Desirability and feasibility of a vaccine against cytomegalovirus

Paul Griffiths, University College London
Stanley Plotkin, University of Pennsylvania
Edward Mocarski, Emory University
Robert Pass, University of Alabama Birmingham
Mark Schleiss, University of Minnesota
Philip Krause, Food and Drug Administration
Stephanie Bialek, Emory University

Journal Title: Vaccine
Volume: Volume 31, Number SUPPL2
Publisher: Elsevier: 12 months | 2013-04-18, Pages B197-B203
Type of Work: Article | Post-print: After Peer Review
Publisher DOI: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.074
Permanent URL: https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/s6cx2

Final published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.074

Copyright information:
© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
Accessed August 11, 2019 9:25 PM EDT
Desirability and feasibility of a vaccine against cytomegalovirus

Paul Griffiths\textsuperscript{a,}\textsuperscript{*}, Stanley Plotkin\textsuperscript{b}, Edward Mocarski\textsuperscript{c}, Robert Pass\textsuperscript{d}, Mark Schleiss\textsuperscript{e}, Philip Krause\textsuperscript{f}, and Stephanie Bialek\textsuperscript{g}
\textsuperscript{a}University College London, UK
\textsuperscript{b}University of Pennsylvania, USA
\textsuperscript{c}Emory University, Atlanta, USA
\textsuperscript{d}University of Alabama, Birmingham, USA
\textsuperscript{e}University of Minnesota, USA
\textsuperscript{f}Food and Drug Administration, Washington, DC, USA
\textsuperscript{g}Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA

Abstract

Publication of a report from the Institute of Medicine in 2000 showing that a vaccine against cytomegalovirus (CMV) would likely be cost saving was very influential and encouraged the clinical evaluation of candidate vaccines. The major objective of a CMV vaccination program would be to reduce disease caused by congenital CMV infection, which is the leading viral cause of sensorineural hearing loss and neurodevelopmental delay.

CMV has challenges as a vaccine target because it is a herpesvirus, it persists lifelong despite host immunity, infected individuals can be reinfected with new strains, overt disease occurs in those with immature or impaired immune systems and persons with this infection do not usually report symptoms. Nevertheless, natural immunity against CMV provides some protection against infection and disease, natural history studies have defined the serological and molecular biological techniques needed for endpoints in future clinical trials of vaccines and CMV is not highly communicable, suggesting that it may not be necessary to achieve very high levels of population immunity through vaccination in order to affect transmission. Three phase 2 CMV vaccine studies have been completed in the last 3 years and all report encouraging outcomes.

A key international meeting was organized by the Food and Drug Administration in January 2012 at which interested parties from regulatory bodies, industry and academia discussed and prioritised designs for phase 2 and phase 3 clinical trials. Vaccines able to prevent primary infection with CMV and to boost the immune response of those already infected are desirable. The major target populations for a CMV vaccine include women of childbearing age and adolescents. Toddlers represent another potential population, since an effect of vaccine in this age group could
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potentially decrease transmission to adults. In addition, prospective recipients of transplants and patients with AIDS would be expected to benefit.
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1. Epidemiology and natural history

CMV is transmitted through mucosal contact with infected body fluids, including saliva, urine, semen and cervical secretions [1–3]. After primary infection (the first infection in life), CMV establishes latency. An infected individual can experience reactivation of the latent strain or reinfection by another strain of CMV. CMV infection detected within 2 weeks of birth is termed congenital infection, and is the result of a maternal infection that first involves the placenta and then the fetus [4]. In developed countries, many women enter the childbearing years susceptible to primary infection whereas, in developing countries, virtually 100% of antenatal women are seropositive (that is, they have IgG antibodies specific for CMV antigens) [5,6]. Seropositive pregnant women can reactivate latent CMV or be reinfected with a new strain; both types of infection can transmit virus across the placenta to the developing fetus [4,7,8]. However, there is evidence that preconception maternal immunity does provide some protection against intrauterine transmission with one study showing a 69% reduction in risk for seropositive women of having a baby with congenital CMV compared to seronegative women from the same community [9].

