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Activation of high and low affinity dopamine receptors generates a closed loop that maintains a conductance ratio and its activity correlate

Wulf-Dieter C. Krenz1, Ryan M. Hooper2,3, Anna R. Parker1, Astrid A. Prinz2 and Deborah J. Baro1 *

INTRODUCTION
Neuromodulators reconfigure circuit output; but, they must confer stability as well as flexibility in order to maintain the functionality of a target network. Our knowledge of modulatory stabilizing mechanisms is limited. We suggest that modulators stabilize circuits by activating feedback loops that preserve conductance ratios and their activity correlates. Many cells maintain conductance ratios (Linsdell and Moody, 1994; MacLean et al., 2003; Schulz et al., 2006; Peng and Wu, 2007), and it is generally thought that a given conductance ratio sustains a specific activity parameter(s) (Marder and Goaillard, 2006; Hudson and Prinz, 2010; Scoli et al., 2012). A neuromodulator could establish a feedback loop if it modulated one of the conductances in the pair and conferred activity dependence on the other. In this case, modulation of the first current would contribute to changes in neuronal and circuit output that, in turn, would drive a change in the second current to restore the ratio and the activity feature. The work presented here establishes, for the first time, the existence of such a feedback loop.

The 14-neuron pyloric circuit in the spiny lobster, Panulirus interruptus, is a small central pattern generator (CPG) that drives the striated muscles surrounding the pylorus to produce constant filtering of the foregut contents. The repetitive cycle of muscle contractions is underpinned by the recurrent output of the pyloric CPG, which stems from a pacemaker kernel that rhythmically inhibits four follower neuron cell types. The follower neurons then display post-inhibitory rebound (PIR), and differences in their rates of PIR, together with the synaptic architecture, produce a tri-phasic motor pattern of neuronal activity phases (Bucher et al., 2005; Goaillard et al., 2006; Temporal et al., 2012) whose ratio regulates the rate of PIR. I_h and I_f are opposing subthreshold conductances whose ratio regulates the rate of PIR (Harris-Warrick et al., 1995). Population studies on other species of crustaceans showed that pyloric neuron activity phases (Bucher et al., 2005; Goaillard et al., 2009) and their I_h/I_f ratios (Temporal et al., 2012) were invariant across individuals and lifetimes, suggesting compensatory mechanisms may exist to maintain the I_h/I_f ratio and its activity correlates. Such a compensatory mechanism(s) was revealed by overexpressing the K4 channels mediating I_h throughout days in organ culture. Overexpression of I_h in pyloric neurons resulted in compensatory increases in I_f that maintained the rates of PIR (MacLean et al., 2003, 2005).

Neuromodulators alter network output and have the potential to destabilize a circuit. The mechanisms maintaining stability in the face of neuromodulation are not well described. Using the pyloric network in the crustacean stomatogastric nervous system, we show that dopamine (DA) does not simply alter circuit output, but activates a closed loop in which DA-induced alterations in circuit output consequently drive a change in an ionic conductance to preserve a conductance ratio and its activity correlate. DA acted at low affinity type 1 receptors (D1Rs) to induce an immediate modulatory decrease in the transient potassium current (I_h) of a pyloric neuron. This, in turn, advanced the activity phase of that component neuron, which disrupted its network function and thereby destabilized the circuit. DA simultaneously acted at high affinity D1Rs on the same neuron to confer activity-dependence upon the hyperpolarization activated current (I_h) such that the DA-induced changes in activity subsequently reduced I_h. This DA-enabled, activity-dependent, intrinsic plasticity exactly compensated for the modulatory decrease in I_h to restore the I_h/I_f ratio and neuronal activity phase, thereby closing an open loop created by the modulator. Activation of closed loops to preserve conductance ratios may represent a fundamental operating principle neuromodulatory systems use to ensure stability in their target networks.
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FIGURE 1 | Phase recovery in the pyloric network. (A) In situ preparation: the stomatogastric nervous system (STNS) is dissected and pinned in a dish. The ganglia contain DA neurons that project to the STG (black) and lobes, which are the source of neurohormonal DA (purple). The well surrounding the STG (blue rectangle) is continuously superfused with saline (in/out arrows). There are ∼30 neurons in the STG. The pyloric network comprises 14 STG neurons; two are drawn: pyloric dilator (PD, red), lateral pyloric (LP, blue). Network neurons interact locally within the STG and can project axons to striated muscles surrounding the foregut. The diagram shows that PD and LP neurons project their axons through identified nerves to innervate muscles (rectangles).

