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A Cross Talk between Neuronal Urokinase-type Plasminogen Activator (uPA) and Astrocytic uPA Receptor (uPAR) Promotes Astrocytic Activation and Synaptic Recovery in the Ischemic Brain
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Urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) is a serine proteinase that, upon binding to its receptor (uPAR), catalyzes the conversion of plasminogen into plasmin on the cell surface. Our previous studies indicate that uPA and uPAR expression increase in the ischemic brain during the recovery phase from an acute ischemic injury and that uPA binding to uPAR promotes neurological recovery after an acute ischemic stroke. Here, we used male mice genetically deficient on either uPA (uPA−/−) or uPAR (uPAR−/−) or with a four-amino acid substitution into the growth factor domain of uPA that abrogates its binding to uPAR (PlatGFDhu/GFDhu) to investigate the mechanism whereby uPA promotes neurorepair in the ischemic brain. We found that neurons release uPA and astrocytes recruit uPAR to their plasma membrane during the recovery phase from a hypoxic injury and that binding of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR induces astrocytic activation by a mechanism that does not require plasmin generation, but instead is mediated by extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2)-regulated phosphorylation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). We report that uPA/uPAR binding is necessary and sufficient to induce astrocytic activation in the ischemic brain and that astrocytes activated by neuronal uPA promote synaptic recovery in neurons that have suffered an acute hypoxic injury via a mechanism mediated by astrocytic thrombospondin-1 (TSP1) and synaptic low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 (LRP1). In summary, we show that uPA/uPAR-induced astrocytic activation mediates a cross talk between astrocytes and injured neurons that promotes synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain.
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Introduction

The intimate contact between neurons and astrocytes in the CNS (Oberheim et al., 2012) has led to the use of the term “tripartite synapse” (Perea et al., 2009) to conceptualize the fact that astrocytes can regulate synaptic function in response to changes in the neuronal microenvironment (Bernardinelli et al., 2014). Accordingly, astrocytes can sense variations in synaptic activity (Panatier...
that uPA/uPAR-activated astrocytes promote synaptic recovery of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR induces astrocytic activation phase from a hypoxic injury, neurons release uPA and astrocytes of plasminogen into plasmin (plasmin to generate an active form that catalyzes the conversion of plasminogen to fibrinogen), during CNS development, uPA and uPAR are found abundantly in neurons and in a subpopulation of oligodendrocytes (Sumi et al., 1992; Dent et al., 1993). In contrast, in the adult brain, uPAR expression is limited to the distal segment of some growth cones and dendrites (Merino et al., 2017). Interestingly, during the recovery phase from an ischemic injury, the concentration of uPA increases in ischemic tissue (Wu et al., 2014) and uPAR gene expression is induced in activated astrocytes (Zamanian et al., 2012). The functional relevance of these findings is underscored by the observation that binding of either recombinant or endogenous uPA to uPAR improves neurological outcome in experimental models of ischemic stroke (Wu et al., 2014; Merino et al., 2017).

Thrombospondins (TSPs) are large extracellular proteins that mediate cell–matrix and cell–cell interactions (Adams and Lawler, 2011). There are five TSPs and it has been shown that two of them, TSP1 and TSP2, are secreted by activated astrocytes and promote synaptogenesis by their interaction with an as yet unknown receptor (Christopherson et al., 2005). In addition, it has been shown that activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription-3 (STAT3) preserves the integrity of the synapse and regulates the expression of astrocytic TSP1 and the formation of filopodia in reactive astrocytes (Tyzack et al., 2014). The low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 (LRP1) is a member of the LDL receptor family assembled by a 515 kDa heavy chain noncovalently bound to an 85 kDa chain containing a transmembrane and a cytoplasmic domains (Herz and Strickland, 2001). LRP1 interacts with TSP1 (Resovi et al., 2014) and plays a pivotal role maintaining the integrity of the synapse (Liu et al., 2016; Nakajima et al., 2013).

In the work presented here, we show that, during the recovery phase from a hypoxic injury, neurons release uPA and astrocytes recruit uPAR to their plasma membrane. We report that binding of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR induces astrocytic activation by a mechanism that does not require plasmin generation, but instead involves ERK1/2-mediated STAT3 activation. We found that uPA/uPAR-activated astrocytes promote synaptic recovery in neurons that have suffered a hypoxic injury by a mechanism mediated by astrocytic TSP1 and synaptic LRP1. In summary, the results presented here unveil a novel role for uPA–uPAR binding in the CNS as a mediator of a neuron–astrocyte cross talk that promotes synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain.

