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Outcome and Prognostic Factors in Stage III Favorable-Histology Wilms Tumor: A Report From the Children’s Oncology Group Study AREN0532


ABSTRACT

Background
The National Wilms Tumor Study (NWTS) approach to treating stage III favorable-histology Wilms tumor (FHWT) is Regimen DD4A (vincristine, dactinomycin, and doxorubicin) and radiation therapy. Further risk stratification is required to improve outcomes and reduce late effects. We evaluated clinical and biologic variables for patients with stage III FHWT without combined loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosomes 1p and 16q treated in the Children’s Oncology Group protocol AREN0532.

Methods
From October 2006 to August 2013, 588 prospectively treated, centrally reviewed patients with stage III FHWT were treated with Regimen DD4A and radiation therapy. Tumor LOH at 1p and 16q was determined by microsatellite analysis. Ineligible patients (n = 5) and those with combined LOH 1p/16q (n = 40) were excluded.

Results
A total of 535 patients with stage III disease were studied. Median follow-up was 5.2 years (range, 0.2 to 9.5). Four-year event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival estimates were 88% (95% CI, 85% to 91%) and 97% (95% CI, 95% to 99%), respectively. A total of 58 of 66 relapses occurred in the first 2 years, predominantly pulmonary (n = 36). Eighteen patients died, 14 secondary to disease. A better EFS was associated with negative lymph node status (P < .01) and absence of LOH 1p or 16q (P < .01), but not with gross residual disease or peritoneal implants. In contrast, the 4-year EFS was only 74% in patients with combined positive lymph node status and LOH 1p or 16q. A total of 123 patients (23%) had delayed nephrectomy. Submitted delayed nephrectomy histology showed anaplasia (n = 8; excluded from survival analysis); low risk/completely necrotic (n = 7; zero relapses), intermediate risk (n = 63; six relapses), and high-risk/blastemal type (n = 7; five relapses).

Conclusion
Most patients with stage III FHWT had good EFS/overall survival with DD4A and radiation therapy. Combined lymph node and LOH status was highly predictive of EFS and should be considered as a potential prognostic marker for future trials.

J Clin Oncol 36:254-261. © 2017 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

The event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) estimates for patients with favorable-histology Wilms tumor (FHWT) are excellent. However, certain subgroups of patients have inferior survival rates related to stage and biologic risk factors. The National Wilms Tumor Study Group (NWTS), and subsequently the Children’s Oncology Group (COG), have adopted an approach of upfront nephrectomy when feasible, followed by stage- and biology-directed treatment. This is distinct from the International Society of Pediatric Oncology (SIOP) approach, where chemotherapy is given before nephrectomy in most patients, which has been shown to alter the proportion designated as stage III. Thus, definitions and outcomes for patients with stage III disease are not strictly comparable between SIOP and NWTS/COG.
Both cooperative groups use treatments associated with long-term adverse effects; thus, strategies to further refine risk stratification are warranted.

Under the auspices of the COG, patients with stage III disease are conventionally treated with Regimen DD4A (vincristine, dacarbazine, daunorubicin, and doxorubicin) for 24 weeks with either flank or whole abdominal radiation. Relapse after stage III treatment is associated with an OS of only 50% despite intensive salvage chemotherapy and/or autologous bone marrow transplantation; those who do survive are predicted to have a high rate of late effects, including early mortality. It is thus highly desirable to identify patients who need augmentation of initial therapy with the hope of preventing relapse.

Conversely, doxorubicin and abdominal radiation therapy used in front-line therapy are associated with long-term cardiotoxicity and second malignancies. Omission of doxorubicin has recently shown an acceptable EFS and OS in some SIOP patients. It would be advantageous to identify subgroups of patients treated using a COG approach that may not require doxorubicin.