Primary infection, reinfection and reactivation also occur in immunocompromised persons, including transplant patients. A seropositive solid organ donor can transmit CMV to cause primary infection in a seronegative recipient or reinfection in a seropositive recipient [10]. One study showed that natural immunity provides an 84% reduction in viral load parameters compared to those in seronegative recipients [11]. In haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, most infections are reactivations and seropositive donors may adoptively transfer immunity to recipients [12,13]. Adoptive transfer of CMV immunity has been achieved using isolated CMV antigen-specific T cell immunotherapy [14,15].

2. Burden of disease

CMV infection results in substantial burden of disease in congenitally infected infants and post-transplant patients. CMV is an occasional cause of heterophile negative mononucleosis in otherwise immunocompetent adults [16,17]. This virus has also been linked with other conditions, briefly mentioned below, where the associations have not been proven to be causal [18].

2.1. Congenital infection

Although congenital CMV infection results in significant burden of disease globally, the best population-based estimates come from the USA. Based on reviews of the published literature, it is estimated that 0.4–0.7% of babies, (about 28,000) are born each year with
congenital CMV infection in the United States; 12.7% are estimated to have symptoms at birth (termed “symptomatic”); and 13.5% of those asymptomatic at birth develop symptoms when followed-up [19,20]. The prevalence of congenital CMV infection in Europe is thought to be similar to that of the USA. Reliable data on the birth prevalence of congenital CMV infection from developing countries is lacking. In a review of studies from 11 developing countries, the average birth prevalence of congenital CMV infection was 1.6%. The classical symptoms of cytomegalic inclusion disease at birth include hepatosplenomegaly, thrombocytopenic purpura, microcephaly and sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL). The symptoms on follow-up include SNHL and/or neurodevelopmental delay [4,20]. The hearing loss is progressive (Fig. 1), so that less than half of the children destined to develop SNHL have done so by birth [21].

Overall, approximately 5000 babies are born in the United States each year who are estimated to develop disease caused by congenital CMV infection, making it the most common viral cause of SNHL (Fig. 2) and of neurodevelopmental delay in that country [22,23]. Congenital infection is linked to socioeconomic and racial/ethnic differences within the USA and so is linked to health disparities within a country [24].

It has been established that transmission and disease do occur in babies born to seropositive mothers in developing countries, but the full burden of congenital CMV in those countries remains to be defined [25]. Since the incidence of congenital infection is directly correlated with the seroprevalence of CMV antibodies in the population, congenital CMV infection may indeed exert its greatest burden in developing countries with high birth rates and high sero-prevalence.

2.2. Allografts

CMV used to be a major pathogen in transplant patients, causing pneumonitis, hepatitis, gastrointestinal ulceration, retinitis and death [26]. Nowadays, these severe forms of end organ disease (EOD) are nearly completely prevented by the routine use of preemptive therapy or prophylaxis with ganciclovir or its pro-drug valganciclovir, albeit at substantial cost. Nevertheless, late reactivation of CMV infection after the discontinuation of antiviral drugs is still a problem [27]. In addition, CMV triggers indirect effects such as graft rejection, accelerated atherosclerosis after heart or lung transplant or immunosuppression [26], the incidence of which is reduced during placebo-controlled trials of antiviral agents [28].

2.3. AIDS

The CMV-related EOD in AIDS patients is most commonly retinitis, which can largely be controlled now through the use of antiretroviral drugs [29]. However, CMV infection remains significantly associated with mortality in persons with AIDS even after controlling for CD4 count and HIV viral load [30] and an observational study reported improved survival in patients with CMV retinitis given systemic ganciclovir therapy in addition to intraocular ganciclovir [31].
2.4. Intensive care

Reactivation of CMV in patients admitted to intensive care units is associated with pneumonia, increased need for ventilation and increased mortality [32,33].

