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In Brief
Primordial germ cells (PGCs) are the totipotent progenitors for the next generation. Lerit et al. show that proper PGC development requires Germ cell-less to instruct the spatial distribution of centrosomes within syncytial Drosophila embryos and ensure the efficient segregation of the germline fate determinants.
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SUMMARY

The primordial germ cells (PGCs) specified during embryogenesis serve as progenitors to the adult germline stem cells. In Drosophila, the proper specification and formation of PGCs require both centrosomes and germ plasm, which contains the germline determinants. Centrosomes are microtubule (MT)-organizing centers that ensure the faithful segregation of germ plasm into PGCs. To date, mechanisms that modulate centrosome behavior to engineer PGC development have remained elusive. Only one germ plasm component, Germ cell-less (Gcl), is known to play a role in PGC formation. Here, we show that Gcl engineers PGC formation by regulating centrosome dynamics. Loss of gcl leads to aberrant centrosome separation and elaboration of the astral MT network, resulting in inefficient germ plasm segregation and aborted PGC cellularization. Importantly, compromising centrosome separation alone is sufficient to mimic the gcl loss-of-function phenotypes. We conclude Gcl functions as a key regulator of centrosome separation required for proper PGC development.

INTRODUCTION

Primordial germ cells (PGCs) serve as precursors to the adult germline stem cells (GSCs) and are set aside early in embryogenesis (Ewen-Campen et al., 2010). In syncytial Drosophila embryos, for example, somatic nuclei undergo a series of rapid, synchronous nuclear divisions before cellularization at the end of nuclear cycle (NC) 14 (Foe and Alberts, 1983). In contrast, PGCs are formed at the posterior pole of the early embryo during NC 10. The precocious cellularization of PGCs depends upon maternally derived germline determinants, called the germ plasm, which is analogous to mammalian nuage. Components of the germ plasm localize to the posterior actin cortex during oogenesis, where they remain throughout early embryogenesis (Mahowald, 2001). PGC formation is characterized by the actin-dependent protrusion of pole buds (PBs) from the posterior embryonic cortex followed by the closure of actin-rich contractile rings, or PB furrows, at the base of each PB (Warn et al., 1985). Because budding coincides with the migration of several nuclei into the posterior region of the embryo, nuclear proximity to the posterior pole was initially thought to trigger PB formation. This model was directly tested by pharmacological studies in which aphidicolin was injected into NC 7–8 embryos to inhibit DNA synthesis and impair nuclear migration (Raff and Glover, 1989). Importantly, despite the inability of nuclei to reach the posterior cortex, PGCs formed at the posterior pole in drug-treated embryos. Furthermore, restructuring of the actin cytoskeleton to promote PGC formation could be attributed to the coordinated migration of centrosomes that had uncoupled from the accompanying nuclei and reached the posterior pole (Raff and Glover, 1989; Raff et al., 1990). Thus, the anucleated centrosomes and their associated astral microtubules (MTs) were sufficient to initiate the process of PGC formation.

The role of the centrosomes in germline development was revisited using live imaging of the germ plasm components nanos (nos; Smith et al., 1992) RNA and Vasa (Vas; Lasko and Ashburner, 1990) protein. This work demonstrated that centrosomes and their associated MTs promote the release of cortically anchored germ plasm and its subsequent dynein-dependent transport and accumulation around the PB nuclei (Lerit and Gavis, 2011). This active transport program ensures the passage of the germline determinants into the PGCs. Among the different components involved in PGC determination, Germ cell-less (Gcl; Jongens et al., 1992) is of special interest because it is the only one known to be involved not only in PGC specification, but also in the actual formation of PGCs (Jongens et al., 1992, 1994; Robertson et al., 1999; Cinalli and Lehmann, 2013). In the absence of Gcl activity, there is a severe reduction in the total number of PGCs, i.e., the germ cell-less phenotype (Jongens et al., 1992). Moreover, the gcl PGCs
inappropriately express genes that are normally only transcribed in the soma (Leatherman et al., 2002). Previous studies pointed to a role for Gcl at two steps in PGC development. The first is in the initial formation of PBs, while the second is in the cellularization of the PBs to form PGCs. The later activity requires Gcl protein localization to the nucleus and nuclear envelope, while the former does not (Robertson et al., 1999). Nonetheless, molecular mechanisms underlying Gcl function remain little understood. Critically, mutations in human Gcl (HGCL/GMCL1) are also associated with sterility (Kleiman et al., 2003). Thus, elucidating how Gcl promotes germine development in Drosophila will provide valuable insights into this process and may contribute to our understanding of human pathology. In the studies reported here, we have focused on the mechanisms underlying the initial function of Gcl in directing the formation of PBs. We show that Gcl mediates proper germ cell formation by regulating the spatial organization of centrosomes to promote efficient germ plasm segregation and PB formation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gcl Is Required for the Even Distribution of Germ Plasm around PB Nuclei