Several advances provide important biologic insights into the etiology of Wilms tumor. Some of these may be predictive of relapse but typically affect a small proportion of patients. Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of 1p and 16q was validated by Grundy et al to be a marker of both inferior EFS and OS, especially if LOH 1p and 16q were combined. Numerous studies have now demonstrated the adverse impact of 1q gain. In addition, a number of clinicopathologic markers have been identified in patients with NWTS-5 stage III disease, including tumor involvement of lymph nodes. This latter finding requires validation.

We report the outcomes of patients with stage III disease in the COG AREN0532 study. We sought to confirm an EFS > 85% and OS > 95% for a new, more highly defined group of patients and to further refine and validate clinical and biologic prognostic factors.

### METHODS

All participants or their legally authorized guardians provided consent. The National Institutes of Health Central Institutional Review Board approved the protocol, which facilitated local institutional review board approval. In jurisdictions without Central Institutional Review Board agreements, local research ethics boards provided approval.

### Clinical Samples

The COG AREN03B2 biology and classification protocol was the portal for access to this study. (Details on the study population and COG quality assurance are provided in the Appendix, online only.) Expert central review of representative pathology, surgical summaries, and protocol-dictated diagnostic imaging occurred for all patients. Patients were given an initial risk assignment of stage III before AREN0532 study (Appendix) enrollment. Stage III disease criteria (Table 1) were as previously described for NWTS-5, except that patients with stage II disease who underwent percutaneous needle or open biopsy before nephrectomy or had intraoperative tumor spillage were considered to have stage III disease. In addition, contrary to NWTS-5, round, noncalcified lung nodules not in a fissure visible on chest computed tomography were considered stage IV, regardless of size, unless histologically proven not to be Wilms tumor. Patients began chemotherapy no later than day 14 postnephrectomy or diagnostic biopsy, unless there was a medical contraindication to initiating treatment. The standard operation was a unilateral ureteronephrectomy with lymph node sampling. The decision to biopsy versus attempt nephrectomy was at the institutional surgeon’s discretion on the basis of an assessment of safety and feasibility, for which there are published criteria. Patients had to be younger than 30 years old, not have received previous chemotherapy, have a Karnofsky or Lansky score ≥ 50, and have adequate liver and cardiac function. Patients identified to have combined LOH 1p and 16q were taken off protocol by week 6 and offered a different study (to be reported elsewhere). Submission of delayed nephrectomy specimens was requested but not mandatory and classified using the SIOP grading schema as anaplastic, high risk/blastic, intermediate or low risk/necrotic. No treatment changes were made based on these assessments except for patients with anaplasia, who were removed from AREN0532 protocol therapy. All patients underwent protocol-specific surveillance (Appendix Tables A1 and A2, online only).

### Treatment

All patients received Regimen DD4A with vincristine, doxorubicin, and daunorubicin (Appendix Tables A3 and A4, online only). Dose modifications were specified by protocol. Radiation therapy was delivered as previously described concurrently with initiation of chemotherapy after either primary or delayed nephrectomy (expected 1 to 2 weeks). Radiation was delivered regardless of postnephrectomy histology. Depending on initial clinicopathologic status, patients received flank (10.8 Gy) or whole abdominal (10.5 Gy) radiation, with a 10.8- or 10.5-Gy boost to gross residual tumor, respectively. Radiation therapy was delivered at COG-approved centers. The detailed treatment plan and dosimetry were required to be submitted to the Quality Assurance Review Center.

### Biomarkers

Tumor specimens, blood, and urine were obtained at the time of initial nephrectomy or biopsy, snap-frozen, and subsequently stored at the COG reference laboratory at −80°C. DNA was extracted using standard techniques, and 1p and 16q LOH was performed prospectively using microsatellite analysis by the COG Biopathology Center per protocol.

### Statistics

The primary goal of the study was to document continued excellent outcome (4-year EFS > 85% and OS > 95%) for patients with stage III FHWT without LOH of 1p and 16q treated with Regimen DD4A. The EFS...
for stage III FHWT tumors without LOH was compared with that for similar patients who received the same therapy in NWTS-5. The AREN0532 study had several treatment arms; the driver for accrual for the full study was the sample size requirements for the very-low-risk arm (previously published). The study was monitored by an independent data safety monitoring board.

