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Dual Myxovirus Screen Identifies a Small-Molecule Agonist of the Host Antiviral Response
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As we are confronted with an increasing number of emerging and reemerging viral pathogens, the identification of novel pathogen-specific and broad-spectrum antivirals has become a major developmental objective. Targeting of host factors required for virus replication presents a tangible approach toward obtaining novel hits with a broadened indication range. However, the identification of developable host-directed antiviral candidates remains challenging. We describe a novel screening protocol that interrogates the myxovirus host-pathogen interactome for broad-spectrum drug candidates and simultaneously probes for conventional, pathogen-directed hits. With resource efficiency and pan-myxovirus activity as the central developmental parameters, we explored coscreening against two distinct, independently traceable myxoviruses in a single-well setting. Having identified a pair of unrelated pathogenic myxoviruses (influenza A virus and measles virus) with comparable replication kinetics, we observed unimpaired coreplication of both viruses, generated suitable firefly and Renilla luciferase reporter constructs, respectively, and validated the protocol for up to a 384-well plate format. Combined with an independent counterscreen using a recombinant respiratory syncytial virus luciferase reporter, implementation of the protocol identified candidates with a broadened antimyxovirus profile, in addition to pathogen-specific hits. Mechanistic characterization revealed a newly discovered broad-spectrum lead that does not block viral entry but stimulates effector pathways of the innate cellular antiviral response. In summary, we provide proof of concept for the efficient discovery of broad-spectrum myxovirus inhibitors in parallel to para- and orthomyxovirus-specific hit candidates in a single screening campaign. The newly identified compound provides a basis for the development of a novel broad-spectrum small-molecule antiviral class.

In recent decades, small-molecule therapeutics have revolutionized the treatment of a variety of viral infections. Despite this success, the reach of licensed antivirals is frequently limited by a single pathogen indication profile and preexisting or rapidly emerging viral resistance. Furthermore, the significant resources required for clinical drug development prohibit attempts to generate individual antivirals against all clinically relevant viral pathogens or to flexibly respond to newly emerging virological threats. New antiviral leads and novel discovery strategies are therefore needed to expand the portfolio of treatable viral diseases within the realms of presently available manufacturing technologies.

Therapeutic targeting of host factors required for virus replication has emerged as a novel concept of antiviral therapy that has high promise to advance beyond some of these limitations (1–3). Different viruses, in particular those representing related viral families, frequently rely on an overlapping set of host cell factors and pathways for their replication (1, 2). As substantiated by recent evidence (4, 5), many host requirements are conserved among related viruses, increasing the possibility for broad-spectrum antiviral activity. Likewise, the host-directed approach may contribute to reducing the frequency of viral escape from inhibition (6–8), since individual viral mutations are less likely to compensate for functional loss of a host factor or pathway required for viral replication.

Recognizing that these advantages will be offset by a heightened risk of inducing drug-related side effects, viral pathogens associated predominantly with acute disease appear particularly suitable for this therapeutic approach, because treatment time, and hence host exposure to the drug, can be kept limited. Myxoviruses such as influenza viruses, in the Orthomyxoviridae family, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), human parainfluenza viruses (HPIVs), mumps virus (MuV), and measles virus (MeV), in the Paramyxoviridae family, are collectively responsible for major human morbidity and mortality due to acute viral respiratory disease (9–14).

Influenza virus in particular remains the leading cause of death from respiratory disease in the United States, despite the existence of vaccine prophylaxis. The licensed influenza virus neuraminidase inhibitors zanamivir and oseltamivir carboxylate are beneficial when treatment is initiated early, but this is increasingly offset by viral resistance (15–17). Despite extensive research, no vaccines are currently available for paramyxoviruses such as RSV and the HPIVs, and declining mumps vaccine uptake in several developed countries has, in conjunction with primary and secondary vaccine failures, resulted in a recent resurgence of mumps (14). Ribavirin is approved for RSV treatment, but its utility is limited due to efficacy and toxicity issues (18). RSV prophylaxis using antibody therapies (19, 20) is reserved for high-risk pediatric patients. Considering their clinical significance, unmet medical need, and pre-
dominant association with acute disease, myxovirus family mem-
bers are viable targets for novel pathogen- and host-directed antiviral campaigns.

Discovery paths toward pathogen-directed drug candidates are well established, but diverse strategies are currently used to iden-
tify druggable host targets. For influenza virus in particular, sev-
eral target-driven approaches have recently been employed, orig-
inating from either knowledge-based host target selection (21–23) or systemwide genetic screens for host factors that are involved in pathogen replication (24–26). Chosen targets can then be pursued through narrow drug screens or, if available, use of existing inhibi-
tors. Repurposing of the MEK kinase inhibitor U0126, blocking the Raf/MEK/ERK cascade (21, 27), and the CDC-like kinase 1 inhibitor TG003 (24) for influenza virus inhibition serves as a case in point. While these examples hold some promise, the limited pool of attractive knowledge-based druggable targets, the low re-
producibility between comparable RNA interference (RNAi) screens (28), misjudgment of the druggability of target candidates (29), and the challenges associated with bioinformatics-driven tri-
aging of systemwide gene data sets based on differently curated pathway databases (8) are major obstacles in the path toward de-
vveloping applicable host-directed therapeutics.

