential ROCK2 phosphorylation sites in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail revealed that Ser-2206 is necessary for LR11-mediated Aβ reduction. Based on these observations, we conclude that phosphorylation of LR11 by ROCK2 exerts physiologically relevant influences on LR11 regulation of APP processing.

The current study suggests that ROCK2 is stably complexed with and phosphorylates LR11. ROCK2 is a cytosolic serine/threonine kinase that translocates to membranes following stimulation of RhoA (37, 38). If ROCK2 binds directly to LR11, the most plausible site of interaction and phosphorylation is LR11’s cytoplasmic tail. Investigation of the 54 amino acid long intracellular tail of LR11 reveals 12 potential phosphorylation sites, including 9 serine, 2 threonine, and 1 tyrosine residues, however it is also possible that the LR11 ectodomain, like APP, harbors sites of phosphorylation (39). GPS version 2.1 software predicted two potential ROCK2 phosphorylation sites in the LR11 intracellular tail at Ser-2167 and Ser-2206 (34, 35). The consensus amino acid sequence for Rho kinase substrates is considered to be R/XXS/T or RXS/T (40–52), and while LR11 Ser-2167 falls within a consensus motif, Ser-2206 does not (Fig. 6A). Notably, several Rho kinase substrates are phosphorylated at non-consensus motifs, including Calponin, Tau, and the ERM family (ezrin/radixin/moesin) (40, 43, 48).

How does ROCK2 modulate LR11 shedding? Ectodomain shedding is influenced by intracellular protein-protein interactions between the substrate and signaling molecules (reviewed in Ref. 53), and it is hypothesized that kinases induce conformational change in the substrate to facilitate cleavage. Furthermore, metalloproteases, like TACE (TNFα-converting enzyme/ADAM 17), are activated by phosphorylation of their intracellular tail (54). Therefore, ROCK2 may promote LR11 shedding by phosphorylation of LR11 cytoplasmic tail, possibly at Ser-2206, and/or by activating the sheddase(s) responsible for LR11 cleavage. The extracellular portion of LR11 includes a cluster of LDL receptor type A repeats, which binds ApoE with high affinity (55), and the VPS10p homology domain that binds known ligands, including the neuroepitope head activator (HA) (23). Phorbol esters and HA stimulate release of the LR11 ectodomain possibly mediated by TACE, but the functional implications of LR11 shedding are unclear (23). It is hypothesized that shed LR11 ectodomain may complex with ligands to facilitate the availability of extracellular signaling factors. Following ectodomain release, the remaining intracellular tail of LR11 undergoes intramembranous cleavage by the y-secretase complex (56), allowing nuclear translocation of the LR11 intracellular domain, where it may activate gene transcription (57).

Previous reports have described a link between ROCK and APP processing. Drug inhibition of ROCK with Y-27632 lowered brain levels of pathogenic Aβ in a transgenic mouse model of AD and reduced levels of Aβ in neuronal cell lines (58). Although Y-27632 is selective for ROCKs, it does not distinguish between ROCK1 and ROCK2. In cell models, overexpression of a constitutively active ROCK1 mutant reduced α-secretase cleavage of APP, whereas a dominant-negative ROCK1 mutant elevated levels of secreted APPα (59).

We observed no affect on endogenous secreted APPα following targeted knockdown of ROCK2 with siRNA (Fig. 5A), but...
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experiments directly testing effects of ROCK2 on amyloidogenic processing of APP have not been reported. Although the precise molecular mechanisms underlying ROCK regulation of Aβ production are not fully understood, this pathway remains an exciting avenue for rational design of AD therapeutics.

Interestingly, ROCK2 depletion by siRNA reduces LR11 shedding and simultaneously increases the level of cellular therapeutics.

The ectodomain and attenuated LR11-mediated Aβ mutagenesis of LR11 Ser-2206 decreased shedding of LR11 to amyloidogenic processing of APP is unknown, effects on APP processing. Although the contribution of LR11 shedding to non-amyloidogenic compartments. Depleting cells of ROCK2 should allow us to directly test models of LR11- and its modulation of APP processing. Future studies using site-specific LR11 mutants that ablate interaction with ROCK2 should allow us to directly test models of LR11-ROCK2 interactions and their impact on LR11 phosphorylation, shedding, and APP processing.
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