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In “Retrospective Exposure Estimation and Predicted versus Observed Serum Perfluorooctanoic Acid Concentrations for Participants in the C8 Health Project” (Shin et al. 2011b), we reported estimates of historical perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) exposures and serum concentrations for 45,276 occupationally exposed participants in the C8 Health Project who consented to share their residential histories. We recently discovered an inconsistency in our estimates of historical water concentrations for some of these participants. For four public water districts (Belpre, Little Hocking, New Lubeck, and Tupper Plains), the water concentrations used to estimate exposures and predicted serum concentrations were not consistent with water concentration estimates we reported in another article, “Environmental Fate and Transport Modeling for Perfluorooctanoic Acid Emitted from the Washington Works Facility in West Virginia” (Shin et al. 2011a). The difference in water concentration estimates slightly affects our estimates of PFOA exposures and serum concentrations. The Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($r_S$) between updated serum estimates and the originally reported values is 0.996.

A cohort follow-up also resulted in the addition of 118 new participants (all who had provided consent), as well as the removal of 1,945 participants who had been newly identified as having historical occupational exposure to PFOA. Updated summary statistics comparing predicted and observed serum concentrations are shown in Table 1. Among all participants ($n = 43,449$), updated serum PFOA concentration predictions are largely similar to the originally reported values (e.g., medians of 13.7 ppb and 14.2 ppb for updated and originally reported values, respectively; for predicted versus observed serum concentrations, $r_S = 0.677$ and 0.674 for updated and originally reported values, respectively). Updating the water concentrations resulted in a decrease of 0.2 ppb in median serum concentration estimates, and the removal of newly identified DuPont workers resulted in a decrease of 0.3 ppb. We found no noticeable change in the updated summary statistics from the addition of the 118 new participants.

In summary, the two sets of predictions are very similar and match the observed serum equally well. This update does not substantially change the conclusions of our study (Shin et al. 2011b).

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

### Table 1. Summary of serum predictions for different subgroups of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Underprediction</th>
<th>Close approximation</th>
<th>Overprediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All participants</td>
<td>43,449</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water consumption data available</td>
<td>23,052</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in one of the six water districts in 2005/2006</td>
<td>23,971</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same residence and workplace in one of six water districts from 2001 to 2005</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same residence and workplace in one of six water districts from 2001 to 2005</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same residence and workplace not in one of six water districts from 2001 to 2005</td>
<td>3,095</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottled-water drinkers</td>
<td>2,321</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvegetable growers</td>
<td>33,088</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable growers</td>
<td>10,361</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents the percentage of model results within these categories. Underprediction reflects modeling values < 0.5 times the sampling data, close approximation refers to values between 0.5 and 2 times the sampling data, and overprediction indicates values > 2 times the sampling data.

**Erratum:** "Global Trade Tradeoff: Rickettsial Disease in Taiwan" [120(12):A456 (2012)]

The photo caption in the December 2012 News article “Global Trade Tradeoff: Rickettsial Disease in Taiwan” (Environ Health Perspect 120:A456; doi: 10.1289/ehp.120-a456) incorrectly stated, “Striped field mice from unplowed fields carried many more chiggers and ticks than mice from unplowed fields.” The caption should have read, “Striped field mice from unplowed fields carried many more chiggers and ticks than mice from plowed fields.”

**Erratum:** "New Primary Standard Set for Fine Particulate Matter Pollution" [121(3):A74 (2013)]

In a typographical error, the March 2013 News article “New Primary Standard Set for Fine Particulate Matter Pollution” (Environ Health Perspect 121:A74; doi: 10.1289/ehp.121-a74) incorrectly expressed the 24-hour primary standard and annual and 24-hour secondary standards for PM$_{2.5}$ in milligrams per cubic meter. The standards should have been expressed as micrograms per cubic meter.

*EHP* regrets the errors.
De- and repolarization mechanism of flagellar morphogenesis during a bacterial cell cycle
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Eukaryotic morphogenesis is seeded with the establishment and subsequent amplification of polarity cues at key times during the cell cycle, often using (cyclic) nucleotide signals. We discovered that flagellum de- and repolarization in the model prokaryote Caulobacter crescentus is precisely orchestrated through at least three spatiotemporal mechanisms integrated at TipF. We show that TipF is a cell cycle-regulated receptor for the second messenger—bis-(3'–5')-cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP)—that perceives and transduces this signal through the degenerate c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase (EAL) domain to nucleate polar flagellum biogenesis. Once c-di-GMP levels rise at the G1→S transition, TipF is activated, stabilized, and polarized, enabling the recruitment of downstream effectors, including flagellar switch proteins and the Pili positioning factor, at a preselected pole harboring the TipN landmark. These c-di-GMP-dependent events are coordinated with the onset of tipF transcription in early S phase and together enable the correct establishment and robust amplification of TipF-dependent polarization early in the cell cycle. Importantly, these mechanisms also govern the timely removal of TipF at cell division coincident with the drop in c-di-GMP levels, thereby resetting the flagellar polarization state in the next cell cycle after a preprogrammed period during which motility must be suspended.
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mutations in the c-di-GMP-binding site, whereas the suppressive mutations yield diffuse and enlarged swarms.

Cultures were spotted on PYE swarm agar plates and incubated for 60 h at 30°C.

TipF. DTV and ADA denote the triple duplications of DTV or ADA residues at positions 121–124 or 128–130, respectively. Overnight

At cytokinesis, TipN redistributes to the division plane during cytokinesis (Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006).

The new cell pole harbors TipN, a polytopic coiled-coil protein that is deposited at the new pole as it is generated during cytokinesis (Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006). The assembly of subsequent structures follows suit, ultimately ending with the elaboration of the external flagellar structure.

Figure 1. Localization of TipN, TipF, and PflI to the flagellated pole. (A) Dynamic protein localization of TipN, TipF, and PflI and its coordination with other cell cycle events in C. crescentus. TipN (green) localized at the nonflagellated pole recruits newly synthesized TipF (red), which in turn recruits the PflI flagellar positioning protein (yellow). Thick blue arrows indicate the synthesis of TipF (by GcrA) and c-di-GMP.

(B) Domain organization of TipN, TipF, and PflI. Shown are the predicted transmembrane domains (TM; gray), coiled-coil domains (CC; black), PDE domain [EAL (red)], and proline-rich domain (PR; yellow).