In search of an alternative, compound-driven approach, we propose a resource-efficient drug discovery protocol that allows the interro-
gation of the full host-pathogen interactome for drugg-
able host targets with broad-spectrum antiviral effects in parallel to the discovery of conventional, pathogen-directed hits. In this study, we examined the hypothesis that the anticipated broadened pathogen indication spectrum of host-directed antivirals itself can be employed as a viable selector for host-directed hits. Having identified representatives of the Ortho- and Paramyxoviridae with compatible replication kinetics, we examined independent virus replication after coinfection of cells with both viruses in a single-
well setting. Usage of independently quantifiable luciferase reporters set the stage for a high-throughput screening (HTS) protocol design that affords the identification of paramyxovirus-specific, ortho-
myxovirus-specific, and broadly myxovirus-specific, likely host-di-
rected, compounds in a single assay (visualized conceptually in Fig.
1A). Implementation of the protocol against a 10,000-entry diversity set identified, among others, a novel chemical class of broad-spectr-
rum myxovirus inhibitors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cells, viruses, and cloning. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5%
CO₂ in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 7.5%
fetal bovine serum. Vero (African green monkey kidney epithelial) cells (ATCC CCL-81) stably expressing human signaling lymphocytic activa-
tion molecule (Vero-SLAM cells) (30) and baby hamster kidney (BHK-
21) cells stably expressing 17 polymerase (BSR-T7/5 [BHK-T7] cells) (31) were incubated at each third passage in the presence of 500 µg/ml G-418 (Geneticin). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) (ob-
tained under Emory University Institutional Review Board approval IRB00045690) were prepared and stimulated as previously described (4). Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) was used for cell transfections. The QuikChange protocol (Stratagene) was used for all standard-site-directed mutagenesis assays. Virus strains used in this study were recombinant MeV-Edmonston (recMeV) (32), MuV strain F, recombinant RSV A2 (recRSV) (33), and influenza A virus strains IAV/New York/55/2004 (H3N2) (IAV-New York), IAV/Aichi/2/1968 (H3N2) (IAV-Aichi), IAV/ Mexico/INDRE4489/2009 (H1N1) (IAV-Mexico), IAV/WSN/1933 (H1N1) (IAV-WSN), IAV/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) (IAV-Brisbane), IAV/Pennsylvania/08/2008 (H1N1) (IAV-Pennsylvania), and IAV/Texas/15/2009 (H1N1) (IAV-Texas). MeV stocks were grown and titrated by 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) titration on Vero-SLAM cells. MuV was grown and plaque assay titrated on Vero cells. RSV was grown and immuno-plaque assay titrated on HEP-2 cells (ATCC HB-8065), as de-
scribed previously (33). IAV strains were grown and plaque assay titrated on Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells or grown on MDCK cells and titrated by TaqMan real-time PCR-based quantification of progeny genome copy numbers, as described previously (4). recMeV-ren and recRSV-ren stocks were subjected to ultracentrifugation through a 20%-60% one-step sucrose gradient (90 min, 100,000 × g, 4°C), with recovery of viral particles concentrated at the gradient interface to reduce contamination with free luciferase protein synthesized during virus amplification.

**Compounds.** All compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at −80°C. The previously characterized pan-myx-
virus inhibitor JMN3-003 (4), MeV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) inhibitor AS-136A (34), and MeV entry inhibitor AS-48 (35) were synthesized in-house, and their purity was confirmed to be >95% by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and nuclear mag-
etic resonance (NMR) analysis. The screening library (ChemDiv) was de-
signed to cover a broad chemical space within the boundaries of drug-
like physical-chemical properties, a molecular weight range of 120 to 500, and best adherence to the Lipinski rule of 5 (36). Individual hit candidates were sourced from ChemDiv, MolPort, or Ambiente.

**Generation of luciferase reporter systems.** The basis for the genera-
tion of recMeV-ren was a plasmid harboring a complete cDNA copy of the recMeV-eGFP genome (37), which contains the enhanced green fluores-
cent protein (EGFP) open reading frame in the pre-MeV N position. EGFP and Renilla luciferase open reading frames were exchanged using standard cloning techniques, and viral recombinants were recovered after sequence confirmation, as described previously (38). The generation and recovery of the equivalently designed recRSV-ren recombinant were de-
described previously (33). For construction of an IAV–firefly luciferase minireplicon reporter plasmid under the control of the RNA polymerase I (Pol I) promoter, the firefly luciferase gene was amplified with appro-
priate primers and transferred into the pHH21 vector, restoring the non-
coding flanking regions of IAV gene segment 5 as specified previously (39), with the exception of an A-to-G exchange at position 8 in the 5’-
noncoding region.

**Virus-driven luciferase reporter assays.** Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured to quantify reporter protein expression. Unless otherwise specified, 293T cells were transfected with 1.0 µg of IAV–firefly luciferase minigenome reporter plasmid/10⁶ cells and then cryopreserved at 28 h posttransfection. Thawed cells were seeded at a density of 3 × 10⁴ cells/ well in a 96-well plate and infected with tosylsulfonyl phenylalanyl chlo-
romethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin-activated IAV strains (multi-
plicity of infection [MOI] = 0.1 PFU/cell), recMeV-ren (MOI = 0.2 TCID₅₀/cell), and/or recRSV-ren (MOI = 0.2 PFU/cell) after a 20-hour incubation, unless stated otherwise for individual experiments. Twenty-
hours after infection with IAV strains or recMeV-ren, Bright-Glo, Renilla-Glo, or Dual-Glo substrate (all from Promega) was added as spec-
ified in the manufacturer’s instructions, and bioluminescence intensities were determined using an Envision Multilabel microplate reader (PerkinElmer). For experiments involving recRSV-ren, HEP-2 cells were seeded at a density of 1.5 × 10⁴ cells/well and bioluminescence was as-
sessed at 40 h postinfection (hpi). Where specified, the previously charac-
terized pan-myxovirus inhibitor JMN3-003 (4), MeV RdRp inhibitor AS-
136A (34), or ERK2 inhibitor 5-iodotubercidin (40) was added as a con-