2.5. The elderly

Patients with impaired CD4/CD8 lymphocyte ratios, increased inflammation and imminent risk of death are mostly CMV seropositive, although not all seropositives have this condition [34]. It is not known whether CMV causes the deaths found in patients with such immunosenescence [35,36].

2.6. The general population

The first study to use a large systematically collected sample of a general population recently reported that the CMV seropositive state is associated with excess mortality, even after controlling for major confounders such as smoking and obesity [37]. Similar studies from outside the USA are required.

3. Pathogenesis

During primary infection, CMV disseminates in the blood and expresses its immune evasion genes to create sanctuary sites where it can persist and evade immune surveillance. If the immune system is immature (fetus) or impaired by immunosuppressive drugs or HIV infection, systemic replication levels are higher and many such sites may be established. If these are in critical locations like the cochlea, the presence of CMV progressively damages neighbouring cells, so that children with normal hearing at birth experience deterioration over months or years [21]. Quantitative studies show that CMV viraemia and a high viral load precede EOD in transplant patients [38–40].

The possession of multiple immune evasion genes gives CMV a countermeasure against many immune defence mechanisms [41,42]. CMV-encoded proteins are fully immunogenic, but immune effectors cannot identify and destroy the sanctuary sites where CMV persists, so it hides from the immune response [43]. After years of persistence, the immune response to CMV dominates the host immune repertoire in a significant proportion of the population [34,36,44]. The resulting accumulation of activated CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes could contribute to disease by either exacerbating inflammatory conditions like atherosclerosis or by compromising the ability to recruit naive cells able to respond to vaccines such as influenza [34,36,45].

An accelerated form of this CMV-associated immunosenescence appears in younger people with HIV infection where the detection of activated CD8 T lymphocytes is associated with a poor prognosis and their abundance is reduced by valganciclovir [46]. This mechanism could explain the phenomenon described as the cofactor effect of CMV, accelerating the development of AIDS and death among HIV-positive individuals who also have CMV infection [30,47]. Similar effects are seen in normal people whose immune system is stunned by a sudden massive shock to the body requiring intensive care [33].
4. Prevention

4.1. Primary prevention

Many women in developed countries are still seronegative when they reach childbearing age showing that CMV in these settings is not highly contagious [3]. The calculated basic reproductive number, representing the number of secondary infections derived from contact with one infectious case, is low at 1.7–2.5 in populations in developed countries, so that a vaccine which protects as few as 50–60% of the population could potentially lead to interruption of endemic transmission of this virus through herd immunity [24,48]. The Institute of Medicine reported in 2000 that a vaccine able to protect against CMV infection to prevent congenital CMV would be expected to be highly cost-effective [49].

A variety of strategies to developing a CMV vaccine are underway. Some of these products aim to stimulate humoral immunity, while others aim to stimulate cell mediated immunity. Only time will tell which immunogens and which arms of the adaptive immune response can provide most protection. Pioneering work with Towne vaccine in renal transplant patients provided evidence that vaccination reduced severity of EOD, but development for this indication has not continued [50]. Chimeric live virus vaccines, based on a Towne backbone but containing segments of the less-attenuated Toledo strain of CMV, have also been evaluated in phase 1 study. It is hoped that such vaccines will retain the favourable safety profile of Towne, but provide augmented immunogenicity and protection through inclusion of Toledo sequences [51]. Several other vaccine candidates are being evaluated (see Table 1), including recombinant live viruses based on Towne [52,53]. A vaccine derived from soluble glycoprotein B (gB) produced by insertion of the gene into cultured cells is currently being investigated by Sanofi Pasteur. The Sanofi Pasteur gB vaccine adjuvanted with MF59 (Novartis) has been studied in two investigator-led phase 2 double-blind randomised placebo-controlled trials [54,55] which showed that the vaccine protected approximately 50% of seronegative women from acquiring primary infection and reduced viral load parameters post-transplant in patients given vaccine before transplantation of a kidney or a liver. A different recombinant soluble gB vaccine from GlaxoSmithKline has been studied at phase 1. A DNA vaccine expressing gB and pp65 (a major target of cell mediated immunity) has completed phase 2 study, in which it reduced reactivation of CMV in hematopoietic stem cell recipients and will be developed by Astellas [56,57]. An alphavirus recombinant expressing gB, pp65 and the major immediate-early antigen will be developed by Novartis [58].

