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Simian Immunodeficiency Virus Targeting of CXCR3+ CD4+ T Cells in Secondary Lymphoid Organs Is Associated with Robust CXCL10 Expression in Monocyte/Macrophage Subsets
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ABSTRACT Glycosylation of Env defines pathogenic properties of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV). We previously demonstrated that pathogenic SIVmac239 and a live-attenuated, quintuple deglycosylated Env mutant (Δ5G) virus target CD4+ T cells residing in different tissues during acute infection. SIVmac239 and Δ5G preferentially infected distinct CD4+ T cells in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs) and within the lamina propria of the small intestine, respectively (C. Sugimoto et al., J Virol 86: 9323–9336, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00948-12). Here, we studied the host responses relevant to SIV targeting of CXCR3+CCR5+ CD4+ T cells in SLOs. Genome-wide transcriptome analyses revealed that Th1-polarized inflammatory responses, defined by expression of CXCR3 chemokines, were distinctly induced in the SIVmac239-infected animals. Consistent with robust expression of CXCL10, CXCR3+ T cells were depleted from blood in the SIVmac239-infected animals. We also discovered that elevation of CXCL10 expression in blood and SLOs was secondary to the induction of CD14+CD16+ monocytes and MAC387+ macrophages, respectively. Since the significantly higher levels of SIV infection in SLOs occurred with a massive accumulation of infiltrated MAC387+ macrophages, T cells, dendritic cells (DCs), and residential macrophages near high endothelial venules, the results highlight critical roles of innate/inflammatory responses in SIVmac239 infection. Restricted infection in SLOs by Δ5G also suggests that glycosylation of Env modulates innate/inflammatory responses elicited by cells of monocyte/macrophage/DC lineages.

IMPORTANCE We previously demonstrated that a pathogenic SIVmac239 virus and a live-attenuated, deglycosylated mutant Δ5G virus infected distinct CD4+ T cell subsets in SLOs and the small intestine, respectively (C. Sugimoto et al., J Virol 86:9323–9336, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00948-12). Accordingly, infections with SIVmac239, but not with Δ5G, deplete CXCR3+CCR5+CD4+ T (Th1) cells during the primary infection, thereby compromising the cellular immune response. Thus, we hypothesized that distinct host responses are elicited by the infections with two different viruses. We
found that SIVmac239 induced distinctly higher levels of inflammatory Th1 responses than Δ5G. In particular, SIVmac239 infection elicited robust expression of CXCL10, a chemokine for CXCR3+ cells, in CD14+ CD16+ monocytes and MAC387+ macrophages recently infiltrated in SLOs. In contrast, Δ5G infection elicited only modest inflammatory responses. These results suggest that the glycosylation of Env modulates the inflammatory/Th1 responses through the monocyte/macrophage subsets and elicits marked differences in SIV infection and clinical outcomes.
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**H**uman immunodeficiency virus/simian immunodeficiency virus (HIV/SIV) preferentially infect CCR5+ CD4+ T cells in mucosal and systemic immune tissues during primary infection (1, 2). However, in addition to CCR5 expression, the CD4+ T cells are comprised of a diverse population of phenotypically and functionally distinct subsets that include cells classified as Th1, Th2, Th17, follicular T helper (Tfh), and T regulatory (Treg) cells (3). Each subset produces distinct cytokines for specialized helper and/or regulatory functions and expresses, on their cell surfaces, distinct chemokine receptors that help guide migration of these subsets to distinct niches in tissues. Most, if not all, of these CD4+ T cell subsets are either directly or indirectly affected by HIV/SIV infection (1, 4–6). While considerable advances have been made in identification of the subsets of CD4+ T cells that are associated with pathogenesis of HIV/SIV infection, it is not clear how distinct CD4+ T cell subsets are preferentially infected in distinct clinical outcomes (7–9). More importantly, the molecular mechanisms for such selective subset targeting remain to be defined.

We have previously reported that while SIVmac239 infects CD4+ T cells within the T and B cell zones of lymph nodes (LNs) during primary infection in rhesus macaques, a live-attenuated nef mutant (ΔNef) virus selectively infects CD4+ T cells localized to the germinal centers/B cell zones, where Tfh cells reside (10). These results highlighted the critical roles of the Nef protein in targeting CD4+ T cells in the paracortex/T cell zones, where CXCR3+ CD4+ T cells are activated and differentiate into Th1 and other CD4+ T cell subsets. Similarly, glycosylation of Env also plays an important role in the targeting of CD4+ T cell subsets.

This conclusion was reached with studies that utilized a series of deglycosylation mutant viruses, in which one to five N-glycosylation sites in the gp120 were mutated (11, 12). We discovered that infection of rhesus macaques with a quintuple deglycosylation mutant (Δ5G) possesses novel properties; thus, whereas Δ5G replicated in vivo robustly and to similar levels as SIVmac239 during primary infection, Δ5G-infected monkeys controlled viremia to undetectable levels that lasted >10 years. Such infection with Δ5G conferred significant protection against a challenge infection with the heterologous SIVsmE543-3 (13). The containment of the chronic infection in Δ5G-infected animals was not due to the generation of neutralizing antibodies (14).

These findings led us to focus our interest on defining the host responses that lead to the opposing clinical outcomes between SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals. Our initial findings indicated that while SIVmac239 targets Th1 cells and other CD4+ T cell subsets in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), Δ5G preferentially infects CD4+ T cells, most likely Th17 cells, in the lamina propria of the small intestine (1). Collectively, glycosylation of Env and the presence of Nef are required for SIVmac239 targeting of Th1, Tfh, and other CD4+ T cell subsets in SLOs, while infection with either of the two attenuated viruses results in the preservation of CXCR3+ CCR5+ CD4+ T cells in SLOs.

Hence, we now focus on host responses that may determine the opposing clinical outcomes from infection with SIVmac239 or Δ5G. In particular, we studied the expression of CXCR3 chemokines in an effort to elucidate the mechanisms that enable SIVmac239, but not Δ5G, to target CD4+ T cells in SLOs.
RESULTS

Depletion of CXCR3+ T cell cells occurred in blood from SIVmac239-infected animals but not Δ5G-infected animals at 7 days p.i. Flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals and uninfected control animals revealed that while there were no detectable differences in the levels of total CD3+ CD4+ and CD3+ CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1A and B), there were significant differences in the CXCR3-expressing subset of each of the two T cell lineages. Enumeration of the absolute numbers of the cells showed that CXCR3-expressing CD4+ T cells and CXCR3-expressing CD8+ T cells were depleted at 1 and 3
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**FIG 1** Frequencies of CXCR3-expressing CD4+ T and CD8+ T cells in uninfected, SIVmac239-infected, and Δ5G-infected animals. The frequencies of CXCR3-expressing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the gated population of CD3+ T cells (A to D) and the absolute numbers (cell number per microliter of blood) (E to G) were analyzed at 7 days (1 week [1w]) and 21 days (3 weeks [3w]) p.i. by flow cytometry. Cell populations are as indicated above the panels. *, P < 0.05; **, 0.01 < P < 0.05.
weeks postinfection (p.i.) in SIVmac239-infected but not in Δ5G-infected or uninfected animals (Fig. 1C and D). These findings were consistent with values from whole-blood analysis (Fig. 1E and F). Notably, these differences were not secondary to differences in the expression of CCR5 by the CXCR3-expressing CD4+ T cells since the level of CCR5-expressing cells within this subset was minimal (Fig. 1G). These data were consistent with the finding that the peak expression of cytokines and chemokines occurs prior to peak viremia in acute HIV infection (15), suggesting that these chemokines may influence the trafficking of the CXCR3+ T cells from the blood to the tissues, including the SLOs, where the relevant chemokines are extensively expressed following SIVmac239 infection.