**Fluorescence microscopy.** Vero-SLAM cells seeded in multwell slides were infected with recMeV-eGFP (MOI = 0.2 TCID₅₀/cell) and IAV-
WSN (MOI = 0.1 PFU/cell), followed by a 40-hour incubation. Where indicated, 100 µM fusion inhibitory peptide (FIP) was added to the cul-
tures at 1 h postinfection. Slides with 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed cells were blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA), washed, and stained.
with specific anti-IAV M2 protein antibodies (Thermo Scientific) and allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled secondary antibodies (Jackson). Images were taken on a Nikon Diaphot 200 fluorescence microscope at a magnification of ×200.

**Flow cytometry.** Vero cells infected with recMeV-GFP (MOI = 0.8 TCID₅₀/cell) and IAV-WSN (MOI = 0.1 PFU/cell) were incubated in the presence of 100 μM FIP for 40 h, stained with anti-IAV M2 protein antibodies and APC-conjugated secondary antibodies, fixed, and subjected to cytometric analysis in a FACScanto II instrument as previously described (38).

**HTS.** Cryopreserved cells transfected with the IAV-firefly luciferase minigenome plasmid were seeded as described above in white 96-well plates or, at a density of 10⁴ cells/well, in 384-well plates, followed by a 20-hour incubation. Test articles dissolved in DMSO were added at a 5 μM final concentration (final DMSO concentration, <0.2%). As a control, the pan-myxovirus inhibitor JMN3-003 (final concentration, 1 μM) and vehicle (DMSO)-only wells were added to each plate in four (96-well plate format) or eight (384-well plate format) replicates each. Cells were then infected with a mixture of TPCK-trypsin-activated IAV-Texas (MOI = 0.1 PFU/cell) and recMeV-ren (MOI = 0.2 TCID₅₀/cell). In the time window of 28 to 32 h postinfection, Dual-Glo luciferase substrate was added, and firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were quantified in an Envision Multilabel or Synergy H1 (BioTek) microplate reader.

**HTS data analysis and IP search.** Complete plate reader raw data sets were automatically reformatted into a three-column layout by use of an in-house program, followed by import into the cellHTS2 application package (41, 42). For analysis according to the plate median method, each value was normalized to the median value for all compound wells, and normalized values were scaled to the median absolute deviation of the plate. Stronger inhibition (a reduction in signal) is represented by larger (positive) Z-scores. For data analysis of confirmatory screens after cherry picking of hits, the normalized percent inhibition (NPI) method was applied, and relative values were calculated by subtracting each compound value from the average for the plate vehicle controls, followed by dividing

---

**FIG 1** Coinfection assay to identify host-directed pan-myxovirus inhibitors. (A) Schematic of the dual-target antiviral screen. Anticipated outcomes are specified. (B) Expression profiles of the different luciferase reporter constructs. Vero cells were independently infected with recMeV-ren and recRSV-ren (MOI = 0.2) or transfected with the IAV-firefly luciferase reporter and superinfected with IAV-WSN (MOI = 0.1). At the indicated times postinfection (PI), relative luciferase units (RLU) were determined. The shaded area shows the experimental window (28 to 34 hpi). Values were normalized to peak activities of the respective data series and represent means ± SD for three experiments. (C) Progeny titers of released (IAV-WSN) or cell-associated (recMeV) particles after infection of Vero cells singly or in combination. Values represent means and SD for three experiments. (D) Microscopic examination of Vero cells coinfected with recMeV-GFP and IAV-WSN. Where indicated, cells were incubated in the presence of 100 μM fusion inhibitory peptide (FIP). Recording was done at a magnification of ×200. (E) Cytometric analysis of doubly infected cells as described for panel B, with cells incubated in the presence of 100 μM FIP until harvest. Cells were immunostained with specific anti-IAV M2 antibodies (with APC conjugate), and APC fluorescence and EGFP autofluorescence were determined. Numbers show % distributions of singly and doubly fluorescent cells.
the results by the difference between the means for the plate vehicle and JMN3-003 controls. The SciFinder database package (American Chemical Society) was used to query chemical databases with hit candidate structures to evaluate known bioactivities of analogs, commercial availability, and free intellectual property (IP) space. Where indicated, the compound was added to infected cells (MuV MOI 0.4 TCID50/ml). Plates were incubated at 37°C for up to 6 h preinfection, followed by infection with equal compound (0.002 PFU/cell) in the presence of vehicle (DMSO) for 20 h at 37°C, or the volume equivalent of vehicle (DMSO) for 20 h at 37°C, followed by preparation of total RNA by use of a QIAcube automated extractor (Qiagen) and an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen) as described above. Quantitative TaqMan reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) was performed using TaqMan Fast master mix (Applied Biosystems) combined with proprietary primer and probe sets specifically detecting spiked mRNA, but not genomic DNA, for IL28A, IFNβ1, IL3RA, IRF3, IRGM, ISG15, MDA5, RIG-1, and IFIT1. To calculate ΔΔCt values, threshold cycle (Ct) values obtained for each sample were standardized to expression levels of the 60S ribosomal protein L30 (RPL30) as a reference, and then ΔCt values of compound 09167-treated samples were normalized to the vehicle controls. Final quantification was based on three independent experiments, each conducted in duplicate.