(C) Alignment of the EAL domains from TipF and the c-di-GMP PDEs PdeA of Vibrio cholerae, VieA of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Ykul of Bacillus subtilis. Key residues that are predicted to be required for PDE activity (empty arrowheads) or required for c-di-GMP binding (filled black) are marked. Residues that bypass the requirement of E211 or D331 for motility [red triangles], the defining EAL motif, and the K332 residue of the conserved Asp–Asp loop (underlined) are indicated. (D) Motility assay of ΔtipF mutants harboring plasmids encoding wild-type [WT] or single- or double-mutant

TipF DTV and ADA denote the triple duplications of DTV or ADA residues at positions 121–124 or 128–130, respectively. Overnight cultures were spotted on PYE swarm agar plates and incubated for 60 h at 30°C. Compact swarms indicate the motility defect caused by mutations in the c-di-GMP-binding site, whereas the suppressive mutations yield diffuse and enlarged swarms.

contrast, GcrA accumulates during the G1 → S transition, inducing the synthesis of CtrA along with polarity and other cell cycle proteins (McAdams and Shapiro 2011), and is then eliminated from G1 progeny cells along with c-di-GMP (Paul et al. 2008; Christen et al. 2010).

During the G1 → S transition, the flagellum is shed to permit cells to immobilize to surfaces using an adhesive polar structure. The flagellum is then reassembled at the new pole in late S phase following this programmed nonmotile period. CtrA induces the synthesis of early flagellar structural constituents, including the FliF MS ring protein and two components of the switch complex (FliG and FliM) (Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006). The newly synthesized flagellar parts are assembled from the inside of the cell outward, first with an organizational platform in the inner membrane (the MS ring) to which the switch complex on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane is tethered (Chevance and Hughes 2008; Brown et al. 2011). The assembly of subsequent structures follows suit, ultimately ending with the elaboration of the external parts, such as the hook and the flagellar filament.

Superimposed on these temporal patterns are spatial cues that guide flagellar proteins to the appropriate site of assembly at the new cell pole opposite the stalk (Fig. 1A). The new cell pole harbors TipN, a polytopic coiled-coil protein that is deposited at the new pole as it is generated during cytokinesis (Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006). At cytokinesis, TipN redistributes to the division plane from the poles, ensuring that cell polarity cues are available for the flagellar polarization in the next cell cycle. Indeed, flagella are mispositioned in the absence of tipN, indicating that TipN is required for the proper placement, but not the assembly, of the flagellum (Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006). A flagellar misplacement defect has also been observed in mutants lacking the PflI positioning factor, a bitopic membrane protein with a proline-rich domain at the C terminus facing the cytoplasm that is localized to the future flagellated pole before the synthesis of early flagellar components (Obuchowski and Jacobs-Wagner 2008). While the spatial relationship between TipN and PflI remains unexplored, TipN recruits PflI to the flagellated pole. (TipN localizes to sites of misplaced flagella, raising the possibility that mislocalized TipF is responsible for the flagellar misplacement phenotype of a tipN mutant. This is supported by the observation that tipF deletion mutants lack external flagellar structures such as the hook and filament (Huitema et al. 2006).

TipF features a C-terminal and cytoplasmic EAL domain that is conserved in Vibrio cholerae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Bacillus subtilis (VieA) and its coordination with other cell cycle proteins (McAdams and Shapiro 2011, multiple DGCs and PDEs determine c-di-GMP levels during the cell cycle to promote development.
ment and cell cycle progression [Paul et al. 2004, 2008; Abel et al. 2011]. c-di-GMP levels are low in G1 cells but peak at the G1 → S transition and decline thereafter [Paul et al. 2008; Christen et al. 2010]. While c-di-GMP binds to various receptor proteins to curb flagellar rotation [Wolfe and Visick 2008; Boehm et al. 2010; Fang and Gomelsky 2010; Paul et al. 2010], the spatiotemporal mechanism underling c-di-GMP-induced flagellum morphogenesis has not been resolved.

Here we show that c-di-GMP controls the activation, polarization, and stabilization of the flagellar regulator TipF [Huitema et al. 2006]. We found that TipF is a receptor for c-di-GMP that peaks at the G1 → S transition and show that TipF•c-di-GMP seeds polar flagellar assembly by recruiting the PfII placement factor [Obuchowski and Jacobs-Wagner 2008] and components of the flagellar switch into a complex with TipN, a landmark protein that is prepositioned at the newborn pole [Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006]. Importantly, we found that c-di-GMP-induced stabilization and polarization of TipF is amplified with the coordinated transcription of the tipF gene and thus is tuned to other c-di-GMP-dependent developmental events that occur at the G1 → S transition (Paul et al. 2004, 2008; Duerig et al. 2009).

Results

c-di-GMP binds and activates TipF

Initial hints into the mechanism of TipF-mediated flagellum biogenesis came from comparisons of the TipF primary structure with known PDEs. TipF features a Lys at position 332 instead of an Asp in related proteins (Fig. 1C), and a Glu–Ser–Phe [ESF, residues 211–213] triplet replaces the defining Glu–Ala–Leu [EAL] motif. The highly conserved Asp331–Asp332 motif is a hallmark of active PDEs, with both residues being involved in coordinating the two metal ions in the catalytic center [Barends et al. 2009]. To explore whether the sequence conservation reflects a functional requirement for the residues at these positions, we engineered alanine mutations at several conserved positions implicated or not in coordinating the cofactor (Mg$^{2+}$ or Mn$^{2+}$), the substrate, and/or the nucleophile [E211A, D331A, and K352A] (Fig. 1C, filled triangles). Neither of these mutant proteins could support TipF function [motility on 0.3% soft agar plates] when expressed in a ΔtipF background from P$xyl$ on a low-copy plasmid, indicating that these residues play a key role in TipF function (Fig. 1D).

To test whether TipF is an active PDE, we purified the soluble hexa-histidine [His$_6$]-tagged form of TipF lacking the first 115 residues, including the two predicted membrane-spanning segments, and the E211A mutant derivative from Escherichia coli. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra suggested that the secondary structures of purified TipF wild type and E211A mutant were unchanged (Supplemental Fig. S1A). We then assayed both proteins for PDE activity by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis with the c-di-GMP substrate (Fig. 2A). No cleavage of c-di-GMP to the linear form pGpG was observed with either wild-type TipF or the E211A mutant [Fig. 2A, right panel] even after extended incubation times of up to 24 h (Supplemental Fig. S1B,C). In contrast, the control PDE YahA from E. coli converted all of the c-di-GMP to pGpG in <5 min (Fig. 2A, left panel).