Materials and Methods

Animals and reagents. Animal strains were 8- to 12-week-old C57BL/6J male uPA-deficient (uPA−/−), uPA-deficient (uPA−/−/−), and Plasminogen-deficient (GFDhu/GFDhu) mice (with a four-amino acid substitution into the growth factor domain of uPA that abrogates its binding to uPAR while preserving other functions of the protease and its receptor; Connolly et al., 2010) and their wild-type (WT) littermate controls (a generous gift from Dr. Thomas H. Bugge. Oral and Pharyngeal Cancer Branch, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Emory University, Atlanta, GA. Recombinant murine uPA (ruPA), uPA’s N terminal fragment (ATF), and an ELISA kit to quantify uPA were purchased from Molecular Innovations. Other materials were the propidium iodide uptake kit (AnaSpec), the ERK1/2 inhibitor SL327 (Tocris Bioscience), and antibodies against rabbit GFAP (Dako), uPAR (R&D Systems), bassoon (Abcam), PSD-95, STAT3 phosphorylated at Tyr 705 (pSTAT3) and ERK1/2 phosphorylated at Thr202/Tyr204 and total ERK1/2 (Cell Signalling Technology), TSP1 A6.1 monoclonal antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and β actin (Sigma-Aldrich). The nuclear marker Hoechst 33342 was from Invitrogen and phallolidin was from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The receptor-associated protein (RAP) and monoclonal antibodies that recognize the intracellular domain of LRP1 were a kind gift from Dr. Dudley K. Strickland (University of Maryland, College Park, MD).

Astrocytic and neuronal cultures and determination of neuronal survival. WT and uPAR−/− astrocytes and WT and uPAR−/−/− cerebral cortical neurons were cultured, as described previously, from 1-d-old and embryonic day 16 (E16)–E18 mice, respectively (Echeverry et al., 2010). Briefly, the cerebral cortex was dissected, transferred into Hank’s balanced salt solution containing 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 10 µM HEPEs, and incubated in trypsin containing 0.02% DNAse at 37°C for 15 min. Tissue was triturated and the supernatant was resuspended in GS21-supplemented Neurobasal medium containing 2 mM-glutamine and plated onto 0.1 mg/ml poly-L-lysine-coated wells. For astrocytes, the triturated tissue was resuspended in 10% FBS DMEM and filtered through a 70 µm pore membrane. Then cells were plated onto poly-L-lysine-coated T75 flasks. Ten to 14 d later, astrocytes were plated and used for experiments upon confluency 7–14 d thereafter. To quantify neuronal survival, WT neurons were kept under physiological conditions or exposed during 5 min to either oxygen and glucose deprivation (OGD: <0.1% oxygen and no glucose) in an anaerobic chamber (Don Whitley Scientific) or 50 µm glutamate. The uptake of propidium iodide was studied in each experimental group following the manufacturer’s instructions. Images were taken at 40× magnification and propidium iodide-positive neurons per field were identified visually and counted with the ImageJ analysis system. Results are given as a percentage of propidium iodide-positive neurons after exposure to OGD in relation to the number of propidium iodide-positive neurons in cultures maintained under physiological conditions.

Preparation of synaptoneurosomes. Synapse-enriched fractions containing the presynaptic terminal and the attached postsynaptic membrane (synaptoneurosomes) were prepared according to a modification of published protocols (Rao and Steward, 1991; An et al., 2008; Weingarten et al., 2014; Wilhelm et al., 2014) from either neuron–astrocyte co-cultures or the cerebral cortex of WT mice. Tissue was homogenized and centrifuged at 2000 × g for 5 min. Pellets were discarded and the supernatants centrifuged in an SS-20 fixed angle rotor at 32,000 × g for 10 min to obtain pellet 2. Pellets were resuspended and layered on top of a 3%, 9%, and 13% discontinuous Ficoll (Thermo Fisher Scientific) gradient and centrifuged at 45,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C in a TLS 55 rotor using a Beckman Optima TLX tabletop ultracentrifuge. Synaptoneurosomes were collected from the 5%/9% and 9%/13% interfaces.
Animal model of cerebral ischemia. Transient occlusion of the middle cerebral artery (tMCAO) was induced in WT, uPA−/−, uPAR−/−, and PlatGFP/uPAR−/− mice with a 6–0 silk suture advanced from the external carotid artery (ECA) into the internal carotid artery until the origin of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) as described previously (Wu et al., 2012). Briefly, a nylon monofilament (6–0; Ethicon) coated with silicone was introduced through the ECA and advanced to the origin of the MCA. The suture was withdrawn after 30 min of cerebral ischemia. Cerebral perfusion (CP) in the distribution of the MCA was monitored before and throughout the surgical procedure and after reperfusion with a laser Doppler (Perimed) and only animals with a >80% decrease in CP after occlusion and complete recovery after suture withdrawal were included in this study. The rectal and masseter muscle temperatures were controlled at 37°C with a homoeothermic blanket. Blood pressure was monitored throughout the surgical procedure with an ITC 229 System and kept within the 120–125/70–75 mmHg range.