Immunoblotting. Cells (approximately 1 × 10⁶ per treatment condition) were lysed in RIPA buffer (1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.2, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 0.05% SDS, protease inhibitors [Roche], 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Cleared lysates (20,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C) were mixed with urea buffer (200 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 8 M urea, 5% SDS, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.03% bro- mophenol blue, 1.5% dithiothreitol) for 30 min at 50°C, fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Immunoblots were decorated with anti-RIG-1 (Cell Signaling), anti-IIFT1 (Pierce), and anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (anti-GAPDH) (Calbiochem) monoclonal antibodies and developed using a species-specific IgG light chain conjugate and a ChemiDoc XRS digital imaging system (Bio-Rad).

RESULTS

Productive infection of cells in a high-throughput drug screen mandates the following: (i) the replication profiles of the selected myxovirus representatives must be compatible with each other to allow synchronized infection and analysis, and (ii) infection and protein expression from either viral genome must be unaffected by the presence of the other virus in the same cell population. Members of both the orthomyxovirus (47) and paramyxovirus (48, 49) families employ different strategies to block the cellular antiviral response, including the suppression of host cell protein expression in infected cells (47, 50). However, neither myxovirus family induces rapid host cell lysis or apoptosis, and genome transcription and replication of the Paramyxoviridae occur in the cytosol, while the orthomyxoviruses adhere to nuclear transcription and replication of their genetic information. We therefore hypothesized that myxovirus family members may be suitable for productive coinfection of cells.

A myxovirus reporter pair with compatible expression profiles. In search of a clinically relevant myxovirus pair meeting the above requirements, we focused on RSV, MeV, and IAV, and we first generated reporter constructs that allowed independent quantification of para- and orthomyxovirus replication. In the case of RSV and MeV, recombinant reporter viruses were generated by inserting an additional transcription unit encoding Renilla luciferase in the primary position into cDNA copies of the viral genomes, followed by recovery of the corresponding viral recombinants, reRSV-ren (33) and reMeV-ren, respectively. For IAV, we generated a minigenome reporter plasmid on the basis of gene segment 5 (NP) through insertion of a firefly luciferase transcription unit. Expression of the resulting IAV–firefly luciferase replicon reporter is driven through superinfection of transfected cells with IAV, which provides the required viral NP and polymerase proteins.

Dose-response curves and EC₅₀ calculation. Cells were infected with TPCCK-trypsin-activated IAV (MOI = 0.002 PFU/cell) in the presence of 3-fold serial dilutions of compound (the highest concentration assessed was 10 μM) or vehicle. At 1 h postinfection, virus inoculum was removed and cells were incubated in the presence of compound and 3 μg/ml TPCCK-trypsin for 40 to 44 h. Progeny virions in culture supernatants were quantified as described above. For all paramyxovirus inhibition curves, infected cells (MuV MOI = 0.1 PFU/cell, reMeV MOI = 0.4 TCID₅₀/cell, and reRSV MOI = 0.05 PFU/cell) were incubated in the presence of serial dilutions of compound as described above, for 40 (reMeV) to 72 (MuV and reRSV) hours, followed by titration of cell-associated progeny particles. Fifty percent effective concentrations (EC₅₀) were calculated based on four-parameter variable-slope nonlinear regression fitting of mean values for three experiments.

Minireplicon reporter assay. 293T cells were transfected with plasmid DNA encoding the IAV (0.5 μg)–or MeV (1 μg) (44)–luciferase minigenome reporter and plasmids encoding the RdRp components MeV-L (1.1 μg), MeV-N (0.4 μg), and MeV-P (0.3 μg), for MeV replicon assays, or IAV-NP, -PA, -PB1, and -PB2 (0.5 μg each), for IAV replicon assays. In the case of MeV replicons, cells were infected with modified vaccinia virus Ankara expressing T7 polymerase (MVA-T7) (45) at 16 h pretransfection. Compound 09167 was added at 4 h posttransfection, and luciferase reporter activities were determined using Bright-Glo substrate as described above.

Fusion-from-without cell-to-cell fusion assay. A dual split-protein cell content mixing assay was employed to quantify MeV envelope glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion in the presence of compound. NP2-DS₂₄₇₇ and NP2-DS₂₄₈₁ cells (46), stably transfected with EGF-P–Renilla luciferase dual split fusion proteins DSP₂₄₇₇ and DSP₂₄₈₁, respectively, were cocseed in black 96-well microtiter plates, preloaded with EnduRen luciferase substrate (Promega) at 1 h preinfection, and then spin inoculated with reMeV (1,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C; MOI = 10 TCID₅₀/ml). Plates were transferred to 37°C, and luciferase activity was recorded in an Envision Multilabel microplate reader (PerkinElmer) at the specified time points. As a control, the MeV entry inhibitor AS-48 was added to a 50 μM final concentration.

Time-of-addition variation (TOAV) assays. 293T cells were incubated in the presence of compound 09167 at a final concentration of 1.0 or 0.25 μM at 37°C for up to 6 h preinfection, followed by infection with reMeV (MOI = 0.8 TCID₅₀/ml) in the presence of equal compound concentrations. Where indicated, the compound was added to infected cells at the specified time points postinfection. Cell-associated progeny particles were titrated at 24 hpi. Reference samples received vehicle equivalents of vehicle (DMSO) only.