Transcriptome analyses demonstrated abundant expression of interferon (IFN)-stimulated genes (ISGs), antiviral factors, and pattern recognition receptor (PRRs), illustrating the occurrence of innate immune responses against SIVmac239 and Δ5G. Next, we performed transcriptome analyses using PBMCs collected from the infected animals at 7 days p.i., corresponding to approximately 3 to 5 days before peak viremia was achieved (Fig. 2A), as shown in previous reports (1, 13). Among the genes analyzed with a total of 44,000 probes, those corresponding to 4,256 probes showed a 2-fold change (>2 or <0.5) in expression between SIVmac239-infected and uninfected animals, Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals, or Δ5G- and SIVmac239-infected animals. Hierarchical clustering analyses identified six groups (G1 to G6), among which SIVmac239 infection predominantly upregulated the expression of most of genes clustered in G1, G3, and G5, whereas Δ5G infection upregulated the expression of most of genes in G1, G2, and G3 (Fig. 2B). These results indicated that the two viruses induced distinct host responses, which may be due to differences in the targeting of different subsets of CD4+ T cells (1), as exemplified by the selective depletion of CXCR3+ CCR5+ CD4+ T cells in SIVmac239- but not Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 1G).

Several studies (16–19) have reported that SIV/HIV infection elicits robust innate immune responses highlighted by the expression of a number of genes, including IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) and antiviral host factors that are triggered by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) sensing viral and cellular components initiated during the early stage of infection. Therefore, we analyzed the expression of these genes and compared expression levels obtained during acute infection in SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 2C and D; Tables 1 and 2). A number of ISGs were upregulated in PBMC samples obtained on day 7 p.i. from both SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 2C). However, as shown in Table 1, the levels of expression of approximately 75% (17/23) of genes were 1.4- to 3.6-fold (mean, 2.4-fold) higher in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals than in those from Δ5G-infected animals. Overall, the differences were consistent with the difference in levels of the expression of IFN-β1 (Table 1). This difference may be explained by the different levels of viral replication between the two viruses at 7 days p.i. (Fig. 2A). Thus, the innate antiviral responses associated with these genes may not be primarily directed at the live-attenuated properties of Δ5G, such as containment of viremia following primary infection in Δ5G- but not SIVmac239-infected animals, as previously reported (11).

Next, we examined the expression levels of genes that have been previously documented as naturally occurring antiretroviral host factors (20). As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2D, the differences in expression levels of APOBEC3s, TRIMs, and BST2 between the two groups were less than 2-fold. Notably, the genes that distinguish the responses to acute infection with Δ5G from those with SIVmac239 exhibit inherent differences in the sensing of viral nucleic acids. Thus, while Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7) senses viral RNA via the endosomal pathways (21, 22), DDX58/RIG-I and IFIHI1/MDA5 sense viral RNA in the cytosol of the cells (20). Expression levels of these genes were 2- to 3-fold higher in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected animals, similar to the differences in the expression levels of ISGs (Tables 1 and 2).

Taken together, these results indicated that innate responses mediated by ISGs, antiretroviral host factors, and sensing viral nucleic acids occurred similarly during early acute infection in SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals.
Th1-polarized responses and Th1 differentiation account for the differences in the outcomes of SIVmac239 and Δ5G SIV infections. Innate immune responses that are mediated through PRRs consist of antimicrobial responses, including the activation of proinflammatory genes (23). Depending on the type of microbes, the inflammatory
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**FIG 2** Expression of genes involved in type I interferon and innate antiviral responses, Th1-polarized inflammatory responses, and chemotaxis of immune cells. (A) SIV replication in the SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals. (B) RNAs from the PBMCs of the SIV-infected and the uninfected animals (n = 6 each) were subjected to a rhesus macaque microarray (44,000 probes, version 2; Agilent Technologies). A total of 4,256 probes had significant differences (fold change of >2 or <0.05; statistical significance at a P value of <0.05) between two of three groups (SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals) and were clustered into six groups (G1 to G6). The heat maps show relative levels of gene expression normalized to the mean expression level of the controls (uninfected animals). The selected probes were sorted by the following gene functions: representative interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (C), genes related to innate responses and antiviral functions (D), genes related to T cell activation and Th1 differentiation (E), and chemokine-related genes (F). The heat maps show relative levels of gene expression in each animal normalized to the median expression level of all samples. Results were generated by hierarchical clustering analyses using the Subio Platform (Subio). dpi, days postinfection.
Interestingly, these genes were significantly activated but only in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals. Genes involved in the inflammatory responses and Th1 responses was associated with pathogenic rather than non-pathogenic SIV infection during the early stages. Thus, we next compared the expression levels of genes that were likely to be involved in the inflammatory responses and in T cell activation between SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals. Genes involved in inflammasome activation include CASP1 and cytosolic and DNA sensor IFI16 (25). Interestingly, these genes were significantly activated but only in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals (Fig. 2E; Table 3). Moreover, the degree of T cell activation reflected by the expression levels of GCH1 in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals was 3.2-fold higher than those from Δ5G-infected animals. The expression of genes involved in general T cell activation was also evident, but the differences in expression levels were modest and not significant, as exemplified by the levels of expression of CD274, IDO1, and IL1RN. Nevertheless, differences in the expression levels of these genes were mostly similar to those observed for ISGs, antiretroviral host factors, and nucleic acid sensors (Tables 1 to 3). However, there was a more pronounced and significant difference in the expression levels of the genes associated with Th1 cell activation. Indeed, among the chemokines involved in the chemoattraction of CXCR3+ T cells, the expression levels of CXCL10 and CXCL11 were exceptionally high (10.3- and 7.1-fold, respectively) in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals compared with those in PBMCs from Δ5G-infected animals (Table 3). These chemokines have previously been shown to play a critical role in the activation of CXCR3+ T cells in SLOs (26–28) and therefore may contribute to the increased frequencies of infected CD4+ T cells in SLOs in SIVmac239-infected animals.

In addition to the above-described increases in chemokine gene expression in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals, significant upregulation was also observed.