Western blot analysis. For the in vitro experiments, extracts were prepared from WT and uPAR−/− astrocytes kept under normoxic conditions or exposed to 1 h of OGD or incubated for 0–3 h with 5 nM uPA alone or in the presence of 10 μM SL327 or from synaptoneurosomes prepared from either isolated neuronal cultures or from WT neurons maintained in the presence of WT astrocytes. For the in vivo experiments, extracts were prepared from the ipsilateral (ischemic) and contralateral (nonischemic) hemispheres of WT, uPAR−/−, and uPA−/− mice 4 d after 30 min of tMCAO and intravenous treatment with 0.1 mg/kg ruPA or a comparable volume of saline solution, from the ischemic tissue of WT ant uPAR−/− mice immediately after 30 min of tMCAO, or from synaptoneurosomes isolated from the cerebral cortex of WT mice under nonischemic conditions. Protein concentration was quantified in the astrocytic and brain extracts using the BCA assay and 15 μg of protein were loaded per sample, separated in a 4–20% precast linear gradient polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad), transferred to a PVDF membrane by semidry transfer system, blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline, pH 8.0, with 0.1% Tween 20 buffer, and immunoblotted with an antibodies against GFAP (1:40000), uPAR (1:1000), actin (1:5000), pERK 1/2 (1:1000), and total ERK 1/2 (1:1000), PSD-95 (1:1000), synaptophysin (1:1000), the intracellular domain of LRP1 (1:1000), and TSP1 (1:1000). Membranes were developed in a Kodak M35A X-OMAT processor, films were scanned, and densitometry analysis was performed in each band using the UN-SCAN-IT gel software (version 6.1). All values were normalized to actin with exception of phosphorylated ERK1/2, which was normalized to total ERK1/2. Data were further normalized to the recovery phase with either 4 μg/ml of diethiothreitol-containing sample buffer was added to the columns, centrifuged for 2 min at 1000 × g, and immunoblotted with anti-uPAR antibodies. Quantification of the density of each band was performed as described above.

Immunocytochemistry. To study the effect of hypoxia and uPA on astrocytic activation and STAT3 phosphorylation in vitro, WT astrocytes were kept under normoxic conditions, exposed to 1 h of OGD conditions, or incubated for 1 h with 5 nM uPA alone or in the presence of 10 μM SL327. Cells were fixed with 100% methanol for 10 min at −20°C, washed 3 times in TBS, and incubated for 30 min in a blocking solution containing 1 ml of 0.02% glycine, 20 μl/ml casein, and 5 μl/ml donkey serum. Then samples were kept overnight on a solution containing anti-GFAP (1:2000) and either anti-uPAR (1:100) or anti-pSTAT3 antibodies (1:100). Sections were mounted on slides with Immunoprep (Immunologic) and incubated with a secondary goat Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500) and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:500). Phalloidin (1:1000) and Hoechst (1:10,000) were added for 20 min. Images were taken in an Olympus microscope BX51 with a 40× and 100× magnification for cell and filopodia quantification, respectively. Counts were performed manually with ImageJ software.

To study the effect of cerebral ischemia on astrocytic activation and STAT3 phosphorylation WT, uPAR−/− and uPA−/− mice underwent 30 min of tMCAO. A subgroup of WT, uPAR−/−, and uPA−/− animals were treated intravenously immediately after tMCAO with either 0.1 mg/kg ruPA or a comparable volume of saline solution. To study astrocytic activation and STAT3 phosphorylation, brains were harvested 4 or 2 d later, respectively. In each case, 20 μm brains sections were incubated with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 min, washed, and blocked using 3% BSA in PBS, immunoreacted overnight with anti-GFAP (1:2000) antibodies alone or in combination with anti-pSTAT3 antibodies (1:500), washed with PBS, and incubated with secondary anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500) and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:500) antibodies for 1 h at room temperature and Hoechst (1:10,000) for 10 min. To quantify the percentage of GFAP- and pSTAT3-positive astrocytes in the ischemic tissue, images were taken with identical exposures times for each section with an Olympus microscopy BX51 at bregma −0.34 mm (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). Then, the number of GFAP-positive and GFAP-negative Hoechst-positive cells and GFAP-immunoreactive cells positive for pSTAT3 were quantified manually in the ischemic area at a 40× magnification with the ImageJ software.

To study the effect of the intrastratal injection of uPA on astrocytic activation and STAT3 phosphorylation, WT and uPAR−/− mice were placed on a stereotactic frame, a burr hole was opened, and 0.5 μl of a 5 nM solution of either murine uPA or ATF or a comparable volume of PBS was injected for 20 min at bregma: 0.02 mm; lateral: 2.5 mm; and ventral: 3.5 mm (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). Before the intrastratal injections, a subgroup of WT mice was injected into their third ventricle with 2 μl of a 2.2 μM solution of SL327 or a comparable volume of PBS at bregma: −0.94 mm; lateral: 0 mm; ventral: 2.5 mm (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). Forty-eight hours later, 30 μm sections were cut through the striatum, fixed and treated as described above, and incubated with anti-GFAP antibodies (1:2000) alone or in combination with anti-pSTAT3 antibodies (1:100). Secondary antibodies were donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500) and donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594 (1:500). To determine the number of astrocytes immunoreactive to either GFAP or pSTAT3 antibodies in the striatum, images were taken at 40× magnification and the number of Hoechst-positive GFAP-positive and -negative cells and GFAP-positive pSTAT3-immunoreactive cells were determined manually with ImageJ. To determine the area of the striatum immunoreactive to GFAP after uPA treatment, images were taken at 4X magnification throughout the striatum and stitched with Adobe Photoshop. The area immunoreactive to GFAP was then quantified with ImageJ.

