A Stabilized Headless Measles Virus Attachment Protein Stalk Efficiently Triggers Membrane Fusion
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Paramyxovirus attachment and fusion (F) envelope glycoprotein complexes mediate membrane fusion required for viral entry. The measles virus (MeV) attachment (H) protein stalk domain is thought to directly engage F for fusion promotion. However, past attempts to generate truncated, fusion-triggering-competent H-stem constructs remained fruitless. In this study, we addressed the problem by testing the hypothesis that truncated MeV H stalks may require stabilizing oligomerization tags to maintain intracellular transport competence and F-triggering activity. We engineered H-stems of different lengths with added 4-helix bundle tetramerization domains and demonstrate restored cell surface expression, efficient interaction with F, and fusion promotion activity of these constructs. The stability of the 4-helix bundle tags and the relative orientations of the helical wheels of H-stems and oligomerization tags govern the kinetics of fusion promotion, revealing a balance between H stalk conformational stability and F-triggering activity. Recombinant MeV particles expressing a bioactive H-stem construct in the place of full-length H are viable, albeit severely growth impaired. Overall, we demonstrate that the MeV H stalk represents the effector domain for MeV F triggering. Fusion promotion appears linked to the conformational flexibility of the stalk, which must be tightly regulated in viral particles to ensure efficient virus entry. While the pathways toward assembly of functional fusion complexes may differ among diverse members of the paramyxovirus family, central elements of the triggering machinery emerge as highly conserved.

The paramyxovirus family comprises major human pathogens such as the parainfluenzaviruses (PIVs), mumps virus, measles virus (MeV), and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). Of these, members of the Paramyxovirinae subfamily infect cells through a concerted action of two envelope glycoprotein complexes, the F protein and the attachment protein. H-type proteins are exclusively found in the morbillivirus genus, which includes MeV, while other Paramyxovirinae genera feature hemagglutinin (HA)-neuraminidase (HN) or glycoprotein (G)-type attachment proteins (1). Irrespective of actual enzymatic activity, all Paramyxovirinae attachment proteins contain β-barrel head domain structures characteristic of sialidases (1, 2). The binding sites for the viral receptors reside in these head structures (3–9), which are connected to the transmembrane domains through helical stalks (1, 10).

Structural and biochemical studies have demonstrated that the tetramer represents the physiological oligomer of the MeV attachment protein (4, 11). Furthermore, crystal structures of the Newcastle disease virus (NDV) and PIV5 HN stalk domains revealed a 4-helix bundle organization (12, 13). This stalk configuration is likely conserved among Paramyxovirinae family members, since engineered disulfide bonds in the MeV H stalk can result in the formation of covalently linked tetramers (14, 15) and some H variants with substantial stalk extension through insertion of helix repeat elements remained bioactive (16).

For viral entry, the attachment protein specifically activates the homotypic F protein upon receptor binding (17–19). Assuming an initial metastable prefusion conformation, irreversible refolding of triggered F trimers into a stable postfusion form then mediates merger of the viral envelope with cellular membranes, resulting in fusion pore formation (20, 21). Specificity for homotypic F proteins resides in the attachment protein stalk domain (10, 22–25), and a defined candidate F contact zone was identified in the MeV H stalk (16, 26). In addition, a truncated PIV5 HN protein stem lacking the head domains was recently shown to maintain F-triggering activity, suggesting a modular organization of the protein into a regulatory, receptor binding head region and the F-contacting stalk effector domain (27). Remarkably, however, a panel of previously generated headless MeV H-stem constructs was, unlike the analogous PIV5 HN stem, intracellularly retained and F activation defective (28), raising the issue of whether fundamental differences between individual Parayxovirinae genera exist in the fusion-triggering mechanism.