**Table 1** Interferon-stimulated genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Relative expression in:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIVmac239-infected vs Δ5G-infected animals</td>
<td>SIVmac239-infected vs uninfected animals</td>
<td>Δ5G-infected vs uninfected animals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fold change</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Fold change</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNB1</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.26E−02</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>3.13E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFITM3</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.64E−05</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.13E−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.13E−02</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.13E−02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSAD2</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30E−02</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30E−02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.57E−02</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>4.14E−02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX2</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>4.15E−03</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>9.39E−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASL</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>7.67E−03</td>
<td>33.07</td>
<td>3.36E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI27</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>5.97E−03</td>
<td>47.91</td>
<td>2.81E−09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.85E−04</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>8.13E−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.89E−03</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>5.47E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT3</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>4.39E−03</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>3.44E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG20</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>6.55E−05</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.70E−08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>6.31E−04</td>
<td>43.29</td>
<td>4.48E−09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.42E−04</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>9.79E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP1</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.46E−05</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>3.78E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP6</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.42E−04</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>9.79E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT5</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>7.60E−05</td>
<td>40.90</td>
<td>8.76E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT2</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.67E−02</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>2.37E−06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT2</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.20E−05</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>8.45E−04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI44L</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>4.62E−02</td>
<td>28.05</td>
<td>3.26E−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.07E−02</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*All genes with the exception of IFNB1 belonged to group 3 as determined by hierarchical clustering analyses of transcriptome analysis data (Fig. 2B). IFNB1 belonged to group 5.*

**Fold change values** are shown.
for GADD45A, GADD45B, GADD45G, and STAT4 (Fig. 2E and Table 3). These genes might be involved in the downregulation of immune responses in Δ5G-infected animals but not in SIVmac239-infected animals. GADD45 proteins have been reported to be involved in the differentiation and/or function of Th1 cells (29); GADD45A functions as a negative regulator of activation-induced T cell proliferation (30), whereas GADD45B and GADD45G are required for IFN-γ/H9253 production by Th1 cells (21, 22, 31–33). STAT4 is required for interleukin-12 (IL-12)-dependent differentiation of Th1 cells (34). Indeed, the levels of IFN-γ/H9253 expression were significantly higher in cells from Δ5G-infected animals than those from SIVmac239-infected animals (Table 3). These data implied that there were significant differences in the expression levels of genes involved in the differentiation and regulation of Th1 cell activation between Δ5G- and SIVmac239-infected animals.

Differential expression levels of select chemokine/chemokine receptor genes distinguished SIVmac239 from Δ5G infection. Chemokines play important roles in immune responses by directing the migration of select immune cells expressing the corresponding receptors to inflammatory effector sites, inductive tissues for initiating adaptive immune response, and/or residential tissues for homeostatic regulation (35). Interestingly, the expression levels of the CXCR3 ligand genes, including CXCL10 and CXCL11, were markedly higher in SIVmac239-infected than in Δ5G-infected animals (Table 3). We reasoned that these differences could account for the preferential infection of CD4+ T cells in SLOs of SIVmac239-infected animals but not Δ5G-infected animals.

These findings prompted us to examine the expression levels of some additional chemokine and chemokine receptor genes in PBMCs from SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals in greater detail (Fig. 2F and Table 4). Phylogenetic tree analyses were utilized to group the chemokines and chemokine receptors into three clusters (Fig. 2F). The genes clustered in the bottom rows of Fig. 2F were genes whose expression levels were lower in SIVmac239-infected animals than in uninfected animals and included genes encoding CCR6, CXCR7, CXCR5, and CCL5 (Table 4). The genes clustered in the upper rows were expressed at higher levels in PBMCs from Δ5G-infected animals than

### TABLE 2 Pattern recognition receptors and antiviral factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Relative expression in:</th>
<th>SIVmac239-infected vs Δ5G-infected animals</th>
<th>SIVmac239-infected vs uninfected animals</th>
<th>Δ5G-infected vs uninfected animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fold change</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Fold change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.00E–03</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>8.86E–04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>5.33E–04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BST2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>9.49E–03</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.13E–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX60</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.22E–03</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>7.22E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM22</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.09E–04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX60</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.01E–02</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>1.53E–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX58</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>5.01E–04</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>3.87E–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI1H</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>4.13E–03</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>1.45E–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR7</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.99E–04</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>6.13E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM21</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.67E–02</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.71E–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM14</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>7.59E–03</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.36E–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM5</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.72E–04</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.05E–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYD88</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.60E–04</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.22E–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOBEC3H</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>3.74E–02</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4.13E–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOBEC3B</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>7.51E–07</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>8.11E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOBEC3G</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1.10E–05</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.02E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOBEC3A</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>1.85E–06</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>4.02E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM69</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.06E–02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* All genes belonged to group 3 as determined from hierarchical clustering analyses of transcriptome analysis data (Fig. 2B).

*b* Fold changes between two groups with statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) are shown.
in uninfected animals and included genes encoding CXCR4, CXCL14, CXCR1, CCR1, CCL2, and CCL3. In contrast, genes clustered in the middle rows of Fig. 2F included genes encoding CXCL10 and CXCL11 (predominantly associated with a Th1 response) that were expressed at higher levels in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals than in those from Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals (Table 4). Notably, the gene encoding CCL8 was expressed at a level 100-fold higher in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals than in PBMCs from the uninfected animals. In addition, the expression levels of genes encoding chemokines (CXCL12, CXCL9, CCL11, and CCL28) and chemokine receptors (CCR8 and CX3CR1) were expressed at significantly higher levels in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals than in those from Δ5G-infected animals. Since CCL8 functions as a chemokine for CCR5<sup>+</sup>H1001 cells, the higher expression levels of CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL8 in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected animals may be involved in the enhanced trafficking of CXCR3- and CCR5-expressing cells, such as CCR5<sup>+</sup>CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>T cells, to tissues where these chemokines are expressed, such as the SLOs. In addition, we also noted upregulation in the expression of CX3CR1 in SIVmac239-infected animals. Since CX3CR1 is expressed by CD16<sup>+</sup>monocytes (36), we hypothesized that this cell lineage may have an important role during the early stages of primary infection, as outlined in studies of the pathogenesis of HIV/SIV infection (37).

**SIVmac239 infection elicited robust CXCL10 expression.** Transcriptome analyses revealed that CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL8 mRNAs were markedly upregulated in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals compared with levels in PBMCs from Δ5G-infected animals at 7 days p.i. (Fig. 2 and Table 4). Thus, we validated and compared mRNA levels of the CXCR3 chemokines and CCL8 using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Consistent with the microarray results, the mRNA levels of these chemokines in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals were clearly different from those from

### Table 3 T cell activation and Th1 differentiation genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and/or gene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Relative expression in:</th>
<th>SIVmac239-infected vs Δ5G-infected animals</th>
<th>SIVmac239-infected vs uninfected animals</th>
<th>Δ5G-infected vs uninfected animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fold change&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Fold change</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>3.45E−05</td>
<td>50.60</td>
<td>4.91E−08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASP1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>9.64E−04</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.00E−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCH1</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>8.40E−04</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>6.92E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL11</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>6.29E−04</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>1.95E−07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDO1</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>2.40E−05</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>4.11E−04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD274</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.51E−02</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>2.00E−04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1RN</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>2.49E−05</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>8.28E−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL9</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>2.01E−03</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.30E−02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI16</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>9.05E−04</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>5.12E−05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNG</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.70E−02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12A</td>
<td>(0.74)</td>
<td>(2.05)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.26E−03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>3.73E−02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLA4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>7.84E−03</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.41E−03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GADD45A</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>7.79E−03</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.78E−03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GADD45B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>7.39E−03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GADD45G</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.96E−02</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>8.93E−03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.17E−02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12B</td>
<td>(1.34)</td>
<td>(0.87)</td>
<td>(0.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBX21</td>
<td>(0.97)</td>
<td>(0.76)</td>
<td>(0.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Groups were determined from hierarchical clustering analyses of transcriptome analysis data (Fig. 2B).