Quantitation of cellular mRNA levels. 293T cells (9 × 10⁵) were incubated in the presence of compound 09167 (final concentration, 1.0 μM) or the volume equivalent of vehicle (DMSO) for 20 h at 37°C, followed by preparation of total RNA by use of a QIAcube automated extractor (Qiagen) and an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen) as described above. Quantitative TaqMan reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) was performed using TaqMan Fast master mix (Applied Biosystems) combined with proprietary primer and probe sets specifically detecting spiked mRNA, but not genomic DNA, for IL28A, IFNβ1, IL3RA, IRF3, IRGM, ISG15, MDA5, RIG-1, and IFIT1. To calculate ΔΔCt values, threshold cycle (Ct) values obtained for each sample were standardized to expression levels of the 60S ribosomal protein L30 (RPL30) as a reference, and then ΔCt values of compound 09167-treated samples were normalized to the vehicle controls. Final quantification was based on three independent experiments, each conducted in duplicate.

Immunoblotting. Cells (approximately 1 × 10⁶ per treatment condition) were lysed in RIPA buffer (1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.2, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 0.05% SDS, protease inhibitors [Roche], 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Cleared lysates (20,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C) were mixed with urea buffer (200 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 8 M urea, 5% SDS, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.03% bromophenol blue, 1.5% dithiothreitol) for 30 min at 50°C, fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Immunoblots were decorated with anti-RIG-1 (Cell Signaling), anti-IIFT1 (Pierce), and anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (anti-GAPDH) (Calbiochem) monoclonal antibodies and developed using a species-specific IgG light chain conjugate and a ChemiDoc XRS digital imaging system (Bio-Rad).
When we compared relative luciferase activity profiles after individual infections of cells with these reporter systems, we found a broad overlap of MeV- and IAV-driven reporter activity peaks at approximately 28 to 36 h postinfection. In contrast, substantial luciferase activity in cells infected with recRSV-ren emerged only after approximately 50 h postinfection (Fig. 1B). The highest paramyxovirus MOI assessed in this assay was 0.2 infectious unit/cell, since limited virus titers achievable in stock preparations restrict the maximal MOI that can be realized in 96- and 384-well plate formats. Based on these expression profiles, we selected recMeV-ren as the better-suited paramyxovirus representative for coinfection with IAV, and we chose a harvesting time of 28 to 32 hpi as the target window for subsequent experiments.

Myxovirus replication after coinfection. To assess the level of viral replication after coinfection, we infected cells with recMeV and IAV-WSN, either individually or in combination, and quantified yields of progeny virus. The resulting viral titers were essentially identical, regardless of whether they originated from individual or coinfections (Fig. 1C). Microscopic examination of cells infected with both viruses revealed a broad overlap of MeV- and IAV-driven syncytium formation was chemically suppressed (Fig. 1D, top panels). Under standard conditions, however, the high cell-to-cell fusion activity of MeV resulted in the rapid formation of large, multinucleated syncytia harboring both viruses (Fig. 1D, bottom panels). Quantitative analysis of doubly infected cells by flow cytometry confirmed that the majority of cells expressing MeV proteins also stained positive for IAV-WSN antigen (Fig. 1E). Taken together, these findings indicate unrestricted coreplication of both IAV and MeV in a single-well setting.

Optimization of coinfection conditions for HTS. To prepare the single-well coinfection–luciferase reporter system for automated screening, we interrogated the assay in a 96-well plate format for host cell type, effect of MOI on reporter expression after coinfection, and IAV strain used. When a panel of human cell lines were infected individually with either virus, we found that all lines supported efficient recMeV-ren replication, returning high luciferase activity levels (Fig. 2A). However, IAV-driven IAV-firefly luciferase replication expression was highest in 293T cells (Fig. 2A) and remained stable over a spectrum of 0.5 to 1.5 μg plasmid DNA/10⁵ cells transfected. Using this robust 293T cell host system and 1.0 μg of replicon DNA/10⁵ cells, we explored the effects of coinfection with increasing amounts of recMeV-ren particles on firefly and Renilla luciferase activities. Over a recMeV-ren MOI range of 0.05 to 0.2 infectious unit/cell, activity levels of both luciferases remained largely unchanged compared to those found in individually infected controls (Fig. 2B). Since slightly higher Renilla luciferase activity levels were observed after infection of cells with 0.2 infectious unit of recMeV-ren/cell, this MOI was chosen for all subsequent screens.

In search of the most competent driver of the IAV-firefly luciferase replicon, we scanned a panel of different IAV strains representing H1N1 and H3N2 genotypes. Under the experimental conditions established above, infection of minireplicon-transfected cells with swine-origin IAV-Texas/2009 (H1N1) (IAV-Texas) resulted in the highest luciferase activities overall, which were ap-

![Image](jvi.asm.org/11080/A1.png)
proximately 4-fold higher than those observed for IAV-WSN (Fig. 2C). We therefore selected IAV-Texas as the orthomyxovirus representative of choice for subsequent validation and screening campaigns.

**Assay validation and miniaturization.** Having established the basic infection parameters, we first tested the robustness of the protocol in a 96-well plate format, and the assay was then miniaturized to a 384-well scale. For positive controls with distinct antiviral profiles, we chose the previously developed small-molecule compounds AS-136A, an MeV-specific RdRp inhibitor (34, 51); JMN3-003, a broadly acting pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor (4); and 5-iodotubercidin, a potent ERK2 inhibitor (40) that we found to block IAV, but not MeV, replication. Using the coinfection protocol, the effect of each of these control compounds was assessed in dose-response assays in independent replicate plates. This approach yielded dose-dependent paramyxovirus-specific, orthomyxovirus-specific, and pan-paramyxovirus antiviral profiles with little plate-to-plate variation (Fig. 3A), confirming the capacity of the assay to reliably detect representatives of each desired inhibitor class in a single screen.