Although it has been demonstrated that vaccination can boost immune responses in seropositive women [59], it is currently unknown if those responses can prevent intrauterine infection through reactivation or reinfection. This is an important question as the majority of babies with congenital CMV infections in developing and developed countries are born to seropositive women, who conceivably could benefit from vaccination in the way that VZV vaccine can help to suppress reactivation of that herpesvirus.
4.2. Secondary prevention

Neonates could be screened to detect congenital CMV infection and offered interventions to compensate for damage already caused (hearing aids, speech therapy, cochlear implants) or prevent future damage [60]. CMV meets most of the major criteria for screening. At present, 27 different conditions are screened for at birth in the USA, identifying 6618 children for follow-up annually [61]. Introduction of a screening test for CMV infection would dwarf these figures by identifying 38,640 cases each year [19]. Approximately 5000 of these would be born with symptoms, making CMV a far more common condition identified than any other group of currently screened conditions [20]. When long-term follow-up is included (hence identifying congenital CMV-induced hearing loss not evident at birth), approximately 5000 children would be identified each year who would have or develop sequelae from CMV infection that might otherwise go undiagnosed [20]. Technically, congenital CMV infection could be screened for by testing the routinely collected neonatal dried blood spots for CMV DNA by PCR or by performing the same test on saliva [62,63]. Although dried blood spots are a readily available specimen, lower CMV viral loads in blood may limit their usefulness for newborn screening for CMV infection. Saliva and urine contain higher viral loads and recent results demonstrate a simplified way of processing samples to facilitate high throughput screening; however use of these specimen types would require the development of a new platform for newborn screening [63].

Passive immunisation could be used to moderate the pathogenicity of CMV in defined circumstances. A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial reported reduced CMV end organ disease in seronegative liver transplant patients with seropositive donors [64]. A nonrandomised, uncontrolled study reported reduced congenital CMV infection and disease when given to pregnant women with primary CMV infection [65]; the results of ongoing double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trials (NCT00881517, NCT01376778) are awaited.

The barriers/problems to CMV vaccine development—The fact has been established in phase 2 clinical trials that vaccines may prevent or ameliorate CMV infection in women, candidates for solid organ transplant and stem cell transplant recipients. Large phase 3 studies are now required to confirm this. Although CMV vaccine candidates up to now have concentrated on gB, the principal inducer of antibody neutralizing CMV entry into fibroblasts, and pp65, a tegument protein that is the principal inducer of CD8+ T cell cytotoxicity, it has been shown recently that entry into epithelial and endothelial cells is prevented by antibody to gB or to a pentameric complex of other proteins [66,67]. In addition, there are other targets of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes [41]. The importance of these other proteins is the subject of intensive research. Only one study has so far identified a potential correlate of protection from the vaccines under evaluation [55].

Despite these successes, there are critical gaps in our understanding of CMV epidemiology and transmission that need to be elucidated for the development of a successful CMV vaccine program. Factors that contribute to congenital CMV infection are better understood than the factors that lead to disease. The relative contributions of reinfection and reactivation during pregnancy to congenital CMV infection are poorly understood and the lack of good
serological markers of non-primary infection make it challenging to investigate. Clear laboratory correlates of transmission and protection from transmission are not yet recognized. Clinical trials of promising CMV vaccine candidates can offer opportunities to better understand these issues.