<sup>b</sup>Fold changes between two groups with statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) are shown (values shown in parentheses are not significantly different).
uninfected and Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 3A). While CXCL9 mRNA levels in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals were slightly higher than those in PBMCs from uninfected animals, significant increases in CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL8 mRNAs (32-, 15-, and 43-fold, respectively) were observed in PBMCs from SIVmac239-infected animals compared with levels in PBMCs from uninfected animals. Moreover, although the levels of CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL8 mRNAs in PBMCs from the Δ5G-infected animals were also higher than those in PBMCs from uninfected animals, the relative levels were significantly lower (2.8-, 1.2-, and 6.9-fold, respectively). Overall, the fold change results determined by the qRT-PCR were well correlated with those determined by the microarray analysis ($P < 0.0006$, Spearman $r = 0.781$) (data not shown). More importantly, the differences in mRNA levels among these chemokines were evident (Fig. 3A). Thus, the median level of CXCL10 mRNA in SIVmac239-infected animals was 1 to 2 logs (20-, 15-, and 70-fold) higher than the levels of CXCL9, CXCL11, and CCL8, respectively, indicating that CXCL10, a major CXCR3 chemokine, could be responsible for the depletion of CXCR3$^+$ T cells in the blood at 7 days p.i. (Fig. 1).

### TABLE 4 Chemokines and chemokine receptors

| Gene subset and namea | Groupb | Relative expression in: | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                       |        | SIVmac239-infected vs Δ5G-infected animals | SIVmac239-infected vs uninfected animals | Δ5G-infected vs uninfected animals |
|                       |        | Fold changec $P$ value  | Fold change $P$ value  | Fold change $P$ value |
| CXCR4                 | G2     | 0.32 6.54E−03 3.32 1.93E−04 | 4.56 4.42E−02 6.65 3.26E−08 | 7.62 2.45E−03 |
| CXCL14                | G2     | 0.34 2.01E−02 2.83 1.24E−02 | 3.17 1.55E−02 3.93 1.03E−03 | 26.99 6.94E−03 |
| CXCR1                 | G2     | 0.34 2.01E−02 2.83 1.24E−02 | 3.17 1.55E−02 3.93 1.03E−03 | 26.99 6.94E−03 |
| CCL2                  | G3     | 28.03 2.55E−03 12.93 7.48E−04 | 7.05 5.36E−04 20.99 6.19E−05 | 24.57 8.39E−02 |
| CCL3                  | G2     | 28.03 2.55E−03 12.93 7.48E−04 | 7.05 5.36E−04 20.99 6.19E−05 | 24.57 8.39E−02 |
| CCL1                  | G2     | 0.14 4.05E−02 6.18 3.42E−02 | 0.14 4.05E−02 6.18 3.42E−02 | 6.18 |
| Avg                   |        | 28.03 2.55E−03 12.93 7.48E−04 | 7.05 5.36E−04 20.99 6.19E−05 | 24.57 8.39E−02 |

**a**Genes are grouped according to the three clusters shown in Fig. 2F (see text).

**b**Groups were determined from hierarchical clustering analyses of transcriptome analysis data (Fig. 2B).

**c**Fold changes between two groups with statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown (values shown in parentheses are not significantly different).
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To trace the source of the differences in expression of CCL8 and CXCR3 chemokines, CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11, we utilized flow cytometry-assisted cell sorting for the isolation of specific immune cells from PBMCs collected at 7 days p.i. (Fig. 3B) and examined expression of these chemokines by qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 3C, CXCL9 mRNA levels were comparable among subsets, but CXCL10 abundance was markedly variable. For example, while the cell fraction containing CD14dim (weakly positive) CD16+ monocytes and CD14+ CD16+ dendritic cells (DCs) (Fig. 3B) expressed the highest levels of CXCL10 mRNA in all animals, expression levels were 1 log (9.4- and 14.2-fold) and 2 logs (220- and 71-fold) higher in the animals infected with SIVmac239 than in Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals, respectively. Similarly, expression levels of CXCL11 in most immune cells and of CCL8 in CD14+ monocytes were significantly variable but were generally more than 1 log lower than those of CXCL10 (Fig. 3C). In addition, expression levels of CXCR3 chemokines in CD14+ monocytes, DCs/monocytes, CD4+ T cells, and CD8+ T cells exhibited different trends from 0 to 3 weeks postinfection (p.i.) (Fig. 3D). In particular, CXCL10 expression resembled plasma viral load (1), indicating that CXCL10 impacts SIV infection, or vice versa.

Since CXCL10 was most abundantly expressed in fractions containing monocytes, we further examined expression in the monocyte subsets, that is, CD14+ CD16−, CD14+ CD16+, and CD14dim CD16+ cells, as well as in CD14− CD16− DCs. For comparison, expression was also assessed in CD4+ T cells. These subsets were isolated from the PBMCs of SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals between days 7 and 21 p.i. (Fig. 3E). Results show that in both groups, expression levels were highest in CD14dim CD16− and CD14+ CD16− monocytes, followed by those in CD14+ CD16− monocytes and DCs (Fig. 3F). However, mean expression levels in all monocyte subsets and CD4+ T cells were 12- and 5-fold higher, respectively, at 7 and 12 days p.i. in animals infected with SIVmac239 than in Δ5G-infected animals.

Collectively, the data suggest that CXCL10 is the major CXCR3 chemokine differentially and abundantly expressed at 7 days p.i. with SIVmac239, mostly by CD14+ CD16− and CD14dim CD16+ monocytes. Correlations between expression levels of CXCL10 and viral loads suggest a critical role of the CD16+ monocyte subsets in SIV infection.

To validate these results, we measured CXCL10 in plasma. CXCL10 levels at 1 week p.i. were highest in SIVmac239-infected animals although levels decreased at 3 weeks p.i. but nevertheless remained detectable at 20 weeks p.i., with medians of 156, 75.0, and 13.5 pg/ml, respectively (Fig. 3G). In contrast, CXCL10 levels at 1 and 3 weeks p.i. were significantly lower at 12.9 and 9.8 pg/ml, respectively, in Δ5G-infected animals. At 20 weeks p.i., CXCL10 levels had returned to baseline and were undetectable in most Δ5G-infected animals. These results indicate that plasma CXCL10 levels at 1 week p.i. were 10-fold higher in SIVmac239-infected animals, in line with mRNA measurements.

