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Use of Unsolicited Patient Observations to Identify Surgeons With Increased Risk for Postoperative Complications
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IMPORTANCE Unsolicited patient observations are associated with risk of medical malpractice claims. Because lawsuits may be triggered by an unexpected adverse outcome superimposed on a strained patient-physician relationship, a question remains as to whether behaviors that generate patient dissatisfaction might also contribute to the genesis of adverse outcomes themselves.

OBJECTIVE To examine whether patients of surgeons with a history of higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations are at greater risk for postoperative complications than patients whose surgeons generate fewer such unsolicited patient observations.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This retrospective cohort study used data from 7 academic medical centers participating in the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the Vanderbilt Patient Advocacy Reporting System from January 1, 2011, to December 31, 2013. Patients older than 18 years included in the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program who underwent inpatient or outpatient operations at 1 of the participating sites during the study period were included. Patients were excluded if the attending surgeon had less than 24 months of data in the Vanderbilt Patient Advocacy Reporting System preceding the date of the operation. Data analysis was conducted from June 1, 2015, to October 20, 2016.

EXPOSURES Unsolicited patient observations for the patient’s surgeon in the 24 months preceding the date of the operation.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Postoperative surgical or medical complications as defined by the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program within 30 days of the operation of interest.

RESULTS Among the 32125 patients in the cohort (13230 men, 18895 women; mean [SD] age, 55.8 [15.8] years), 3501 (10.9%) experienced a complication, including 1754 (5.5%) surgical and 2422 (7.5%) medical complications. Prior unsolicited patient observations for a surgeon were significantly associated with the risk of a patient having any complication (odds ratio, 1.0063; 95% CI, 1.0004-1.0123; \( P = .03 \)), any surgical complication (odds ratio, 1.0104; 95% CI, 1.0022-1.0186; \( P = .01 \)), any medical complication (odds ratio, 1.0079; 95% CI, 1.0009-1.0148; \( P = .03 \)), and being readmitted (odds ratio, 1.0088, 95% CI, 1.0024-1.0151; \( P = .007 \)). The adjusted rate of complications was 13.9% higher for patients whose surgeon was in the highest quartile of unsolicited patient observations compared with patients whose surgeon was in the lowest quartile.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Patients whose surgeons have large numbers of unsolicited patient observations in the 24 months prior to the patient’s operation are at increased risk of surgical and medical complications. Efforts to promote patient safety and address risk of malpractice claims should continue to focus on surgeons’ ability to communicate respectfully and effectively with patients and other medical professionals.

Published online February 15, 2017.
he distribution of malpractice claims among physi-
cians is not random; a small number of clinicians ac-
count for a disproportionate share of total cases of and
expenditures associated with malpractice.1,5 Studies de-
signed to understand why some physicians are associated with
greater numbers of malpractice claims have identified cer-
tain surgical specialties, higher clinical activity relative to peers,
and unsolicited patient observations as associated with higher
risk.4,6 Of the factors identified, however, unsolicited patient
observations have the strongest association with risk of mal-
practice claims.4,6 This finding is not surprising in that in-
terviews with families who have filed malpractice lawsuits re-
veal that factors other than money, such as lost trust in the
physician and an unmet need for information, are primary driv-
ers behind patients’ and families’ desire to sue a physician after
an unexpected adverse outcome.7,8

An intriguing question remains as to whether factors that
generate patient dissatisfaction might also contribute to the
genesis of adverse outcomes themselves. Previous studies have
shown that failure to model respect affects team perform-
ance in a variety of clinical settings, including the operat-
ing room.9-12 A recent analysis of unsolicited patient observa-
tions from a single health care organization found that surgeons
with higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations were
associated with higher risk of complications, particularly in the
most complex surgical cases.10 Collectively, these studies sug-
gest that the surgeons with the highest number of unsolic-
itated patient observations may interact with team members in
the operating room and other perioperative care settings in
ways that could negatively affect team performance and con-
tribute to risk for complications.17,18 We hypothesized that pa-
tients of surgeons with higher numbers of unsolicited patient
observations would be at greater risk for postoperative com-
plications than patients whose surgeons generated fewer such
unsolicited patient observations.