To study the effect of endogenous uPA binding to uPAR on synaptic recovery in vitro, coverslips with 16–18 d in vitro WT or uPA−/− neurons were maintained under normoxic conditions alone or in the presence of WT astrocytes or exposed to 5 min of OGD conditions and then transferred to wells containing WT or uPAR−/− astrocytes either previously exposed to 3 h of OGD (to induce their activation) or maintained under normoxic conditions. A subgroup of cocultures was incubated during the recovery phase with either 4 μg/ml anti-TSP1 antibodies or an IgG isotype control or with 125 nM RAP. Twenty-four hours later, neuronal cultures or neuron–astrocyte cocultures were fixed and incubated with antibodies against PSD-95 (1:200) and bassoon (1:200). Images were taken at 60× magnification and the number of puncta immunoreactive to PSD-95 and bassoon in 50-μm-length extensions was determined manually with ImageJ.

To study the effect of endogenous uPA–uPAR binding on synaptic recovery in vitro, WT and PlatGFP/uPAR−/− mice underwent 30 min of tMCAO or sham operation. Brains were harvested immediately after tMCAO or following 4 d of reperfusion after 30 min of tMCAO (recovery), cut into 20 μm sections, processed as described above, immunoreacted overnight with antibodies against PSD-95 (1:100) and bassoon (1:1000), and incubated with secondary anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500) and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:500) antibodies for 1 h and Hoechst (1:10000) for 10 min. Images were taken with a Fluoview FV10i confocal microscope.
Studies indicated that hypoxia not only induces the expression of uPAR, but also its recruitment to the astrocytic plasma membrane (Fig. 1 E, F). To further characterize these results, we performed an immunocytochemical analysis with phalloidin and anti-uPAR antibodies in nonpermeabilized astrocytes either kept under normoxic conditions or after 60 min of OGD. We not only confirmed that hypoxia causes a sharp increase in the expression of uPAR on the astrocytic membrane, but also that it induces the formation of filopodia (Fig. 1 Ge,H), 82.88 ± 5.8% of which exhibit uPAR immunoreactivity (Fig. 1 If; n = 150; p < 0.0001, two-tailed t test).

**Effect of uPA–uPAR binding on astrocytic activation**

Our data show that OGD induces membrane recruitment of uPAR and morphological changes indicative of astrocytic activation. To determine whether both findings are linked, we studied the expression of GFAP, a marker of astrocytic activation (Yang and Wang, 2015), in WT and uPAR^−/−^ astrocytes either kept under normoxic conditions or after 60 min of exposure to OGD conditions. Our finding that OGD increases the expression of GFAP in WT but not uPAR^−/−^ astrocytes (Fig. 2A,B) indicates that uPAR mediates hypoxia-induced astrocytic activation. Because cerebral ischemia is an effective inducer of astrocytic activation (Li et al., 2008), we then used an in vivo model of ischemic stroke to study the expression of GFAP in ischemic tissue and in a comparable area from the contralateral nonischemic hemisphere of WT, uPA^−/−^, and uPAR^−/−^ mice 4 d after tMCAO, followed by intravenous treatment with either saline solution or ruPA (n = 5 animals per experimental group). Our results show that GFAP expression increases in the ischemic tissue of WT mice, but not uPA^−/−^ or uPAR^−/−^ mice. Furthermore, we found that treatment with ruPA increases GFAP expression in uPA^−/−^ but not uPAR^−/−^ mice (Fig. 2C,D). To further confirm that the
observed increase in GFAP expression is due to astrocytic activation, we performed an immunohistochemical analysis with anti-GFAP antibodies in sections from the ischemic tissue of WT, uPA−/−/H11002, and uPAR−/−/H11002 mice (n = 5 animals per experimental group) exposed to the experimental conditions described above. Our results corroborated our initial observations that uPA binding to uPAR mediates cerebral ischemia-induced astrocytic activation (Fig. 2 E, F).

To determine whether uPA is sufficient to induce astrocytic activation and whether this effect requires the conversion of plasminogen into plasmin, we quantified the number of GFAP-positive astrocytes 48 h after the injection of a 5 nM concentration of either uPA or its ATF (devoid of proteolytic activity) or a comparable volume of PBS into the striatum of WT, uPA−/−, and uPAR−/−/H11002 mice (n = 3 animals per experimental group). Our data indicate that uPA induces astrocytic activation in WT but not uPAR−/−/H11002 mice by a mechanism that does not require plasmin generation (Fig. 3 A, B). To ensure that the effect of uPA is mediated by its binding to astrocytic uPAR, we studied the expression of GFAP in WT and uPA−/−/H11002 astrocytes incubated for