(B) Spontaneous pyloric network output from one experiment during a 1 h 5 μM DA application: one set of traces comprises two intra-cellular recordings (top) and two extra-cellular recordings (bottom) from the in situ preparation diagrammed in (A). The three sets of traces represent recordings from the indicated time points, in minutes, directly before and after the start of DA application. Red and blue dashed lines reveal how cycle period and LP-on delay change with time. The two red lines demarcate one cycle. Cycle period (a) is defined as the time between the last spike in one PD burst and the last spike in the subsequent PD burst. Note that for each time point the last spike in the first PD burst is aligned with the first red line; however, the last spike in the second PD burst is not aligned with the second red line except at t = 0. This is because 5 μM DA produces a sustained average 10% reduction in cycle period. Thus, for t = 10 and 60 min, the last spike in the second PD burst occurs prior to the second red line. Within the indicated cycle, a blue line aligns with the first spike in LP at t = 0. The time between the last spike in PD and the first spike in LP represents LP-on delay, and LP-on phase is: b/a. Note that for the t = 10 min cycle, the first spike in LP occurs well before the blue line. This is because DA produces an average 20% LP-on phase advance. LP-on phase recovery can be seen in the cycle at t = 60 min because the first LP spike is again aligned with the blue line. Measures of pyloric output parameters can be obtained from either intra- or extracellular traces, and LP burst duration is indicated by (c) on the extracellular traces; scale bars: 20 mV and 500 ms. (C) The pyloric circuit: the diagram represents pyloric neuron interactions within the STG. Open circles represent the six cell types, numbers indicate more than one cell within a cell type: anterior burster (AB), inferior cardiac (IC), ventricular dilator (VD); filled circles, inhibitory chemical synapses; resistors and diodes, electrical coupling; red, pacemaker kernel and its output connections. (D) Phase recovery: the preparation shown in (A) was superfused with one of the two indicated treatments for 1 h and LP-on phase was measured every 10 min throughout the experiment (n ≥ 6/treatment). Average fold-changes in LP-on phase are plotted for each group; yellow asterisks, significantly different from t = 0, data taken from Rodgers et al. (2011a). Note that phase recovery in 5 μM DA was blocked by Cs.
Animals of both sexes were used in these experiments. TTX was ferential AC amplifier (A-M Systems, Everett, WA, USA) and 3 M KCl connected to Axoclamp 2B or 900A amplifiers (Molec-

Experiments were conducted at room temperature (19–21 ◦C). The timing of the LP activity phase is critical for this function (Johnson et al., 2011). LP expresses D1Rs but not D2Rs (Zhang et al., 2010), and LP burst duration and increases pyloric cycle fre-

We suggest that a mechanism to maintain the $I_{\text{LP}}/I_{\text{T}}$ ratio may also prevail during DA modulation of pyloric neurons (Rodgers et al., 2011a); there is a single LP follower neuron in the pyloric network, and it contributes to cycle frequency regulation (Weaver and Hooper, 2003). The timing of the LP activity phase is critical for this function (Johnson et al., 2011). LP expresses D1Rs but not D2Rs (Zhang et al., 2010), and LP burst duration and increases pyloric cycle fre-

Dopamine activates a closed loop

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS AND DRUGS

California spiny lobsters, Panulirus interruptus, were purchased from Catalina Offshore Products (San Diego, CA, USA) and Mar-

In the pyloric network, and it contributes to cycle frequency regulation (Weaver and Hooper, 2003). The timing of the LP activity phase is critical for this function (Johnson et al., 2011). LP expresses D1Rs but not D2Rs (Zhang et al., 2010), and LP burst duration and increases pyloric cycle frequency through intrinsic and network effects (Harris-Warrick et al., 1998; Rodgers et al., 2011a). During continuous DA appli-

Cells were identified by combining standard intracellular and extracellular recording techniques. Lateral pyloric (LP) neurons were identified by their distinct waveforms, the timing of their voltage oscillations, and correlation of spikes on the extracellular and intracellular recordings. Intracellular somatic LP recordings were obtained using 20–40 MΩ glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl connected to Axoclamp 2B or 900A amplifiers (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA, USA). Extracellular recordings of identified motor neurons were obtained using a model 1700 dif-

Experiments in TTX blocked action potential firing and slow voltage oscillations in STG neurons. Under these conditions, the resting membrane potential of most pyloric neurons is between ∼−52 and −62 mV. Pyloric neuron input/output curves suggest that graded transmitter release will be minimal to non-existent at these voltages (Johnson et al., 1991, 1995). DA (100 μM) can shift the curves (Johnson and Harris-Warrick, 1996), but a 10-fold lower concentration has a minimal effect on the strength of graded release (Nivarts et al., 2012). Pyloric neurons can oscillate in TTX if bathed in 100 μM DA, but we do not observe pyloric oscillations in TTX at ≤5 μM DA.

TWO-ELECTRODE VOLTAGE CLAMP (TEVC)