Despite a high degree of conservation of central structural features and biochemical properties of diverse Paramyxovirinae envelope glycoproteins (reviewed in references 1, 10, and 29), recent studies revealed additional differences in the formation of fusion complexes between members of the morbillivirus genus and paramyxoviruses with HN-type attachment proteins. Morbillivirus H tetramers interact tightly with the homotypic F trimers in the secretory system and the plasma membrane of the host cell (30–32). Fusion complex formation is independent of receptor binding (14), suggesting that the proposed F interaction sites in the H stalk are permanently accessible for docking. In contrast, backfolding of the head domains onto the equivalent sites in the HN stalks was proposed to prevent interaction with F prior to receptor binding (27). Rather, interaction with the receptor is thought to induce a rearrangement of the HN head domains that opens up the contact zone for transient binding of prefusion F.
This model is supported by crystal structures of HN ectodomains suggesting distinct head-to-stalk arrangements (8, 12, 13) and efficient fusion activation by the headless PIV5 HN stalk construct (27).

To explore whether the mechanism of morbillivirus H-mediated F-triggering is fundamentally distinct from HN-type fusion activation, we have in this study tested the hypothesis that MeV H stalks, in contrast to HN stalk proteins, assemble into loosely arranged helical bundles that require the presence of the head domains for structural stabilization. To evaluate the role of regulated F activation in the context of virus infection, we examined the ability of appropriately stabilized MeV H-stems to sustain productive viral entry through random F triggering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, transfection, and virus stocks. Baby hamster kidney cells (C-13; ATCC) stably expressing T7 polymerase (BSR-T7/5; 33), African green monkey kidney epithelial cells (CCL-81; ATCC) stably expressing human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (Vero/hSLAM; 34), canine signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (Vero/cSLAM; 35), or canine distemper virus (CDV) H and cSLAM (Vero-CDV-H/cSLAM; 36), and HEK293T cells (CRL-11268; ATCC) were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 7.5% fetal bovine serum. Stably transfected cell lines were incubated in the presence of G-418 (2.5 mg/ml) every fifth passage. In some content-mixing experiments, CO₂-independent medium supplemented with 7.5% fetal bovine serum was employed. Cells were transfected using either Lipo- fectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or, for virus recovery transfections, calcium phosphate precipitation (Promega). Standard virus stocks were prepared against the Flag (M2; Sigma) epitope tag was applied to the grids for 1 min. The excess solution was pipetted away. Immediately, 4 μl of specific antibodies directed against the Flag (M2; Sigma) epitope tag was applied to the grids for 1 min. The excess solution was pipetted away. The virus sample (4 μl) was added to the grid and allowed to attach for 1 min. A second aliquot of the virus specimen was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with the bovine serum albumin (BSA)-treated 10-nm-diameter gold particles in a total volume of 2.5 μl and applied to the grid. After blotting, grids were plunge-frozen using a Mark III Vitrobot (FEI).

Vitrified specimens were imaged on a JEM-2200FS, 200-kV field emission gun-transmission EM (FEG-TEM) with an in-column Omega energy filter operated at a slit width of 20 eV. Images on the JEM-2200FS TEM were collected with a Gatan US4000 charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (4k by 4k). Images were automatically binned by two and acquired with a pixel size equal to or less than 7.6 Å. For the tilt series acquisition, a cumulative electron dose of −120 e⁻/Å² was distributed over a tilt series ranging from −62° to +62°. Images were acquired at −4.0-μm defocus (first contrast transfer function [CTF] zero, 0.31 nm⁻¹). Tilt series images were automatically collected with 2° of angular increments using the predictive SerialEM package (40).

Three-dimensional reconstructions (tomograms) were generated from the aligned image stacks using IMOD Version 4.3.1 (41). Tomograms were noise reduced by nonlinear anisotropic diffusion as implemented in Bsoft (42) and virions segmented using the Amira 5.1 software package segmentation tool (Visualization Sciences Group).

Cell-to-cell fusion microphotographs. Fluorescence microscopy was performed with a Zeiss Axios Observer D.1 inverted microscope. For phase-contrast images, a Nikon Diaphot 200 inverted microscope was employed. In both cases, a 10× objective was used.