**SIVmac239 infection elicited distinct increases of CD14+ CD16+ monocytes that express highest levels of CXCL10.** Monocytes, DCs, and macrophages play pivotal roles in innate immunity by their ability to sense a variety of microbes and exert diverse antimicrobial and inflammatory responses (36, 38). As noted, CD16+ monocyte subsets were the key producers of CXCL10 early in primary infection. Accordingly, we examined the abundance of monocyte subsets in PBMCs during primary infection. As shown in Fig. 4A, the frequencies of CD14+ CD16+ subsets in monocytes were...
significantly higher in SIVmac239-infected animals at 1 week p.i. although those decreased to baseline at 3 weeks p.i. Similar trends were observed in Δ5G-infected animals although the frequencies at 1 week p.i. were significantly lower than those in SIVmac239-infected animals.

Notably, changes in the CD14$^+$ CD16$^+$ subset appeared to drive the abundance of all other monocyte subsets in SIVmac239-infected animals. For instance, the frequencies of the CD14$^+$ CD16$^-$ subset at 1 week p.i. were lower than those in the uninfected animals (Fig. 4C), and the frequencies of the CD14dimCD16$^+$ subset at 3 weeks p.i. were higher than those at 1 week p.i. (Fig. 4B). The decrease of the CD14$^+$ CD16$^+$ subset from 1 to 3 weeks p.i. was likely due to the differentiation of the monocyte subsets into the CD14dim CD16$^+$ subset as previously reported (39). Collectively, these results indicate that the abundance of the CD14$^+$ CD16$^+$ subset increased 1 week after infection with SIVmac239 (Fig. 4D and E). The expression levels of CXCL10 coincided with this increase, implying that the CD14$^+$ CD16$^+$ subset is a principal source of the chemokine in SIV-infected animals.

**CD14$^+$ macrophages express the highest levels of CXCL10 in SLOs.** As CXCL10 was abundantly expressed in peripheral blood monocytes (Fig. 3) from SIVmac239-infected animals (Fig. 4A), CD14$^+$ CD16$^+$ monocytes express the highest levels of CXCL10 in SLOs.
infected animals, we also assessed CXCL10 expression in macrophages in the mesenteric and inguinal LNs and in the spleen at 9 and 12 days p.i. (1). For comparison, we also measured expression in DCs and in CD4+ T, CD8+ T, NK, and B cells from the same tissues (Fig. 5D). In the mesenteric LNs and spleen of infected animals, CXCL10 expression peaked at 9 days p.i. and at 12 and 9 days p.i. in inguinal LNs from SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected animals, respectively. In addition, the highest levels were detected in macrophages and were 5- to 23-fold (mean, 9-fold) higher in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 5A to C). Notably, CD14+ macrophages expressed distinctly higher levels of CXCL10 than CD14- macrophages, indicating that the former were major producers. In spleen, monocyte-derived macrophages expressed the highest level of CXCL10. Intriguingly, expression levels of CXCL10 in CD4+ T cells in SLOs were 2- to 5-fold higher at 9 days p.i. in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected animals but 16- to 46-fold higher at 12 days. This result indicates an increase in activated CD4+ T cells, likely due to the type I IFN response as reported previously (40), in the former, loss of the same cells in the latter, or both.

**SIVmac239 infection significantly increases the abundance of CD14+ macrophages in SLOs.** To examine whether CXCL10 expression was correlated with the abundance of specific immune cells, we examined, by flow cytometry, frequencies of CD4+ T, CD8+ T, B, and NK cells and CD14+ macrophages in SLOs at 9 to 12 days p.i. (Fig. 6E). Only CD14+ macrophages were markedly more abundant in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals (Fig. 6A to C). In the spleen, B and CD4+ T cells were significantly more and less abundant, respectively, in SIVmac239-infected animals than in uninfected animals. Since most spleen macrophages are derived from monocytes, CD14+ CD16- CD14+ CD16+, CD14+ CD16+, and CD14- CD16- subsets were also examined. As shown in Fig. 6D, frequencies of the CD14+ subsets (CD14+ CD16- and CD14+ CD16+ cells) were significantly higher in SIVmac239-infected than in uninfected animals. These results indicate that the increase of CD14+ macrophages in SIVmac239-infected animals is associated with robust CXCL10 expression.

**Infiltration of MAC387+ macrophages into SLOs correlates with higher levels of CXCL10 expression in SIVmac239-infected animals.** CD14+ macrophages are considered to have migrated and differentiated from monocytes and thus have different properties and functions from tissue-resident macrophages (38). In particular, MAC387+ macrophages were demonstrated as recently infiltrated to play key roles in inflammatory responses in SIV encephalitis (41). We previously reported that MAC387+ macrophages accumulate in regions adjacent to high endothelial venules (HEVs) in the paracortex of LNs from SIVmac239-infected animals during primary infection (42). Hence, we investigated whether MAC387+ macrophages infiltrating into and eliciting inflammatory responses in SLOs drive CXCL10 expression in SIVmac239-infected animals. In line with RNA levels (Fig. 5), we detected CXCL10 expression in MAC387+ CD11b+ cells, which correspond to MAC387+ CD14+ cells (Fig. 7A), but not in T, B (Fig. 7C), and NK cells (data not shown).

We found that frequencies of MAC387+ CD11b+ cells in the mesenteric LNs from uninfected, SIVmac239-infected (7 to 12 days p.i.), and Δ5G-infected (7 to 14 days p.i.) animals were 0.03 to 0.14 (percent in mononuclear cells; mean, 0.05), 0.60 to 1.29 (mean, 0.81), and 0.05 to 0.08 (mean, 0.06), respectively (Fig. 8A). Thus, the frequencies in SIVmac239-infected animals were 1 log higher than those in uninfected and Δ5G-infected animals, as was noted in the previously reported pathological results (42). Similarly, frequencies of the cells in the inguinal LNs were 0.08 to 0.21 (mean, 0.13), 0.48 to 1.88 (mean, 1.02), and 0.10 to 0.56 (mean, 0.30), respectively (Fig. 8B). Of note, the frequencies increased in SIVmac239-infected animals between 7 and 12 days p.i., which is also in line with the previously reported pathological results. In contrast, the frequencies in Δ5G-infected animals decreased between 9 and 12 to 14 days p.i.

