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A B S T R A C T

Skin has gained substantial attention as a vaccine target organ due to its immunological properties, which include a high density of professional antigen presenting cells (APCs). Previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of this vaccination route not only in animal models but also in adults. Young children represent a population group that is at high risk from influenza infection. As a result, this group could benefit significantly from influenza vaccine delivery approaches through the skin and the improved immune response it can induce. In this study, we compared the immune responses in young BALB/c mice upon skin delivery of influenza vaccine with vaccination by the conventional intramuscular route. Young mice that received 5 μg of H1N1 A/CA/07/09 influenza subunit vaccine using MN demonstrated an improved serum antibody response (IgG1 and IgG2a) when compared to the young IM group, accompanied by higher numbers of influenza-specific antibody secreting cells (ASCs) in the bone marrow. In addition, we observed increased activation of follicular helper T cells and formation of germinal centers in the regional lymph nodes in the MN immunized group, rapid clearance of the virus from their lungs as well as complete survival, compared with partial protection observed in the IM-vaccinated group. Our results support the hypothesis that influenza vaccine delivery through the skin would be beneficial for protecting the high-risk young population from influenza infection.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Influenza virus is one of the most common respiratory viruses and one of the most frequent causes of upper respiratory tract infection [1]. When infection occurs in adults, the course of the illness is often mild and self-limited, without the need for medical care or antiviral drugs in most cases, and the expected recovery time is less than two weeks [2,3]. There are however groups at high risk from influenza infection, in which the disease severity is much greater than that observed in adults. These include the elderly over 65 [4,5], persons with underlying chronic diseases [6,7], infants and children 5 years of age and younger who have not been previously exposed to the virus [8,9], and pregnant women [10,11]. Influenza infection among these groups is often followed by secondary complications such as sinus infection, bronchitis, pneumonia as well as failure of other organs such as the heart and the kidneys, occasionally resulting in death [12,13]. Infants and children 5 years of age and younger represent one of the most susceptible groups and have increased mortality rates [2,14].

Annual vaccination is the most effective method of protection against influenza infection [15]. Despite recommendations for vaccination targeted to high-risk groups and their contacts, the CDC estimates that 36,000 deaths and 1.7 million hospitalizations can be attributed to seasonal influenza infection annually in the U.S. [16,17], with highest disease severity and mortality among high-risk groups [18,19]. While influenza vaccines can be highly effective
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in adults, there are reduced benefits in young children leaving them vulnerable to infection [20,21]. Live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) is not approved for children under 2 due to safety concerns [22,23]. Several studies have demonstrated the limited ability of inactivated influenza vaccine to induce strong humoral and cellular immune responses in young children, potentially because of the immaturity of their immune system as well as lack of prior exposure to influenza viral antigens [24–26]. As a result of reduced protection, the CDC issued new guidelines recommending 2 or more doses of seasonal influenza vaccine for this age group. This approach, however, can be associated with compliance and timeline issues which limit the desired results. These factors support the need for new vaccine formulations and alternative vaccination routes that will increase compliance, and improve protective immunity, in this high-risk population [27,28].

Several studies have demonstrated that delivery of influenza vaccine through the skin of adult mice, using different types of microneedle patches (MN), leads to enhanced immune responses and improved duration of protective immunity when compared to conventional intramuscular delivery (IM) [29–31]. Because of these promising results, and other studies [32,33] that demonstrated improved immune responses in humans after intradermal delivery of influenza antigen using reduced doses, the skin has gained attention as an attractive target for vaccine delivery.

Here we investigate delivery of a single dose of subunit influenza vaccine through the skin with microneedle patches in 2 week old mice and compare the results to those observed in young mice immunized through the conventional intramuscular route. The results support the advantages of skin vaccination using influenza vaccines not only for adults but also for infants and young children, highlighting new vaccination strategies that will improve protective immunity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Microneedle fabrication and coating

As previously described [29] metal microneedles were fabricated by laser etching stainless steel sheets (McMaster-Carr, Atlanta, GA). Each microneedle measured 700 μm tall, with a cross sectional area of 170 μm by 55 μm at the base and tapering to a sharp tip, with five microneedles per row. The size of microneedles was selected based on the depth of skin antigen presenting cell populations that are targeted for vaccine delivery and optimal immune response [34]. Microneedles were dip-coated as described [31].