Surface biotinylation, SDS-PAGE, and antibodies. Protein surface expression was determined as described before (43) with the following modifications. 293T cells (6 × 10⁶ per well in a 6-well plate format) were transfected with 3 μg of plasmid DNA encoding the specified MeV H construct. Washed cells were biotinylated with 0.5 mg/ml sulfosuccinimidyl-2-(biotinamido)ethyl-1,3-dithiopropionate (Pierce), quenched, and subjected to precipitation using immobilized streptavidin (GE Healthcare) after lysis in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.2], 100 mM EDTA; 40). The material was layered on a 20% to 60% sucrose cushion in TNE buffer and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 20 min. The pellets were resuspended in TNE buffer and repelleted as described above. The resulting final pellets (approximate yields, 10⁷ TCD₅₀/ml) were resuspended in 200 μl TNE buffer.

Cryo-EM affinity grids, microscopy, and image processing. Quanti-foil R1.2/1.3 carbon-copper grids were treated with ethyl acetate to remove plastic film residues. A 20% nickel-nitrotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA)-containing lipid monolayer was attached to the copper back side of the grid for greater stability. Aliquots (4 μl) of His-tagged protein A (Abcam) were applied to the monolayer and incubated for 1 min. The excess solution was pipetted away. Immediately, 4 μl of specific antibodies directed against the Flag (M2; Sigma) epitope tag was applied to the grids for 1 min. The excess solution was pipetted away. The virus sample (4 μl) was added to the grid and allowed to attach for 1 min. A second aliquot of the virus specimen was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with the bovine serum albumin (BSA)-treated 10-nm-diameter gold particles in a total volume of 2.5 μl and applied to the grid. After blotting, grids were plunge-frozen using a Mark III Vitrobot (FEI).

Vitrified specimens were imaged on a JEM-2200FS, 200-kV field emission gun-transmission EM (FEG-TEM) with an in-column Omega energy filter operated at a slit width of 20 eV. Images on the JEM-2200FS TEM were collected with a Gatan US4000 charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (4k by 4k). Images were automatically binned by two and acquired with a pixel size equal to or less than 7.6 Å. For the tilt series acquisition, a cumulative electron dose of −120 e⁻/Å² was distributed over a tilt series ranging from −62° to +62°. Images were acquired at −4.0-μm defocus (first contrast transfer function [CTF] zero, 0.31 nm⁻¹). Tilt series images were automatically collected with 2° of angular increments using the predictive SerialEM package (40).
10 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium fluoride, protease inhibitors [Roche], 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Washed precipitates were fractioned through gel electrophoresis on 4% to 20% TGX gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (GE Healthcare), and H protein material was detected through decoration with specific antibodies directed against the Flag epitope tags (M2; Sigma). Immunoblots were developed using a ChemiDoc digital imaging system (Bio-Rad). The Image Lab package (Bio-Rad) was used for densitometry. In all quantifications and immunoblots shown, standard H was fractionated on the same gel.

**Dual split-protein cell content-mixing assay.** 293T effector cells were transfected with plasmids encoding the Dsp₃₋₄ dual split-protein component (44), H-stem, and MeV F. 293T target cells received plasmid DNA encoding the Dsp₃₋₄ subunit. Premature effector cell fusion was suppressed through addition of 25 μM fusion inhibitory peptide (FIP) (Bachem). Cells were washed 16 to 24 h posttransfection, both populations combined at equal ratios, and mixed cells transferred to solid-wall 96-well plates. Reconstitution of renilla luciferase as a marker for cell content mixing was assessed continuously at 37°C, using the EnduRen (Promega) life cell substrate and a Synergy H1 (BioTek) multifunction microplate reader. In the experiments that included standard H, results of individual experiments were normalized for the peak value of the H data set, followed by averaging of the normalized values. The averages of relative H max values do not reach 100% at any time, since peak values of standard H controls are not reached at exactly identical time points in individual experiments.

**Regression modeling.** Normalized and averaged cell content-mixing assay data were analyzed using a logistic model. An initial offset of 0.5 h was added in silico (two data points) to generate defined starting points for modeling based on the value of the first measured data point. The decay phase was determined for each construct individually based on the decline of consecutive data points and was excluded from regression modeling. Curve fitting was based on the following modified logistic equation: relative luciferase units (RLU) = A/[1 + exp([4 × μ_m/A × (λ – t) + 2])] (45). Curve fitting was carried out in the Prism 5 software package (GraphPad), and the maximal increase in RLU values (maximal rate [μ_m]) and highest absolute RLU values (peak A) were extracted (λ, lag time).

