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Abstract

The prevalence of obesity in the United States continues to rise, increasing individual vulnerability to an array of adverse health outcomes. One factor that has been implicated causally in the increased accumulation of fat and excess food intake is the activity of the limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (LHPA) axis in the face of relentless stressor exposure. However, translational and clinical research continues to understudy the effects sex and gonadal hormones and LHPA axis dysfunction in the etiology of obesity even though women continue to be at greater risk than men for stress-induced disorders, including depression, emotional feeding and obesity. The current review will emphasize the need for sex-specific evaluation of the relationship between stress exposure and LHPA axis activity on individual risk for obesity by summarizing data generated by animal models currently being leveraged to determine the etiology of stress-induced alterations in feeding behavior and metabolism. There exists a clear lack of translational models that have been used to study female-specific risk. One translational model of psychosocial stress exposure that has proven fruitful in elucidating potential mechanisms by which females are at increased risk for stress-induced adverse health outcomes is that of social subordination in socially housed female macaque monkeys. Data from subordinate female monkeys suggest that increased risk for emotional eating and the development of obesity in females may be due to LHPA axis-induced changes in the behavioral and physiological sensitivity of estradiol. The lack in understanding of the mechanisms underlying these alterations necessitate the need to account for the effects of sex and gonadal hormones in the rationale, design, implementation, analysis and interpretation of results in our studies of stress axis function in obesity. Doing so may lead to the identification of novel therapeutic targets with which to combat stress-induced obesity exclusively in females.
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Introduction

Rates of obesity in the United States (US) continue to rise, with recent 2014 estimates placing the prevalence at 38% of the population [1], which is expected to increase to 50% by 2030 [2]. Importantly, obesity is a significant risk factor for a number of adverse health outcomes including type II diabetes, cardiovascular disease, stroke, some types of cancers, reproductive compromise, and musculoskeletal problems [3, 4]. Despite significant medical advances for managing these somatic illnesses, obesity-related disorders account for nearly 112,000 excess deaths in the US [5]. For these reasons, understanding the factors that produce an obese phenotype is critical for identifying interventional strategies that reduce the health burden imposed by obesity and obesity-related adverse health outcomes.

Obesity is primarily attributed to excess caloric intake beyond the energetic needs of an organism. Factors that promote eating while an individual is satiated have recently gained attention as potential interventional targets. For instance, exposure to chronic psychosocial stressors in a dietary environment wherein calorically dense diets (i.e. high in fats and sugars; CDD) are available results in stress-induced emotional eating and increased body weight [6]. The physiological response of the limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (LHPA) axis in response to chronic stressor exposure results in glucocorticoid secretion from the adrenals, and release of cortisol promotes the consumption of extra calories and, over a long enough period of time, weight gain [7]. In humans, increased waist-to-hip ratios (WHR) and sagittal diameter are associated with diminished glucocorticoid negative feedback inhibition of the LHPA axis as assessed by a dexamethasone suppression test [8], increased cortisol reactivity to a stressor [9], and blunted morning cortisol levels [10, 11].

The earliest indication that increased activity of the LHPA axis results in an obese phenotype comes from our characterization of excess glucocorticoids and increased visceral fat in Cushing’s Disease [4, 12]. The causal role for glucocorticoids in promoting food intake and weight gain was cemented by studies in rodents [13–15] and humans wherein administration of exogenous glucocorticoids resulted in augmented abdominal obesity [16, 17]. While exogenous glucocorticoid administration clearly results in weight gain, the effects of stress-induced LHPA dysregulation on “comfort food” intake and energy balance are not consistent across animal models [18] and humans [19]. Factors including type and duration of stressor, methods of LHPA assessment, and dietary environment all influence the effects of stress exposure and LHPA axis activity on food intake and metabolism [18, 19].

One important factor that continues to be understudied in the realm of obesity and LHPA axis dysfunction is sex. Some studies in rodents and in women indicate that females are protected from diet-induced obesity, insulin resistance, and inflammation in response to a CDD [20], suggesting that ovarian hormones such as estradiol may be an important protective factor against obesity in women. However, other reports indicate that women are twice as likely as men to suffer from stress-induced disorders, including depression, anxiety, emotional feeding and obesity [21–27]. This discrepancy in the risk for obesity in females may be due to the disregard of addressing how exposure to stressors and dysregulation of the LHPA axis may alter the behavioral and physiological sensitivity of gonadal hormones in
females, thus increasing risk for adverse health outcomes such as emotional eating and obesity.