Using a random test set of 480 small-molecule compounds (six plates) with unknown antiviral activity, we next quantified plate-to-plate variability for each reporter under screening conditions. Plotting of relative luciferase activities obtained for each compound in replicate experiments for both target viruses resulted in linear correlations with $R^2$ values of 0.73 and 0.81 (Fig. 3B). To quantitatively assess the suitability of the assay for automated screening, we selected the myxovirus inhibitor JMN3-003 as a pan-paramyxovirus positive control and calculated Z’ values (43), signal-to-background ratios, and coefficients of variation for the 96-well and, after miniaturization, 384-well plate sizes (Table 1). For both formats, the values were within the acceptable range for automated screening (43, 52).

**HTS of a 10,000-entry diversity set.** To conceptually test the assay in HTS mode, we screened a 10,000-entry diversity set of drug-like small molecules following the filter strategy depicted in Fig. 4A. All compounds were tested at a concentration of 5 μM, and each plate contained vehicle controls and the pan-paramyxovirus reference inhibitor JMN3-003 in quadruplicate. Primary HTS data were normalized by plate to the control inhibitor, Z score scaled, and organized by increasing score values (Fig. 4B). The top-scoring candidates, with Z scores of ≥2 standard deviations above the mean for IAV-Texas (238 entries) or ≥2.5 standard deviations above the mean for MeV (246 entries), were cherrypicked for further analysis. Of these, 124 showed broad antiviral activity against both myxovirus targets in the primary screen (Fig. 4C) and were thus considered pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor candidates.

For second-tier hit filtration, we tested the primary candidates individually against recRSV-ren, which served as an independent confirmatory paramyxovirus target. Of 360 distinct compounds examined in this assay, 13 candidates exclusively blocked IAV-Texas and RSV, a 3-fold larger contingent (39 entries) inhibited MeV and RSV—and were thus considered pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor candidates—and 51 suppressed reporter expression by all three viral targets (Fig. 4D). Since the library was not pretested

![Image](https://example.com/fig3.png)

**Fig 3** Assay validation for high-throughput inhibitor screening. (A) Control inhibitors with distinct antiviral profiles were reproducibly identified in single-well coinfection assays. IAV-firefly luciferase-transfected cells were infected with IAV-Texas and recMeV-ren in the presence of JMN3-003 (pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor), AS-136A (MeV RdRp inhibitor), 5-iodotubercidin (IAV inhibitor), or vehicle control (DMSO). Relative luciferase activities in the wells were determined at 30 hpi. Each concentration was assessed in three replicates; two independent plates were prepared and analyzed. Symbols show means (lines) and minimum and maximum values (floating bars). (B) Plate-to-plate variation assessment using a random test set of 480 compounds. Cells were treated at a final concentration of 5 μM and then coinfected, and luciferase activities were determined at 30 hpi. Symbols represent values for each compound obtained from two independent replicate sets. A direct linear correlation (black line), median RLU values for each reporter data set (solid blue and red lines), and 25th and 75th percentiles (dashed blue and red lines) are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate format</th>
<th>Target virus&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Z’ value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>S/B ratio&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96 wells</td>
<td>recMeV-ren</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAV-firefly luciferase</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAV-Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384 wells</td>
<td>recMeV-ren</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAV-firefly luciferase</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IAV-Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Cryopreserved 293T cells transfected with IAV-firefly luciferase were plated and coinfected with recMeV-ren and IAV-Texas in the presence of the pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor JMN3-003 (final concentration, 1 μM) or an equivalent amount of vehicle (DMSO). Relative luciferase unit values were determined at 30 hpi.

<sup>b</sup> Z Factor (43). Statistical analyses are based on means for four independent experiments.

<sup>c</sup> Ratio of signal to background.
for cytotoxic compounds, the last group was anticipated to comprise cytotoxic compounds, promiscuous hits (53, 54), and pan-myxovirus inhibitor candidates.

**Hit confirmation and counterscreening.** To distinguish between these alternatives and provide further insight into the inhibitory potential of individual hits, all 51 candidates that suppressed MeV, RSV, and IAV were subjected to quantitative cytotoxicity assessment at twice the screening concentration (final concentration, 10 μM) and to an independent, two-concentration (5 and 0.5 μM) third-tier confirmatory screen against MeV and IAV-Texas. For quantitative comparisons after cherry picking, we calculated toxicity and virus inhibition relative to those of vehicle-treated controls. Antiviral effects of the hit candidates with the highest Z scores against all targets were due to strong toxicity, defined as <75% of cellular metabolic activity remaining after 28 h of exposure (Fig. 5A). However, 15 candidates returned >85% inhibition against both MeV and IAV-Texas at 5 μM, and in the case of 4 candidates, this also extended to ≥50% inhibition of viral titers at 0.5 μM (Fig. 5A).

Visual inspection of the chemical scaffolds of all 15 candidates with confirmed bioactivity identified three compounds with undesirable properties, such as highly reactive substructures or other common features of promiscuous small-molecule screening hits (53, 54), and another five compounds represented analogs of only two distinct chemical scaffolds. Excluding these readily undesirable and structurally redundant candidates, we subjected the remaining nine compounds to counterscreening, assessing their ability to reduce yields of progeny recMeV and IAV-WSN viral particles at concentrations of 2.5 and 0.5 μM, respectively (Fig. 5B). Three candidates reduced titers of both target viruses by >90% at 2.5 μM, and one compound (09167) induced >99% inhibition at submicromolar concentrations.