How to demonstrate prevention of fetal CMV infection by CMV vaccination remains an important issue. The major targets of CMV vaccination may include not only women of reproductive age or pre-adolescent girls but also young children. The choice will depend on the properties of the vaccine, in particular duration of immunity. In addition, industry must be convinced that regulatory authorities will accept logistically and economically feasible demonstrations of efficacy against congenital CMV. For example, a primary endpoint of congenital CMV infection would allow completion of a phase 3 clinical trial with a reasonable number of subjects and within a few years. However, if prevention of congenital CMV disease is the endpoint, a much larger sample size would be required and follow-up of infected newborns to at least 2 years of age would be needed to assess the effects of the congenital infection on hearing and neurodevelopment.

It is clear that natural immunity is imperfect, largely due to the ability of CMV to evade the host immune response. It is conceivable that vaccines could improve upon natural immunity by stimulating a protective immune response without leaving the host with an immunomodulatory virus that persists indefinitely. One way to do this would be to increase population immunity to CMV to lower or interrupt transmission in a community, although this would take generations. A second way would be to use a vaccine for immunotherapy, if it could be shown that the vaccine was able to boost or rebalance the immune response made during natural infection [55,68]. A gB/MF59 vaccine has been shown to boost antibody to CMV in transplant candidates and in women [55,59]. A major barrier to evaluating protection in seropositives is the limited ability to discriminate serologically between reinfection and reactivation.

Potentially, a successful vaccine could be deployed for universal immunisation of children with the aim of increasing population immunity to eliminate this under-recognised pathogen from developed countries. If such evidence of effectiveness can be obtained, consideration could be given to interrupting CMV transmission within developing countries. The ability to protect children at an early age will be critical to achieving this in developing countries where CMV is acquired early in life. More studies to define the burden of congenital disease that results from CMV infection during pregnancies of women in developing countries are urgently needed to define the global scope of this public health problem. An analogy may exist to congenital rubella, originally thought to cause a substantial burden of disease in developed countries, but now recognized as an important cause of congenital disease wherever vaccination is not practised.

**Concrete actions/recommendations**—A meeting in January 2012 organised by the Food and Drug Administration, Centers for Disease Control, National Institutes of Health and National Vaccine Program Office was very influential in identifying pathways to regulatory acceptance and licensure of vaccines. A full summary of the meeting is in...
preparation, but our brief comments below have been informed by the multidisciplinary
discussions which took place.

The encouraging results for CMV vaccines have come at a time of financial stringency. A
co-ordinated, collaborative approach involving private, public and academic partners will be
important to drive the CMV vaccine development forward.

Industry is planning phase 2 and phase 3 studies of vaccine candidates starting in 2012/2013.
The cost of phase 3 studies is likely to be high, and support from outside of industry may be
critical to ensuring these plans are implemented. Vaccine trials offer important research
opportunities and should be designed to provide as much information about the
epidemiology and immunology of CMV as possible. Nested studies within clinical trials of
CMV vaccination of women should be conducted to determine if vaccination of toddlers can
reduce transmission to their mothers and their unborn siblings. Giving vaccine to boys as
well as girls, as is done for rubella, would be expected to provide better protection for
pregnant women than would immunisation of girls alone.

Standardised laboratory methods for measuring viral load (using a newly produced WHO
standard) and humoral and cell mediated immunity should be employed in all clinical trials
to facilitate identification of correlates of protective immunity. This will be particularly
important in the case of multi-antigen vaccines, because post-licensure decisions on the need
for booster doses would be informed by knowledge of which immune responses were
protective. Likewise, assays able to distinguish between natural immune responses and those
made to any future live attenuated vaccine would be essential.