For TEVC of LP $I_{\text{LP}}$, the LP neuron was impaled with two micropipettes (8–10 MΩ when filled with 3 M KCl) connected to Axoclamp 2B or 900A amplifiers (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA, USA). The well surrounding the STG was superfused with P. saline containing 100 nM TTX for ≥5 min. LP was clamped to a −50 mV holding potential using pClamp software. $I_{\text{LP}}$ was elicited using a series of 4 s hyperpolarizing voltage steps, from −60 to −120 mV in 10 mV increments with 6 s between steps. Steady-state peak currents were measured by fitting the cur-
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**FIGURE 2** | DA-enables activity-dependent alterations in LP Ih. (A) The protocols used to measure DA- and/or activity-induced changes in LP Ih are diagramed in the top two panels. Asterisks indicate points where TEVC measures of LP Ih were made. Bottom panels show typical LP Ih recordings at t = 0 and t = 10 min for each of the four indicated treatment groups; scale bars: 500 ms and 5 nA. Note that distal compartments of LP neurons are not completely space clamped and oscillatory activity at t = 0 was observed in all treatment groups in ∼20% of the experiments due to the short exposure to TTX (example seen in TTX group); nevertheless, Ih could be measured from the traces. (B,C) Plots of the fold-changes in LP Ih Gmax in each treatment group at t = 10 min. Each symbol represents one experiment; solid lines indicate the means; *p < 0.05, t-tests. (D) Typical LP Ih recordings for additional experiments in 5 nM DA. (E) Plots of the fold-changes in LP Ih Gmax in each treatment group in 5 nM DA at t = 10 min. Each symbol represents one experiment; solid lines represent means *p < 0.05, t-tests.

**DYNAMIC CLAMP**

We used the dynamic clamp to introduce an artificial injection current (I_inj) specified to counteract the metaplastic (DA modulation of activity dependent (AD) intrinsic plasticity) change in Ih in LP neurons during ongoing rhythmic pyloric activity following bath application of 5 μM DA (Sharp et al., 1993a,b; Prinz et al., 2004a). The membrane potential of the LP soma was amplified, fed into a PCI-6052E DAQ board (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), and digitized at 20 kHz. The dynamic clamp program was written in the C programming language and designed to use the real time Linux dynamic controller (Dorval et al., 2001). This dynamic clamp software calculated the I_inj that would be active at the measured membrane potential (V_m) given a set of model parameters as follows:

$$I_{inj} = G_{max} m \left( V_m - E_{rev} \right),$$

where m changed according to $dm/dt = (m_\infty - m) / \tau_m$, computed numerically using the first-order forward Euler method, and $m_\infty$ was given by $m_\infty = 1/(1 + \exp((V_m - V_{1/2})/V_{slope})$. $E_{rev}$ was set to −35 mV (Kiehn and Harris-Warrick, 1992). Values for $I_h$, $V_m$, and $V_{1/2}$ were determined from a Boltzmann fit as described above. The predicted metaplastic change in LP Ih conductance was subtracted with the dynamic clamp, which calculated and continuously injected current according to...
The pyloric circuit is located in the crustacean STG (Figure 1A), and it produces a rhythmic motor output in situ. Each pyloric cell type displays repetitive oscillations in membrane potential with a burst of spikes riding on the depolarized plateau (Figure 1B). The circuit comprises six oscillatory cell types coupled by fast inhibitory synapses and/or gap junctions (Figure 1C). The pacemaker kernel (anterior burster (AB) + 2 PD neurons) rhythmically inhibits the four follower neuron cell types, which then display different rates of PIR. The different rates of PIR are due, in part, to differences in the expression of I\textsubscript{h} in each follower neuron (Baro et al., 1997, 2000). I\textsubscript{h} delays pyloric neuron PIR (Tierney and Harris-Warrick, 1992): the hyperpolarizing phase of the membrane potential oscillation removes resting inactivation from the Kv4 channels mediating I\textsubscript{h} and activates the hyperpolarization activated cyclic nucleotide (HCN) gated channels mediating the depolarizing inward I\textsubscript{h}. The subsequent depolarization activates Kv4 channels, and the resulting outward potassium current slows the rate of depolarization. In this way, the ratio of I\textsubscript{h}/I\textsubscript{h} can influence when LP activity phase begins (termed LP-on phase). Figure 1B shows intra- and extra-cellular recordings from a typical experiment where the STG was superfused with 5 μM DA for 1 h. DA was applied after the initial recording at t = 0. By 10 min, DA increased pyloric network cycle frequency by reducing the inherent period of the pacemaker AB neuron (Harris-Warrick et al., 1996; Rodgers et al., 2011a). DA application also reduced LP burst duration and advanced LP firing phase. The traces indicate that by 60 min in DA, network cycle frequency was still increased and LP burst duration was still decreased, but LP-on phase was restored. In previous experiments we clearly demonstrated that phase recovery was AD: if the experiment shown in Figure 1B was repeated with continuous injection of a depolarizing bias current into LP to block the DA-induced decrease in LP burst duration, then the LP phase advance occurred, but phase recovery did not (Rodgers et al., 2011a). We also showed that phase recovery in the presence of 5 μM DA could be blocked by bath application of CaCl\textsubscript{2} to reduce I\textsubscript{h} (Figure 1D).