The current review will summarize the literature from translational animal research describing the effects of stressor exposure and LHPA axis activity on appetite regulation and the development of obesity, while concurrently emphasizing the overall lack of animal models and studies addressing the etiology of stress-induced obesity specifically in females. A non-human primate model of chronic psychosocial stress exposure will be discussed to emphasize how stressor exposure may alter sensitivity to estradiol (E2), the primary ovarian hormone, thereby increasing risk for adverse health outcomes, including emotional eating and fat accumulation. Lastly, potential mechanisms by which stressor exposure and LHPA axis function lead to such phenotypes in females will be considered.

**Translational Rodent Models Used to Study Stress-induced Obesity Have studied Males Almost Exclusively**

A stressor is any perceived threat that jeopardizes the well being of an organism and induces physiological changes that activate systems crucial for surviving the perceived stressor and returning back to baseline homeostasis [28, 29]. Stressors can be physical or psychological in nature, can be discrete events or continuous assaults on homeostasis, and can have both physiological and behavioral consequences for an individual organism [30]. The rapid activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the LHPA axis in response to a threat likely evolved to promote survival from acute physical stressors [28, 30]. However, stress exposure in modern day human societies increasingly takes the form of psychological stressors that are experienced on a daily basis [30]. Continuous activation of the LHPA axis under such conditions is deleterious to individual health as evidenced by the increase of disorders whose etiology stems from a dysregulation of LHPA neuroendocrine circuits, including obesity [29, 30].

One approach undertaken to emulate the downstream effects of chronic stress has been exogenous administration of high levels of glucocorticoids. While these studies do not subject animals to actual physical or emotional stressors, they mimic elevated levels of corticosterone that are associated with chronic exposure to stressors in rodents [31–33]. Chronic corticosterone administration via drinking water in male rats over three weeks disrupts endogenous adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), corticosterone and glucose levels [34]. Administration of exogenous glucocorticoids in male rodents also results in augmented abdominal obesity under dietary conditions wherein only a prudent, low calorie chow diet (LCD) is available [13, 15], as well as when a CDD is offered exclusively [14]. These data from male rodents recapitulate increases in weight and adiposity due to exogenous glucocorticoid treatment in humans [16, 17]. However, data generated from these glucocorticoid manipulations should be interpreted with caution as the manipulation results in the loss of the normal diurnal glucocorticoid rhythm that has been shown to be dysregulated in other stress models as well as in human psychopathology [35–37]. Furthermore, chronic exogenous corticosterone administration leads to adrenal atrophy [34],
which is contrary to the adrenal hypertrophy that has been reported in other animal models wherein subjects are exposed to chronic stress [38].

Repeated immobilization or restraint stress is another common model for chronic stress exposure in rodents. Animals are placed in plastic tubes that immobilize their lateral and forward movement, typically for 30 minutes a day, for seven consecutive days [39, 40]. Daily restraint increases corticosterone levels after subsequent bouts of restraint as well as ACTH levels in male rodents [41]. Male rats that undergo chronic restraint under conditions wherein LCD is available show alterations in metabolic phenotype, including an attenuation of body weight [40]. More recently however, the chronic restraint model in male rats has been critical for studying how dietary environment and access to CDD interacts with stress exposure to alter feeding behavior and metabolism [42, 43]. These studies indicate that elevation of glucocorticoids in the presence of CDD shifts the dietary preference towards intake of these comfort foods in the face of stressor exposure [42, 43], similar to what is described in humans [44].

A different model of physical chronic stress exposure in rodents is chronic intermittent cold stress exposure wherein animals are subjected to a few hours a day of low temperatures ranging from 4–6°C, for a week. This chronic intermittent cold stress model has been critical in elucidating, via neurochemical, neuroanatomical, and lesion studies, the underlying circuits that are activated by acute stress superimposed on a background of chronic cold stress in male rats [45, 46]. Additionally, this cold chronic stressor has been used to determine how adrenalecotomy, and thus glucocorticoids, interact with a chronic stress background to modulate the activity of the LHPA axis in response to subsequent stressors in male rats [47]. Importantly, chronic intermittent cold stress exposure in parallel with access to only a LCD increases food intake and fat deposits in male rodents [31].