To elucidate mechanisms of Gcl function in germ cell development, we used a combination of static and live imaging to follow the processes of PB and PGC formation in syncytial embryos. Consistent with previous work, germ plasm localization to the posterior cortex is normal in gcl null mutant embryos preceding the onset of PB formation (Figure 1A; Jongens et al., 1992; Robertson et al., 1999). We next asked whether Gcl functions in the release of the germ plasm from the posterior cortex or in its distribution during PB formation.

Live time-lapse imaging of nos, labeled in vivo with GFP (nos-GFP) using the transgenic MS2/MCP tagging system (Forest and Gavis, 2003), shows normal dynamics of germ plasm release and the expected symmetrically bilateral accumulation around the PB nuclei in wild-type (WT) embryos (Figure 1B; Movie S1). Similar to controls, germ plasm release occurs normally in gcl mutant embryos as evidenced by nucleus-directed runs of nos-GFP particles and formation of discrete concentrations of nos adjacent to the PB nuclei (Figure 1B; Movie S2). In contrast, the distribution of germ plasm around the PB nuclei is abnormal in gcl mutants. Two distinct phenotypes are observed. One defect is the asymmetric distribution of nos-GFP typically biased toward the posterior surface of the PB nuclei (Figure 1B). The same asymmetric distribution is also observed for endogenous Vas in fixed gcl embryos (Figure 1C). A second defect is the reduced amounts of germ plasm that accumulate around the PB nuclei in gcl mutants as compared to WT (Figures 1D and 1E; Movie S3). Quantification confirms that the accumulation of germ plasm around the PB nuclei is disrupted in many more gcl mutants (n = 23/41 embryos; 56%) than WT (n = 3/42 embryos; 7%). These results reveal a previously unappreciated role for Gcl at a very early step in PGC development just after the point of germ plasm release. The failure to properly distribute germ plasm during PB formation means that even if the PBs manage to cellularize, they might not inherit the critical threshold of germ plasm needed to adopt the germ line fate (Ewen-Campen et al., 2010). Furthermore, the aberrant germ plasm distribution likely also contributes to the reduced formation of PBs and PGCs in gcl mutants (Jongens et al., 1992).

MT Organization Is Disrupted in gcl Mutant Embryos

Centrosome-nucleated astral MTs are required for the release of germ plasm from the posterior cortex and subsequent accumulation around the PB nuclei to ensure that germine determinants are properly distributed to newly formed PGCs (Raff and Glover, 1989; Lerit and Gavis, 2011). Thus, one mechanism that could explain the aberrant distribution of germ plasm around the gcl mutant PB nuclei would be an abnormal organization of astral MTs. To test this prediction, we visualized MTs throughout the cell cycle in live embryos expressing αTubulin-GFP (αTub-GFP).

Live imaging of WT embryos reveals a stereotypical pattern of MT dynamics in developing PBs. In all WT embryos analyzed (n = 12), complete separation of the duplicated MT-organizing centers (MTOCs) occurs rapidly. Upon mitotic exit, the two MTOCs are initially tightly juxtaposed and extend elaborate astral MT arrays that are directed away from each other (Figure 2A, 0:00). The initial protrusion of the PB commences when the MTOCs begin to separate (Figure 2A, 1:00). The PB is already fully formed once the MTOCs move apart, the MB broadens and protrudes farther from the surface of the embryo (Figure 2A, 2:00). The PB is almost fully formed once the MTOCs move opposite each other to distal sides of the PB nucleus (Figure 2A, 3:00). The close coordination of MTOC separation with the initial protrusion and subsequent growth of the PB suggests that centrosome position instructs the actomyosin-dependent process of PB formation (Raff and Glover, 1989).