The lead candidate, compound 09167 (Fig. 5C), was sourced for further characterization. Database queries with the chemical scaffold did not return close (≥90% similarity) chemical analogs with defined bioactivity, arguing against multitarget promiscuity. Virus yield-based dose-response curves furthermore confirmed nanomolar EC_{50} of the compound against a set of three different IAV strains (Fig. 5D). Potent antiviral activity was not restricted to orthomyxovirus family members but extended equally to a group of clinically relevant paramyxoviruses, including MeV, MuV, and RSV. Based on these results, we selected the 09167 hit for initial characterization of the mechanism of action (MOA).

**MOA of first-generation lead 09167.** A host-directed antiviral mechanism frequently coincides with host cell species dependence of the inhibitory activity (4). When we examined the bioactivity of 09167 in a variety of cell lines of different species origins, we observed the most potent inhibition in human and canine cell lines and primary human PBMCs (Fig. 6A). IAV-WSN was more sensitive to inhibition than recMeV-Edm in both 293T and Vero cell lines in this assay. In contrast, antiviral activity was minimal or absent in cell lines of nonhuman primate and avian origins. These data exclude a direct virucidal effect of the compound and confirm a host-directed mechanism of action.

To narrow the range of possible host-pathogen interactome targets of compound 09167, we examined the effect of time-of-addition variation (TOAV) on antiviral potency. MeV served as the viral reporter in these experiments, and the compound was administered at concentrations equivalent to 1.25- and 5-fold higher than the EC_{50} at distinct time points pre- or postinfection.
Virus replication was completely suppressed over a wide addition time frame at the higher concentration, indicating a fast-acting host effect of the compound. Importantly, dosing at lower levels revealed significantly increased antiviral potency when cells were pretreated with the compound prior to infection (Fig. 6B), suggesting priming of a host cell antiviral stage. Supporting the TOAV profile, we found the kinetics of virus-to-cell fusion to be unimpaired by 09167 (Fig. 6C), but we observed a dose-dependent inhibition of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity (Fig. 6D), which would be expected if the compound stimulates cellular antiviral defense pathways (55, 56).

To test this hypothesis, we determined whether 09167 triggers traditional RNA virus pattern recognition receptor (PRR) signaling pathways, such as RIG-I/MDA5 and Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), resulting in activation of the type I interferon (IFN) response and IFN-β secretion. TaqMan RT-PCR revealed that IFNB1 and IRF3 mRNA levels were unaffected by the compound (Fig. 6E), and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based quantification of IFN-β in culture supernatants showed no compound 09167-induced increase in interferon release. In contrast, expression of several IFN-stimulated downstream effector genes (ISGs), including the ISG15, RIG-I, and IFIT1 genes, was significantly increased after treatment of cells with 09167 (Fig. 6E). Immunodetection of RIG-I and IFIT1 after exposure of cells to 09167 confirmed that changes in relative mRNA contents translate into increased effector protein steady-state levels. Taken together, these results advocate that screening lead 09167 represents a novel small-molecule activator of the host cell antiviral ISG system.

**DISCUSSION**

Emerging and reemerging viral pathogens mandate the development of novel therapeutic strategies. Broad-spectrum antivirals have become a major goal of drug discovery campaigns, but selecting druggable host targets and identifying viable leads remain challenging.

Recent genomewide RNA interference screens have expanded our insight into the host-pathogen interactome for several viruses, including influenza virus (24–26). Genetically identified host target candidates could be pursued through repurposing known drugs or can become the objective of target-based HTS activities. However, large-scale implementation of such a strategy with an antibacterial endpoint has yielded sobering results (29), demonstrating that the genomics-based deliberate selection of fruitful drug targets remains challenging. These experiences have resulted in the realization that “following the compound” rather than the target may in fact be the more rewarding path toward drug discovery (57).

Guided by these considerations, we describe an HTS protocol that supports identification of pathogen-specific and broad-spectrum myxovirus hit candidates through parallel interrogation of possible pathogen targets and the host-pathogen interactome. Based on the approximately 30-hour (to harvest) timeline of the protocol and the nature of the readout, we anticipate such screens to reveal predominantly inhibitors of virus attachment, entry, and/or polymerase activity, while late-stage blockers of particle assembly and egress are less likely to be discovered. Simultaneous screening against two viral targets representing related but distinct
viral families does not guarantee per se that broad-spectrum hits will follow a host-directed activity profile. Broadened activity could, alternatively, ensue from interference with conserved pathogen structures; for instance, inhibition of viral glycoprotein-mediated virus entry through lectins (58) in a topical application or the use of lipid-active compounds suggested to interfere with membrane function of enveloped viruses (59) serve as cases in point. A mechanistic analysis of successful small-molecule antiviral agents could, alternatively, ensue from interference with conserved viral family strategies, however, broad-spectrum hit candidates must be considered to the identification of pathogen-specific inhibitor candidates, since highly divergent screening scores of a candidate compound for either viral target will argue against common cell-based HTS liabilities such as general cytotoxicity, compound promiscuity, or technical issues. Independent of serial or parallel screening strategies, however, broad-spectrum hit candidates must be considered to the risk of being enriched for undesirable compounds (61).