**RESULTS**

**THE EXPERIMENTAL MODEL**

The pyloric circuit is located in the crustacean STG (Figure 1A), and it produces a rhythmic motor output in situ. Each pyloric cell type displays repetitive oscillations in membrane potential with a burst of spikes riding on the depolarized plateau (Figure 1B). The circuit comprises six oscillatory cell types coupled by fast inhibitory synapses and/or gap junctions (Figure 1C). The pacemaker kernel (anterior burster (AB) + 2 PD neurons) rhythmically inhibits the four follower neuron cell types, which then display different rates of PIR. The different rates of PIR are due, in part, to differences in the expression of I\textsubscript{h} in each follower neuron (Baro et al., 1997, 2000). I\textsubscript{h} delays pyloric neuron PIR (Tierney and Harris-Warrick, 1992): the hyperpolarizing phase of the membrane potential oscillation removes resting inactivation from the Kv4 channels mediating I\textsubscript{h} and activates the hyperpolarization activated cyclic nucleotide (HCN) gated channels mediating the depolarizing inward I\textsubscript{h}. The subsequent depolarization activates Kv4 channels, and the resulting outward potassium current slows the rate of depolarization. In this way, the ratio of I\textsubscript{h}/I\textsubscript{h} can influence when LP activity phase begins (termed LP-on phase). Figure 1B shows intra- and extra-cellular recordings from a typical experiment where the STG was superfused with 5 μM DA for 1 h. DA was applied after the initial recording at t = 0. By 10 min, DA increased pyloric network cycle frequency by reducing the inherent period of the pacemaker AB neuron (Harris-Warrick et al., 1996; Rodgers et al., 2011a). DA application also reduced LP burst duration and advanced LP firing phase. The traces indicate that by 60 min in DA, network cycle frequency was still increased and LP burst duration was still decreased, but LP-on phase was restored. In previous experiments we clearly demonstrated that phase recovery was AD: if the experiment shown in Figure 1B was repeated with continuous injection of a depolarizing bias current into LP to block the DA-induced decrease in LP burst duration, then the LP phase advance occurred, but phase recovery did not (Rodgers et al., 2011a). We also showed that phase recovery in the presence of 5 μM DA could be blocked by bath application of CaCl\textsubscript{2} to reduce I\textsubscript{h} (Figure 1D).

**DA- AND ACTIVITY-DEPENDENT (DAD) REGULATION OF LP I\textsubscript{h} IN 5 μM DA**

DA first tested the idea that DA conferred activity-dependence upon LP I\textsubscript{h} by measuring I\textsubscript{h} in LP neurons that showed different activity patterns. In these experiments, LP neurons have one of two activity patterns: either LP activity is completely blocked (TTX), or LP displays normal slow wave but not spike activity (TTX + OSC). LP I\textsubscript{h} is measured in each of these two groups in the presence and absence of DA resulting in four treatment groups. The experiment, which is diagrammed in Figure 2A, was as follows: after dissection and cell identification, the STG was superfused with TTX for 5 min to block spike and slow wave activity, and the TTX was present throughout the remainder of the experiment. Next, at t = 0, LP I\textsubscript{h} was measured with somatic TEVC. After the first measure of LP I\textsubscript{h}, DA was or was not added to the superfusate and LP I\textsubscript{h} was re-measured after 10 min. The voltage of LP was continuously controlled with TEVC throughout the experiment.
The results were consistent with the hypothesis; in the presence of membrane potential in TTX (absence of the recurring voltage step, LP was held at its initial rest-nadir of the LP oscillation at step and holding potentials corresponded to the average peak and in membrane potential (Johnson et al., 2003; Kadiri et al., 2011).

Between measures of LP I_h, a recurrent step mimicking LP slow oscillatory activity at \( t = -10 \) min was (TTX + OSC) or was not (TTX) implemented. Frequency, duration, and amplitude of the recurrent steps were chosen for each preparation individually depending upon measured activity at \( t = -10 \) min: frequency and duration of the recurrent step corresponded to average cycle frequency and LP burst duration at \( t = -10 \) min, respectively; the step and holding potentials corresponded to the average peak and nadir of the LP oscillation at \( t = -10 \) min, respectively. In the absence of the recurring voltage step, LP was held at its initial resting membrane potential in TTX (59 mV on average). Typical LP I_h recordings for each treatment group are shown in Figure 2A.

The results indicated that DA conferred activity dependence upon LP I_h in the presence of DA, the fold-change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) varied according to LP activity (Figure 2B, t-test, \( p < 0.004 \); by 10 min in 5 \( \mu \)M DA average LP I_h \( G_{max} \) was significantly decreased in preparations with the slow wave LP activity pattern (paired t-test, \( t = 0 \) vs. 10 min, \( p = 0.049 \) ) and significantly increased in preparations showing no LP activity (paired t-test, \( p = 0.0285 \)). In the absence of DA the fold-change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) was not significantly different between treatment groups (Figure 2C, t-test, \( p = 0.256 \) ) and there was no significant change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) by \( t = 10 \) min relative to \( t = 0 \) in preparations where slow wave activity was mimicked (paired t-test, \( p = 0.1166 \) ) or activity was completely blocked (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test, \( p = 0.2969 \)). We previously demonstrated that 5 \( \mu \)M DA acting at high affinity LP D1Rs permitted a decrease in LP burst duration to produce an increase in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) that persisted well beyond DA washout (Rodgers et al., 2011a). This suggested that perhaps high affinity D1Rs receptors might also mediate the more rapid DAD regulation of LP I_h \( G_{max} \) observed in Figure 2B. To test this hypothesis, we repeated the experiments diagrammed in Figure 2A, but applied 5 nM rather than 5 \( \mu \)M DA (Figure 2D). The results were consistent with the hypothesis, in the presence of 5 nM DA, the fold-change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) at \( t = 10 \) min varied according to activity (Figure 2E, t-test, \( p = 0.0321 \)). Interestingly, LP I_h \( G_{max} \) did not change over time in 5 nM DA preparations where slow wave activity was mimicked (paired t-test, \( t = 0 \) vs. 10 min, \( p = 0.5962 \) ); however, a complete block of activity produced a clear trend toward an increase in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) (paired t-test, \( p = 0.0396 \) ), and the magnitude of the increase was similar to that observed in 5 \( \mu \)M DA (compare Figures 2B vs. 2E). The difference in the TTX + OSC treatment groups in 5 \( \mu \)M DA (no change in \( G_{max} \) ) vs. 5 \( \mu \)M DA (decrease in \( G_{max} \) ) may be due to the fact that micromolar DA can regulate calcium dynamics during oscillations in membrane potential (Johnson et al., 2003; Kadiri et al., 2011).