In the spleen, frequencies of the cells were 0.86 to 1.13 (mean, 0.99), 1.78 to 10.6 (mean, 4.33), and 0.61 to 1.78 (mean, 1.28), respectively (Fig. 8C). As noted, monocytes
FIG 5 CXCL10 mRNA in SLOs. CXCL10 mRNAs in the mesenteric (A) and inguinal (B) LNs and spleen (C) are shown. Expression was analyzed in cell lineages isolated by flow cytometry (D) prior to (0 days) and 9 to 12 days p.i. In mesenteric LNs, lineages consisted of CD14+ and CD14− macrophages (14+ M and 14− M, respectively), as well as DCs, CD4+ T(4+ T), CD8− T(8+ T), B, and NK cells. In inguinal LNs, CD14+ and CD14− macrophages were analyzed along with DCs, CD4+ T, and NK cells. In spleen, these cells consisted of CD14dim CD16−, CD14+ CD16−, and CD14− CD16− macrophages, as well as DC, CD4+ T, B, and NK cells. Data are means ± standard errors of the means. (D) Gating strategy used. Immune cells isolated from mesenteric and inguinal LNs were sorted to isolate CD4+ T, CD8− T, B, and NK cells, as well as CD14+ and CD14− macrophages. Immune cells isolated from spleen were sorted to isolate CD4+ T, CD8+ T, B, and NK cells, as well as CD14dim CD16− DCs and CD14+ CD16−, CD14− CD16−, and CD14dim CD16− macrophages.
that express MAC387 tend to accumulate in the spleen, and, as a result, MAC387+/CD11b+ cells are more abundant in this tissue than in the LNs. In any case, the MAC387+/CD11b+ cells were significantly more abundant in SLOs in SIVmac239-infected animals than in uninfected and Δ5G-infected animals (Fig. 8, left panels).

Similarly, frequencies of CXCL10+ MAC387+/CD11b+ cells in SLOs were also significantly higher in SIVmac239-infected animals than in Δ5G-infected animals and uninfected animals (Fig. 8, right panels).

Collectively, these results indicate that distinctly higher expression levels of CXCL10 in SIVmac239-infected animals were associated with the increased abundance of MAC387+ macrophages, suggesting that they have a role in the innate/inflammatory responses and the infection of CD4+ T cells in SLOs.

**DISCUSSION**

The host immune system recognizes microbial infections and associated tissue damage by the innate immune cells, and that causes immune activation and inflammatory responses (23, 43). Whereas immune responses occur to remove the infected cells and to repair tissue damage, inflammatory responses, as described herein, play a key role in the pathogenesis of HIV infection, as reported previously (44). In contrast, infections with live-attenuated primate lentiviruses elicit lower inflammatory responses and levels of T cell activation than those with pathogenic SIV. We previously reported that infection with a ΔNef strain induced lower levels of MAC387+ macrophages in paracortex of LNs during primary infection than SIVmac239 infection (42). Infection with SHIV89.6, a live-attenuated simian-human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV), decreased plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), suppressed T cell activation, and increased regulatory T cells in rhesus macaques (45). Moreover, in natural hosts, such as African green monkey and...
sooty mangabey, the infection with SIVagm and SIVsm, respectively, elicits a robust but transient type I IFN-related innate response, resulting in a lack of immune activation, with few CCR5$^+$CD4$^+$ T cells in SLOs (17, 18, 46). Importantly, whereas magnitudes of SIV infection in natural hosts are similarly high as those of pathogenic SIV infection in macaques, nevertheless, the infection does not cause pathogenic outcomes (47), implying that the sustained inflammatory response but not SIV infection is associated with the pathogenesis. Interestingly, monocytes and macrophages have been demonstrated to play key roles in the pathogenesis of HIV/SIV infection since they are involved in immune activation/inflammation in chronic infection (48, 49). The present study mostly supports these findings.

Studies of genome-wide transcriptomes revealed that infection with SIVmac239 elicits distinctly stronger inflammatory immune responses than infection with Δ5G. Such responses activate Th1 cells via robust expression of CXCR3 chemokines, especially CXCL10, the expression of which paralleled viral loads. We also found that CD14$^+$CD11b$^+$ monocytes in blood and MAC387$^+$ macrophages in mononuclear cells in Δ5G-infected animals were as low as those in uninfected animals but were markedly higher in SIVmac239-infected animals. CXCL10 is distinctly expressed in MAC387$^+$CD11b$^+$ macrophages but not in CD4$^+$ T and B cells among SIVmac239- and Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals. Histograms show differential expression of CXCL10 but not MAC387 and CD11b in uninfected, SIVmac239-infected, and Δ5G-infected animals. d, days. The numbers in the boxes within the graphs in panels A and B are the frequencies (%) of MAC387$^+$CD11b$^+$ macrophages in mononuclear cells.
T cells, infection of Th1 cells, and compromised adaptive immune responses, ultimately resulting in pathogenic and persistent infection.

CXCL10, a chemokine induced by proinflammatory stimuli, facilitated trafficking of effector Th1 cells to inflamed tissues (50, 51). Subsequently, CXCL10 was detected in inflamed SLOs, suggesting that the chemokine indeed activates and differentiates resting CD4+ T cells into functional Th1 cells. DCs in a mouse model of liver granulomatous disease were also shown to express CXCL10 within 7 days after infection with *Propionibacterium acnes*, thereby recruiting proliferating Th1 cells to form clusters of IFN-γ-producing CD4+ T cells (52). More recently, several studies reported a role for the CXCR3 and CXCR3 ligands (CXCL10 and CXCL9) for intralymphoid organ relocation of naive/resting CD4+ T cells upon contact with antigen-loaded antigen-presenting cells and their subsequent differentiation into functional effector cells (26–28).

Peripheral blood monocytes consist of CD14+ CD16− (>80%), CD14dim CD16+ (5 to 10%), and CD14+ CD16+ (<5%) cells. These subsets exert distinct functions and play important roles in the defense against microbial infections and sterile inflammations.
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**FIG 8** Distinctly high abundance of MAC387+ CD11b+ macrophages correlates with SIVmac239 infection of Th1 cells in SLOs. Percent MAC387+ CD11b+ macrophages (left) and CXCL10+ cells (right) in mononuclear (MN) cells from mesenteric (A) and inguinal (B) LNs and in spleen (C) at 7 to 14 days p.i. with SIVmac239 and Δ5G. Statistically significant pairwise differences are marked. Uninfected animals include Mm0127, Mm0308, and Mm0407; SIVmac239-infected animals (days p.i.) include Mm0403 (7), Mm0406 (9), Mm0519 (9), Mm0914 (11), Mm0405 (12); Δ5G-infected animals include Mm0306 (9), Mm0401 (9), Mm0624 (12), Mm0625 (12), and Mm0402 (14).
For example, CD14<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>11001</sub>CD16<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>11001</sub> monocytes, while a minor population at baseline, dramatically increase in abundance and acquire proinflammatory properties upon infection with HIV/SIV and a number of other microorganisms (53) and/or upon stimulation with other inflammatory agents (37). CD14<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>11001</sub>CD16<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>11001</sub> monocytes are associated with the pathogenic immune activation that shares mechanistic similarities with the studies reported here (54).