By contrast, gcl mutants show irregularities in MT organization during PB formation. One of the most striking defects is that MTOC separation following mitotic exit is delayed (Figures 2A–2C). Over 40% of gcl mutant embryos (n = 4/9) show protracted MTOC separation (>3 min to fully separate; Figure 2C). Whereas WT MTOCs move away from each other and initiate PB formation within 1 min following mitotic exit, the MTOCs in gcl mutants remain closely juxtaposed (Figure 2B, 1:00). Likewise, PB formation is also delayed in gcl mutants. By the time WT MTOCs complete their separation and the MB has enlarged substantially, gcl mutant MTOCs just begin to separate and initiate PB formation (cf. Figures 2A and 2B, 2:00). At 1 min later, PB formation is nearly complete in WT, while there is only a shallow PB in the gcl mutant (Figures 2A and 2B, 3:00). Delays in MTOC separation on the order of 1 or 2 min are not trivial considering early embryos divide about every 10 min (Foe and Alberts, 1983). The predicted result from such delays is non-uniform association of germ plasm with the PB nuclei and an overall reduction in the number of PGCs that form. Indeed, live imaging confirms that gcl bds often retract instead of cellularizing (n = 4/10 gcl mutant embryos).

The close correlation between MTOC separation and PB growth argues that simple proximity of the MTOC to the cortex may not be sufficient to mediate PB formation. Instead, our data suggest that mechanical forces (or biochemical cues) transmitted by the astral MTs associated with the separating MTOCs stimulate PB growth. That PB growth is impaired even after the initiation of MTOC separation in gcl mutants (Figure 2B, 3:00).
raises the possibility that the actin rearrangements associated with PB growth are restricted to a confined time window or perhaps responsive to a short-lived instructive signal.

Gcl Promotes Centrosome Segregation

Centrosomes are MTOCs comprising an inner pair of centrioles surrounded by a matrix of many proteins, collectively called peri-centriolar material, or PCM (Rothwell and Sullivan, 2000). A plausible hypothesis is that the aberrant organization of MTs in gcl mutants might be due to defects in centrosome assembly, duplication, or separation. To test these possibilities, we first examined centrosome assembly by visualizing the core centriole component Asterless (Asl; Varmark et al., 2007), as well as two key PCM proteins: Centrosomin (Cnn; Li and Kaufman, 1996) and γ Tubulin (γ Tub; Sunkel et al., 1995). The localization and overall morphology of Asl, Cnn, and γ Tub appears normal in both somatic and germline-fated cells in gcl mutants (Figures 2, 3, and S1A). Therefore, we next examined centrosome duplication and separation in live embryos expressing Asl-YFP. For these studies, we focused on centrosome dynamics at the posterior nuclei during NCs 9–10, which correspond to the onset of germ plasm release and PB formation (Foe and Alberts, 1983).

Normally, centrosome duplication is followed by their separation to opposite sides of the nucleus (Sullivan and Theurkauf,
Figure 2. Gcl Is Required for MT Organization

(A and B) Time-lapse imaging of αTub-GFP in (A) WT and (B) gcl mutant 1–2 hr embryos; arrowheads, MTOCs; dashed lines, cortex.

(C) Quantification of MTOC separation timing. Each data point indicates the time it takes for a pair of MTOCs to separate in WT (n = 14 events in 12 embryos) and gcl (n = 13 events in 9 embryos).

(D and E) Maximum intensity projections from live imaging of Asl-YFP in (D) WT and (E) gcl mutant embryos. The time is relative to prophase onset (0:00) and shown as min:s. The centrosome separation delays are highlighted (dashed circles).

(F) Quantification of centrosome segregation timing in WT (n = 53 events in 9 embryos) and gcl (n = 112 events in 17 embryos).

(G) Prophase-stage NC 10 embryos stained for the indicated proteins; arrows mark complete (white) or incomplete (orange) centrosome separation.

(H) Quantification of centrosome distance during prophase NC 10 (n = 42 pairs from 10 WT embryos and n = 49 pairs from 9 gcl embryos).

The statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, ****p < 0.0001, and **p < 0.01. Data are displayed as mean ± SD. The scale bars represent 5 μm. See also Figures S1 and S2.
1995). As expected, centrosomes duplicate and segregate synchronously in WT. Relative to mitotic onset (Figure 2D, prophase I, 0:00), the duplicated WT centrosomes begin to separate early in interphase (Figure 2D, 10:00) and reach maximal separation by prophase II (Figure 2D, 15:00) in phase with neighboring centrosome pairs. In gcl embryos, while centrosomes at the posterior nuclei duplicate at approximately the same time as WT, they do not initiate centrosome separation in phase with their neighbors. As a result, centrosomes are unevenly positioned along the posterior cortex in some gcl mutant embryos (Figure 2E, 15:00, circles). The variable degree of centrosome separation defects in gcl embryos implies that centrosome behavior is likely regulated locally at each PB nucleus. Supporting the idea that Gcl functions locally at the posterior pole in regulating the timing of centrosome separation at the PB nuclei, centrosome separation occurs normally in the soma of gcl mutant embryos (Figure S1A).

To begin to delineate how Gcl functions in centrosome segregation, we tracked centrosome dynamics from our live imaging experiments. WT embryos require about 150 s after centrosome duplication to fully segregate their centrosomes to distal poles of a PB nucleus (Figure 2F, see Experimental Procedures). In contrast, gcl mutant embryos require over 200 s, which is nearly 25% slower than controls. During prophase, when the majority of centrosomes have fully separated, nearest-neighbor analysis confirms gcl mutants are more likely to display unevenly distributed clusters of centrosomes (Figures S1B and S1B’, see Experimental Procedures), indicating some centrosomes are closer together than others. Taken together, our findings demonstrate that Gcl functions both in timing when the duplicated centrosomes initiate separation and in setting the pace of separation.

To quantify defects in centrosome separation in gcl mutants versus WT, we measured the distance between centrosomes at NC 10 PBs that are in prophase (pH3 positive, see Experimental Procedures) using either Cnn or Asl to mark centrosome position (Figures 2G and S2A). In gcl mutants, quantification confirms that over 70% of NC 10 PBs show defects in centrosome separation (n = 36/49 mutant PBs), resulting in ~30%
Defects in Centrosome Separation Result in Impaired Germ Plasm Distribution

Our findings raise the possibility that the unequal distribution of nos and Vas in gcl mutant PBs (Figure 1) is a consequence of the defects in centrosome separation and astral MT organization. To directly assess the relationship between germ plasm distribution and centrosome position, we examined the enrichment of germ plasm relative to PB centrosomes and MTs in WT and gcl mutant embryos. For these studies, we focused on the processes of PB formation and PGC cellularization, events where Gcl was previously implicated (Jongens et al., 1992).

Immunostaining for Cnn to label centrosomes, αTub to display MTs, and Vas to mark germ plasm demonstrates that germ plasm accumulates preferentially in areas of MT-enrichment (Figure 3). Imaging nascent PBs during NC 10 reveals much of the Vas protein decorates astral MTs that extend from each well-separated centrosome (Figure 3A; inset). In gcl mutants, Vas also preferentially accumulates along the astral MTs that emanate from centrosomes (Figure 3B and inset). However, since the duplicated centrosomes are still tightly juxtaposed and there is no PB, much of the Vas remains asymmetrically concentrated in smaller domains. Our data thus suggest that the failure to effectively separate centrosomes in gcl mutant embryos may underlie the inability to both form PBs and properly distribute germ plasm around the PB nuclei.

Later in development, PGC proliferation is marked by the symmetric segregation of Vas into both daughter cells in association with the astral MTs (Figure 3C, inset; Lerit and Gavis, 2011). Thus, germ plasm is normally restricted to the PGCs in WT embryos. However, because many PBs regress and PGCs fail to form in gcl mutant embryos, Vas remains unevenly distributed among centrosomes associated with the nuclei situated along the posterior cortex (Figures 3D and S3).