Associations between prognostic biomarkers and the survival outcomes were tested using the log-rank test. Both the EFS and OS were calculated from the time of study enrollment. An event included relapse, second malignant neoplasm, and death, whichever occurred first. All analyses were a priori except for the assessment of lymph node and LOH 1p/16q interaction. TheSEs of the EFS and OS were estimated using the Peto-Peto method. Data frozen on December 31, 2016, were used except where indicated. Missing data were less than 1%.

RESULTS

There were 588 patients with stage III favorable-histology tumors enrolled in AREN0532 (Fig 1). Five patients were declared ineligible for the following reasons: inadequate consent procedures (n = 1); not meeting organ function requirements (n = 1); ineligible for AREN03B2 (n = 1); prior therapy (n = 1); and timing of start of protocol therapy (n = 1). In addition, per pre-established protocol criteria, 40 patients withdrew from the study when the presence of combined LOH 1p and 16q was identified, and eight patients were excluded because of anaplasia first identified at delayed nephrectomy. Thus, 535 patients were evaluable for survival analysis (EFS and OS). The median follow-up for patients who were still alive as of December 31, 2016, was 5.2 years (range, 0.2 to 9.5 years).

Demographics and Tumor Characteristics

The time to start of therapy for those having up-front nephrectomy was slower (median, 12 days; range, 0 to 31 days) compared with those who had a biopsy at diagnosis (median, 6 days; range, 0 to 19 days). Patient and tumor characteristics are listed in Table 2. We determined the staging criteria that contributed to the patient being designated as having stage III disease (patients could have more than one criterion): delayed nephrectomy after preoperative chemotherapy (n = 116), lymph nodes positive (n = 151), margins positive (n = 189), peritoneal implants (n = 24), tumor rupture (n = 256; 128 intraoperatively, 128 preoperatively), or renal biopsy immediately followed by nephrectomy (n = 8). We examined the median tumor diameter as a surrogate for the ability to perform primary resection. This showed no difference in size, with a median tumor diameter of 12.8 cm (range, 1 to 22 cm) for those with delayed nephrectomy compared with 12 cm (range, 0.4 to 31.8 cm) for those with up-front nephrectomy.

Outcomes

The 4-year EFS and OS estimates were 88% (95% CI, 85% to 91%; Fig 2) and 97% (95% CI, 95% to 98%), respectively. There were 66 first events and 18 deaths. The smoothed hazard function is shown in Appendix Figure A1 (online only). The majority (58 of 66) of the events occurred in the first 2 years after diagnosis. First events consisted of relapse (n = 62) or death from other cause (n = 2). There were two second malignancies as a first event (bladder papillary carcinoma, T-cell leukemia). The majority (50 of 62; 80.6%) of relapses were confirmed by biopsy. By institutional
report, the relapse was suspected clinically before routine surveillance imaging in 18 of 62 patients (29%). The median time to relapse among relapsing patients was 11.9 months from study entry (range, 0.5 to 65.4 months). Ten patients relapsed within 7 months postnephrectomy (thus, receiving therapy or identified at the end of therapy evaluations).

We examined the influence of clinicopathologic factors (positive lymph nodes by pathology, gross residual disease, peritoneal implants, and type of biopsy) on 4-year EFS and OS (Table 3). There was a pattern of poorer 4-year EFS for patients with positive lymph nodes (n = 151; EFS, 82%; 95% CI, 74.9% to 89%) compared with those with negative lymph nodes (n = 236; EFS, 94%; 95% CI, 90.2% to 97.4%) but not a large effect on OS (95% CI overlapped). Patients without lymph node sampling (n = 148) showed a trend toward a lower 4-year EFS of 84% (95% CI, 77.3 to 91.4%); compared with those with lymph node sampling (n = 387), 4-year EFS was 89% (95% CI, 85.6% to 92.8%). There was no difference for patients who underwent up-front nephrectomy (n = 419; 4-year EFS, 89%; 95% CI, 85% to 92.1%) compared with those who received preoperative chemotherapy (n = 116; EFS, 85%; 95% CI, 77.6% to 93.0%), nor was there a difference in 4-year OS (95% CI overlapped).