On the other hand, MAC387<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>11001</sub> macrophages have been demonstrated to infiltrate brain tissues, express CD14 and CD16 thereafter, and trigger SIV-associated encephalitis (41), suggesting a link between these macrophages and CD14<sup>+</sup>/CD16<sup>+</sup> monocytes, as we observed in SLOs. In addition, lesions that form in SIV-associated encephalitis (41) are intriguingly similar to those observed near HEVs in the paracortex of LNs from SIVmac239-infected animals (42). Indeed, the former (41) consist of MAC387<sup>+</sup> macrophages, CD163<sup>+</sup>CD68<sup>+</sup>MAC387<sup>+</sup> perivascular macrophages, and CD68<sup>+</sup>HAM56<sup>+</sup> resident macrophages, while the latter (42) consist of MAC387<sup>+</sup> macrophages, CD68<sup>+</sup>HAM56<sup>+</sup> macrophages, plasmacytoid monocytes (pDCs), and activated T cells. Importantly, these cell clusters contained SIV-infected cells in both cases, suggesting that these cell clusters form niches where CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are activated for productive SIV infection (36, 39). For example, CD14<sup>+</sup>/CD16<sup>+</sup> monocytes, while a minor population at baseline, dramatically increase in abundance and acquire proinflammatory properties upon infection with HIV/SIV and a number of other microorganisms (53) and/or upon stimulation with other inflammatory agents (37). CD14<sup>+</sup>/CD16<sup>+</sup> monocytes are associated with the pathogenic immune activation that shares mechanistic similarities with the studies reported here (54).

FIG 9 A working model for SIVmac239 targeting of CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in SLOs. (A) T cells, B cells, and other immune cells in blood migrate to SLOs through HEVs and egress from the tissues by using chemokines, cell adhesion molecules, and other molecules. Upon SIV infection, monocytes are activated and express high levels of CXCL10 due to the inflammatory response and/or sensing SIV. These cells then migrate to the inflammatory tissues such as SLOs through HEVs where SIV infection has occurred. Since newly infiltrated monocyte-derived MAC387<sup>+</sup> macrophages (Md) secrete inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as CXCL10, CXCR3<sup>+</sup> T cells and other inflammatory cells accumulate at the inflammation sites, where SIVmac239 infects CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CCRS<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells as previously reported (1). As a result, preferential infections of CCR5<sup>+</sup> CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells cause depletion of functional Th1 cells that are required for a cellular immune response to contain the infection. (B) Targeting of distinct CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell subsets by SIVmac239 and Δ5G. While SIVmac239 targets the CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in SLOs, Δ5G primarily targets the CXCR3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in the lamina propria of the small intestine, likely associated with potent adaptive immune responses elicited by high levels of infection in these tissues, as reported previously (1).
infections under the inflammatory responses. Very few MAC387+ macrophages were in SLOs from ΔSG-infected animals, which suggests that various live-attenuated SIVs and HIV controllers have similarly low levels of MAC387+ macrophages in SLOs.

Most CD4+ T cells in the paracortex are central memory or naive cells and are susceptible to HIV/SIV infection only upon activation. For example, these T cells may be activated by macrophages in the subcapsular sinus and medulla, which sense invading microbes transported via lymphatic networks by pattern recognition receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Since PAMPs are present in glycans and/or glycolipids of microbes (23, 55), macrophages might sense differently SIVmac239 from ΔSG due to differences in the glycosylation, eliciting a robust inflammatory response against the former but not the latter. Alternatively, DCs/macrophages may capture and transfer HIV-1 to CD4+ T cells via synaptic cell-to-cell interaction (transinfection) through C-type lectin receptors (DC-SIGN) and siglec-1 (CD169) (56, 57).

A recent study of SIV-infected rhesus macaques demonstrated the formation of reservoirs (latently infected cells) as early as 3 days p.i., even before the detection of plasma viral loads (58). In contrast, we have failed to detect any viral reservoirs in ΔSG-infected animals in late stages. Instead, the infected cells gradually disappeared following primary infection and became undetectable over time (unpublished results). Thus, levels of the reservoirs appear to inversely correlate with set point viral loads or with containment of the infection following primary infection. Recent studies demonstrated that Tfh cells are reservoirs in elite controllers of SIV infection and in HIV-infected patients with undetectable viral loads by combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) (59). Similarly, the live-attenuated ΔNef virus was found to target Tfh cells in B cell follicles in SLOs (10), suggesting that Tfh-specific infections are generally well contained. However, the viral load in ΔSG-infected animals was markedly lower than that in ΔNef-infected animals (11, 13), implying that ΔSG targets a different subset of CD4+ T cells. Indeed, SIVmac239 and ΔSG are already known to preferentially infect different T cell subsets, as noted previously (1). Taken together, the data indicate that SIVmac239, ΔNef, and ΔSG elicit distinct host immune responses that depend on specific CD4+ T cell subsets, which are then exclusively activated and become susceptible to infection (Fig. 9B).

Thus, future studies are needed to identify which CD4+ T cell subsets are susceptible to ΔSG and to elucidate the mechanism by which glycosylation drives the specificity of SIVmac239 and ΔSG for distinct CD4+ T cell subsets. Such studies may provide further insights into the pathogenesis and containment of early-stage HIV infections, as well as new opportunities to develop better vaccines and therapeutics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses. ΔSG was derived by site-directed mutagenesis of an SIVmac239 infectious DNA clone in which the asparagine residues in the N-glycosylation sites at amino acids (aa) 79, 146, 171, 460, and 479 in gp120 were replaced with glutamine residues (12). Stocks of SIVmac239 and ΔSG were then prepared by transfection of proviral DNAs into 293T cells and propagated in phytohemagglutinin-stimulated PBMCs from rhesus macaques, as previously described (1, 11, 13).

Animals and ethics statement. Juvenile male rhesus macaques 2 to 7 years old and of Burmese origin were screened and found negative for SIV, simian T cell lymphotropic virus, herpes B virus, and type D retrovirus. The animals were housed individually and cared for according to the rules and guidelines for experimental animal welfare by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases and National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Japan. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases and National Institute of Biomedical Innovation (protocol 606009), in accordance with the recommendations of the Weatherall report.

The animals were fed monkey diet supplemented with fresh fruit and water, and animal health was monitored daily and documented by animal care and veterinary staff. All efforts were expended to minimize suffering. These efforts included improvement of housing conditions, where possible, adopting of early endpoints, frequent monitoring of viral loads and immunological parameters, and humane euthanasia by barbiturate overdose once clinical AIDS or signs of fatal disease were noted.

Viral loads. The levels of SIV infection were monitored by measuring the plasma viral RNA loads using a highly sensitive quantitative real-time RT-PCR as described previously (1, 13). Briefly, viral RNA was isolated from plasma using a MagNA PureCompact Nucleic Acid Isolation kit (Roche Diagnostics). Real-time RT-PCR was performed using a QuantiTec Probe RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) and a Sequence Detection System (SDS) 7000 (Applied Biosystems). SIVmac239 gag was amplified with the probe 5′-FAM-TGTCC
ACCTGCCATTAGTCCCGA-TAMRA-3′ (where FAM is 6-carboxyfluorescein and TAMRA is 6-carboxy-
xtetracetylmethylrhodamine) and the primers 5′-GCAGAGGAGAATTCCCAAGTAC-3′ and 5′-CATATTTACC
CAGGATTATAGT-3′. The limit of detection was calculated to be 100 viral RNA copies per milliliter.