Figure 2. uPA binding to uPAR mediates cerebral ischemia-induced astrocytic activation. A, B, Representative Western blotting for uPAR and GFAP expression (A) and quantification of the mean intensity of the band (B) in WT and uPA−/−/H11002 astrocytes kept under normoxic conditions or exposed to 1 h of OGD conditions. n = 4 observations per experimental condition. Lines indicate SEM. Statistical analysis was performed with two-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak correction. C, D, Representative Western blot analysis (C) and quantification of the mean intensity of the band (D) of GFAP expression in the ischemic (I) tissue and a comparable area in the contralateral (C) nonischemic hemisphere of WT, uPAR−/−/H11002, and uPA−/−/H11002 mice 96 h after tMCAO and intravenous treatment with either saline solution or ruPA. n = 4 animals per experimental group. Lines indicate SEM. Statistical analysis was performed with two-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak correction. E, Representative micrographs of GFAP immunostaining in the ischemic area of WT, uPAR−/−/H11002, and uPA−/−/H11002 mice 96 h after tMCAO and intravenous treatment with either saline solution or ruPA. Blue is the nuclear marker Hoechst 33342. n = 5 animals per experimental condition. Magnification is 4× in a, d, g, j, and m. b, c, e, f, h, i, k, l, n, and o correspond to a 20× magnification of the area denoted by the corresponding white squares in a, d, g, j, and m. F, Mean percentage of GFAP-positive astrocytes in relation to the total number of Hoechst-positive cells examined (denoted in parenthesis for each experimental group) in the ischemic tissue of WT (n = 6667 cells), uPA−/−/H11002 (n = 5456 cells), and uPA−/−/H11002 mice (n = 5234 cells) 96 h after tMCAO. A subgroup of uPAR−/−/H11002 and uPA−/−/H11002 mice (n = 5 per strain) were treated intravenously with ruPA (n = 5748 and n = 6452 cells examined, respectively). Lines indicate SEM. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak correction.
We found that uPA induces the expression of GFAP in WT but not uPAR−/−/H11002/−/H11002/− astrocytes (Fig. 3C, D). Together with our in vivo observations, these data indicate that binding of uPA to astrocytic uPAR is necessary and sufficient to induce astrocytic activation.

**ERK1/2-regulated STAT3 phosphorylation mediates uPA-induced astrocytic activation**

Because activated astrocytes exhibit strong ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Jiang et al., 2002), we then investigated the expression of ERK1/2 phosphorylated at Thr202 and Tyr204 in WT astrocytes incubated for 0–30 min with 5 nM uPA. Our data indicate that uPA induces astrocytic ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Fig. 4A, B). To determine whether uPAR mediates ERK1/2 activation and to test the in vivo significance of our findings, we performed similar observations with brain extracts from WT and uPAR−/− mice harvested after 30 min of tMCAO or sham operation (n = 4 animals per experimental group). We found that uPAR mediates cerebral ischemia-induced ERK1/2 activation (Fig. 4C, D). Then, to investigate whether ERK1/2 mediates the effect of uPA on astrocytic activation, we studied the expression of GFAP in WT astrocytes incubated with 5 nM uPA alone or in the presence of 10 μM the ERK1/2 inhibitor SL327. We found that ERK1/2 mediates the effect of uPA on astrocytic activation in vitro (Fig. 4E, F) and in vivo (Fig. 4G–J; n = 4 animals per experimental condition; p < 0.0001; two-tailed t test).

Because phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of STAT3 is required for astrocytic activation (Herrmann et al., 2008), we
Our data indicate that uPAR mediates cerebral ischemia-induced activation in astrocytes and that this effect is abrogated by presence of SL327. Our data indicate that uPA induces STAT3 phosphorylation in astrocytes, which was investigated the expression of STAT3 phosphorylated at Tyr705 (pSTAT3) in WT astrocytes treated with 5 nM uPA alone or in the presence of SL327. We found that uPA induces STAT3 activation in astrocytes and that this effect is abrogated by ERK1/2 inhibition. To determine whether uPA on STAT3 phosphorylation in vivo, we stained WT mice stained with anti-GFAP antibodies 48 h after the intraventricular administration of either PBS (a), or SL327 (b) followed by the intrastriatal injection of 5 nM uPA. Magnification, 4×.

Figure 4. ERK1/2-regulated STAT3 phosphorylation mediates uPA-induced astrocytic activation. A: Representative Western blot analysis (A) and quantification of the mean intensity of the band (B) of pERK1/2 and total ERK1/2 expression in WT astrocytes incubated 0–30 min with 5 nM uPA. n = 3 observations per experimental condition. Lines indicate SEM. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA with Holm–Sidak correction. B: Representative Western blot analysis (C) and quantification of the mean intensity of the band (D) of pERK1/2 and total ERK1/2 expression in the ischemic tissue of WT and uPAR−/− mice subjected to 30 min of tMCAO. Controls correspond to sham-operated WT and uPAR−/− animals. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s correction. C: Representative Western blot analysis (E) and quantification of the mean intensity of the band (F) of GFAP expression in WT astrocytes incubated 3 h with 5 nM uPA alone or in the presence of the ERK1/2 inhibitor SL327. n = 3 observations per experimental group. Statistical analysis was performed with two-tailed t test. D: Representative micrograph of the striatum of WT mice stained with anti-GFAP antibodies 48 h after the intracerebroventricular (ICV) administration of either PBS (a), or SL327 (b) following the intrastriatal injection of 5 nM uPA. Magnification, 4×. E: Mean area immunoreactive to anti-GFAP antibodies in the striatum of WT mice subjected to the experimental conditions described in D. Lines indicate SEM. n = 4 animals per experimental condition. Statistical analysis was performed with two-tailed t test.