Surveillance for congenital CMV infection and disease will be critical for monitoring the
effectiveness and impact of CMV vaccination. Surveillance for congenital CMV infection
prior to implementation of a CMV vaccination program would be useful for establishing the
baseline incidence and expanding our understanding of CMV epidemiology and disease
burden. Surveillance for congenital CMV infection could be conducted through voluntary
reporting to a national disease registry, inclusion in existing notifiable disease reporting
systems, or through newborn screening programs. National screening bodies should be
encouraged to introduce pilot sites for screening of all neonates, linked to dried blood spot
screening and testing of neonates who fail screening for hearing loss. If one of these burdens
was taken on by one state or country and the second by another, the results could be shared
by all. By working smarter in such a way, important hurdles could be overcome cost
effectively.

CMV vaccines may be given inadvertently to women who are pregnant. In addition, studies
of immunotherapy may deliberately recruit pregnant women. It is difficult to contemplate
vaccinating pregnant women in some countries because of the possibility that adverse
pregnancy outcomes, which occur naturally, may be attributed to the vaccine and lead to
litigation. This problem is shared with other vaccines and the introduction of systems such
as no-fault compensation or other legislative solutions may be required.

Public health bodies should address gaps in the understanding of CMV epidemiology and
transmission. In the absence of complete data, mathematical modelling of vaccines may be
useful for providing examples of what reductions in congenital CMV disease burden might be expected from introduction of a CMV vaccine of defined efficacy and duration of immunity administered in different schedules and over a defined timeframe. Documentation of the duration of protection will be important for a persisting virus like CMV and will inform the need for administering booster doses of vaccine if necessary. Data from clinical trials of vaccines should be made available to refine the assumptions made in these models and so provide better predictions. Modelling may also be useful to explore the impact that CMV vaccination might have on the immune response of CMV seropositive individuals. It is also possible that a vaccine could resurrect natural immune responses that have been rendered ineffective by chronic infection or induce responses which are not produced during natural infection.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>Cytomegalovirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNHL</td>
<td>Sensorineural hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOD</td>
<td>End organ disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb</td>
<td>Glycoprotein B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1.
Disease outcome per 1000 babies with congenital CMV [20]. The major long-term effects are sensorineural hearing loss (represented by a child wearing earphones) and neurodevelopmental delay.
Fig. 2.
Estimates of causes of deafness at birth and at 4 years in the USA [70]. *GJB2* represents gap junction beta-2 gene, *mtA1555G* the mitochondrial A1555G mutation, and *EVA*, enlargement of the vestibular aqueduct.
### Table 1

CMV candidate vaccines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine Type</th>
<th>Preclinical testing</th>
<th>Clinical testing (Phase I/II)</th>
<th>Efficacy trial</th>
<th>Outcome/perspectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live, attenuated (Towne)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>• Protective against disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No prevention of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live (Towne-Toledo recombinants)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• Well tolerated in seropositive healthy volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Further clinical trials started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant gB subunit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>• Induction of NT-Abs and CD4 T cells in seronegatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Rapid boosting of NT-Abs in seropositives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Benefit in kidney and liver transplant recipients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Prevention of infection in young women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canarypox vectors</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• CTL- and Th-responses in seronegative volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Poor NT-Ab response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA vectors</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Candidate for prime-boost approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication-defective adenovirus vectors</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• Induction of NT-Abs, CTL and Th responses in animal models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Clinical trials pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphavirus replicons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• Induction of NT-Abs, CTL and Th responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptides (combined CD4- and CD8-T cell epitopes)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• Induction of CTL- and Th-responses in mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA vaccines</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>• Protection against challenge in the MCMV model, using a prime boost approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Induction of Ni-Abs and CTL cellular responses in volunteers and tumor patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduction of recurrence and occurrence of HCMV viremia episodes and improved time to event for viremia episodes in HSCT recipients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Vaccine: Author manuscript, available in PMC 2017 November 06.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dense bodies</th>
<th>Preclinical testing</th>
<th>Clinical testing (Phase I/II)</th>
<th>Efficacy trial</th>
<th>Outcome/perspectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>• Lasting NT-Ab responses in mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CTL- and Th1-type responses in mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Clinical trials pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication deficient whole virus vaccine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Ref. [69].