Similarly, there was no impact on 4-year EFS for patients who had gross residual disease, including those who received preoperative chemotherapy (n = 127; EFS, 86%; 95% CI, 78.6% to 93.0%) versus those who had no gross residual disease (n = 394; EFS, 88%; 95% CI, 84.6% to 92%). Among 116 patients who had delayed nephrectomy, 80 (69%) were submitted for central pathology review as of June 30, 2017. These were classified according to the SIOP histologic classification system as low risk (n = 7; zero relapses; 4-year EFS, 100%; OS, 100%), intermediate risk (n = 63; six relapses; 4-year EFS, 90.5%; 95% CI, 81.7% to 99.2%; OS, 94.6%; 95% CI, 87.9% to 100%), high-risk/blastemal (n = 7; five relapses; 4-year EFS, 86.5%; 95% CI, 75.9% to 93.8%; OS, 83.3%; 95% CI, 53.5% to 100%), and indeterminate (n = 3; two relapses). The eight patients with anaplasia are reported separately.

The presence of LOH at either 1p or 16q was found to influence EFS but not OS (Table 3). When lymph node status and LOH status were combined, a strong predictor of excellent EFS and OS emerged when both were absent, and conversely, a relatively poorer outcome was identified if both were present (Table 4). This conclusion still holds whether or not patients with nodes identified at delayed nephrectomy (n = 5) were included.

The majority of relapses occurred solely in the lung (n = 33) or in the lung with another site (n = 5). There was a correlation between the site of relapse and up-front or delayed nephrectomy status (Fisher’s exact test P = .035; Table 5).

Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0) grade 4 (n = 34) and 5 (n = 18) events were in the realm of previously reported adverse events and expected progressive disease. One patient developed renal failure.

### Table 2. Characteristics of Patients With Stage III Favorable-Histology Wilms Tumor Treated With Regimen DD4A in COG Protocol AREN0532

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Median (min-max)</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>EFS Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>OS Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>3.74 (0.1-18.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.16* (1.08 to 1.24)</td>
<td>0.94* (0.77 to 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>255 (47.66)</td>
<td>1.11†</td>
<td>1.85†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>280 (52.34)</td>
<td>(0.68 to 1.80)</td>
<td>(0.71 to 4.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>376 (70.3)</td>
<td>0.95†</td>
<td>0.91† (0.34 to 2.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>74 (13.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>15 (2.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>65 (12.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor weight (kg)</td>
<td>0.571 (0.02-3.14)</td>
<td>1.34†</td>
<td>0.46† (0.08 to 2.72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter before chemotherapy (cm)</td>
<td>12.0 (4.3-31.8)</td>
<td>1.08‡</td>
<td>(0.99 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.70 to 1.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COG, Children’s Oncology Group; DD4A, vincristine, dactinomycin, doxorubicin; EFS, event-free survival; OS, overall survival.

*With respect to a 1-year increase in age.
†Comparing male versus female.
‡Comparing white versus Others.
§With respect to a 1-kg increase in weight.
||With respect to a 1-cm increase in diameter.

![Figure 2: The 4-year event-free survival (EFS) rates for stage III disease in AREN0532.](jco.org)
We compared outcomes of the AREN0532 patients with outcomes of similar patients who received the same therapy in NWTS-5 (n = 780) by log-rank testing. The 4-year EFS in NWTS-5 was 84.4% (95% CI, 81.8% to 87.1%; P = .0597) and 4-year OS was 93.2% (95% CI, 91.3% to 95.1%; P = .0046).