Synaptic effect of uPA-induced astrocytic activation

To determine the source of uPA that upon interaction with uPAR on the astrocytic membrane leads to astrocytic activation, we used an ELISA to measure the release of uPA in the culture medium of WT astrocytes 0–24 h after exposure to 3 h of OGD. Surprisingly, we found that OGD does not induce the release of astrocytic uPA (data not shown). In contrast, our earlier work indicates that neurons release uPA during the recovery phase from an acute hypoxic injury and that uPA binding to uPAR promotes functional recovery after an acute ischemic injury (Wu et al., 2014). It is known that synaptic repair underlies the recovery of neurological function after an ischemic stroke (Hofmeijer and van Putten, 2012). Therefore, based on these observations and on the cross talk between neurons and astrocytes in the synapse (Perea et al., 2009), we postulated that binding of uPA released from neurons to uPAR recruited to the astrocytic plasma membrane during the recovery phase from a hypoxic injury contributes to repair the synapse that has suffered a hypoxic insult.

To test this hypothesis, we first quantified the number of synapses, denoted by their immunoreactivity to PSD-95 (which delineates the postsynaptic density), and bassoon (which identifies the presynaptic compartment), in WT neurons 24 h after 0–30 min exposure to OGD. We found that 5 min was the shortest OGD time that caused a significant decrease in the number of synapses (Fig. 6A; n = 30; p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA). Furthermore, our propidium iodide uptake experiments showed that 5 min of OGD did not induce cell death (Fig. 6B; n = 28; p = 0.89, one-way ANOVA). Then, we measured the concentration of uPA in the culture medium of WT neurons 0–24 h after exposure to 5 min of OGD. Our data indicate that neurons release uPA during the recovery phase from 5 min of OGD (Fig. 6C; n = 5 observations per time point; p = 0.007, one-way ANOVA). In summary, these data show that 5 min of OGD induce both a significant decrease in the number of synapses and the release of uPA without causing cell death. Finally, to investigate whether astrocytes enter in contact with the synapse when placed in the presence of neurons, extracts from synaptoneurosomes prepared from WT neurons maintained either alone or in the presence of astrocytes were immunoblotted with antibodies against synaptophrisin (detects the axonal bouton), PSD-95, and GFAP. Our data
indicate that, in this coculture system, astrocytes enter in direct contact with the synapse (Fig. 6D).

Based on these results, we first counted the number of synapses, as described in the Materials and Methods, in WT neurons maintained under normoxic conditions alone or in the presence of WT or uPAR−/− astrocytes. We found no difference in the number of synapses between the three experimental groups (Fig. 6E, G; n = 50; p > 0.9, one-way ANOVA). To perform similar observations under hypoxic conditions, in a second group of experiments, we exposed WT cerebral cortical neurons to 5 min of OGD (to induce a drop in the number of synapses without causing cell death). Then, cells were either kept alone or transferred to wells containing WT or uPAR−/− astrocytes maintained under normoxic conditions or previously activated by exposure to 3 h of OGD. Twenty-four hours later, neurons were fixed and the number of synapses quantified. We found that, compared with neurons maintained under normoxic conditions, 5 min of OGD induced a 74.46 ± 2.59% decrease in the number of synapses (n = 50; p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA). Significantly, when neurons were transferred after 5 min of OGD to wells containing WT astrocytes maintained under normoxic conditions, there was an attenuation in the percentage of synaptic loss (67.76 ± 3.47%) that yet did not reach statistical significance when compared with neurons kept alone (n = 50; p = 0.9; one-way ANOVA. Fig. 6Fa, Fb, G). In contrast, we observed a significant attenuation in synaptic loss when neurons were transferred after 5 min of OGD to wells containing WT astrocytes previously exposed to OGD conditions (41.16 ± 3.47%; n = 50; p < 0.0001 compared with synaptic loss in neurons kept alone or transferred to wells containing astrocytes maintained under normoxic conditions, one-way ANOVA; Fig. 6Fc, G). More significantly, we failed to detect synaptic recovery when neurons were transferred to plates containing uPAR−/− astrocytes previously exposed to OGD conditions (n = 50; p = 0.8 when compared with neurons either kept alone or transferred to plates containing WT astrocytes maintained under normoxic conditions; one-way ANOVA).

To determine whether binding of uPA released from neurons to astrocytic uPAR mediates the observed effect on synaptic recovery, we performed similar observations in uPA−/− neurons recovered during 24 h from 5 min of OGD in the presence of WT astrocytes previously exposed to OGD conditions. Our data show that, in contrast to WT neurons recovered in the presence of WT astrocytes previously activated by OGD, exposure of uPA−/− neurons to wells containing OGD-activated WT astrocytes not only failed to induce synaptic recovery, but instead caused a small but significant decrease in the number of synaptic contacts (Fig. 6H, I; n = 35; p = 0.0004, one-way ANOVA). Together, these results indicate that binding of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR promotes synaptic recovery after a hypoxic injury.