While the above mentioned physiological and physical stress paradigms have been leveraged to understand the effects of chronic stress on the emergence of an obese phenotype, these models in male rodents induce physiological and behavioral responses that are exclusive to the stressor employed in the model. Animals subjected to these physiological and physical procedures adapt to stressor exposure, such that they habituate and show attenuated HPA responses to stressor exposure or a faster return to baseline conditions, or they stop eliciting hormonal and behavioral responses to the stressor [45, 48–52]. Paradigms and models wherein the chronic stressor exposure is both unpredictable and uncontrollable results in continued activation of behavioral and physiological responses to stress, similar to what has been described in humans. Such translational animal models have been studied to assess the effects of chronic psychosocial stress exposure on appetite regulation and the emergence of obesity.

Several rodent models of chronic stress have been employed to assess the effects of LHPA axis dysregulation on the emergence of an obese phenotype by using psychosocial stressors that mimic human experiences. For instance, the chronic variable stress (CVS) paradigm exposes rats to six weeks of repeated mild physical (restraint, home cage tilt, cold stress) and psychosocial stressors (social isolation) [53]. CVS results in increased basal corticosterone and ACTH, as well as increased adrenal size and alterations in neurobiological markers of
LHPA axis function [53]. Overall, the dysfunction within the LHPA axis due to CVS exposure is associated with altered behavioral phenotypes, including deficits in fear learning, emotional arousal in male rats [54] and anhedonia in both female and male rats [55, 56]. Furthermore, female rats subjected to CVS show altered appetite regulation and metabolism in a dietary condition wherein only a CDD was available [57]. CVS-subjected females on a CDD eat significantly less calories that control females in the same CDD diet condition, and do not show an increase in weight that was seen in control animals [57]. While these data suggest that CVS in females attenuates caloric intake and body weight and adiposity increases compared to control animals in a CDD environment, the lack of a stress-effect seen in other studies may be due to the fact that females in this study were not given a dietary choice [57]. Indeed, previous rodent data has shown that the presence of a dietary choice condition, wherein both a LCD and CDD are available, sustains intake of high caloric diets [58].

An ethological social stressor is also employed in two other rodent models of psychosocial stress exposure used to assess the effects of LHPA axis activity on food intake and the emergence of obesity. The social defeat model in male rodents (rats and hamsters) repeatedly exposes an animal to a more aggressive intruder on a single day. This social defeat results in the subordination of the socially defeated male rats and hamsters, and produces sustained activation of the LHPA axis [59, 60], immune dysfunction [61] and specific changes in neurochemical circuits within mesolimbic regions [60, 62]. Behaviorally, social defeat in male rodents is associated with anhedonia, decreased activity, and increased depressive-like behaviors [60]. Additionally, socially defeated male hamsters that only have access to a LCD increase food intake, body mass, and white adipose tissue [62, 63].

The visible burrow system (VBS) is another rodent model of psychosocial stressor exposure used to study the effects of stress exposure on food intake wherein groups of male rats are housed socially with several females for two weeks [64]. A dominance hierarchy is formed immediately between males within the VBS, and the subordinate males behavioral and physiological phenotypes characteristic of chronic stress exposure. For example, subordinate males have increased basal corticosterone levels and enlarged adrenal glands [65]. In dietary conditions wherein only a LCD is available, subordinate males have attenuated body weight that is attributable to decrease adipose tissue that results in hypoleptinemia [65–68]. However, when animals are given intermittent recovery periods from social housing during which previously subordinate males respond differently than dominant males, most typically with excess food intake and weight gain when maintained on a LCD only [67, 69].