2.2. Cells and viruses

MDCK cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Mediatech, Herndon, VA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Rockford, IL). Influenza virus stocks (A/California/07/2009) were prepared, purified and inactivated as previously described [30]. Hemagglutination (HA) activity was determined using turkey red blood cells (LAMPIRE, Pipersville, PA). Mouse-adapted virus was prepared by serial passage 8 times in lungs of BALB/c mice. The LD$_{50}$ was calculated by the method of Reed & Muench, and viral titer was determined by plaque assay [29,30].

2.3. Immunizations and characterization of immune responses

Female BALB/c mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA) (20 mice per group, 2 weeks old) received one dose (5 μg) of a licensed subunit A/California/07/2009 vaccine (obtained from Novartis Pharmaceuticals) through the skin using antigen-coated MN arrays or IM injection as described [31]. The sites of immunization for both MN and IM delivery were the upper gluteal quadrants of both legs and near the dorsal side. Animals were bled retro-orbitally 2 and 4 weeks post-immunization under systemic anesthesia. Anti-Ca/07/09 specific IgG, IgG1, and IgG2a antibody levels were determined quantitatively by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described [35]. The 96-well plates were coated with 2 μg of subunit A/CA/07/09 vaccine. Purified mouse IgG, IgG1, and IgG2a and goat anti-mouse-HRP for ELISA were purchased from Southern Biotechnology Associates (Birmingham, AL). Optical density was read at 490 nm. We determined the hemagglutination inhibition (HAI) titers based on the WHO protocol as described previously [35]. Heat-inactivated sera were treated with receptor-destroying neuraminidase (RDE) (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) overnight at 37°C, heat inactivated for 30 min at 56°C and incubated with packed turkey RBC for 1 h at 4°C to remove any cryoglobulins interfering with the agglutination reaction. They were serially diluted and pre-incubated at room temperature with 4 HA Units/50 μl of A/CA/07/09 virus for 30 min. An equal volume of 0.5% turkey red blood cells was then added to each well for 30 min incubation at room temperature. The HAI titer was read as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum that conferred inhibition of hemagglutination. The values were expressed as the geometric mean ± standard deviation.

Virus microneutralization assay was performed as described previously [CDC Influenza manual Rev 06FEB98] with modifications [31]. Briefly, 50 ml of virus diluent containing 100 xTCID50 of each virus was incubated with 50 ml of serially diluted heat-inactivated sera at 37°C for 1 h, and then mixed with 100 ml of freshly trypsinized low-passage MDCK cell suspension (ATCC # CRL-2936) containing 50,000 cells per well in 96-well tissue plates. The controls wells contained cells alone (negative control) and cells infected with virus (positive control). Following an 18–22 h incubation period the cells were fixed with acetone and the infected wells were detected by ELISA. Mouse monoclonal biotinylated anti-NP antibody MAB8257B (Millipore, Temecula, CA), and HRP-streptavidin conjugate (BD # 51-900209, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), were used in the ELISA. Color was developed using OPD substrate (#002003 Invitrogen, Frederick, MD) and optical density was measured at 490 nm in plate reader (model 680 BioRad, Hercules, CA). The highest serum dilution that generated 50% specific signal was considered to be the neutralization titer; 50% specific signal = (OD490 virus control - OD490 cell control)/2 * OD490 cell control.

One month post-immunization, mice (n = 5) were challenged with 5xLD$_{50}$ of mouse-adapted H1N1 A/California/07/2009 virus and were monitored for 14 days for signs of morbidity (body weight changes, fever and hunched posture) and mortality. Weight loss exceeding 25% was used as the experimental end point, at which mice were euthanized according to IACUC guidelines. The mouse-adapted virus was produced by 8 serial passages in mouse lungs. One month post immunization and four days post-challenge of an independent cohort, lungs were collected to determine levels of viral replication, and bone marrow was collected to determine influenza-specific IgG, IgG1 and IgG2a antibody-secreting cells (ASC) by ELISPOT [29]. Lung viral titers were measured per gram of tissue from lung homogenates prepared in DMEM serum-free medium as previously described [35]. For ELISPOT assay, 96-well plates were coated overnight at 4°C with subunit A/CA/07/09 vaccine at a final concentration of 2 μg/ml. The plates were washed three times with RPMI medium and blocked for 2 h with 10% fetal calf serum prior to sample addition. Single cell suspensions from bone marrow collected at 4 days after challenge (0.5–1 x 10$^6$/well) in cRPMI medium were plated directly on coated blocked plates and were incubated at 37°C for 16 h. Virus-specific ASC were detected as spots after incubation with goat anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase (Southern Biotechnology) and developed with stable
diaminobenzidene (Research Genetics). Samples were enumerated in an ELISPOT reader (Cellular Technology, Shaker Heights, OH) and the results shown as the number of ASC per 10⁶ cells [35].