![Figure 2](image-url). TipF is enzymatically inactive but binds c-di-GMP. (A) c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity is not detected in recombinant (soluble) TipF but is in the control PDE YahA. (Left panel) Purified proteins [1 μM] were incubated with c-di-GMP for 5 min and then separated on a ResourceQ column to observe the cleavage product pGpG. No pGpG was detected after 1 h of incubation with wild-type [WT] or mutant [E211A or E211A/F284L] TipF with c-di-GMP. (B) ITC experiments showing that c-di-GMP binds to the soluble protein of wild-type TipF but not to the mutant derivatives E211A or E211A/F284L. The top panels show the raw ITC data curves collected at 25°C in binding buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl, 50 mM NaCl at pH 8.0]. The bottom panels show the integrated titration peaks fitted to a one-site binding model [solid line]. The average dissociation constant ($K_D$) of TipF to c-di-GMP was estimated at 0.4 ±0.2 μM, the stoichiometry of binding ($n$) was estimated at 0.35 ±0.1, and the enthalpy of reaction was estimated at −2.1 ±0.3 kcal/mol.
In the absence of detectable PDE activity, we asked whether wild-type TipF or the E211A mutant can bind c-di-GMP using an isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)-based binding assay [Fig. 2B]. Successive injections of 10 μL of a 118 μM solution of c-di-GMP solution into the ITC reaction chamber containing 32.5 μM wild-type TipF was accompanied by the characteristic heat release, reflecting specific and high-affinity binding of c-di-GMP [Fig. 2B, left panel]. The resulting integrated titration peaks were fitted to a sigmoidal enthalpy curve, and a dissociation constant \( K_d \) of 0.4 (±0.2 μM) for c-di-GMP was derived for wild-type TipF. In contrast, only background [nonspecific] heat release was observed when an equimolar amount of TipF [E211A] was injected into the chamber [Fig. 2B, middle panel [note the different scales in the panels]]. Together, these results demonstrate that TipF does not have PDE activity under the conditions tested and that wild-type TipF, but not the E211A derivative, binds c-di-GMP with high affinity. On the basis of these results, we hypothesize that c-di-GMP binding is necessary for TipF to signal motility and that the E211A mutant is nonfunctional because it can no longer bind and be activated by c-di-GMP. In support of this view, we found that depleting Caulobacter cells of c-di-GMP phenocopies the localization and flagellar assembly defect caused by the absence TipF or the “c-di-GMP-blind” E211A mutant [Fig. 6E, below].

If TipF is activated without hydrolyzing c-di-GMP, then mutations that are known to specifically cripple catalytic activity of PDEs, but not c-di-GMP binding, should not affect TipF function. To test this prediction, we engineered mutants Q197A, R215L, and E396A [Fig. 1C, open triangles] and found that the mutant proteins R215L and E396A confer motility in ΔtipF cells indistinguishable from that of wild-type TipF, while Q197A also supported motility but with reduced efficiency [data not shown]. Taken together, we conclude that TipF binds c-di-GMP and that binding is required for flagellar functions.

**TipF acts early in polar flagellum assembly**

Since previous transmission electron micrographs showed the external structures, the flagellar hook, and the filament [Fig. 3A] to be missing from ΔtipF cells [Huitema et al. 2006], we wondered whether the assembly of internal flagellar [sub]structures also requires TipF. To this end, we conducted electron cryotomography (ECT) of wild-type and ΔtipF cells by ECT [Fig. 3B; Supplemental Fig. S2A,B; see the Supplemental Movies], a high-resolution imaging method that preserves cellular structures in their native [crynogenically vitrified] state and reveals them owing to the natural contrast from electron density. We subjected two-dimensional (2D) projections of three-
The PflI flagellar positioning factor is recruited into a polar complex by TipF

PflI is required for proper positioning of the flagellum and is recruited to the future flagellum assembly site before flagellar structural proteins are expressed by an unknown mechanism [Obuchowski and Jacobs-Wagner 2008]. Like TipF, PflI is still polar in the absence of the MS ring protein FlIF, raising the possibility that PflI localization is dependent on TipF. In support of this, we found TipF-mCherry to colocalize with PflI-GFP [PflI fused C-terminally to GFP] [Supplemental Fig. S3C] and observed that PflI-YFP or PflI-GFP are delocalized in ΔtipF cells [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. S3A, respectively]. Conversely, PflI does not noticeably affect TipF localization, as indicated by the apparent normal localization of TipF-GFP [expressed in lieu of endogenous TipF from the tipF locus] in ΔpflI compared with wild-type cells [Supplemental Fig. S3B].

Since TipF is recruited to this site by the TipN landmark protein [Supplemental Fig. S3B], we predicted that PflI localization should also be dependent on TipN. Indeed, PflI-YFP or PflI-GFP foci are mispositioned near or within the stalk in ΔtipN cells, a pattern resembling the misplacement of TipF-GFP in the absence of TipN [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. S3A,B]. The formation of these [mislocalized] PflI foci in ΔtipN cells is still TipF-dependent, as indicated by the dispersion of PflI-GFP in the envelope of ΔtipF ΔtipN cells [Supplemental Fig. S3A]. A similar dispersion of PflI-GFP occurs when the C-terminal proline-rich domain of PflI is deleted [residues 93–142 or 142–194] [Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. S3D], suggesting that this domain responds to the presence of TipN. In contrast, the ΔpflI mutation does not seem to change the mislocalization of TipF-GFP in ΔtipN cells [Supplemental Fig. S3B]. Thus, flagellar assembly and recruitment events at the newborn pole proceed in the order TipN > TipF > [PflI][FlIF/G/M].