Although coinfection with ortho- and paramyxoviruses has been observed clinically (62), downregulation of host protein expression by pathogens of either family could prevent successful coreplication in a single-well format. For instance, the influenza virus NS1 protein has been demonstrated to block correct processing of cellular mRNAs (47), while the MeV N protein has been implicated in interference with host mRNA translation through interaction with the translation initiation factor eIF3-p40 (63).
Moreover, the high cell-to-cell fusion activity associated with MeV infection (64) results in the rapid formation of very large syncytia that can comprise entire cell monolayers. One can therefore assume that both pathogens will rapidly be located in the same cellular environment after coinfection, even when originally added at lower multiplicities of infection. However, protein expression shutoff by MeV is inefficient (65), and cytotoxic transcription and replication may shield paramyxovirus genomes from the nuclear functions of NS1. Our results demonstrating efficient IAV and MeV coreplication and protein expression are consistent with this view, and they identify ortho- and paramyxovirus family members as suitable target pairs for parallel drug screens.

Quantitative validation of the firefly and Renilla luciferase reporter-based screen returned the anticipated distinct hit profiles for positive-control compounds with defined pathogen-specific or broad antimyxovirus patterns with a high reproducibility. Application to a 10,000-entry test set demonstrated the general feasibility of the strategy for simultaneous identification of pathogen-specific and broad-spectrum hit candidates. We noted some bias toward doubly false-positive results after coinfection, but we found a nearly 2-fold higher count of MeV-IAV double inhibitor candidates than, for instance, MeV-RSV blockers after primary and confirmatory screening. The screen also confirmed that the pool of primary broad-spectrum candidates was enriched for cytotoxic, promiscuous, and/or assay-interfering compounds.

Triangular confirmatory screening of all MeV and IAV hit candidates against RSV provided an attractive avenue toward reducing the rate of assay false-positive results and potentially identifying pan-paramyxovirus blockers. Although they represent distinct paramyxovirus subfamilies, the phylogenetic proximity of RSV and MeV to each other is substantially closer than that of either to IAV. Indeed, our assay returned a 3-fold higher hit rate for MeV-RSV than IAV-RSV inhibitor candidates. While the molecular characterization of these compounds is pending, we consider it unlikely that this pattern reflects pathogen-directed inhibition of conserved paramyxovirus targets. Rather, we would expect members of different paramyxovirus subfamilies to rely on a more homogenous host factor pool than those for ortho- and paramyxovirus representatives. Follow-up testing in search of candidates with an antiparamyxovirus as opposed to pan-paramyxovirus profile will center on those compounds that combine the highest Z values against both MeV and RSV with the lowest scores against IAV as the point of entry.

Our test screen combined with virus titer-based counterscreens yielded a single pan-paramyxovirus inhibitor with nanomolar potency. This small hit number may well reflect the challenge of broad-spectrum inhibition and may be accentuated further by the stringent screening criteria applied. While a more relaxed screen may identify additional viable scaffolds, this potential gain must be offset against the likely discovery of undesirable promiscuous hits with essentially flat structure-activity relationships (SARs). Three lines of evidence support the hypothesis that the confirmed hit compound represents a novel class of agonists of the host innate immune response. First, compound 09167 shows host cell species dependence of the antiviral effect, supporting a host-directed mechanism of activity. Second, host cell preexposure substantially enhances the antiviral potency of the compound. This TOAV profile suggests priming of a host antiviral state as the basis for inhibitory activity. Lastly, quantitative analysis of ISG mRNA and protein levels demonstrated that the compound upregulates the expression of a subset of antiviral effector genes, including those for ISG15 and IFIT1, which recognize viral genomic and antigenic RNAs bearing 5′-triphosphate groups (66, 67). Expression of multiple ISG products may be synergistically responsible for the potent overall antiviral effect of the compound. Current work is directed at the systematic characterization of the specific pathways triggered and the positive identification of the molecular target.

Despite the effective and well-documented stimulation of a broad antiviral state by type I IFN, small-molecule agonists of the innate immune system have not yet been exploited clinically for antiviral therapies. However, specific activation of innate immune signaling pathways for an antiviral endpoint has experienced a renaissance in recent drug screening campaigns (68–70). Several of these activities have identified fused heterocyclic compounds with DNA-binding (68) and/or DNA-intercalation activity (70, 71), which is inherently associated with high mutagenic potential (72), creating a developmental liability. Compound 09167 is structurally distinct from this set of DNA-active small molecules, but it features a thiophene substructure which is potentially reactive (61) and has appeared in low-potency hits (i.e., hits with EC_{50}s of 13 to 300 μM) in previous screening campaigns (73, 74). This chemical scaffold therefore mandates careful examination. Based on consistent activity in our orthogonal counterscreening assays and the nanomolar, approximately 1,000-fold higher potency than that found for promiscuous thiophenes, 09167 shows all the features of a viable lead suitable for advanced synthetic hit-to-lead optimization.

In toto, we have demonstrated unrestricted coreplication of ortho- and paramyxovirus representatives after coinfection, which set the stage for a novel time- and resource-efficient HTS protocol that affords the identification of broad-spectrum myxovirus inhibitors in parallel to the discovery of conventional, pathogen-specific antivirals. This approach is readily transferable to nonmyxovirus pathogen-target pairs, provided that they share comparable replication kinetics capable of unimpaired coreplication. Application to a small-molecule test set emphasized the necessity for orthogonal counterscreening, in particular for the pursuit of broad-spectrum candidates, and identified a promising novel small-molecule inhibitor with nanomolar antmyxovirus activity.
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