**DISCUSSION**

This study identified a composite of clinical, pathologic, and molecular features that correlated with prognosis in patients with stage III FHWT. We confirmed the observation from NWTS-5 that lymph node involvement confers inferior EFS.4 We observed a trend toward inferior EFS in patients who did not undergo lymph node sampling, consistent with previous reports.1,36,37 Grundy et al7 reported the lack of impact of LOH 1p or 16q on relapse-free survival and OS in 488 patients with stage III disease treated in NWTS-5. We observed an inferior EFS if either LOH 1p or 16q was present but, likewise, saw no significant impact on OS.

A novel finding in our study was the remarkably strong predictive value of combining LOH and lymph node status. We found that the relapse rate was exceptionally low among patients with tumors that were LOH- and lymph node-negative. However, we demonstrated that those with combined lymph node involvement and LOH 1p or 16q had a significantly worse 4-year EFS outcome of 74%. There is a trend toward a poorer 4-year OS in this comparison; however, it is not statistically different. Longer follow-up is needed to be confident that OS is truly not affected.12,15,38 These findings were the product of a post hoc analysis and thus should be interpreted with caution. Moderately intensified up-front chemotherapy in these poorer risk patients may therefore reduce the risk of relapse.

Approximately two thirds of patients had delayed-nephrectomy tissue submitted for central pathology review. Most patients with blastemal-type Wilms tumor but none of seven patients with low-risk/ completely necrotic Wilms tumor experienced relapse, consistent with the findings of SIOP that histologic response to preoperative chemotherapy plays an important role in predicting outcome.39,40

Avoidance of relapse is clearly desirable for stage III patients where the salvage rate is at best 50%6,13,14,41 and where survivors are at high risk for late effects, such as cardiomyopathy, pulmonary fibrosis, second malignancy, and renal insufficiency.16,17,42,43 Conversely, DD4A therapy carries with it a risk of late effects related to anthracycline and radiation exposure; avoidance of anthracycline would be of potential clinical benefit if there was minimal impact on survival. The SIOP-2001 study demonstrated that omitting doxorubicin in stage II and III intermediate-risk histology nephroblastoma was associated with slightly more frequent relapses, but no difference in OS.20 These findings are not immediately translatable to the COG experience for several

### Table 3. Impact of Surgical/Pathologic Factors on Event-Free Survival and Overall Survival in Patients With Stage III Favorable-Histology Wilms Tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>4-Year EFS, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>4-Year OS, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Up-front</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>89 (84.9 to 92.1)</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>97 (95.4 to 99.1)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>85 (77.6 to 93)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96 (90.9 to 99.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>94 (90.2 to 97.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>99 (97 to 100)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>82 (74.9 to 89)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96 (92.2 to 99.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>84 (77.3 to 91.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>95 (90.6 to 99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross residual disease†</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>88 (84.6 to 92)</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>98 (95.9 to 99.4)</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>86 (78.6 to 93)</td>
<td></td>
<td>94 (89.9 to 96.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOH†</td>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>91 (87.3 to 94.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>97 (95.5 to 99.3)</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1q only</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>83 (74.6 to 91.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>92 (92.8 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1p only</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75 (61.3 to 88.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>92 (84.3 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal implants</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>86 (82.1 to 90.4)</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>97 (94.3 to 98.7)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96 (87 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EFS, event-free survival; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; OS, overall survival.
†On the basis of the log-rank test.