**Statistical analysis.** To assess the statistical significance of differences between sample means, unpaired two-tailed t tests were applied using the Prism 5 (GraphPad) or Excel (Microsoft) software package. For statistical analysis of coinmunoprecipitation, surface expression, and F-triggering data sets, the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (46, 47) was calculated to test a linear correlation between the data sets. Influent outliers (i.e., data points that, when excluded, substantially increased the r² value of the regression analysis) were removed from the regression line calculations. In the surface versus coinmunoprecipitation data set, only the H-(F111A)-122stemGCN-Δ15 control was identified as an influential outlier, whereas, in the F-triggering versus coinmunoprecipitation data set, the H-(98A)-122stemGCN-Δ15 control and H-122stem-1GCN-Δ15 qualified as influential outliers. One standard deviation above and below the regression line was considered within a confidence interval for the assay.

**Envelope glycoprotein cross-linking and immunoprecipitation.** MeV glycoprotein coinmunoprecipitation was performed as described previously (16) with the following modifications. 293T cells (6 × 10⁶ per well in a 6-well plate format) were transfected with 1.5 μg each of plasmid DNAs encoding carboxy-terminally HA epitope-tagged MeV F and the specified H construct. After incubation in the presence of 100 μM FIP for 30 h, washed cells were treated with 3,3'-dithio-bis[sulfo-succinimidylpro- pionate] (DTSSP; Pierce) cross-linker at a 1 mM final concentration, followed by quenching and lysis in RIPA buffer. Cleared lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-Flag antibodies and matrix-immobilized protein G and washed precipitates subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Coprecipitated F protein material was visualized through decoration of immunoblots with specific antibodies directed against the HA epitope tag (16B12; Abcam) and chemiluminescence-based densitometric quantification.

**Viruses neutralization assays.** Recombinant MeV-GFP or recMeV-H-122stem stocks were incubated with the MeV neutralizing antibody (E81) (48), isotype control (M2), or FIP for 15 min at room temperature. The mixture was spinoculated (1,500 rpm for 45 min at 4°C) onto 293T cells, and plates were incubated at 37°C. At 6 h postinfection, FIP was added to prevent the formation of excessive syncytia. The number of green cells was enumerated and compared to mock-treated control numbers after 48 h of incubation.

**RESULTS**

Efficient fusion activation by the previously described, stalk-extended MeV H variants (16) suggested that F triggering does not require direct contacts between the H and F head domains in addition to the H stalk-to-F interaction when the elongated stalk sections protrude perpendicularly from the membrane. To test this experimentally, we collected titer series of cryopreserved standard and recombinant MeV particles that harbor the stalk-extended H protein in place of normal H (16). The three-dimensional reconstructions (tomograms) revealed a dense glycoprotein arrangement on the MeV surface (Fig. 1A), in good agreement with recently reported reconstructions of standard MeV particles (49). In the modified recMeV-H-(118-179)ax, glycoprotein electron density extended from the viral envelope by approximately an additional 6 nm (Fig. 1B), which closely matches the hypothetical pitch of the 41-residue helical element inserted into the H stalk (16) (Fig. 1C). These findings indicate that efficient MeV F triggering may indeed be achieved in the absence of direct contacts between the glycoprotein head domains, prompting us to evaluate the molecular basis for the lack of bioactivity of the previously generated MeV H-stems (28).

**GCN4 zipper domain-stabilized H-stems are F triggering competent.** Assuming a helical coiled-coil organization of the MeV H stalk, intracellular retention of these H-stems may reflect an inherently weaker helix bundle arrangement of morbillivirus H than PIV5 HN stalks. To test this prediction, we generated a terminal tetramerization module (GCN) based on a derivative of the leucine-zipper domain of the yeast GCN4 transcriptional activator, which spontaneously assembles into a parallel 4-helix bundle (50), followed by tandem-stop codons. This cassette was inserted into the H stalk downstream of residue 122, 133, or 158 (Fig. 1C). The first insertion site was chosen based on its position downstream of the proposed H-F interaction domain (16), and the other two flank naturally occurring cysteine residues at H stalk positions 139 and 154, which both engage in intramolecular disulfide bonds for covalent H dimerization (28).