Regional (inguinal) lymph nodes and spleens were collected 7 days and 14 days post-vaccination for the evaluation of activated follicular T helper cells and germinal center formation by FACS. Single cell suspensions were incubated with Fc-block (2.4G2) on ice for 15 min before staining with PNA-FITC, Fas-PE, PD-1-PE-Texas Red, CXCR5-APC, CD44-APC-e780, CD19-e450, CD4-BV510, CD3-BV650, and CD8-BV785 antibodies for 30 min on ice. Antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences, eBiosciences, and BioLegend. Cells were washed and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature prior to analysis. Samples were acquired on a LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star). Serum samples and lung homogenates were individually processed to determine humoral immune responses and neutralization titers respectively. All animal studies had approval of Emory’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

2.4. Statistics

The statistical significance of differences was calculated by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA (one-way analysis of variance including Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test) or two-way ANOVA. Values of p ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. Unless otherwise stated, independent experiments were run at least in triplicate.

3. Results

3.1. Humoral immune responses after MN or IM delivery of influenza subunit vaccine

In order to assess the effectiveness of influenza vaccination in young populations, we first measured the levels of functional antibody titers against the hemagglutinin antigen (HA) of influenza virus induced after the skin delivery with MNs or intramuscularly immunization. Although no significant responses were measured as soon as day 14, young mice that received influenza subunit vaccine through the skin using MN patches demonstrated significantly higher HAI titers at day 28 post-vaccination when compared to IM-immunized young mice (Fig. 1A). The HAI titers in young mice that were immunized through the skin were similar to the HAI titers measured in control immunized adult mice vaccinated with either IM or MN routes. In addition, these results were further supported by significantly higher microneutralization titers measured in serum samples from MN immunized young mice 28 days post-vaccination (supplementary Fig. 1). These results indicate that delivery of subunit influenza vaccine through the skin using MN patches in young mice induces higher levels of functional antibodies when compared to IM immunization.

We furthermore determined the levels of influenza-specific circulating IgG antibodies in sera of young mice vaccinated by either MN or IM routes. At two and four weeks post-vaccination, young mice that were immunized via the skin exhibited significantly higher total anti-influenza specific antibody titers when compared to mice immunized IM (Fig. 1B). We also measured significantly
higher levels of both IgG1 and IgG2a isotypes in young mice vaccinated by MN which were 2 or 3-fold higher respectively when compared to young mice that were immunized via the IM route (Fig. 1C and D). A significant finding is the low levels of IgG2a antibodies measured in IM vaccinated mice, which could indicate the lack of development of this arm of the immune system in young mice after IM immunization. Several studies have demonstrated the distinct contribution and important roles these isotypes play during protection. We have previously demonstrated that the profile of the immune responses is affected by the route of immunization [29,30]. In this study, young mice that received the subunit vaccine through the MN route exhibited similar levels of IgG2a antibodies as found in adult mice immunized by MN. Although no significant difference in immune response was observed in IM vs. MN vaccinated adult mice 28 days post-vaccination, we have previously demonstrated the effectiveness of MN delivery of influenza vaccine to induce improve immune responses that are long lasting [29]. Overall, the immune response in young mice after MN delivery was similar to that seen in adults after IM or MN vaccination. These results indicate that MN delivery of influenza subunit vaccine in young mice induces higher anti-influenza specific antibodies when compared to the titers observed in young mice immunized by the IM route, and a more closely balanced isotype antibody response.

3.2. Protection against lethal influenza infection

In order to compare the protective capacity of the immune response induced in young mice after delivery of influenza subunit vaccine by MN or IM delivery, we challenged mice from both groups with 5xLD50 of mouse adapted H1N1 A/California/07/2009 virus by intranasal inoculation with 50 μl of the virus. After challenge, all mice were followed for 14 days for signs of morbidity (body weight changes, fever and hunched posture) and mortality. As seen in Fig. 2A, young mice immunized by the IM route exhibited a higher body weight loss when compared to the body weight changes in young mice immunized via the skin. Additionally, young mice immunized IM were only partially protected after lethal challenge, with a mortality rate of 40%. In contrast, young mice that were immunized via the skin with MN patches demonstrated 100% survival (Fig. 2B). The improved protection observed in the young MN immunized mice is reflected by reduced virus titers measured in the lungs of these mice one month post vaccination and 4 days post challenge. In young mice that were immunized by the IM route, we observed high virus titers with a 1 log decrease when compared to unimmunized infected animals (Fig. 2C). In contrast, young MN-immunized mice exhibited a 4 log decrease in lung virus titers when compared to the unimmunized group. Although delivery of influenza vaccine using MN patches conferred completed survival, mice from both MN and IM immunized groups exhibited signs of disease with more intense symptoms in the IM group. This is not surprising since both MN and IM immunization represent systemic routes of vaccine delivery not capable of inducing sterilizing immunity, which can be induced by intranasal vaccination [36]. These results demonstrate the induction of more effective protective immune responses after MN delivery of subunit influenza vaccine in young mice, and a rapid clearance of the virus from their lungs, leading to complete survival.