**Immunecells.** PBMCs and immune cells from spleens and mesenteric and inguinal LNs were
collected from the following animals (all male) as previously reported (1, 13). The Δ5G group consisted
of 15 animals (Mm0301, Mm0303, Mm0306, Mm0307, Mm0401, Mm0402, Mm0511, Mm0512, Mm0513, Mm0517, Mm0518, Mm0610, Mm0612, and Mm0625); the SIVmac239 group consisted of nine
animals (Mm0403, Mm0405, Mm0406, Mm0519 [3 years], Mm0521, Mm0522, Mm0608, Mm0609, and Mm0611); the uninfected group consisted of three animals (Mm0127, Mm0308, and Mm0407).

**Flow cytometry and cell sorting.** Cryopreserved PBMCs and immune cells prepared from the spleen
and the inguinal and mesenteric lymph nodes from the animals were analyzed and sorted by flow
cytometry on a FACSAria (BD Biosciences) using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/Alexa Fluor 488,
phycocyanin (PE), energy-coupled dye (ECD), peridinin chlorophyll protein (PerCP)-Cy5.5, PE-Cy7,
allophycocyanin (APC), Alexa Fluor 700, APC-Cy7, Pacific Blue, and BD Horizon V500 as fluorescent
probes. Fluorochrome-conjugated MAB against CD4 (L200), CD3 (SP34-2), CD8 (SK1), CD95 (DX2), CD20
(L27), CR5 (3A9), CCR5 (1C6/CXCR3), CD16 (3G8), and major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II)
(DR) (L243) were obtained from BD Biosciences. MABs RMO52 (CD14), B9E9 (CD20), CD28 (CD28), and
Z199 (NKG2A) were obtained from Beckmann Coulter, while MABs M1/70 (CD11b) and J034D6 (CXCL10)
were obtained from BioLegend. A MAB against MAC387 (MAC387) was purchased from Bio-Rad, while
DAPI was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Data were analyzed in FlowJo, version 9.7.6 (Tree Star). Absolute
counts of immune cells in blood were determined on an F820 automated hematology analyzer (Sysmex,
Kobe, Japan).

**ELISA for CXCL10.** Plasma samples were collected from the animals reported previously, and plasma
CXCL10 was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit for human CXCL10 that
cross-reacts with rhesus CXCL10 (BioLegend).

**Microarray analyses.** The PBMC samples utilized for the microarray analyses were derived from the animals
that were described in previous studies (1, 13). Thus, six animals from the Δ5G group (all male; Mm0301 [5 years of age], Mm0303 [5 years], Mm0307 [5 years], Mm0512 [3 years], Mm0516 [3 years], and Mm0513 [3 years]) were intravenously inoculated with 100 % tissue culture infective doses (TCID50) of the
deglycosylated mutant described previously (13). In the SIVmac239-infected group, three of the
animals (all male; Mm9702 [3 years], Mm9715 [3 years], and Mm0914 [6 years]), were intravenously
infected using 100 TCID50, and the other three animals (all male; Mm0403 [4 years], Mm0609 [2 years],
and Mm0611 [2 years]) were infected using 300 TCID50 of SIVmac239 as described previously (1). The
PBMCs from six animals (all male; Mm9702 [3 years], Mm9715 [3 years], Mm0307 [5 years],
Mm0516 [3 years], Mm0518 [3 years], and Mm0402 [4 years]) were used as the uninfected group.

RNA was prepared from cryopreserved PBMCs using a commercial total RNA isolation kit (RNeasy
mini kit; Qiagen), and the quality and concentration were assessed using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies) using an RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent) and an ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop
Technologies), respectively. Next, 100 ng of RNA was amplified and labeled using a low-RNA-input linear
amplification kit (Agilent) and then hybridized to a rhesus monkey microarray (version 2; Agilent Technologies) as previously described (1); the slides were scanned with a DNA microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies). Images were analyzed using Feature extraction software (Agilent Technologies). The data were analyzed using the Subio platform (Subio, Kagoshima, Japan). Briefly, the text file exported by Future Extraction was imported into the Subio platform. The raw data were normalized per chip to the
75th percentile expression level. The sample data were subjected to normalization per gene to the
median expression level of all samples. The samples were classified into three groups (SIVmac239-
infiltrated animals, Δ5G-infected animals, and uninfected animals). All data are presented as the mean per
group. The genes that showed significant differences between the two of three groups (SIVmac239- and
Δ5G-infected animals, SIVmac239-infected and uninfected animals, or Δ5G-infected and uninfected animals)
were identified using the Subio platform. To examine gene expression signatures associated with
SIVmac239 and Δ5G infection, we performed two hierarchical clustering analyses: (i) the genes were selected by the significant differences by the criteria of fold change of >2 or <0.5 and P value of <0.05 among three group described above; (ii) the selected genes were sorted by gene functions such as type I interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), genes of antiretroviral host factors, pattern recognition receptors, and the related genes, genes of inflammatory responses, Th1 cell activation, and Th1 differentiation, and genes of chemokines and chemokine receptors; whereas some genes in the categories other than ISGs have Gene Ontology (GO) terms associated with the interferon response.

**qRT-PCR.** RNA was prepared, using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen), from PBMCs and from the cells sorted
by flow cytometry. Gene expression was quantified by qRT-PCR on a LightCycler 480 (Roche Applied
Science) using a One-Step PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan) and primers from Integrated
DNA Technologies. CXCL10 was amplified with the double-quenched probe 5′-GAGGTGTCTGAATCCAG
AATCTAAGGCC-3′, sense primer 5′-CCACATGTGAGATCATGC-3′, and antisense primer 5′-TAGACCTTT
CCGTGCTAAGTCG-3′. CXCL11 was amplified with 5′-TACTAGGCCCTGGAGTAAAAGCAGTGAAAGT-3′, 5′-TGTT
TACTACGGTTGTCAAGG-3′, and 5′-GGAGCTTTCTCAATATCTGC-3′ as probe, sense primer, and antisense primer, respectively. CXCL9 was amplified with 5′-TCAGAAACCAGAAACGACTACCT
AC-3′, 5′-AATGGGAAGAGGCCGTGCCTTC-3′, and 5′-TTTGGAGAAATGTTAAAGGTCTT-3′ as probe, sense primer, and antisense primer, respectively. CCL2 was amplified with 5′-CACACGGGACTTCG
CAGCCCATGCT-3′, 5′-CTCATGCGCCACCTTCCAG-3′, and 5′-AAGGGATTGGAATGGAAAAC-3′ as probe, sense primer, and antisense primer, respectively. Finally, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehy-
drogenase (GAPDH) was amplified with 5′-AGCGACCACCCACTCCCTCCATTCTC-3′, 5′-GGTGGTCTCCT
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