Together, the CVS, social defeat, and VBS models of chronic stress exposure employ psychosocial adversity similar to those experienced by humans that results in physiological and behavioral phenotypes characteristic of adverse chronic-stress states in people. The data generated from these rodent models have significantly advanced our understanding of how chronic exposure to social stressors and LHPA dysregulation produce changes in appetite and metabolism that contribute to obesity. However, while these models employ a repeated uncontrollable or unpredictable type of stressor, face and construct validity is diminished because the stressor is discontinued. Furthermore, only one of the rodent models described above has been used to exclusively assess the effects of stress and LHPA axis activity on...
feeding behavior in females. While some models are limited due to the ethological nature of the stressors, such as the VBS that only produces male dominant and subordinate rats [65–68], the other rodent models discussed have studied male rodents [42, 45]. The CVS rodent model of unpredictable chronic stress exposure is one of the few rodent models that have been used to study sex differences in the development of the responses to CVS and the underlying mechanisms that might be responsible for these differences [57, 70].

The consideration of sex is critical in our studies of the stress axis and obesity, as women exposed to stress are more likely to increase food intake compared to men [21, 71]. Studies in women have shown that females who show a greater cortisol response to an acute stressor also exhibit increased caloric intake after stressor exposure [72]; a similar result has been reported in female rhesus monkeys [73]. Furthermore, this increased cortisol response to a stressor in women has been linked to greater amount of central fat mass [9] that is also associated with increased urinary free cortisol levels [74]. Obese women who gain weight following a stressful event show significantly higher levels of urinary free cortisol than women who have nonstress-related obesity [75]. Augmented urinary free cortisol levels in obese women are also associated with increased intake of CDD [76], supporting the notion that increased LHPA axis activity following exposure to acute psychosocial stressors in women shifts dietary preferences such that CDD are preferred [71, 72]. These clinical data highlight the need to study the interaction of obesity and LHPA axis activity in a sex-dependent manner, and as such, more robust, translational animal models in females are necessary.

**Social Subordination in Female Macaques is an ethologically relevant translational model with which to study Stress-induced Obesity in Females**

One translational model of psychosocial stress exposure in females that is characterized by constitutive exposure to adverse social environment, similar to that experienced by people and implicated in the development of stress-induced disorders, is that of social subordination in socially housed female macaque monkeys [77]. Macaque social groups are organized by a linear dominance hierarchy that is imposed by the threat of aggression or harassment from higher-ranking individuals towards lower-ranking individuals within the hierarchy [78, 79].

Subordinate social status in female macaques results in an array of adverse health outcomes that mimic those seen in humans [80] (summarized in Table 1), such as a pro-inflammatory state [81]. Importantly, subordination in female macaques is associated with alteration in LHPA axis activity [82, 83], including hypercortisolemia due to diminished glucocorticoid negative feedback of the LHPA axis [84, 85], altered sensitivity to ACTH [82, 86], and increased adrenal size [87].

The dysregulation of the LHPA axis in subordinate females is coincident with augmented caloric intake when a CDD is made available in a choice diet condition wherein a LCD is also available [73]. This hyperphagia in the presence of a CDD is associated with increased meal and snack size [88], an increase in body weight after three weeks of CDD availability, and a decrease in anxiety-like behavior in subordinate females [35]. CDD availability in female rhesus monkeys results in increased basal cortisol levels, regardless of social status.
[35, 73]. Similarly, intake of CDD increases LHPA axis activity in rodents [89–91] and in humans [8]. In a more recent study, administration of a CRH receptor antagonist attenuated overall caloric intake in subordinates [92], indicating that stress-induced emotional eating in subordinate females with access to both a low calorie diet and CDD is sustained by LHPA axis activity.

Importantly, the social subordination model in female macaques has also been leveraged to assess how exposure to chronic stress alters behavioral and physiological sensitivity to E2. E2 replacement in ovariectomized monkeys increases basal levels of glucocorticoids as well as diminishes negative feedback inhibition of the LHPA axis [84]. Exposure to social subordination in female monkeys further enhances the escape from glucocorticoid negative feedback [84], indicating that E2 and stress exposure can synergize to influence activity of the LHPA axis. This altered sensitivity to E2 on LHPA axis function in subordinate females is coincident with a decreased behavioral sensitivity to the anxiolytic actions of E2, as replacement of E2 to ovariectomized subordinate monkeys does not reduce levels of anxiety-like behaviors as effectively as E2 does in dominant females [93].