When we coexpressed the resulting H-stems with MeV F, both H-122stemGCN and H-133stemGCN were, in contrast to H-158stemGCN, capable of productive F triggering, as indicated by the formation of multinucleated giant cells or syncytia (Fig. 1D). However, the onset and growth of these syncytia lagged behind those observed in control cells expressing standard H and F. We have previously reported that non-GCN4 zipper-stabilized MeV H-stems ending in the immediate vicinity of H-133 or downstream of the H disulfide bonds between residues 139 and 154 are intracellularly retained (28). Consistent with these findings, a newly generated non-GCN4 zipper-stabilized H-122stem was also not F triggering competent (Fig. 1D).

**The molecular design of the GCN4 zipper clamp governs F triggering.** Quantitation of relative plasma membrane steady-state levels of the three H-stem constructs revealed efficient sur-
face expression of the H-122stemGCN construct, whereas H-133stemGCN was partially and H-158stemGCN predominantly retained (Fig. 2A, left panel). Surface levels of a nonstabilized H-122stem variant were likewise greatly reduced, underscoring that proper MeV H-stem folding into a transport-competent conformation requires GCN4 zipper domain-induced tetramerization (Fig. 2A, right panel).

Based on this initial characterization, we focused in subsequent experiments on H-stems 122 and 133 and employed a kinetic cell-to-cell fusion assay to monitor productive F triggering, resulting in syncytium formation in cell populations cotransfected with MeV F, an H variant as indicated, and eGFP. Fluorescent microphotographs were taken at the specified time points after washing out of FIP.

**FIG 1** Direct contact of MeV H head domains with F is not required for fusion triggering. (A) Three-dimensional tomographic reconstructions and segmentations of measles virions. (Upper panels) Tomographic sections (~18 nm thick) through the centers of standard and H stalk-extended MeV particles. (Lower panels) In segmentations, the viral membrane is represented in yellow and the envelope glycoproteins are represented in red. Scale bars, 100 nm. (B) Measurements of the height of the surface glycoprotein (GP) ectodomains from the top of the viral membrane. Numbers indicate average heights of 55 (recMeV) or 58 [H-(118V41x)] measurements ± standard deviations (SD). (C) Schematic of H stalk extended (16) (left), standard H stalk (center), and the three truncated H-stems (right). F trimers are shown for comparison, and numbers mark the stalk lengths of the alternative H-stems explored. (D) Syncytium formation in cell populations cotransfected with MeV F, an H variant as indicated, and eGFP. Fluorescent microphotographs were taken at the specified time points after washing out of FIP.
in content mixing in almost real time. We have established this dual-split protein (DSP) assay for the MeV glycoprotein system (14), which follows the reconstitution of individually expressed chimeric eGFP-Luciferase protein halves upon membrane fusion (44). Both H-stems were F triggering competent in this assay, but maximal fusion rates and relative peak values reached in the assay were substantially reduced compared to those seen with F triggering by standard H (Fig. 2B).

These findings demonstrate that the MeV H-stalk region acts as a necessary and sufficient effector domain for F triggering. The rescue of bioactivity of the H-stems through added tetramerization domains is consistent with a tetrameric organization of the H stalk and reveals, in contrast to the PI5 VN protein (27), a low intrinsic stability of the MeV H 4-helix bundle.

Based on our recent observation that structural flexibility in the central section of the MeV H stalk is required for F triggering, we speculated that the relative intrins-