Centrosome Separation Is Required for Germ Plasm Distribution and PB Formation

Our previous work examining various centrosome mutants revealed a number of phenotypes similar to loss of gcl, including abnormal germ plasm distribution and PGC loss (see Figure 5 in Lerit and Gavis, 2011). Further similarity is also evident in the initial steps of PB formation by the growth of shallow and abnormally shaped PBs in cnn null embryos (Figure 4A). These data highlight the critical role of centrosomes and their associated astral MTs in promoting PGC development.

Our characterization of Gcl function points to a more precise mechanism regulating centrosome separation. To test our model that Gcl functions primarily through regulating centrosome dynamics, we asked whether defects in centrosome separation are sufficient to disrupt PB formation. For these studies, we expressed a mutant form of the Cnn protein, CnnΔCM1, which exerts dominant effects to impair centrosome separation (Zhang and Megraw, 2007). Normally, WT embryos form broad, convex PBs. In contrast, nearly 40% of embryos expressing CnnΔCM1 produce small and narrow PBs (n = 11/29 CnnΔCM1 embryos cf. n = 3/32 WT embryos; Figure 4B), supporting a role for centrosome separation in driving PB protrusion.

To independently verify a role for centrosome separation in PGC development without altering the activity of Cnn, we examined germ plasm distribution and PB growth via RNAi-mediated knockdown of the kinesin motor Klp61F/Eg5, which is critical for normal centrosome separation dynamics (Heck et al., 1993). Due to the range of mitotic defects observed in Eg5RNAi embryos, we limited our analysis to those embryos where the nuclei had migrated to the posterior pole. Importantly, those embryos displaying impaired PB centrosome separation upon depletion of Eg5 also show irregular distributions of germ plasm (Figure 4C) that resemble the uneven patterns noted in gcl mutant embryos (Figures 1B and 1C). Asymmetric accumulation of germ plasm is observed in ~40% of Eg5RNAi embryos (n = 8/21 RNAi embryos; cf. n = 1/21, 4.7% WT embryos). In addition, ~50% of the Eg5-depleted embryos examined display misshaped PBs (Figure 4C;
n = 40 embryos) similar to CnnΔCM1 embryos (Figure 4B). We conclude that proper centrosome separation is necessary to promote normal germ plasm segregation and robust PB growth.

**Centrosomes Contribute to PGC Cellularization**

Following the induction of PB budding, gcl has a later function in the cellularization of the PGCs (Jongens et al., 1992). PGC cellularization requires the concerted action of many proteins that regulate the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, including Anillin, to mediate closure of the PB furrow (Field et al., 2005). Recent studies suggest that gcl could play a role in controlling the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton during PB furrow closure via Anillin (Cinalli and Lehmann, 2013) and/or Steppke (Step), a recently identified antagonist of Rho1 (Lee et al., 2015). Loss of Step activity results in precocious cellularization in the soma, while overexpressing Step blocks PGC formation by a mechanism that involves antagonizing Gcl activity (Lee et al., 2015).

It is currently unknown whether Gcl might regulate actin directly or indirectly to mediate PB furrow closure. In the soma of the syncytial embryo, there is a well-established connection between centrosome position and actin organization. During interphase, apical centrosome pairs organize actin into caps, while centrosome separation shifts actin into basal furrows (Rothwell and Sullivan, 2000). Further mutant and pharmacological studies demonstrate a functional link between centrosome position and actin organization in the syncytial embryo (Cao et al., 2010). Moreover, a link between actin and centrosome position is well conserved, as actin-binding proteins have been implicated in regulating centrosome positioning in diverse cell types harboring supernumerary centrosomes (Kwon et al., 2008; Sabino et al., 2015). Likewise, during PGC development, colchicine injection immediately after PB formation produces stable PBs that fail to cellularize (Raff and Glover, 1989; D.A.L. and E.R.G., unpublished data). However, a recent report suggests that MTs are dispensable for PB furrow closure (Cinalli and Lehmann, 2013). To revisit the requirement for MTs in PGC cellularization, and to investigate whether Gcl function in PB furrow closure may represent an extension of its centrosome-dependent activities during PB formation, we genetically manipulated centrosome integrity and/or position.