Another documented alteration in physiological sensitivity to E2 that has been observed in subordinate monkeys relates to E2 modulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis. Subordinate females show enhanced E2 inhibition of the HPG axis that results in attenuated luteinizing hormone (LH) levels [94]. This altered HPG sensitivity in subordinate females is exacerbated by pharmacological increases in cortisol levels [94], suggesting that the increased incidence of anovulation and luteal phase deficiency seen in subordinate versus dominant females is due to sustained LHPA axis activity in subordinate females [95, 96]. Together, these data indicate that exposure to chronic stressors alters physiological sensitivity to E2 in subordinate females, suggesting that a similar alteration in sensitivity to E2 may influence the regulation of feeding behavior and increase risk for obesity under complex dietary conditions.

E2 is typically considered an anorexic hormone [97, 98], as food intake during the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, wherein E2 levels are high in women, is attenuated in comparison to the luteal phase of the cycle [99–102]. Similarly, E2 replacement in ovariectomized adult rhesus monkeys to serum levels characteristic of the follicular phase significantly reduces meal size and number [98]. However, this anorectic effect of E2 is diet dependent, as it is only seen when animals are fed a LCD [98]. When female monkeys are maintained in a dietary environment where both a LCD and CDD are available, E2 does not attenuate total caloric intake [98] and increases preference for the CDD [98]. Additionally, this effect of diet on E2’s modulation of food intake and preference seems to be dependent on social status, as E2 in dominant females attenuates food intake whereas it increases overall caloric intake in subordinate females [98]. While these data from adult female monkeys support the notion that chronic stress alters the ability of E2 to regulate food intake, thus increasing the risk for obesity in females, the mechanisms by which this occurs remain unclear.
Possible mechanisms by which stress exposure alters E2’s actions of food intake and metabolism

Opposing Actions of Glucocorticoids and Estradiol on Regulators of Metabolism and Food Intake

Glucocorticoids, including cortisol and corticosterone, drive downstream alterations in metabolic signaling that can lead to increases in food intake and adiposity that are both consistent with an obese phenotype (Figure 1). The actions of both peripheral and hypothalamic signals that interact to modulate feeding behavior are disrupted by glucocorticoids. Exposure to stressors and elevation in glucocorticoids lead to concomitant increases in peripheral levels of ghrelin [103–105]. Ghrelin is secreted from the stomach and typically acts as an orexigenic peptide [106] via facilitating the hypothalamic actions of neuropeptide Y (NPY) and agouti-related protein (AGRP) [107]. Glucocorticoid action following exposure to stress can also directly stimulate NPY release from sympathetic nerves that acts to increase both NPY and NPY receptor expression on adipocytes whose actions facilitate fat growth [108]. This mechanism of fat storage is dependent on increased lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity within visceral fat [4], and acts as a feed-forward loop to augment the accumulation of abdominal fat [108] characteristic of obesity [4]. This glucocorticoid-induced increase in body fat also results in the augmented secretion of adipokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), from adipocytes that are involved in the development of insulin resistance [109].

Glucocorticoids also potentiate the orexigenic actions of hypothalamic melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) [110] and weaken the actions of anorectic signals. For instance, excess glucocorticoids can lead to insulin resistance indirectly by increasing glucose production from the liver and decreasing glucose uptake by skeletal muscle [111]. The overall increase in peripheral glucose levels leads to augmented insulin release from the pancreas that with time manifests as insulin resistance [111]. Hyperinsulinemia is a cardinal phenotype of obesity that can also lead to dysregulation of leptin signaling in adipocytes that normally acts to suppress secretion of insulin from the pancreas [112]. Leptin signaling from adipocytes serves as peripheral satiety signal and chronic elevated levels of glucocorticoids can lead to hyperleptinemia as well as to leptin resistance [113], both phenotypes associated with obesity [114].

The arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus as well as the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) express high levels of glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) [115, 116] by which cortisol and corticosterone mediate central effects of stress exposure on food intake [117]. The cellular mechanisms by which glucocorticoids act via GRs to impair insulin and glucose homeostasis is reviewed elsewhere [118]. However, the actions of peripheral GRs are also necessary for the development of stress-induced obesity, as mice lacking adipocyte GR expression are resistant to developing obesity in a CDD environment [119]. Thus, glucocorticoid resistance coincident with hypercortisolemic conditions, such as obesity and depression, may further exacerbate glucocorticoid mediated increases in body fat and release of inflammatory adipokines.
In contrast to the actions of glucocorticoids on food intake and metabolism, E2 attenuates food intake and prevents the development of obesity and insulin resistance (Figure 1) [120]. Studies in rodents [121], non-human primates and women have all showed that E2 is anorectic and increases energy expenditure and activity levels. More specifically, E2 suppresses lipogenesis in adipocytes by decreasing the activity of LPL, increases lipolysis via stimulation of hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) [122], and suppresses glucose production in the liver [123]. Indeed, when E2 levels are low, visceral fat accumulates in females [124], via the actions of E2 receptors (ERs) expressed in adipose tissue [125]. Both estrogen receptors (ER) α (ERα) and β (ERβ) are expressed in human visceral adipose tissue [126], and genetic polymorphisms and deletions of the ERs are associated with increased risk for obesity in humans [127] and in rodents [128]. Not only does E2 also facilitate insulin-induced update of glucose in skeletal muscle but also via both ER α and ERβ mechanisms in the pancreas that facilitate the regulation of insulin synthesis and release (reviewed in [122]).

Importantly, E2 also acts to facilitate the actions of anorexigenic signals and attenuate the actions of orexigenic molecules. More specifically, expression and secretion of hypothalamic NPY is attenuated by E2 [129, 130]. The actions of ghrelin and MCH to stimulate food intake are similarly decreased in with E2 replacement in ovariectomized rodents [131, 132]. Other translational rodent research indicates that E2 also increases the anorectic actions of leptin while concurrently increasing insulin sensitivity via augmenting expression of insulin receptors in adipose tissue, and promoting the accumulation of subcutaneous over visceral fat mass [133]. Leptin receptors are co-localized with ERs in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus indicating a direct mechanism by which E2 increases leptin sensitivity [134]. More recently it was shown in female rodents fed a CDD that E2 decreases diet-induced hypothalamic neurogenesis of leptin-sensitive neurons in the arcuate [135]. A similar study showed that E2 administration in ovariectomized mice normalizes the decreased insulin sensitivity associated with four weeks of HFD exposure [136]. E2 replacement in ovariectomized mice has also been shown to decrease the expression of TNFα, LPL, and fatty acid synthase in adipocytes [137], all effects consistent with decreased fat accumulation and food intake.

Taken together, these data suggest that the effects of E2 on food intake and metabolism are typically opposite those of glucocorticoids on these same central and peripheral systems (Figure 1). However, under conditions of chronic stress exposure and concomitant LHPA dysregulation, the beneficial effects of E2 on these systems may be lost or even reversed, such that the actions of E2 may facilitate those of glucocorticoids. One mechanism by this may occur is via changes in sensitivity and expression of central and peripheral ERs [122]. ERα and ERβ are expressed in the hypothalamus of rodents, with ERα expressed predominantly in the arcuate nucleus and ERβ expressed predominantly in the PVN [138]. The direct role of ERs on the anorectic effects of E2 are highlighted by data showing that the selective knock down or ERα in the hypothalamus of mice increases hyperphagia and fat accumulation [139], and that the anorectic effects of E2 are diminished in the presence of ERβ oligodeoxynucleotides [140]. Importantly, corticosterone treatment in rodents increases ERβ in the PVN [141], suggesting that exposure to chronic stressors and conditions of hypercortisolemia can site-specifically alter ER expression. In humans suffering from major depressive and bipolar disorder, ERα co-localization with CRH in the PVN that is normally
very low to nonexistent, is increased [142]. While these data suggest that stress may alter the expression, and thus the function, of ERs to increase risk for obesogenic physiology and behavior in females, more studies are necessary to further test this hypothesis.