Methods

Setting and Data Sources

We performed a retrospective cohort study to examine the as-
association between a patient’s risk of experiencing a complica-
tion and their surgeon’s history of unsolicited patient obser-
vations in the 24 months prior to the operation. The study used
data from the American College of Surgeons National Surgi-
cal Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP)19 and the Vander-
bilt Patient Advocacy Reporting System (PARS), an estab-
lished patient reporting system used previously to study concerns
about professionalism and risk of malpractice claims.20 Seven academic health care systems that partici-
pate in the NSQIP21 and the PARS program contributed data to
the study.4,19 The NSQIP files provided an efficient source for
identifying the cohort and supplying information about the oper-
ations, patient characteristics, and operative characteristics
that might be associated with surgical and medical complica-
tions. The NSQIP uses a systematic sampling process to
identify cases that is designed to avoid bias in case selection
and to ensure a diverse mix of surgical cases.22,23 Surgical clini-
cal reviewers at each site, who are trained and undergo regu-
lar audits and quality checks, collect data on more than 150 vari-
bles using standardized NSQIP definitions, including
preoperative risk, intraoperative variables, and 30-day post-
operative morbidity and mortality outcomes.22 After data
are uploaded to a central NSQIP site, quality audits are per-
formed to ensure data integrity.22 One site began contribut-
ing data to the NSQIP after 2011; we used data for that site from
the first date that the site contributed data to the NSQIP through
the end of the study period. The other 6 sites contributed data
throughout the study period. The study was reviewed by the
institutional review boards at each study site, including
Vanderbilt University Medical Center; University of Califor-
nia, Los Angeles Medical Center; University of North Caro-
lina; University of Pennsylvania Health System; Wake Forest
University; Emory University School of Medicine; and Stan-
ford University. The study qualified as nonhuman partici-
 pant research pursuant to CFR 46.102(f)(2) because the de-
identified information on individuals in the cohort would not
readily be ascertained by the investigators.

The PARS program includes a reliable system to process and
code unsolicited observations from patients and fami-
lies, which allows identification and intervention with physi-
cians at high risk for malpractice claims.20-22 Data from the
PARS program for the study encompassed all previously coded
observations from patients and their families attributed to any
cohort member’s attending surgeon, as recorded by the med-
cal center’s patient relations staff.20,22 Some unsolicited pa-
tient observations described behaviors that might intimidate
or deter communication:

“I asked Dr Y how long he thought the operation would
take. He said, ‘Look, your wife will die without this proce-
dure. If you want to ask questions instead of allowing me to
do my job, I can just go home and not do it.’”

“Dr X rushed us through the appointment so quickly; she
didn’t even explain why she was recommending this proce-
dure over other treatment approaches.”

Other unsolicited patient observations included pa-
tients’ observations of a physician’s disrespectful or rude in-
teraction with other health care team members that might
distract focus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question</strong> Are patients of surgeons with a history of higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations at greater risk for postoperative complications than patients whose surgeons generate fewer such unsolicited patient observations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Findings</strong> Among the 32 125 patients in this cohort study, patients whose surgeons had a history of higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations had a significantly increased risk of surgical and medical complications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meaning</strong> Efforts to promote patient safety and address risk of malpractice claims should continue to focus on surgeons’ ability to communicate respectfully and effectively with patients and other medical professionals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cardiac infarction), thromboembolic conditions (pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis), and infectious conditions (sepsis, septic shock, or urinary tract infections) were considered medical complications.23 Conditions included in the group of possible surgical or medical complications that were present at the time of the operative procedure were excluded.22 Secondary outcomes included reoperations, readmissions, and 30-day mortality.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was conducted from June 1, 2015, to October 20, 2016. Multivariable logistic regression was used to create separate models of the association between the surgeon’s total unsolicited patient observations in the 24 months preceding the date of the operation and the patient’s risk for any complication, any surgical complication, and any medical complication. Regression models using the patient as the unit of analysis included variables thought to be the most important potential confounders. Models included total unsolicited patient observations, patient factors (age, sex, race/ethnicity, body mass index, functional status, American Society of Anesthesiologists class, ventilator dependence, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes, renal failure, and disseminated cancer),22 operative characteristics (surgeon specialty, number of cases contributed to the study cohort by the surgeon [as a surrogate for surgical volume], case urgency, wound classification [ie, clean vs contaminated], operative time >75th percentile for each Current Procedural Terminology code), and deidentified study site. We also analyzed the risk of complications by quartile of unsolicited patient observations (quartile 1, 0–4 observations; quartile 2, 5–9 observations; quartile 3, 10–13 observations; and quartile 4, 14–60 observations) and calculated the adjusted incidence of complications for each quartile by multiplying the unadjusted incidence rate by the rate ratio obtained from logistic regression models.22 We performed power calculations a priori and anticipated that, with 80% power, we would have the ability to detect an increase in complications of 1.7% between patients whose surgeons had lower numbers of unsolicited patient observations relative to patients whose surgeons had higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations.