For all treatment groups the voltages of half activation changed by \( \leq 2 \) mV on average, and LP I_h voltage dependence is not considered further here. In sum, \( > 5 \) nM DA permitted activity to differentially regulate LP I_h \( G_{max} \) but, neither 5 \( \mu \)M DA alone nor changes in activity alone significantly altered LP I_h \( G_{max} \); i.e., DA did not modulate LP I_h, but conferred activity-dependence upon LP I_h.

**DAD REGULATION OF LP I_h IS NECESSARY FOR PHASE RECOVERY**

Our previous study suggested that LP phase recovery during sustained DA modulation was triggered by a change in LP burst duration (Rodgers et al., 2011a). In order to understand if and how DAD regulation of LP I_h restored the timing of the LP activity phase in 5 \( \mu \)M DA, it was necessary to determine how LP I_h varied according to changes in LP burst duration. An LP I_h activity-dependence curve for changes in burst duration was constructed by repeating the previous experiments in 5 \( \mu \)M DA for the TTX + OSC treatment group, except that the length of the depolarizing step varied across experiments to mimic a change in burst duration (Figure 3A). A plot of the fold-change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) vs. percent change in LP burst duration at \( t = 10 \) min was best fitted with a Boltzmann sigmoidal equation. DA (5 \( \mu \)M) produced an average 30% decrease in LP burst duration (Rodgers et al., 2011a), and so, according to the activity-dependence curve, LP I_h \( G_{max} \) should be reduced by \( \sim 6\% \) in 5 \( \mu \)M DA during on-going activity (Figure 3B, dashed line). This decrease in LP I_h is consistent with our hypothesis that DAD regulation of LP I_h compensates for the DA-induced modulatory decrease in LP I_h to restore the I_h-Ih ratio and the timing of LP activity phase.

In order to determine if DAD regulation of LP I_h was necessary for phase restoration, we used the activity-dependence curve in conjunction with dynamic clamp experiments to abrogate DAD regulation of LP I_h (Figure 4). The experimental preparation was as shown in Figure 1A. After dissection and cell identification the STG was superfused with TTX for 5 min; LP I_h was measured with TEVC and values for \( G_{max} \), \( V_{1/2} \) and \( V_{slope} \) were subsequently incorporated into the dynamic clamp model for I_hg (see Section "Materials and Methods"). TTX was immediately washed out with saline for 90 min. LP burst duration was measured at the end of the wash followed by application of 5 \( \mu \)M DA from \( t = 0 \)–60 min. The predicted fold-change in LP I_h \( G_{max} \) due to DAD regulation was determined using the activity-dependence curve for Figure 3.
and the measured change in LP burst duration from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = 10 \) min, and was subsequently incorporated into the dynamic clamp model for \( I_{gh} \) (see section “Materials and Methods”). From \( t = 10 \) to 60 min, dynamic clamp was used to remove the predicted DAD regulation of LP \( I_h \), i.e., to add back, in the form of dynamic clamp current, the same amount of \( I_h \) that was predicted to have been lost because of DAD regulation. LP-on phase was subsequently measured every 10 min from \( t = 0 \) to 60 min. Plots of the fold-change in LP-on phase over the course of the experiment demonstrated that 5 \( \mu \)M DA-induced the usual phase advance, but removing DAD regulation of LP \( I_h \) prevented LP-on phase recovery (compare Figures 4 vs. 1D). It also prevented LP-off phase recovery (repeated measures ANOVA, \( F(6,4) = 3.119, p = 0.0210 \)). However, it should be noted that the recovery of LP-off phase may be complicated by the PY cell activity phase. The PY-LP synapse contributes to the timing of LP-off phase, especially in DA; thus, any change in LP-on phase that subsequently alters the timing of PY activity through the LP–PY synapse may also indirectly affect LP-off phase (Johnson et al., 2011). From these experiments we conclude that DAD regulation of LP \( I_h \) is necessary for LP-on phase restoration.