To test whether this localization dependency is reflected in physical interactions among the proteins, we conducted pull-down experiments from extracts derived from cells harboring an empty vector control or a plasmid expressing either a TipF or PflI derivative with a C-terminal tag (see the Materials and Methods) from the Pvan promoter. Akin to TipF-YFP, only few polar TipF-TAP foci were seen in ΔtipF cells [Fig. 3F, Supplemental Fig. S2B], providing further evidence that neither the MS ring nor the switch complex assembles in ΔtipF cells. However, we found that FlIF-GFP required FliG to be sequestered to the pole (Supplemental Fig. S2A). This result shows that FliG can directly interact with the soluble part of TipF and accounts for the increased polarity of Δflif ΔpflI ΔtipN cells, when appropriate) in which TipF abundance is increased [Fig. 4A]. This likely results from a post-transcriptional mechanism, as tipF transcription is unchanged in a tipN and flbD mutant [Supplemental Fig. S4A]. Immunoblotting of TipF-TAP and PflI-TAP pull-down samples with antibodies to PflI [Fig. 4B] or TipF [Fig. 4C] provided evidence that TipF and PflI interact [directly or indirectly], but the pull down is inefficient. In contrast, coimmunoprecipitation of PflI with TipF-GFP using monoclonal antibodies to GFP was efficient [Supplemental Fig. S4B,C,D]. Moreover, immunoblotting of the TipF-TAP [Fig. 4D] and PflI-TAP [Fig. 4E] pull-down samples with polyclonal antibodies to TipF showed that TipF and PflI interact in vivo. The PflI flagellar positioning factor is recruited into a polar complex by TipF

PflI is required for proper positioning of the flagellum and is recruited to the future flagellum assembly site before flagellar structural proteins are expressed by an unknown mechanism [Obuchowski and Jacobs-Wagner 2008]. Like TipF, PflI is still polar in the absence of the MS ring protein FlIF, raising the possibility that PflI localization is dependent on TipF. In support of this, we found TipF-mCherry to colocalize with PflI-GFP [PflI fused C-terminally to GFP] [Supplemental Fig. S3C] and observed that PflI-YFP or PflI-GFP are delocalized in ΔtipF cells [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. S3A, respectively]. Conversely, PflI does not noticeably affect TipF localization, as indicated by the apparent normal localization of TipF-GFP [expressed in lieu of endogenous TipF from the tipF locus] in ΔpflI compared with wild-type cells [Supplemental Fig. S3B].

Since TipF is recruited to this site by the TipN landmark protein [Supplemental Fig. S3B], we predicted that PflI localization should also be dependent on TipN. Indeed, PflI-YFP or PflI-GFP foci are mispositioned near or within the stalk in ΔtipN cells, a pattern resembling the misplacement of TipF-GFP in the absence of TipN [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. S3A,B]. The formation of these [mislocalized] PflI foci in ΔtipN cells is still TipF-dependent, as indicated by the dispersion of PflI-GFP in the envelope of ΔtipF ΔtipN cells [Supplemental Fig. S3A]. A similar dispersion of PflI-GFP occurs when the C-terminal proline-rich domain of PflI is deleted [residues 93–142 or 142–194] [Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. S3D], suggesting that this domain responds to the presence of TipN. In contrast, the ΔpflI mutation does not seem to change the mislocalization of TipF-GFP in ΔtipN cells [Supplemental Fig. S3B]. Thus, flagellar assembly and recruitment events at the newborn pole proceed in the order TipN > TipF > [PflI][FlIF/G/M].

To test whether this localization dependency is reflected in physical interactions among the proteins, we conducted pull-down experiments from extracts derived from cells harboring an empty vector control or a plasmid expressing either a TipF or PflI derivative with a C-terminal tag (see the Materials and Methods) from the Pvan promoter. Akin to TipF-YFP, only few polar TipF-TAP foci were seen in ΔtipF cells [Fig. 3F, Supplemental Fig. S2B], providing further evidence that neither the MS ring nor the switch complex assembles in ΔtipF cells. However, we found that FlIF-GFP required FliG to be sequestered to the pole (Supplemental Fig. S2A). This result shows that FliG can directly interact with the soluble part of TipF and accounts for the increased polarity of Δflif ΔpflI ΔtipN cells, when appropriate) in which TipF abundance is increased [Fig. 4A]. This likely results from a post-transcriptional mechanism, as tipF transcription is unchanged in a tipN and flbD mutant [Supplemental Fig. S4A]. Immunoblotting of TipF-TAP and PflI-TAP pull-down samples with antibodies to PflI [Fig. 4B] or TipF [Fig. 4C] provided evidence that TipF and PflI interact [directly or indirectly], but the pull down is inefficient. In contrast, coimmunoprecipitation of PflI with TipF-GFP using monoclonal antibodies to GFP was efficient [Supplemental Fig. S4B,C,D]. Moreover, immunoblotting of the TipF-TAP [Fig. 4D] and PflI-TAP [Fig. 4E] pull-down samples with polyclonal antibodies to TipF provided evidence that TipN is in a complex with TipF and PflI.

Finally, using an unbiased approach for interaction partners of TipF, we conducted a yeast two-hybrid screen using soluble TipF [lacking transmembrane segments, residues 1–90] as bait to probe a prey library of Caulobacter genomic fragments. Two positive clones, each encoding Gal4-AD fusions to a C-terminal fragment of FlIF (FlIFΔC)—residues 192–340 and residues 237–340 [Fig. 4F,G]—emerged from this screen. This result shows that FlIF can directly interact with the soluble part of TipF and accounts for the localization dependency seen in vivo.
Collectively, our results show that TipF interacts directly with FliGc and assembles a flagellar organizational center comprising FliG, FliN, PflI, and TipN at the new pole.

c-di-GMP induces TipF polarization and signaling

If TipF is activated upon binding c-di-GMP, then cells expressing TipF variants that are unable to interact with c-di-GMP or cells depleted for c-di-GMP should also be unable to localize PflI. Neither the E211A derivative nor the D331A or K352A mutants were able to direct PflI into polar assemblies (Fig. 5A). Moreover, these inactive TipF mutants were themselves no longer sequestered to the pole (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, the \textit{tipF(E211A)} mutation phenocopies the flagellar assembly defect of the \textit{DtipF} deletion, as reflected in the absence of the FlgE hook protein (see Fig. 6F).