Fig. 2. Protective efficacy of immunization against H1N1 A/California/07/2009 lethal infection and analysis of lung viral titers. Body weight changes (A) and survival rates (B) were recorded after lethal challenge with 5xLD50 of A/California/07/2009 virus in young MN and IM immunized mice 2 weeks post immunization and naive mice. Lung viral titers (C) were determined by plaque assay. INF: infected mice. Data represent the mean ± SD, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0001.
3.3. Evaluation of long-lived bone marrow plasma cells

To further investigate the improved immune responses when compared to IM immunization, we measured the levels of long-lived influenza virus-specific IgG plasma cells in the bone marrow and IgG1 and IgG2a isotypes at 4 weeks after a single vaccination. Young mice that received the vaccine through the skin via MNs exhibited a two-fold higher number of IgG ASCs in the bone marrow when compared to the numbers measured in the IM group (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, we observed significantly higher numbers of IgG1 (p < 0.005) ASCs in the MN group when compared to IM, and three-fold higher levels of IgG2a ASCs in the young mice immunized via MN in comparison to IM immunized mice (Fig. 3B and C). The levels of ASCs in the young mice immunized with MN patches were similar to levels measured in MN vaccinated adult mice controls. The increased numbers of influenza virus-specific long-lived plasma cells in the bone marrows of young mice vaccinated by MNs correlate with the higher serum antibody levels observed in these mice, and also correspond with the isotype difference observed between MN and IM vaccinated young mice. These differences reflect the improved humoral immune responses in young MN mice and the differences in protection observed among these groups after lethal influenza challenge.

3.4. Cellular immune responses in the lungs and spleens of vaccinated young mice

The levels of CD4+ and CD8+ IFN-γ T-cells in the lungs and spleens of young mice vaccinated by MN or IM were also evaluated. No significant increase was measured in either of the two T-cell populations in the lungs of both MN and IM young vaccinated groups (supplementary Fig. 2A and B). Similarly, characterization of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells in the spleens of young mice did not reveal significant cellular immune responses (supplementary Fig. 2C and D). Both MN and IM young mice vaccinated with influenza subunit vaccine demonstrated reduced numbers of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells when compared to the levels measured in adult MN vaccinated mice (data not shown). These results indicate that in young mice, delivery of subunit influenza vaccine induces a limited activation of the cellular response and that the protection observed after challenge is mediated by humoral responses.

3.5. Evaluation of activated follicular B helper T (Tfh) cells and germinal center formation

Several studies have demonstrated the fundamental role of Tfh cells for the generation of adaptive immunity, immunological memory and the formation of germinal centers (GCs). We measured a two-fold increase in the numbers of double-positive CD4+ and CD4+CD44high T cells in the inguinal lymph nodes of young mice immunized via the MN route when compared to the numbers observed in the IM immunized group (Fig. 4B). GCs are formations within secondary lymphoid organs in which the B cell response against an antigen occurs. We detected elevated numbers of double positive FAS and PNA CD19 positive lymphocytes (activated GCs) in regional lymph nodes of young mice immunized via MN patches and a 3-fold increase when compared to the numbers measured in young mice immunized via the IM route (Fig. 4A). These results indicate that delivery of influenza subunit vaccine via the skin with MN patches enhances Tfh cell levels and increases formation of GCs, leading to an improved antigen-specific B cell response.
Fig. 4. Analysis of activated follicular B helper T cells and germinal center formation. The numbers of GCs (A) and Tfh cells (B) from the inguinal lymph nodes were determined by FACS analysis 7 days post immunization in 2-week-old mice vaccinated with microneedles or intramuscularly. Data represent the mean ± SD, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0001.