**DAD REGULATION OF LP \( I_h \) COMPENSATES FOR MODULATORY CHANGES IN LP \( I_h \) TO RESTORE \( I_h \)**

Thus far the data are consistent with our working model for how phase advance and recovery occur in 5 \( \mu \)M DA: 5 \( \mu \)M DA initially alters the LP \( I_{h}, I_{k} \) ratio by decreasing LP \( I_h \), and this creates a phase advance (Harris-Warrick et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 2010). DA (5 \( \mu \)M) also produces a 30% reduction in LP burst duration, and this subsequently initiates a process that generates a compensatory decrease in LP \( I_h \) to restore the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio and produce phase recovery. In order to further test this hypothesis, we repeatedly measured the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio during a 1 h 5 \( \mu \)M DA application accompanied by a recurrent step that mimicked a 30% reduction in LP burst duration. At \( t = 0 \) peak LP \( I_h \) was measured at +60 mV and peak LP \( I_h \) was measured at −120 mV. DA (5 \( \mu \)M) was immediately applied for 1 h and peak currents were re-measured at \( t = 10, 30, \) and 60 min. During the DA application, whenever peak currents were not measured, LP received a recurring step. Plots of the average fold-changes in the peak \( I_h/I_k \) ratio (Figure 5A) and average peak \( I_h \) and \( I_k \) (Figure 5B) suggested that our hypothesis was incorrect or incomplete. The average \( I_h/I_k \) ratio significantly decreased over time (Figure 5A) because the decreases in peak LP \( I_h \) did not fully compensate for the decreases in peak LP \( I_h \) (Figure 5B).

It is noteworthy that DA-induced a change in both LP burst duration and cycle period (Rodgers et al., 2011a), but our step only mimicked the change in burst duration. We next asked if the DA-induced increase in cycle frequency contributed to DAD regulation of LP \( I_h \). By repeating the experiments to measure the LP \( I_{h}, I_{k} \) ratio but using a recurring voltage step that mimicked both the average 30% decrease in LP burst duration and the 10% increase in cycle frequency. In this case, the average \( I_h/I_k \) ratio did not change significantly throughout the experiment (Figure 5C, repeated measures ANOVA, \( F(4,10) = 2.161, p = 0.1457 \)). Despite the fact that by 10 min, average peak LP \( I_h \) was significantly and stably reduced to 81 ± 4% of its initial value (Figure 5D), repeated measures ANOVA, \( F(3,4) = 16.91, p = 0.0001 \). The ratio did not change because by 10 min in DA, average peak LP \( I_h \) was significantly and stably reduced to 87 ± 3% of its original value (Figure 5D, repeated measures ANOVA, \( F(3,4) = 6.983, p = 0.0057 \)). We conclude that the AD mechanism that regulates LP \( I_h \) \( G_{max} \) in the presence of DA integrates information on both neuronal burst duration and cycle period.

**SPIKE ACTIVITY DELAYS THE EFFECT OF CHANGES IN SLOW WAVE ACTIVITY**

Overall, the data supported our hypothesis: in the presence of 5 \( \mu \)M DA and average DA-induced changes in LP slow wave activity, the DA-induced fold-change in LP \( I_h \) was compensated by a similar fold-change in LP \( I_h \). However, one aspect of the data did not fit with our working model. The ratio could be restored by 10 min (Figure 5), but phase recovery required 60 min on average (Figures 1B, D). It is possible that restoration of the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio was necessary (Figure 4) but not sufficient for phase recovery, and that one or more unidentified slower processes were also involved. Alternatively, one major difference between the experiments shown in Figures 1 vs. 3 was the presence vs. absence of spike activity. If a Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor participated in this homeostatic mechanism to maintain the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio (Guanay and Prinz, 2010), then spike activity and DA-induced changes in slow wave activity might have opposing effects on steady-state Ca\(^{2+}\), and spike activity could delay the compensatory decrease in LP \( I_h \) by slowing the rate of change of steady-state Ca\(^{2+}\). To investigate this idea, we repeated experiments to measure the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio using a recurring step that mimicked not only slow wave activity, but also spike activity.

During normal LP activity, spikes passively spread to the soma and neuropil from a distal spike initiation zone (siz). We mimicked spike activity generated at the siz with depolarizing current injections into the soma. We reasoned that LP HCN channels, which are located in the neuropil (Goeritz et al., 2011), will experience a similar depolarization regardless of whether the spikes initiate at the soma or siz, because the two structures are roughly equidistant from the neuropil. This logic rests on the unstated assumption that the electrotonic properties and protein composition of the entire primary neurite membrane between soma and spike initiation zone are homogeneous and that electrotonic potentials spread with similar efficiency in both directions. We also made untested assumptions about LP spike amplitude and duration. Peak voltage (+40 mV) and duration (2 ms) of PD spikes have been directly measured from intra-axonal recordings (Ballo et al., 2012). We assumed LP and PD spikes would be similar and used these values here.