### Table 4. Combined LOH and Lymph Node Status and Impact on Outcome in Patients With Stage III Favorable-Histology Wilms Tumor Treated With Regimen DD4A and Radiation Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lymph Node Status</th>
<th>LOH Status*</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>4-Year EFS, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>P†</th>
<th>4-Year OS, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>P†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1p or 16q</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>73.8 (68.7 to 82.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>92.4 (83.1 to 100)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>No 1p and 16q</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>85.1 (77.4 to 92.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.2 (86.9 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>1p or 16q</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88.6 (77.2 to 96)</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.0 (92.3 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>No 1p and 16q</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>96.7 (93.5 to 99.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.4 (98 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1p or 16q</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>76.1 (60.6 to 91.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.2 (87.4 to 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No 1p and 16q</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>87.1 (79.3 to 94.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.4 (89.1 to 99.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DD4A, vincristine, dactinomycin, doxorubicin; EFS, event-free survival; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; OS, overall survival.
*Six patients with missing LOH data.
†On the basis of the log-rank test.
with local stage III presenting with disseminated disease. We have central review of more than two thirds of patients with delayed nephrectomy and have been able to correlate EFS with histology. Some caution is merited because our study was not powered to correlate histology after delayed nephrectomy with outcomes. Limitations include that we did not have 1q gain status for this cohort, because the strong importance of 1q gain was identified after the conclusion of this study. Lastly, we found attempts at classification of microscopic disease as somewhat subjective and thus could not confidently test this as a risk factor for relapse.

In summary, we described the overall good outcome of patients with stage III FHWT using DD4A with radiation therapy and identified an association of combined lymph node and LOH status, as well as postchemotherapy, delayed nephrectomy histology, with EFS. Given the serious potential late effects of doxorubicin, we believe it essential to examine strategies to eliminate anthracyclines in patients with an excellent prognosis. At the same time, prevention of relapse in higher risk patients is an important goal. Taken together, the COG Renal Tumors Committee is strongly considering using a prognostic algorithm of up-front nephrectomy when feasible, incorporating LOH 1p/16q status and 1q gain to identify patients who merit more intensive therapy. We are also planning to study the omission of doxorubicin in patients who lack these risk factors. For those with delayed nephrectomy, we propose to validate the SIOP-type strategy of treatment on the basis of postnephrectomy histology in the context of a COG-based induction regimen. These proposed research strategies are not yet proven.

Table 5. Sites of Relapse Related to Timing of Nephrectomy in Patients With Stage III Favorable-Histology Wilms Tumor Treated With Regimen DD4A and Radiation Therapy* in COG Protocol AREN0532

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Relapse</th>
<th>Up-Front Nephrectomy (n = 419) No. (%)</th>
<th>Delayed Nephrectomy (n = 116) No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen or pelvis</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung ± other†</td>
<td>33 (65)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original tumor bed</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (not specified)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51 (100)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COG, Children’s Oncology Group; DD4A, vincristine, dacarbazine, doxorubicin.
*All patients receive flank or whole abdominal radiation therapy in AREN0532.
†Other means any other site of relapse than lung.

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jco.org.
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Appendix

Children's Oncology Group Operations Related to AREN0532

The Children's Oncology Group (COG) renal tumors committee is a multidisciplinary committee (oncology, surgery, radiation therapy, diagnostic imaging, pathology, biology, nursing, clinical research associate, statistics) that oversees the conduct of therapeutic trials. The study protocol is developed by the renal committee and approved by the Scientific Council of senior leadership of COG and then by the Clinical Trials Evaluation Program of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol is then reviewed by the independent National Cancer Institute–sponsored central pediatric Institutional Review Board, where regulations allow (US sites). These reviews are compliant with the US Code of Federal Regulations (Common Rule). The protocol is approved by the local institutional research ethics board in jurisdictions where US regulations do not apply.

External validity. The COG currently encompasses approximately 220 member institutions. As of June 30, 2015, 174 COG institutions (of 218 active) had active institutional review board approval to participate in the study. This fluctuated by a few institutions over the course of the study. Each institution is assessed by COG for membership on the basis of a minimum number of clinical trial enrollments per year on the basis of a rolling average of 12 per year over 3 years and adequate clinical trials and regulatory infrastructure to support children with cancer.

The vast majority of institutions that treat children with cancer in North America (> 90%), as well as a number of institutions in Australia, New Zealand, Switzerland, and Israel, participated in this trial. Thus, this study is not a population-based study but approximates one in North America.