To assess a role for centrosomes in PGC cellularization, we first examined PB furrow closure in the few mature PBs that form in cnn embryos. In WT embryos, PB furrows are readily observed by immunostaining for Anillin (n = 10/10 embryos; 100%; Figure 4A). In contrast, we found nearly half of the mature PBs in cnn embryos lack a clear Anillin ring (n = 11/27 embryos; 40%; Figure 4A). Aberrant Anillin organization was also observed in CnnΔCM1 embryos, which organize an irregular and punctate distribution of Anillin at the PB furrow (Figure 4B and inset). Moreover, quantitation of PGCs in NC 13–14 embryos indicates normal centrosome separation is required for PGC cellularization (Figure 4D). Embryos expressing CnnΔCM1 (n = 6.8 ± 3.6 PGCs, 13 embryos; p < 0.0001 by Student’s t test) or Eg5RNAi (n = 7.0 ± 4.7 PGCs, 25 embryos; p < 0.0001) reveal a significant reduction in PGCs as compared to controls.
to WT (n = 19.9 ± 5.3 PGCs, 20 embryos). Collectively, these studies argue that centrosome position instructs PB growth and PGC cellularization and support a model in which Gcl regulates PB and PGC development by orchestrating centrosome behavior (Figure S4).

To date, the only other factors known to disrupt germ plasm distribution at nascent PBs are cytoskeletal elements involved in germ plasm transport (Lerit and Gavis, 2011). Identification of Gcl as a localized regulator of centrosome behavior hints that other germ plasm factors may also contribute to this process. Precisely how Gcl coordinates centrosome behavior is an exciting area of future research. We propose that throughout PGC development, Gcl serves as a mechanistic bridge between centrosomes and the actin cytoskeleton. Previous work shows that Gcl ectopically localized to the nucleus or cytoplasm largely rescues PB budding in gcI null embryos (Robertson et al., 1999).

While cytoplasmic Gcl may be accessible to centrosomes, the finding that nucleoplasmic Gcl rescues PB growth raises the possibility that Gcl regulates centrosome dynamics indirectly. While future work is required to tease out the relationship between centrosomes, Gcl, and actin regulators, our studies provide insights into how the regulation of centrosome position by Gcl contributes to the efficient segregation of the germline determinants, promotes PB formation, and, ultimately, instructs the precocious cellularization of the PGCs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Fly Stocks

The following mutant and transgenic lines were used: y w 1118 was used as the WT control unless otherwise noted; gcl/G is a null allele (Robertson et al., 1999); nos(MS2)15 (Brechbiel and Gavis, 2008); hsp83-MCP-GFP (Forrest and Gavis, 2003); Ubi-Ast-YFP (Rebollos et al., 2007); a recombinant line of maternal Tubulin-GAL4, UASp-GFP—α Tubulin (Lucas and Raff, 2007); UASp-Conv/CM1 (Zhang and Megraw, 2007); and UASp-EGFP[NLS] (VDRK KX line: 109280) were driven by maternal 67C Tubulin-GAL4 (Lee et al., 2001). Mutant and transgenic embryos derive from mutant or transgenic females mated to sibling or WT control males.

Image Analysis

The line tool in ImageJ was used to measure the distance between centrosomes within a given centrosome pair in NC 10 embryos during prophase, as determined by the presence of round, DAPI-condensed nuclei and/or anti-pH3 signal. The time elapsed during centrosome separation is defined as the time from the splitting of a centrosome pair until the time when those centrosomes reach maximal separation prior to anaphase onset. For centrosome position analysis, binary masks corresponding to images of centrosomes from prophase-stage embryos in NCs 10 or 11 were extracted from maximum fluorescence intensity projections and subjected to nearest-neighbor analysis using the Particle Distribution (2D) function in the Biovoxxel Toolbox Plugin (Jan Brocher) using Fiji software. Distances between objects (here, centrosomes at the posterior pole) are measured with respect to a theoretical nearest-neighbor distance that represents a normal randomized distribution. Self-avoiding centrosomes are spaced farther apart than the expected theoretical distance, while clustered centrosomes are spaced closer together than the expected theoretical distance.

Statistical Methods

Data were plotted and statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism software. To calculate significance, distribution normality was first confirmed with a D’Agostino and Pearson normality test. Data were then analyzed by Student’s two-tailed t test or the Wilcoxon rank-sum test unless noted and are displayed as mean ± SD.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
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