**Alterations in Reward Pathways**

Another mechanism by which chronic stressor exposure may increase risk for obesity in women is via modulation of reward circuitry and neurochemistry, as mesolimbic dopamine signaling is critical to the rewarding aspects of food intake [143]. The underlying mechanism by which stress exposure influences reward pathways revolves around signals from the stress axis, including CRH, that decrease dopamine (DA) levels within prefrontal regions and increase DA in the nucleus accumbens [144] by acting upon dopaminergic neurons in mesocortical regions [145–147]. The effects of CRH on DA levels can also decrease mesolimbic dopamine 2 receptor (D2R) levels [148]. Decreases of D2R have been linked to anhedonia and increased risk for developing an addictive phenotype [149–151], including compulsive eating [152]. Socially subordinate female monkeys also show reduced levels D2R binding potential (BP) assessed by positron emission tomography (PET) [85, 86, 153, 154] that are coincident with decreased estimates of central DA release [86, 155] and increased rates of cocaine self-administration [154].

A reduction in D2R levels is characteristic to both psychostimulant abuse [156, 157] and diet-induced obesity [158–161]. It has been proposed that the attenuation of striatal D2R binding may increase susceptibility to drug abuse, as this hypodopaminergic state is thought to reflect a reward deficiency syndrome [162]. The notion that stress-induced emotional eating is a form of addiction is not new [163], as this form of eating represents an attempt to stimulate a hypodopaminergic reward system by intake of CCDs [164–166]. Importantly, women are at higher risk for cocaine addiction [167], just as they are more vulnerable to increased caloric intake and obesity [21, 71]. Translational data from rodent models indicate that E2 stimulates striatal DA release [168, 169] and transiently decreases D2R availability [170–172]. This effect of E2 on the dopaminergic system in females is linked to an increased propensity to self-administer cocaine [173, 174]. While these data from translational rodent work suggest that E2 may increase motivation to ingest CCDs similar to its enhancing motivational effects of E2 on cocaine abuse in women, it remains to be determined whether exposure to chronic stress exacerbates the effects of E2 on reward pathways to drive stress-induced intake of CCDs in females.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the increased risk for obesity in females may be due to chronic stress-induced alterations in the behavioral and physiological effects of E2. However, to further delineate the mechanisms by which women are more vulnerable to the development of obesity, translational and clinical research must be expanded to systematically address the effects of sex and gonadal hormones in the etiology of stress-induced disorders [175]. The value of such translational animal work is exemplified by the body of work summarized in Table 1 describing the effects of social subordination on health-related phenotypes in female macaques. Taken together, these data from female macaques suggest that chronic
psychosocial stress exposure alters sensitivity to E2 thereby increasing risk for adverse
health outcomes in females. It is also important to study these questions throughout
development and adulthood, as stress-induced emotional eating occurs in girls [176–178],
and results in higher rates of obesity [179–182], particularly in girls [176–178]. Accounting
for sex and gonadal hormones in our examination of stress axis function in obesity will offer
clarity on the complex relationship, as well as yield novel targets for alleviating the adverse
consequences of obesity specifically in females.
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Figure 1.
Opposing actions of glucocorticoids (cortisol) and estradiol on regulators of metabolism and food intake. Exposure to chronic stress and dysregulation of the LHPA axis may result in the loss and/or reversal of the protective effects of E2 on metabolism and food intake.
Table 1
Summary of phenotypes associated with social subordination in female rhesus macaques, including altered behavioral and physiological sensitivity to estradiol (E2). Significant differences in summarized phenotypes between dominant (Dom) and subordinate (Sub).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LHPA Axis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid negative feedback</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[84–86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal cortisol levels</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[84, 85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal Size</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPG Axis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive deficits</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[95, 96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 negative feedback of HPG axis</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin levels</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard LCD</td>
<td>Sub ~ Dom</td>
<td>[35, 73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice Condition</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[35, 73, 83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorectic effects of E2 during choice condition</td>
<td>Sub &lt; Dom</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Characteristics in LCD Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Mass</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin levels</td>
<td>Dom &gt; Sub</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory gene expression</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression Emitted</td>
<td>Sub &lt; Dom</td>
<td>[78, 79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Emitted</td>
<td>Sub &gt; Dom</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation Received</td>
<td>Sub &lt; Dom</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiolytic effects of E2</td>
<td>Sub &lt; Dom</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>