Internal validity. All institutions are subject to an in-person COG regulatory audit that occurs on a minimum of a 3-year rotating basis. Data quality is the responsibility of the institutional principal investigator (PI) and selected study data are examined by the COG auditors. COG sends out regular reminders to the lead clinical research associate and PI at each institution noting delinquent data form submissions, has an online delinquency annotation (called a Scheduled and Delinquency Data [SADD] list) and compiles an institutional delinquency report quarterly. The compliance with the SADD list in the majority of institutions exceeds 98%. Major violations with compliance may lead to institutional suspension. Inconsistent or missing data are queried and cleaned.

All patients enrolled in the AREN0532 study had to first enroll in the biology and classification study AREN03B2 study, which had central review of original pathology slides, institutional pathology reports, surgical reports, and submitted diagnostic imaging by an expert review committee of the COG renal tumors committee. All patients enrolled in this study were further secondarily reviewed from these original reports by the study PI (C.V.F.) to confirm eligibility. This is recorded in a study-specific study chair eligibility form.

During the follow-up, significant events, such as relapse, progression, second malignancy neoplasm, and death, were monitored. In the article, median duration of follow-up was reported for patients who were still alive as of December 31, 2016. The schedule for statistical follow-up is every 6 months for the first 3 years (after off-protocol therapy) and annually thereafter until the eighth anniversary of study entry.
**Surveillance Imaging Required by AREN0532 Protocol**

### Table A1. AREN0532 Diagnostic and On-Therapy Imaging for Patients With Stage III Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surveillance Procedure</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Week 7</th>
<th>Week 13</th>
<th>Week 19</th>
<th>Week 22</th>
<th>End of Rx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CXR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest CT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abd CT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abd US†</td>
<td>X†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Abd, abdominal; CT, computed tomography; CXR, chest x-ray; Rx, treatment; US, ultrasound.

*Only for patients with initial biopsy only, to re-evaluate for feasibility of resection.
†Abd US recommended but not required at diagnosis.
‡Abd US not required if Abd CT performed at week 13.

### Table A2. AREN0532 Off-Therapy Surveillance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surveillance Procedure</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>54</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CXR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest CT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abd CT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abd US</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Abd, abdominal; CT, computed tomography; CXR, chest x-ray.
## Regimen: Vincristine, Dactinomycin, Doxorubicin (July 26, 2017)

### Table A3. Regimen DD4A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>1*</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6*</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** See dosing for each drug in Appendix Table A4.

Abbreviations: A, dactinomycin; D, doxorubicin; DD4A, vincristine, dactinomycin, doxorubicin; V, vincristine.

* Radiation therapy to begin at week 1 or after recovery from week 6 delayed nephrectomy for those with biopsy only at baseline. See dose modifications for whole abdominal radiation in Online protocol.

‡V‡ in this table corresponds to V‡ in Appendix Table A4.

### Table A4. Dosing of Chemotherapy for Regimen DD4A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemotherapy Dose of Agent as Listed in Appendix Table A3</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Maximum Single Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Vincristine</td>
<td>0.025 mg/kg/day IV for infants &lt; 12 months</td>
<td>2 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 mg/kg/day IV for children 12 months to 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 mg/m²/day IV for children &gt; 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V‡</td>
<td>Vincristine</td>
<td>0.034 mg/kg/day IV for infants &lt; 12 months</td>
<td>2 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.067 mg/kg/day IV for children 12 months to 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 mg/m²/day IV for children &gt; 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Dactinomycin</td>
<td>0.023 mg/kg/day IV for infants &lt; 12 months</td>
<td>2.3 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.045 mg/kg/day IV for children 12 months to 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.35 mg/m²/day IV for children &gt; 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+†</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>1.5 mg/kg/day for infants and children &lt; 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45 mg/m²/day IV for children &gt; or equal to 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>1 mg/kg/day for infants and children &lt; 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 mg/m²/day IV for children &gt; or equal to 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** See the Data Supplement for the full protocol and dose modifications.

Abbreviations: A, dactinomycin; D, doxorubicin; IV, intravenous; V, vincristine.

†Denotes a chemotherapy dose higher than D.

‡Corresponds with scheduled dose in Appendix Table A3.