We used R statistical software, version 3.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) to perform all analyses. For all comparisons, α = .05 denoted a statistically significant difference.

Results
The cohort included 32,125 patients who underwent an operation performed by 1 of 817 surgeons during the study period. Patients in the cohort underwent 17,255 general surgical, 4,583 orthopedic, 2,288 vascular, 2,139 gynecologic, 1,810 plastic surgery, 1,272 neurosurgical, 1,118 otolaryngologic, 1,046 urologic, 606 cardiothoracic, and 8 other specialty (not specified by the NSQIP) operations. In the 24 months preceding the date of the operations, surgeons of cohort patients received a mean of 10.6 unsolicited patient observations (range, 0–60) (Table 1).

Association between a surgeon’s prior unsolicited patient observations and complications following operations for patients in the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. 

t₀ indicates the date of the operation.

“I witnessed a tense exchange between Dr Z and a nurse. It was difficult to watch someone try to humiliate another person like that. I was embarrassed and it made me feel vulnerable.”

All unsolicited patient observations were uploaded securely to the PARS program database within the Vanderbilt Center for Patient and Professional Advocacy (CPPA).2,4,20,24,25,28,29 Individuals trained by the Vanderbilt CPPA in PARS coding reviewed unsolicited patient observations and assigned unique observations to general categories19,20 involving care and treatment, unsolicited patient observations, and billing. Interrater reliabilities for PARS reviewers were 73% to 100% across categories.19,20 Association of an unsolicited patient observation with a physician required evidence of unequivocal documentation of the physician’s identity by the site. To avoid inclusion of unsolicited observations from patients in the cohort who experienced a complication,30 only observations about the surgeon from the 24 months (730 days) preceding the date of the operation were used.

To protect physician confidentiality, an independent computer analyst who did not participate in conducting the study’s analyses used a probabilistic linkage algorithm to link the NSQIP record to each surgeon’s PARS data. Once data files were linked, all identifiers for surgeons were removed and a unique number for the site and number for the surgeon were created that could not be linked to an individual.

Cohort and Follow-up
The study cohort included patients in the NSQIP database who underwent inpatient or outpatient operations between January 1, 2011, and December 31, 2013, at 1 of the participating sites. Patients were excluded if they were younger than 18 years on the date of the operation or if the attending surgeon had less than 24 months of PARS data preceding the date of the operation. Follow-up for each patient began on the date of the operation, defined as 

t₀, and continued through the subsequent 30 days or death (Figure 1).31

Outcomes
The primary outcome was any postoperative complication, as defined by the NSQIP, occurring within 30 days of the operation of interest.22 Surgical site infections and wound disruptions were considered surgical complications. Pulmonary conditions (pneumonia, reintubation, or mechanical ventilation), renal conditions (renal insufficiency or acute renal failure), stroke, cardiovascular conditions (cardiac arrest or acute myo-
Across quartiles of unsolicited patient observations, patients were comparable in terms of age, race/ethnicity, functional status, and ventilator dependence at the time of the procedure (Table 1). Patients whose surgeons were in the highest
Among the patients in the cohort, 3501 (10.9%) experienced any complication, including 1754 (5.5%) surgical and 2422 (7.5%) medical complications (Table 2). Within 30 days, 1326 patients (4.1%) had to return to the operating room, 2436 (7.6%) were readmitted, and 427 (1.3%) died. Patients whose surgeons were named in more unsolicited patient observations were more likely to have congestive heart failure (41 [0.5%] vs 45 [0.7%]) or disseminated cancer (325 [4.0%] vs 338 [5.2%]). Patients whose surgeons were associated with higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations were more likely to have congestive heart failure (odds ratio, 1.0104; 95% CI, 1.0022-1.0186; P = .03), any surgical complication (odds ratio, 1.0104; 95% CI, 1.0022-1.0186; P = .01), any medical complication (odds ratio, 1.0063; 95% CI, 1.0014-1.0115; P = .007), and readmission (odds ratio, 1.0088, 95% CI, 1.0024-1.0151; P = .007) (Figure 2). Each unsolicited patient observation with which the surgeon was associated in the 24 months preceding the operation significantly increased the risk for surgical and medical complications in the statistical models. There was no statistically significant association between a surgeon’s prior unsolicited patient observations and reoperation, readmission, or patient death within 30 days in multivariable models.