To test whether c-di-GMP depletion mimics the effects of the \textit{c-di-GMP-binding defective} \textit{E211A} mutant, we heterologously expressed the \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} PDE PA5295 in strains carrying the \textit{pflI-yfp} reporter and imaged the resulting cells. Expression of PA5295, but not the catalytically inactive mutant PA5295-AAL [carrying an analogous mutation to TipF[E211A] at position E328], was previously shown to reduce c-di-GMP levels beyond detection [Duerig et al. 2009]. We found that induction of PA5295 [depletion of c-di-GMP] dispersed PflI-YFP from the pole [Fig. 6A]. Consistent with these results, we observed that c-di-GMP depletion completely delocalized TipF-GFP from the pole [Fig. 6B], while TipN-GFP was still polar under these conditions [Fig. 6C]. c-di-GMP depletion also prevented FlgE expression, akin to the \textit{DtipF} or \textit{tipF(E211A)} mutation [Fig. 6F]. Interestingly, however, c-di-GMP depletion had a much stronger effect on transcription of the \textit{flgE} gene than the \textit{DtipF} or \textit{tipF(E211A)} mutation [Fig. 6E], indicating that c-di-GMP modulates another step in flagellum biogenesis independently of TipF.

We conclude that c-di-GMP binding is a critical step in TipF activation and polarization. Interfering with this activating step by either introducing mutations in TipF that prevent c-di-GMP binding or depleting cells of c-di-GMP locks TipF in a conformational state that prevents its activation and polarization, the recruitment of PflI, and flagellar assembly at the newborn pole.

\textbf{Bypassing the requirement of c-di-GMP for TipF signaling}

To illuminate how c-di-GMP activates and polarizes TipF, we isolated intragenic suppressor mutations that restore expressing the DBD-only [i.e., without TipF], wild-type, and mutant DBD-TipF variants along with FliG-AD (activation domain) on normal medium and selective medium lacking histidine [−histidine] or adenine [−adenine]. The latter condition is more stringent. Gray scales from dark to light indicate the level of interaction corresponding to the growth readout on selective medium. Dark gray represents the strongest interaction as seen for wild-type DBD-TipF with FliG-AD.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{TipF directly interacts with FliGc and forms a complex with TipN and PflI. (A) TipF steady-state levels as determined by immunoblotting using polyclonal antibodies to TipF in lysates from wild-type, \textit{ΔtipF}, \textit{ΔtipN}, and \textit{fbD::Tn5}. (B–E) TAP pull-down of TAP-tagged proteins expressed from \textit{P\_van} on a medium copy plasmid followed by immunoblotting using polyclonal antibodies to TipF, PflI, TipN, and CtrA. The cell lysates derived from boiled cells shown in the lanes at the left of the panels provide negative and positive controls for the specificity for the antisera. CtrA immunoblots are shown as a control for loading. (B) TipF-TAP and TipF*−TAP [the E211A/F284L mutant is referred to as TipF*] were expressed from the \textit{P\_van} promoter on a medium copy number plasmid in \textit{ΔtipN} mutants. (C) TipF is present in Fli-I-TAP purifications of \textit{ΔtipN} double-mutant lysates. (D, E) TipN is present in pull-downs of TipF-TAP [(B) or PflI-TAP (E)] from \textit{fbD::Tn5} lysates. (F) Schematic showing the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay using the C terminus of FliG (residues 237–340) as prey and the soluble part of TipF (residues 92–452) as bait to induce transcriptional activation of HIS3 and ADE2 [dark-gray box] as readout of the interaction. In the presence of DNA-binding domain (DBD)-TipF (TipF fused to the GAL4 DBD), transcriptional activation is seen, but not when DBD is used without a TipF fusion [light-gray box]. (G) Growth of the yeast strains expressing the DBD-only [i.e., without TipF], wild-type, and mutant DBD-TipF variants along with FliG-AD (activation domain) on normal medium and selective medium lacking histidine [−histidine] or adenine [−adenine]. The latter condition is more stringent. Gray scales from dark to light indicate the level of interaction corresponding to the growth readout on selective medium. Dark gray represents the strongest interaction as seen for wild-type DBD-TipF with FliG-AD.

\end{figure}
If the suppressor mutations lock TipF in an activated state, then they might allow TipF to remain polarized under conditions of c-di-GMP depletion. We tested this idea by localizing YFP-tagged derivatives of wild-type, E211A/F280S, and E211A/F284L versions of TipF expressed in ΔtipF cells. While all three fusion proteins were polarized in the presence of c-di-GMP (Supplemental Fig. S5A), depletion of c-di-GMP dispersed wild-type TipF-YFP, but polar foci of the E211A/F280S and E211A/F284L versions persisted (Fig. 6D). Biochemical and biophysical analyses showed that the E211A/F284L double mutant is still unable to bind or hydrolyze c-di-GMP in vitro (Fig. 2A,B) and that the secondary structure is preserved [Supplemental Fig. S1]. Interaction studies of wild-type and mutant TipF by yeast two-hybrid [Fig. 4G] and coimmunoprecipitation [Supplemental Fig. S4C,D] assays showed that TipF[E211A] associates poorly with FliG and Pffl, but the suppressor mutations attenuated this effect of the E211A mutation.

To test whether these c-di-GMP bypass mutants can also support motility in the absence of c-di-GMP, we tested whether cells harboring suppressor mutations could swim in broth after depletion of c-di-GMP. As this was not the case [data not shown], we wondered whether c-di-GMP also promotes flagellar assembly or function at a later, TipF-independent step. We examined this possibility using the accumulation of the FlgE hook protein as a convenient proxy for defects in flagellar gene expression that can arise when assembly is blocked. Immunoblotting [Fig. 6F] revealed that FlgE steady-state levels [cell-associated and the hook accumulating in the supernatant] are reduced in c-di-GMP-depleted cells even in the presence of the E211A/F280S and E211A/F284L TipF versions. Transcription of flgE [probed using a PflgE-lacZ transcriptional reporter) attained only 30% ± 1% (E211A/F280S) and 30% ± 1% [E211A/F284L] of wild-type activity and was much below the activity level observed for the ΔtipF single mutant [57% ± 1% of wild type] [Fig. 6E]. Moreover, PflgE-lacZ reporter activity is further reduced [to 21% of wild type] when c-di-GMP is depleted in ΔtipF cells, indicating that this c-di-GMP control step is required for efficient flgE transcription. A similar c-di-GMP-dependent effect was seen on transcription of the fljL flagellin gene using the PfljL-lacZ transcriptional reporter [Supplemental Fig. S5B]. In this case, however, c-di-GMP depletion reduces fljL transcription in ΔtipF cells from near-full wild-type levels [fljL transcription is not affected by ΔtipF mutation] to 32% ± 2%.

We conclude that TipF localization can be partially uncoupled from c-di-GMP control by the F280S and F284L suppressor mutations, but c-di-GMP influences at least one additional event in flagellar assembly, gene expression, localization, and/or [possibly affects] TipN polarization.