Previous work suggested that activity-dependent regulation can be coded by the pattern of spike activity and not simply the total amount of depolarization (Corbuinova and Spitzer, 2002). We performed two series of experiments to determine if spike activity influenced the LP \( I_{h}/I_{k} \) ratio either by the total amount of depolarization produced or by the pattern of depolarization. The total amount of depolarization was mimicked with a step to +40 mV whose duration equaled the average number of spikes per burst multiplied by 2 ms. Patterned spike activity was mimicked by 2 ms depolarizations to +40 mV separated by the average interspike
interval (ISI), and the number of depolarizations was equal to the average number of spikes per burst.

In the first set of experiments a depolarizing step to +40 mV was superimposed upon the recurrent voltage step that mimicked LP slow wave activity in 5 μM DA (Figure 6A). The duration of the step to +40 mV corresponded to the average number of spikes per burst at t = −10 min multiplied by 2 ms. Note that the average number of spikes per burst does not change significantly during a 1 h 5 μM DA application [repeated measures ANOVA, F(6,8) = 0.8920, p = 0.5085; data not shown]. Surprisingly, this short depolarization on top of the usual recurrent voltage step that mimicked a 30% decrease in LP burst duration and a 10% increase in cycle frequency completely abolished the effect of DA-induced changes in slow wave activity upon LP peak \( I_h \). The LP \( I_h \) ratio significantly decreased under these conditions [Figure 6C: repeated measures ANOVA: F(3,3) = 6.114, p = 0.0149] because there was no reduction in LP \( I_h \) (Figure 6D, mean ± SEM fold-change in LP peak \( I_h \) at 10 min = 1.008 ± 0.010). The insignificant change in LP \( I_h \) throughout the 1 h 5 μM DA application could not compensate for the significant decrease in LP \( I_h \) (Figure 6D, repeated measures ANOVA: \( I_h \), F(3,4) = 1.181, p = 0.9078; \( I_d \), F(3,3) = 5.251, p = 0.0228). Note that the change in LP \( I_h \) was not significantly different between experiments that did (Figure 6D) vs. did not (Figure 6D) mimic spike activity along with DA-induced changes in slow wave activity (two-way ANOVA: treatment, F(1,28) = 0.08, p = 0.7789; time, F(3,28) = 6.83, p = 0.0014; interaction, F(3,28) = 0.33, p = 0.8065).

We next asked if we could delay, but not abolish the compensatory decrease in LP \( I_h \), \( G_{max} \) by better mimicking the spike pattern (Figure 6F). To do this, we included an ISI in between each 2 ms depolarization to +40 mV that was equal to the average ISI at t = −10 min multiplied by 0.66, because a 1 h 5 μM DA application reduced the mean ISI to 66% of its initial value [repeated measures ANOVA: F(6,4) = 4.002, p = 0.0065; data not shown]. Including patterned spike activity in the recurrent voltage step delayed the compensatory reduction in LP \( I_h \), \( G_{max} \) (Figure 6F). By 10 min in 5 μM DA, the compensatory reduction in LP peak \( I_h \) was significantly smaller for protocols that did (Figure 6F) vs. did not (Figure 6D) include patterned spike activity on top of the slow wave (Student’s t-test, p = 0.0014).

Although a delayed and slowly developing compensatory reduction in LP \( I_h \), \( G_{max} \) elicited with protocol B, it was not large enough to compensate for the decrease in LP \( I_h \), even by 2 h (Figure 6F). This is because the patterned spike activity also unexpectedly regulated LP \( I_h \); the reduction in peak LP \( I_h \) was significantly larger for protocols that did (Figure 6F) vs. did not
The principal finding of our study is that 5 μM DA simultaneously generates flexibility and stability by activating high and low affinity D1Rs to alter activity and enable AD intrinsic plasticity, respectively. The feedback loop re-established a conductance ratio that was modified by DA, and thereby restored a neuronal phase relationship during a sustained increase in cycle frequency. The generation of closed loops via modulator-enabled AD intrinsic plasticity may represent a fundamental organizing principle used by modulatory systems to preserve conductance ratios and their associated activity correlates, while at the same time altering other aspects of circuit output.

**DISCUSSION**

The principal finding of our study is that 5 μM DA simultaneously creates flexibility and stability in a rhythmically active neural network by activating a closed loop (Figure 7). DA acts at both low and high affinity D1Rs to alter activity and enable AD intrinsic plasticity, respectively. The feedback loop re-established a conductance ratio that was modified by DA, and thereby restored a neuronal phase relationship during a sustained increase in cycle frequency. The generation of closed loops via modulator-enabled AD intrinsic plasticity may represent a fundamental organizing principle used by modulatory systems to preserve conductance ratios and their associated activity correlates, while at the same time altering other aspects of circuit output.