Among the patients in the cohort, 3501 (10.9%) experienced any complication, including 1754 (5.5%) surgical and 2422 (7.5%) medical complications (Table 2). Within 30 days, 1326 patients (4.1%) had to return to the operating room, 2436 (7.6%) were readmitted, and 427 (1.3%) died. Patients whose surgeons were named in more unsolicited patient observations were more likely to have congestive heart failure (odds ratio, 1.0104; 95% CI, 1.0022-1.0186; P = .03), any surgical complication (odds ratio, 1.0104; 95% CI, 1.0022-1.0186; P = .01), any medical complication (odds ratio, 1.0063; 95% CI, 1.0014-1.0115; P = .007), and readmission (odds ratio, 1.0088, 95% CI, 1.0024-1.0151; P = .007) (Figure 2). Each unsolicited patient observation with which the surgeon was associated in the 24 months preceding the operation significantly increased the risk for surgical and medical complications in the statistical models. There was no statistically significant association between a surgeon’s prior unsolicited patient observations and reoperation, readmission, or patient death within 30 days in multivariable models.

The adjusted complication rate was 13.9% higher for patients whose surgeon was in the highest quartile compared with patients whose surgeon was in the lowest quartile (Figure 3). If surgeons in the highest 3 quartiles had performed at the level of those in the first quartile, the cohort would have experienced 426 fewer complications.

### Table 2. Complications Across Quartiles of the Operating Surgeon’s Unsolicited Patient Observations in the 24 Months Preceding the Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>All (N = 32,125)</th>
<th>Quartile 1 (n = 6501)</th>
<th>Quartile 2 (n = 9535)</th>
<th>Quartile 3 (n = 7985)</th>
<th>Quartile 4 (n = 8104)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any complications</td>
<td>3501 (10.9)</td>
<td>622 (3.6)</td>
<td>992 (10.4)</td>
<td>939 (11.8)</td>
<td>948 (11.7)</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any surgical complication</td>
<td>1754 (5.5)</td>
<td>305 (4.7)</td>
<td>489 (5.1)</td>
<td>497 (6.2)</td>
<td>463 (5.7)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical site infection</td>
<td>1640 (5.1)</td>
<td>279 (4.3)</td>
<td>458 (4.8)</td>
<td>461 (5.8)</td>
<td>442 (5.5)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound disruption</td>
<td>200 (0.6)</td>
<td>39 (0.6)</td>
<td>56 (0.6)</td>
<td>60 (0.8)</td>
<td>45 (0.6)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any medical complication</td>
<td>2422 (7.5)</td>
<td>410 (6.3)</td>
<td>687 (7.2)</td>
<td>633 (7.9)</td>
<td>692 (8.5)</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>922 (2.9)</td>
<td>143 (2.2)</td>
<td>276 (2.9)</td>
<td>250 (3.1)</td>
<td>253 (3.1)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>300 (0.9)</td>
<td>38 (0.6)</td>
<td>94 (1.0)</td>
<td>76 (1.0)</td>
<td>92 (1.1)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
<td>77 (0.2)</td>
<td>19 (0.3)</td>
<td>23 (0.2)</td>
<td>23 (0.3)</td>
<td>12 (0.1)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>237 (0.7)</td>
<td>38 (0.6)</td>
<td>72 (0.8)</td>
<td>61 (0.8)</td>
<td>66 (0.8)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolic</td>
<td>363 (1.1)</td>
<td>52 (0.8)</td>
<td>119 (1.2)</td>
<td>96 (1.2)</td>
<td>96 (1.2)</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious sepsis</td>
<td>1017 (3.2)</td>
<td>156 (2.4)</td>
<td>282 (3.0)</td>
<td>264 (3.3)</td>
<td>315 (3.9)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>518 (1.6)</td>
<td>94 (1.4)</td>
<td>147 (1.5)</td>
<td>135 (1.7)</td>
<td>142 (1.8)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other complications within 30 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>427 (1.3)</td>
<td>62 (1.0)</td>
<td>127 (1.3)</td>
<td>116 (1.5)</td>
<td>122 (1.5)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation</td>
<td>1326 (4.1)</td>
<td>250 (3.8)</td>
<td>365 (3.8)</td>
<td>333 (4.2)</td>
<td>378 (4.7)</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmission</td>
<td>2436 (7.6)</td>
<td>474 (7.3)</td>
<td>681 (7.1)</td>
<td>641 (8.0)</td>
<td>640 (7.9)</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Quartile 1, 0-4 observations; quartile 2, 5-9 observations; quartile 3, 10-13 observations; and quartile 4, 14-60 observations.