TipF abundance coincides with and depends on the cell cycle burst in c-di-GMP

Monitoring the steady-state levels of wild-type TipF and TipF[E211A] by immunoblotting suggested that [untagged]
TipF is stabilized upon binding c-di-GMP [Fig. 7A,B]. The abundance of wild-type TipF expressed from P\text{\textsubscript{xyl}} (i.e., using the transcriptional and translational regulatory signals of the \textit{xylX} gene) in \textit{D\textit{tipF}} cells is significantly higher than that of the E211A version, suggesting that TipF is regulated at the level of stability by c-di-GMP. Indeed, half-life measurements of (untagged) wild-type TipF and Tip-F(E211A) under normal \textit{P\textsubscript{xyl}} conditions \textit{ΔtipF} cells approximated the half-life of wild-type TipF at >2 h in the presence of c-di-GMP and <20 min in its absence. In contrast, for the E211A version, the half-life values were ~10–20 min irrespective of the presence or absence of c-di-GMP, demonstrating that the E211A protein is not further destabilized upon c-di-GMP depletion [Fig. 7A,B]. Remarkably, the half-life (~40 min) of the E211A/F284L variant was higher than that of the E211A single mutant but lower than that of wild-type TipF. Again, the half-life of this mutant was largely insensitive to the presence or absence of c-di-GMP, consistent with the persistence of E211A/F284L at the pole in the absence of c-di-GMP [see above]. Thus, when c-di-GMP is depleted, TipF is unable to adopt an active conformation and is destabilized. These events can be uncoupled from c-di-GMP with a mutation of F284L, which not only activates the E211A mutant form constitutively, but, at the same time, partially protects it from proteolysis in vivo.

In the search for a candidate protease that destabilizes TipF in vivo, we tested strains with mutations in all ATP-dependent proteases and found that ClpX, the ATP-dependent chaperone component of the ClpXP protease, controls the cell cycle-dependent accumulation of endogenous (untagged) TipF expressed from its native chromosomal location [Fig. 7C]. Immunoblotting revealed TipF to be absent from G1-phase cells, induced during the G1/S transition [40 min], and diminished at the time of division [Fig. 7D]. Poisoning ClpXP activity by expression of a dominant-negative (catalytically inactive) ClpX variant \textit{C\textsubscript{clpX}*} (Osteras et al. 1999) \textit{ΔtipF} cells expressing mutant TipF from \textit{P\textsubscript{van}} at the \textit{vanA} locus harboring a \textit{P\textsubscript{flgE}-lacZ} transcriptional reporter fusion. [F] Effects of c-di-GMP depletion on FlgE steady-state levels in supernatants (Sup.) or cell lysates (Lys.), determined by immunoblotting using anti-FlagE antibody (α-FlagE). TipF mutants were expressed from the \textit{P\textsubscript{van}} promoter on a low copy number plasmid in \textit{ΔtipF} cells.
the xylose-inducible $P_{xyl}$ promoter on a low-copy plasmid revealed that the stabilized E211A/F284L variant is more abundant than wild-type TipF in G1-phase cells (Supplementary Fig. S6C). Together, these findings support the model that the fluctuation of c-di-GMP during the cell cycle (i.e., its concentration trough in G1 cells) helps restrict TipF abundance to S phase.

Additionally, the cell cycle abundance pattern of TipF is reinforced by timely synthesis, as is evident from the immunoblotting experiments in Figure 7D showing the increase of TipF during the cell cycle. Strikingly, the cell cycle pattern of TipF mirrors that of GcrA, a master transcriptional regulator that is required for the accumulation of a myriad of cell cycle-regulated transcripts, including $tipF$, at the G1 → S transition (Holtzendorff et al. 2004). Consistent with the hypothesis that GcrA directly activates transcription of the TipF-encoding gene at the G1 → S transition, we observed that GcrA is required for efficient TipF protein accumulation (Fig. 7E), and quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation (qChIP)
analysis using polyclonal antibodies to GcrA revealed that GcrA binds the *tipF* promoter, but not the *scIP* promoter, in vivo in a GcrA-dependent manner [Fig. 7F]. The induction of TipF expression at the transcriptional level by GcrA and the concordant surge in c-di-GMP levels at the G1 → S transition ensure that TipF is active and ready to prime flagellum biosynthesis at the newborn pole, while a drop in c-di-GMP in the incipient G1 daughter cells results in the removal of TipF, thus resetting the flagellar polarity cascade for the next cell cycle.

**Discussion**

The establishment, amplification, and propagation of polarity cues during the cell cycle are hallmarks of all polarized cells, from bacterial to metazoan origin. Here we elucidate a mechanism that not only implements but also serves to subsequently reset a polarity pathway during the cell cycle in response to cyclic nucleotide signaling. While nucleotide-based signals direct critical events in the establishment and/or reinforcement of polarity cues in eukaryotes [Slaughter et al. 2009; Charest and Firtel 2010; St Johnston and Ahringer 2010; Amato et al. 2011; Ji and Tulin 2012], the underlying mechanisms are not well understood in bacterial systems. Using polar flagellum morphogenesis as a proxy to unravel how and when polarity cues are instated by cyclic nucleotide signaling during the *Caulobacter* cell cycle, we found that the presence of c-di-GMP activates and amplifies the TipF-dependent flagellar polarization pathway, while its removal promotes the elimination of TipF from G1 cells and provides a possible checkpoint-like mechanism, allowing the re-establishment of the flagellar polarization pathway to be coordinated with other developmental events at the G1 → S transition.