To determine the in vivo significance of these observations, we quantified the number of synapses either within 1 mm of the border of the ischemic core or in a comparable area of the non-ischemic brain in WT and PlatGFP/hu/GFP/hu mice in which a four-amino acid substitution into the growth factor domain of uPA prevents its binding to uPAR (Connolly et al., 2010) immediately after 30 min of tMCAO (acute ischemia), 4 d after 30 min of tMCAO (recovery period, enough for uPA–uPAR binding to in...
duce astrocytic activation; Fig. 2 E, F), or after sham operation. Our data indicate that both strains of mice have comparable synaptic loss immediately after tMCAO (88.24 ± 3.2% and 70.28 ± 4.25% in WT and PlatGrdu/GFdu mice, respectively; n = 3 animals per group; p = 0.2; two-way ANOVA. Fig. 6 A–Jd,K). In contrast, after 4 d of recovery, the number of synapses increased again in WT (recovery from 88.24 ± 3.2% synaptic loss immediately after tMCAO to 59.07 ± 6.27% after 24 h of recovery; n = 3 animals per group; p = 0.001; two-way ANOVA), but not in PlatGrdu/GFdu mice (recovery from 70.28 ± 4.25% synaptic loss immediately after tMCAO to 77.91 ± 3.72% after 24 h of recovery; n = 3 animals per group, p = 0.8, two-way ANOVA. Fig. 6Je,Jf,K). These data indicate that binding of endogenous uPA to uPAR promotes synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain in vivo.

Astrocytic TSP1 and synaptic LRPI mediate uPA/uPAR-induced synaptic recovery

Our studies indicate that uPA–uPAR binding induces astrocytic ERK1/2 activation and ERK1/2-mediated STAT3 phosphorylation. Because STAT3 regulates the expression of astrocytic TSP1 (Tyzack et al., 2014) and because TSP1 promotes synaptogenesis (Christopherson et al., 2005), we decided to study the effect of uPA on astrocytic TSP1. Our data indicate that uPA induces the expression of astrocytic TSP1 (Fig. 7 A, B) and that treatment with TSP1-blocking antibodies abrogates the synaptic recovery observed in WT neurons exposed to WT astrocytes previously activated by OGD (Fig. 7 C, n = 45; p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA). Because LRPI binds the N-terminal domain of TSP1 (Mikhailenko et al., 1997), we studied whether LRPI mediates the protective synaptic effect of activated astrocytes. First, our immunoblottings with extracts from synaptoneurosesomes prepared from the cerebral cortex of WT mice demonstrated that LRPI is abundantly expressed in the synapse (data not shown). Then, we quantified the number of synapses in WT neurons recovered from 5 min of OGD in the presence of Rap, an inhibitor of LRPI binding to its ligands (Strickland et al., 1990) and WT astrocytes previously activated by exposure to
OGD conditions. Our data indicate that LRP1 antagonism abrogates synaptic recovery induced by exposure to activated astrocytes (Fig. 7D; \( n = 26 \) cells; \( p = 0.002 \), one-way ANOVA).

**Discussion**

It has long been recognized that astrocytes can sense changes in neuronal activity (Bernardinelli et al., 2014) and that the release of astrocytic-derived soluble factors and the formation of a dense matrix of thin processes that enters in contact with the presynaptic and postsynaptic terminals allows them to have a direct effect on the synapse either by inducing the formation and stabilization of new synapses (Ullian et al., 2001; Slezak and Pfrieger, 2003; Hama et al., 2004) or by promoting their elimination via activation of intracellular cell signaling pathways (Chung et al., 2013). The studies presented here show that this cross talk between astrocytes and the synapse is pivotal, not only under physiological conditions such as development and neuronal plasticity, but also during the recovery phase from an acute ischemic injury. More specifically, our data indicate that, during the recovery phase from an ischemic injury, neurons release uPA and astrocytes recruit uPAR to their plasma membrane and binding of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR mediates a cross talk between the different components of the tripartite synapse that leads to synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain. Importantly, despite the potential translational relevance of our findings, it remains to be elucidated by future studies whether diabetes, hypertension, and other risks factors for cerebrovascular disease have an effect on the synaptic reparative effect of uPA–uPAR binding.

The expression of uPA and uPAR in the CNS varies according to the developmental stage. Therefore, whereas, during the early
phases of development, uPAR is found diffusely in the cell body and neurites of growing neurons, at later stages, it is detected only in distal axons and few growth cones (Merino et al., 2017). Likewise, whereas during development, uPAR is found in large groups of neurons and oligodendrocytes (Sumi et al., 1992; Dent et al., 1993), at later stages, it is detected only in a circumscribed group of hippocampal neurons (Sappino et al., 1993). It has been demonstrated that stress and injury induce the expression of uPAR in different tissues (Smith and Marshall, 2010). Consistent with these observations, our earlier studies indicate that the expression of uPA and uPAR increases in the ischemic tissue during the recovery phase from an acute ischemic stroke (Wu et al., 2014) and that binding of uPA to uPAR promotes neurological recovery after the induction of experimental cerebral ischemia (Wu et al., 2014; Merino et al., 2017). Together, these observations indicate that, in addition to catalyzing the conversion of plasminogen into plasmin on the cell surface, uPA and uPAR also promote neurepair in the ischemic brain.