4. Discussion

Skin is the largest immunological organ of the human body [37]. It is composed of two primary layers, the epidermis and dermis [38]. In both layers, populations of specialized APCs with unique phenotypic and functional roles are present: Langerhans cells (LCs) are abundant in the epidermis and dermal dendritic cells (dDCs) are enriched in the dermis [39–42]. These two populations can be distinguished based on their surface markers: LCs express CD207 and CD205 and differential levels of CD11b, while dDCs express CD11b and CD205, but not CD207 [43,44]. Additionally, these two cell populations are characterized by differences in chemokine receptor expression and cytokine release [45].

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that demonstrates improved immune responses and improved protection in young mice after delivery of an influenza vaccine through the skin using MN patches. It has been estimated that each year, influenza infection in the U.S. is responsible for more than 20,000 childhood hospitalizations and more than 100 pediatric deaths. Despite the increased risk from infection, vaccination rates remain low with an average rate of 40% annually. These data strongly support the need for new, more effective influenza vaccines or vaccination routes that can induce improved immune responses and protection, and increased vaccine compliance and vaccination coverage in this high risk group. Multiple factors could be responsible for reduced T cell activation, reduced activation of GCs and an overall reduction in adaptive immune responses [29]. The skin represents an ideal target organ for vaccine delivery because it contains a large network of professional APCs that will take up antigen and migrate to the proximal lymph nodes to activate T and B cells, initiating the adaptive immune responses. Previous studies from our group and other have demonstrated the immunological advantages after skin delivery of influenza vaccine in adults [29,30]. In the present study, we demonstrate improved humoral immune responses after skin delivery of a single dose of influenza subunit vaccine using microneedle patches in young mice, when compared to intramuscular immunization. These improved humoral responses observed in young mice correlated with higher numbers of antibody-secreting cells in their bone marrow. Germinal centers are formations within secondary lymphoid organs where the process of B cell differentiation, proliferation, maturation, clone selection and class switch is occurring [46]. The processes taking place inside germinal centers will define the quality of the B cell response to a specific antigen [47]. In this process, B cells constantly migrate between the light and dark zones within germinal centers during which the selection of long-lived B cells with high affinity for the antigen will occur [48]. The higher number of activated GCs measured in the inguinal lymph nodes of young mice 7 days post skin vaccination with influenza subunit vaccine correlates with the increased numbers of ASCs and improved humoral immune responses observed in this group, when compared to the intramuscularly immunized young mice, and may be responsible for the more rapid virus clearance and complete survival seen after lethal challenge. TFH cells are essential for the regulation of B cell differentiation into plasma cells and memory B cells thus playing a fundamental role in the generation of immunological memory [49,50]. TFH cells play a critical role in mediating the selection of B cells and regulating their survival. These selected B cells will further differentiate into high affinity antibody secreting cells (ASCs) or memory B cells that will be rapidly re-activated upon encounter with a similar antigen [51]. We observed increased numbers of TFH cells in the proximal lymph nodes of young mice immunized via microneedles, which are probably the result of
better antigen processing and presentation by antigen-presenting cells residing in the dermis and epidermis.

The significant differences observed in responses to the two routes of immunization used in young mice validate the use of this model, and support previous results showing the benefits of skin delivery of influenza vaccine using microneedle patches [29,31]. In addition to immunological advantages, microneedle skin vaccination offers logistical advantages, such as ease of administration, rapid transport and delivery, lack of a requirement for highly trained personnel to administer the vaccine as needed for intramuscular injection, and little or no bio-hazardous waste [30]. In contrast, the currently used intramuscular vaccination approach delivers the vaccine to the muscle, an area with reduced numbers of APCs to take up and process the antigen, leading to reduced immune responses [29,52]. Furthermore, recent studies among volunteers have demonstrated a preference for this route of vaccine delivery over the conventional IM route [53]. Several factors might contribute to this preference, such as needle phobia, lack of pain, and the potential for self-administration. These factors could also play a crucial role in improving influenza vaccine compliance and coverage among the population [53]. Although in our study the MN patches only contained one influenza strain, it is highly likely that MN delivery of the trivalent or quadrivalent vaccine will have also enhance the immune response. Previous studies that compared the magnitude of the immune response when delivering a single influenza strain, or multiple influenza strains, failed to show any signs of antigenic competition [54]. In addition, the large number of skin APCs and the fast rate of repopulation within 24 h [45] minimize the possibility of differences in the immune response to influenza antigens when delivering either trivalent or quadrivalent influenza vaccine. Taking under consideration the logistical advantages which this technology offers as well as the possibility of administering other pediatric vaccines, we consider this approach to skin immunization to be a very promising vaccination strategy that will improve influenza-related outcomes in all age groups of the population.
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