At least four regulatory factors [TipN, GcrA, CtpX, and c-di-GMP] impart spatiotemporal control on TipF through interwoven mechanisms acting at different levels. c-di-GMP adopts a central position in this regulatory web, implementing its effects by directly binding TipF [Fig. 2]. The induction of TipF at the G1 → S transition is reinforced by two concurrent mechanisms: its synthesis triggered by the GcrA master regulator and its stabilization by c-di-GMP [Fig. 1A]. Both mechanisms ensure that TipF accumulation is coordinated to other critical morphogenetic events that are activated at the same time. GcrA promotes the expression of genes encoding determinants for polarity [Pod], controlling morphogenesis of pilus, division [MipZ, a division inhibitor], and asymmetry [PleC, a histidine kinase/phosphatase] [Viollier et al. 2002; Holtzendorff et al. 2004; Thanbichler and Shapiro 2006]. The concurrent accumulation of c-di-GMP protects TipF from CtpX-dependent proteolysis and thus reinforces the surge of TipF while also promoting the degradation of CtrA at the G1 → S transition via the PopA effector and stalk biogenesis by an unknown mechanism [Paul et al. 2004, 2008; Duerig et al. 2009; Abel et al. 2011]. Importantly, c-di-GMP binding is required not only to activate and polarize TipF, but also for the TipF-dependent recruitment of flagellar assembly factors and regulators such as Pfl, FliG, and FliM to the new pole. The underlying conformational rearrangements that likely occur when TipF binds c-di-GMP can be blocked by mutational destruction of the c-di-GMP-binding site or when c-di-GMP is depleted from cells. While these manipulations abrogate TipF polarization and function, we isolated compensatory missense mutations in the c-di-GMP-binding domain that reverse these effects and also allow TipF localization under conditions of c-di-GMP depletion. The same motility suppressor screen was answered by triplet codon duplications in a predicted coiled-coil-rich region that precedes the c-di-GMP-binding domain [Fig. 1B]. Helical wheel analysis of these mutant proteins predicts that the α-helicity is maintained by the duplication while causing a shift of the hydrophobic region that could cause structural rearrangements. This rearrangement likely also underlies the interaction of TipF$c$-di-GMP with TipN. During the late stages of constriction of the preceding cell cycle, the TipN landmark signal is deposited at the newborn pole to ensure correct interpretation of the polarity axis in the progeny [Huitema et al. 2006; Lam et al. 2006]. Because of this spatial cue, the subsequent events triggered by c-di-GMP at the G1 → S transition are executed at the correct subcellular site [i.e., the newborn pole] [Fig. 1A]. In support of this, our pull-down experiments revealed TipN to be part of this flagellar organizational complex that includes TipF, Pfl, and at least one flagellar switch component [Fig. 2A].

The flagellar switch complex is best known as a target to regulate flagellar rotation but fulfills a fundamental role in flagellar assembly [Irikura et al. 1993; Chevance and Hughes 2008; Davis and Viollier 2011]. Indeed the FliG switch protein interacts with the FliF MS ring protein and the MotA stator protein along with other switch proteins. This central position of FliG in flagellar assembly and function has been exploited to regulate motility in response to specific developmental states or nutritional and systemic cues [Ryjenkov et al. 2006; Blair et al. 2008; Paul et al. 2011; Zarbiv et al. 2012]. Despite the dual role of FliG, no mechanism has been described to regulate flagellar assembly through FliG. TipF is the first representative of this class, as the soluble portion of TipF [encompassing the predicted coiled-coil and EAL domains] interacts directly with the C-terminal portion [FliG$_C$]. The role of c-di-GMP in flagellar assembly likely goes beyond the TipF–FliG interaction, as indicated by the existence of an apparent TipF-independent, but c-di-GMP-dependent, flagellar assembly step [Fig. 6E,F].

The FlhF GTPase of *vibrios*, *pseudomonads*, and *Campylobacter jejuni* may function akin to the TipF and c-di-GMP-dependent flagellar polarization pathway [Pandza et al. 2000; Salvetti et al. 2007; Balaban et al. 2009; Kusumoto et al. 2009]. FlhF is polarized and recruits the MS ring protein FlIF to the polar site of flagellation in *Vibrio. cholerae* [Green et al. 2009]. However, despite the pervasive polar flagellation found across bacterial lineages, FlhF polarization, activation, and function are poorly understood. Interestingly, the *Myxococcus xanthus* Ras-like GTPases MglA and SofG are used to direct motility pro-
proteins required for flagellum-independent (gliding) motility to the pole [Leonardy et al. 2010, Zhang et al. 2010; Bulyha et al. 2013]. Moreover, a member of the ParA/MinD family of ATPases positions the chemosensory apparatus at the pole in V. cholerae [Ringgaard et al. 2011; Yamaichi et al. 2012], and a related protein ensures the medial placement of the chemosensory apparatus in Rhodobacter sphaeroides [Thompson et al. 2006], but the temporal relationships with the cell cycle are unexplored in these systems.

c-di-GMP-mediated spatiotemporal control of polar morphogenesis extends far beyond the α-proteobacterial lineage. In the γ-proteobacterium P. aeruginosa, an imbalance in c-di-GMP abundance is observed in the two progeny compartments of a dividing cell, with the trough in c-di-GMP levels occurring in the daughter compartment bearing the polar flagellum [Christen et al. 2010]. FimX, an EAL-GGDEF domain hybrid protein from P. aeruginosa, is polarly localized and promotes the assembly of retracting type IV [polar] pilis (Tfp) for twitching motility. Importantly, FimX influences the positioning of Tfp and uses an EAL domain to bind c-di-GMP and induce [long-range] conformational change in the adjacent domain [Huang et al. 2003]. However, it is unknown how FimX scouts the pole, how it signals Tfp assembly, and how its localization is coordinated with cell cycle progression. We show that spatiotemporal cues from pre-existing spatial landmarks, the c-di-GMP second messenger, and the cell cycle transcriptional program are integrated at Tfp to orchestrate the periodic removal and re-establishment of a polarity pathway controlling morphogenesis during the cell cycle. Thus, small nucleotide-induced polarization pulses that drive morphogenesis at key times in the cell cycle appear to have been invented more than once during evolution from prokaryotic to metazoan cells and may thus even function akin in eukaryotic organelles.

Materials and methods

Coominunprecipitation

Cells (50 mL) were grown to mid-log phase in the presence of 50 mM vanillate inducer, harvested, washed three times in buffer I (50 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA), and resuspended in buffer II (50 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, two protease inhibitor tablets [Complete EDTA-free, Roche]), containing 1× Ready-Lyse lysozyme solution [Epigenet], 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, and 30 U of DNase I [Roche]. The solution was incubated for 20 min at room temperature and subsequently centrifuged at 10,000 g for 3 min at 4°C to remove cellular debris. The supernatant was pre cleared with 50 µL of Proteinase-G agarose beads [Roche]. To the pre cleared solution, mouse monoclonal anti-GFP antibodies (1:300 dilution; Living Colors A.V.) was added and incubated for 90 min at 4°C. The antibody–protein complexes were trapped with Proteinase-G agarose beads washed once with buffer I containing 0.5% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, twice with 1% immunoprecipitation buffer [Protein G immunoprecipitation kit, Sigma-Aldrich], and once with 0.1% immunoprecipitation buffer to remove salts and finally resuspended in 70 µL of 1× Laemmli sample buffer. After boiling and centrifugation, precipitated proteins were identified by immunoblotting using polyclonal antibodies to PHII or CtrA.