In contrast to neurons, the expression and function of astrocrtic uPA/uPAR are less well characterized. Our work indicates that, under resting conditions, a large proportion of astrocytes exhibit uPAR immunoreactivity in their perinuclear zone and in limited areas of their plasma membrane, which is similar to other cell types (Grove et al., 2014). However, we found that this expression pattern changes during the recovery phase from a hypoxic injury, when uPAR is recruited to the astrocytic plasma membrane. These observations agree with studies reporting HIF1-α-mediated increase in uPAR expression (Weidemann and Johnson, 2008) and the induction of the uPAR gene in reactive astrocytes after an ischemic injury (Zamanian et al., 2012).

Our studies show that hypoxia not only promotes the recruitment of uPAR to the astrocytic plasma membrane, but also induces morphological changes indicative of astrocytic activation. Based on these observations, we reasoned that there is a link between uPAR and hypoxia-induced astrocytic activation. This hypothesis was corroborated by our in vitro and in vivo data showing that hypoxia and cerebral ischemia activate WT but not uPAR −/− astrocytes. More importantly, our results indicate that uPA is necessary and sufficient to induce astrocytic activation and that this effect does not require plasmin generation, but instead is mediated by activation of intracellular cell signaling pathways. Interestingly, our observation that neurons but not astrocytes release uPA during the recovery phase from an ischemic injury suggests a model in which the release of neuronal uPA leads to astrocytic activation around the injured synapse.

It has long been recognized that ERK1/2 activation is associated with excitotoxicity (Murray et al., 1998) and that its inhibition has a protective effect in the ischemic brain (Alessandrini et al., 1999). In contrast, our results indicate that ERK1/2 phosphorylation mediates uPA-induced astrocytic activation, which is required for synaptic recovery after an ischemic injury. These data suggest that, under excitotoxic conditions, ERK1/2 activation has opposite roles in neurons and astrocytes. Furthermore, our data show that ERK1/2-mediated uPA-induced STAT3 phosphorylation, which is known to be crucial for astrocytic activation and functional recovery after CNS injury (Herrmann et al., 2008). Together, these data indicate that ERK1/2 activation in astrocytes has a beneficial effect during excitotoxic conditions and that the ERK1/2–STAT3 pathway mediates uPA/uPAR-induced astrocytic activation and synaptic recovery. More importantly, our work shows that uPA/uPAR binding leads to increase astrocytic expression of TSP1, a known STAT3-regulated astrocytic-secreted protein (Tyzack et al., 2014) that promotes CNS synaptogenesis (Christopherson et al., 2005).

The effect of astrocytic activation in the ischemic brain has been debated for a long time. Indeed, it has been proposed that reactive astrocytes inhibit axonal regeneration after CNS injury (Alilain et al., 2011) and produce inflammatory cytokines that exacerbate the harmful effects of the ischemic insult (Brambilla et al., 2005). In contrast, recent evidence indicates that reactive astrocytes are pivotal for withstanding the ischemic insult and promoting recovery after an ischemic stroke and that genetic deficiency of GFAP and vimentin has a harmful effect in the ischemic brain (Bush et al., 1999; Li et al., 2008). Consistent with these observations, our data show that uPA/uPAR-activated astrocytes promote synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain and that this effect is mediated by astrocytic TSP1 and synaptic LRP1.

Several mechanisms may explain the synaptic protection induced by uPA/uPAR-activated astrocytes. Activated astrocytes may either uptake glutamate from the synaptic cleft, decreasing its harmful excitotoxic concentrations (Danbolt, 2001); limit its spillover to extrasynaptic glutamate receptors (Kullmann and Asztely, 1998); provide energy to the injured neuron (Bernardinelli et al., 2014); decrease the probability of the synapse to disappear (Nishida and Okabe, 2007); or create new synaptic contacts (Verbich et al., 2012). In our model of synaptic recovery, neurons are placed in contact with astrocytes during the recovery phase, when the excitotoxic injury has already subsided. Moreover, uPA is released from neurons only 6–24 h after the acute hypoxic insult, when the concentrations of glutamate in the synaptic cleft have already returned to basal levels. Therefore, we postulate that the synaptic protective effect of TSP1 released by uPA/uPAR-activated astrocytes is not due to protection from the harmful effect of the acute excitotoxic injury, but instead is associated with either a protective effect of LRP1 on the synapse or the formation of new synaptic contacts. Further studies are needed to distinguish between these two alternatives.

In summary, based on the data presented here, we postulate a model in which, during the recovery phase from a hypoxic/ischemic injury, neurons release uPA and astrocytes upregulate and recruit uPAR to their plasma membrane. We propose that binding of neuronal uPA to astrocytic uPAR induces astrocytic activation around the injured synapse via ERK1/2-mediated STAT3 activation. We postulate that STAT3 activation leads to the release of astrocytic TSP1 and that the interaction between TSP1 and LRP1 in the synapse promotes synaptic recovery in neurons that have suffered an acute hypoxic injury. Together, these results indicate that uPA binding to uPAR mediates a cross talk between the injured synapse and neighboring astrocytes that promotes synaptic recovery in the ischemic brain.
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