Discussion

Patients whose surgeons were associated with higher numbers of unsolicited patient observations in the 24 months prior to their operation experienced more surgical and medical complications.
Our study follows a previous single NSQIP site study of surgical outcomes and unsolicited patient observations, which found that patients of surgeons with high numbers of unsolicited patient observations had a greater risk of complications in more complex surgical cases than did patients of their colleagues with low numbers of unsolicited patient observations. Our study assessed risk for individual patients and controlled for several factors associated with the patient, the operation, and the surgeon. A surgeon’s unsolicited patient observations were limited to those from the 24 months prior to the operation to avoid the bias that might be introduced by including observations from patients in the cohort who experienced complications associated with the operation included in the NSQIP data. Our study was adequately powered to detect clinically relevant differences in outcomes and included a geographically diverse population, increasing the generalizability of the findings.

Patients and families are uniquely positioned to observe physicians’ behavior and performance. Although anesthetized patients cannot observe what happens in the operating arena or outside their hospital room, analysis of their collective stories offers insight into how physicians may also interact with other medical professionals. Disrespect and rudeness toward other professionals affect willingness to share information and seek help and, in turn, may affect both procedural and diagnostic performance. Concerns about a surgeon who previously lashed out at an anesthesiologist during an intraoperative emergency may distract the anesthesiologist from recognizing a change in the patient’s status or inhibit him or her from speaking up about a change in status if he or she fears the surgeon’s response. If a nurse notes a break in the sterile field or violation of safety protocols, such as surgical time-outs (a process performed immediately before the operation in which the team stops and verbally reviews pertinent information to ensure accurate patient identity, surgical site, and planned procedure), the nurse may be less likely to share his or her concerns with the team if he or she has been ignored or disrespected by the surgeon when he or she raised similar concerns in previous situations.

Our study provides additional insight into why a small subset of surgeons attracts a disproportionate share of malpractice claims. Study findings confirm that surgeons who generate more unsolicited patient observations also have patients who experience more complications. Thus, not only are patients whose surgeons fail to communicate clearly or model respect more likely to file a malpractice claim in the face of any adverse outcome but that same surgeon may be nonrandomly contributing to the unexpected adverse outcomes that lead to claims.

Our findings reinforce the importance of work to identify and address surgeons who are associated with a disproportionate share of unsolicited patient observations. Previous work using peer messengers to share comparative data with physicians who have a high amount of unsolicited patient observations reveals that most respond by generating fewer unsolicited patient observations after the intervention. It remains to be seen, but surgeons who improve their interactions, communication, and accessibility for patients may also favorably
alter the work environment, contributing to enhanced team performance and reducing the likelihood of complications. Other future lines of inquiry might focus on the analysis of different types of unsolicited patient observations.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. The NSQIP uses a systematic sampling algorithm designed to avoid bias in case selection to select each participating site’s cases, so it is possible that the cases included for an individual surgeon may not reflect his or her overall experience. It is possible that some patients in the cohort had incomplete follow-up in the 30-day postoperative period, but data quality checks performed by the NSQIP are designed to reduce incomplete data, and we do not anticipate that loss to follow-up would be differentially distributed among our exposure categories. We did have complete follow-up data for all surgeons included in the study. To account for possible changes in the complexity of cases sampled by the NSQIP over time, we created an individualized 2-year time window to sample a surgeon’s unsolicited patient observations for each case. Environment and systems issues beyond a surgeon’s immediate control (eg, lost laboratory results) may lead to unsolicited patient observations. However, we included surgeons from the same institutions who presumably practice in the same environments and do not generate as many unsolicited patient observations. We also included sites in our multivariable models to account for other unmeasured factors by site (eg, types of cases sampled, variation in capture of unsolicited patient observations). Patient and operative characteristics differed across the quartiles, but patients in the higher quartiles did not consistently have a higher likelihood of having characteristics associated with risk for surgical complications. It is also possible that unmeasured factors contributed to the associations between unsolicited patient observations and complications. For example, surgeons who are more aggressive and who might offer surgery inappropriately or operate on higher-risk patients might also generate more unsolicited patient observations. However, the analysis included several variables thought to be the most important potential confounders. Another limitation arises from the fact that the study was performed at 7 large academic medical centers. Thus, the generalizability of the results to other settings, including nonteaching hospitals, is potentially limited. Nonetheless, previous work assessing the association of the risk of malpractice claims and large numbers of unsolicited patient observations found strikingly similar results between academic and community hospital settings from all regions of the country.

Conclusions

A surgeon’s pattern of generating a large number of unsolicited patient observations in the 24 months prior to a patient’s operation was associated with an increased risk of surgical and medical complications, even when controlling for patient, surgeon, and operative factors. Efforts to promote patient safety and address the risk of malpractice claims should continue to focus on surgeons’ ability to communicate respectfully and effectively with patients and other medical professionals.
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