TAP

The TAP procedure was based on that described by Rigaut et al. [1999]. Briefly, cells (1 L) were grown to mid-log phase in the presence of 50 mM vanillate for 3 h and harvested by centrifugation at 6000 g for 10 min. The cells were then washed in buffer I (50 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and lysed for 15 min at room temperature in 500 µL of buffer II [buffer I + 10% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, two protease inhibitor tablets [Complete EDTA-free, Roche], 1× Ready-Lyse lysozyme [Epigenet], 500 U of DNase I [Roche], 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA]. The volume of the solution was brought up to 10 mL with buffer I and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 7000 g for 15 min at 4°C, and the salt concentration of the supernatant was adjusted by adding 10 µL of 1 M Tris per milliliter sample, 20 µL of 5 M NaCl per milliliter sample, and 25 µL of 10% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside per milliliter sample. The supernatant was incubated for 2 h at 4°C with IgG Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Biosciences) that had been washed once with IPP150 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside). After incubation, the beads were washed at 4°C three times with IPP150 buffer and once with TEV cleavage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT). The beads were then incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 mL of TEV solution [TEV cleavage buffer with 100 U of TEV protease per milliliter [Promega]] to release the tagged complex. CaCl₂ (3 µM) was added to the solution and incubated for 1 h at 4°C with calmodulin beads (GE Healthcare Biosciences) that had been washed once with calmodulin-binding buffer (10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM imidazole, 2 mM CaCl₂, 0.25% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside). After incubation, the beads were washed three times with calmodulin-binding buffer and eluted with IPP150 calmodulin elution buffer [calmodulin-binding buffer substituted with 2 mM EGTA instead of CaCl₂]. The eluate was then concentrated with Bio max centrifugal filter tubes (Millipore).

Protein overexpression and purification for PDE assay and ITC analyses

E. coli BL21 cells carrying the respective wild-type and mutant TipF overexpression plasmids were grown in LB medium, and expression was induced by adding isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside at A₆₀₀ = 0.4 to a final concentration of 1 µM. Cells were centrifuged and resuspended in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7), 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1% glycerol, and Complete minicocktail of EDTA-free protease inhibitors at the concentrations specified by the manufacturer (Roche). Cells were lysed by passage through a French pressure cell, and the suspension was clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 40 min. The supernatant was incubated with pre-equilibrated Proximity IMAC Ni-resin [Bio-Rad] for 1 h at 4°C. The resins were washed, and the proteins were eluted with 350 mM imidazole. The eluate was loaded on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 75 prep-grade gel filtration column (GE Healthcare, FPLC system: AEXTA Purifier, Amersham Biosciences) for the next purification step using 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) and 50 mM NaCl buffer. The monomer fractions were collected and concentrated [Amicon Ultra 10,000-MW centrifugal filters, Millipore] for further assays. The protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford assay [Bio-Rad].
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PDE assay
Wild-type and mutant TipF were purified as His6-tagged variants using standard conditions [see the Supplemental Material] and tested for c-di-GMP PDE activity. As a control, PDE class A YahA from E. coli was used. For each protein, a 10 μM final concentration was incubated with 100 μM c-di-GMP (synthesized enzymatically and purified via FPLC reverse-phase chromatography) in 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM DTT at room temperature. Samples were taken at time points 0 h, 1 h, 2 h, and overnight. The reactions were stopped by heating for 5 min at 99°C, diluted with 5 mM NH₄HCO₃ [pH 8], filtered (0.22 μM), and analyzed on a Resource Q ion-exchange chromatography column [GE Healthcare].

ITC
The interaction of TipF with c-di-GMP was measured with a VP-ITC isothermal titration calorimeter from MicroCal, with TipF (32.5 μM) in the calorimeter cell and c-di-GMP (118 μM) in the injection syringe [buffer: 50 mM Tris/HCl, 50 mM NaCl at pH 8 at 25°C]. All solutions were degassed and equilibrated at the desired temperature (25°C or 35°C) before using. The delay between the injections was set to 5 min to ensure re-equilibration between injections. Data were evaluated using Origin software [OriginLab].

Cryoelectron microscopy sample preparation
*C. crescentus* grown in PYE medium were flash-frozen [plunge-frozen] onto glow-discharged, 200 mesh, Quantifoil copper grids [Quantifoil] in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark II system [FEI]. BSA-treated colloidal gold (10 nm) was applied to the grids and air-dried before sample application and plunge-freezing.

Cryoelectron microscopy data collection
Cryoelectron tomography data collection was performed with a FEI Tecnai G² F30 at the University of Minnesota Characterization Facility. Images were acquired with a Gatan 4 k × 3 4 k UltraScan charged-couple device [CCD camera] with a pixel size of 0.372 nm [at 31,000×] or 0.495 nm [at 23,000×] on the specimen. A total electron dose of 150 electrons per squared Å² was used to collect a full-tilt series ranging from −65° to +65° [131 images]. Data were collected at a defocus of 8.0 μm under focus [first CTF 0: 1/4 nm⁻²]. Images were acquired automatically with 1° tilt steps using the predictive University of California at San Francisco tomography package [Zheng et al. 2007].

Cryoelectron image processing and analysis
Tomographic reconstructions were generated using IMOD [Kremer et al. 1996]. The Amira visualization software package [Visage Imaging Systems, Inc.] was used for volume rendering and material labeling [segmentation]. A visualization and segmentation toolbox [Prugnaller et al. 2008] developed specifically for 3D electron microscopy data analysis in Amira was used to achieve a more objective segmentation of the data by avoiding manual contouring [entirely subjective tracing of edges or features]. After contrast inversion, slices were filtered using a 3D Gaussian smoothing with a kernel size of 3 × 3 × 3. Subsequently, the IslandLabel module was applied to binarize the data with a threshold and tag connected regions of the binary image with a label.

Construction of strains and plasmids, immunoblotting, yeast two-hybrid analyses, and fluorescence microscopy
Descriptions of the construction of strains and plasmids, immunoblotting, yeast two-hybrid analyses, and fluorescence microscopy can be found in the Supplemental Material.
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