**DA SIMULTANEOUSLY GENERATES FLEXIBILITY AND STABILITY BY ACTIVATING HIGH AND LOW AFFINITY D1Rs**

Like most systems, DA transmission takes two forms in the stomatogastric nervous system, tonic, and phasic. DA neurons in the commissural ganglia project to the STG and release DA into open synapses, DA then diffuses to its sites of action before re-uptake (Oginsky et al., 2010). To the best of our knowledge, DA levels have not been measured in the STG, but in other systems that use volume transmission, DA is tonically present at μM levels (range: 0.1–100 nM) and can transiently increase to ~μM levels (range: 0.1–100 μM) near the release sites of bursting DA neurons (Zoli et al., 1998; Schultz, 2007; Fuxe et al., 2010). In addition, the STG is located in a blood vessel and is bathed by neurohormonal DA (Sullivan et al., 1977; Marder and Bucher, 2007). Generally
that mediate different effects on LP. Previously shown that LP possesses both high and low affinity D1Rs. Low affinity receptors respond to DA induction of cycle frequency and LP burst duration, and advances LP activity phase. The latter is due to a decrease in LP burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

**FIGURE 7** (DA 15 μM) activates a closed loop. DA (5 μM) acts at high affinity D1Rs to confer activity-dependence upon LP burst duration and advances LP activity phase. The latter is due to a decrease in LP burst duration. The phase advance not only prevents LP network function, which is to act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

in the Selverston group’s experiments. Indeed, modulatory inputs to the DA-induced changes in cycle frequency and LP burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.

Concomitant stimulation of both low and high affinity LP D1Rs may then result in a decrease in cycle frequency. This may act as a brake on increasing cycle frequencies, but may even drive further increases in cycle frequency. DA-induced changes in activity in subsequently produce a compensatory decrease in LP burst duration. This restores the LP burst duration and the timing of LP activity phase at the increased cycle frequency and decreased burst duration. This will stabilize circuit output by limiting further increases in cycle frequency.
durations (Tierney and Harris-Warrick, 1992). Together, the data imply that modulatory tone could enable multiple AD feedback loops that maintain pyloric neuron phase relationships when cycle frequency varies. Synaptic depression rapidly promotes phase maintenance by proportionately delaying neuronal firing as synapses increasingly recover from depression with longer cycle periods (Nadim et al., 1999, 2003; Manor et al., 2003). DA can modulate synaptic dynamics to promote phase maintenance: 10 μM DA decreased the time constants of short-term depression and its recovery at the PD–LP graded synapse, thus contributing to phase maintenance with changing network frequency (Kvart et al., 2012). It is also worth noting that PS inhibition onto LP plays an important role in determining LP off phase and this impact is enhanced in DA (Johnson et al., 1993, 1995), contributing to the shortening and stabilization of LP activity phase (Johnson et al., 2011). Fast intrinsic conductances, including Ih, can act in conjunction with synaptic mechanisms to promote phase maintenance in pyloric neurons (Rose et al., 2004; Greenberg and Manor, 2005; Rabbah and Nadim, 2005). Slower processes can also play a role in pyloric neuron phase maintenance. In a combined physiological and computational study on the spiny lobster, Hooper et al. (2009) demonstrated that a conductance with slow activating and inactivating kinetics (seconds to minutes) could explain adjustment of PIR and phase maintenance in PY neurons in the presence of altered cycle period. Coallard et al. (2010) showed the crab LP neuron possessed a similar mechanism. Neither of these studies identified the slow conductance. Ih was considered, but blocking Ih did not terminate the mechanism. The authors suggested the conductance could be an unidentified slow potassium or calcium conductance, deactivation of a fast sodium current, a pump current or a combination of opposing currents with fast kinetics. Our research extends these previous findings by revealing a pump current or a combination of opposing currents with fast or calcium conductance, deinactivation of a fast sodium current, changes in cycle frequency (Dicaprio et al., 1997; Jacobson et al., 2011). Thus, changes in cycle frequency and burst duration could alter steady-state Ca2+ release from stores. In addition, Ca2+ entry through glutamate receptors can regulate surface expression of HCN channels over minutes in cultured hippocampal neurons (N Naomi et al., 2010). Perhaps this mechanism may be generalized to Ca2+ entry through other types of channels, such as high threshold voltage-gated Ca2+ channels that open maximally during spike activity. In this case, spike frequency could also influence steady-state Ca2+. Previous studies show that micromolar DA can enhance LP voltage-gated Ca2+ currents (Johnson et al., 2000; Kloppenburg et al., 2007), and in the AB neuron micromolar DA can act on IP3 receptors to increase release from stores (Kadiri et al., 2011). Since higher concentrations of DA can alter Ca2+ dynamics, these data suggest that DAD regulation of LP Ih may vary according to DA concentrations as well as activity patterns.

The mechanisms by which high affinity D1Rs permit AD regulation of LP Ih are not known. Traditionally, D1Rs are thought to act through Gi to regulate adenylyl cyclase activity and thereby cAMP levels, which in turn regulate PKA. D1R induced increases in PKA activity can regulate surface expression of cortical neuron glutamate receptors (Sun et al., 2005). Thus, in one scenario, a cAMP-PKA signaling pathway may modulate AD surface expression of HCN channels. Indeed such a pathway can regulate AD Kv4 channel trafficking in hippocampal neurons (Hammond et al., 2008). One of the invertebrate adenylyl cyclases, rutabaga, is a known coincidence detector that can be influenced by both Gs and Ca2+ (Tomchik and Davis, 2009; Gervasi et al., 2010), and rutabaga could underpin Ds permissive effect.
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