FIG 2 GCN4 zipper domain-stabilized H-stems are intracellular transport and F triggering competent. (A) Surface expression (SF expr.) analysis of the H-stem constructs. Immunoblots (IB) after surface biotinylation were decorated with specific antibodies directed against a Flag epitope tag added to the cytosolic tail of all H constructs. Control cells were transfected with vector DNA only (mock). Numbers represent densitometric quantification relative (Rel.) to standard MeV H. Averages of the results of three independent experiments ± standard errors of the means (SEM) are shown. ND, not determined. (B) Real-time content mixing assay to quantify the kinetic of productive F triggering. Effector cells coexpressing an H variant, F, and one DSP subunit were mixed with target cells expressing the complementary DSP component, and relative luciferase units (RLU) were measured continuously over a 24-h period. Results were normalized for peak values observed with standard H and represent averages of the results of three independent experiments ± SEM. (C) (Left panel) Schematic of the relative helical wheel variations introduced between the H-stem and GCN4 zipper domain. Asterisks above the sequences denote hydrophobic positions in the helix repeat pattern. The numbers "0," "1," and "2" refer to the three relative helix positions at the junction. Residues in bold highlight the previously identified F-triggering and F interaction positions. Gray shading marks the GCN4-derived tetramerization tags. (Right panel) Helical wheel projection illustrating the pattern variation at the H-stem/GCN zipper intersection by the example of H-122stemGCN. The projection commences at H residue 111. Hydrophobic helix positions (a, d, h) are highlighted. The transition from the predicted 11-mer repeat of the H stalk to the 7-mer repeat of the GCN zipper disrupts the helical pattern (black filled circles).

Based on our recent observation that structural flexibility in the central section of the MeV H stalk is required for F triggering, we speculated that the relative intrinsic flexibility of the H stalk is essential for F triggering. The rescue of bioactivity of the H-stems through added tetramerization domains is consistent with a tetrameric organization of the H stalk and reveals, in contrast to the PI5 VN protein (27), a low intrinsic stability of the MeV H 4-helix bundle.
by full-length H (14, 15), we hypothesized that partially relaxing the clamping effect of the GCN4 zipper domain on the H stalk may maximize the rate of spontaneous F triggering, provided intracellular transport competence is preserved. We pursued two strategies simultaneously to test this notion experimentally: (i) altering the orientations of the predicted helical wheels of H-stems and GCN4 zipper domains relative to each other through deletion of one or two residues at the H stalk-GCN junction (Fig. 2C) and (ii) adjusting the strength of GCN domain oligomerization through shortening of the GCN zipper or directed mutagenesis of residues located at the hydrophobic face of the helices (Fig. 2D).

Prior to fusion kinetics profiling, GCN domain mutants in the H-133stemGCN background were prescreened microscopically after cotransfection with MeV F (Fig. 2E). The GCN domain modifications of each series associated with the visually highest extent of cell-to-cell fusion were then rebuilt in the H-122stem context, each in all three helical wheel junction variations, and subjected to assessment of GCN4 zipper domain truncations. (A) Real-time content mixing assays to determine the effect of helical wheel modifications between stems and the tetramerization tag. The original H-122stemGCN construct (Fig. 3A, left panel). Although the helix pattern leading up to the transition from stalk to GCN appeared less rigid in the H-133stemGCN construct, productive F triggering by both H-133stem-1GCN and H-133stem-2GCN was improved approximately 2-fold compared to that of the original H-133stemGCN construct (Fig. 3A, right panel).

Truncating the carboxy-terminal 15 residues of the GCN zipper domain resulted in a nearly 4-fold increase in F triggering by H-122stemGCN-∆15 compared to H-122stemGCN, while both H-122stem-1GCN-∆15 and H-122stem-2GCN-∆15 completely lost bioactivity (Fig. 3B, left panel). In the H-133stem background, GCN truncations caused a major reduction in F-triggering activity in all three helical wheel variations (Fig. 3B, right panel). GCN mutagenesis boosted bioactivity of the H-122stem series in all helical wheel combinations, but the overall improvement of fusion kinetics remained below that observed with H-122stemGCN-∆15 (Fig. 3C, left panel). In contrast, the H-133stem-1GCN-5A8A of the equivalent H-133stem series showed an additional (approximately 2-fold) improvement in F triggering compared to the H-133stem-1GCN construct (Fig. 3C, right panel).

**Optimizing the rate of spontaneous F triggering.** Of the three helical wheel variants of the H-122stem, those with predicted uninterrupted (original H-122stemGCN) or minimally disturbed (H-122stem-∆15) helical wheel transitions showed essentially identical F-triggering kinetics, while the H-122stem-2GCN, featuring a stutter in the helical wheel, completely lacked bioactivity (Fig. 3A, left panel). Although the helix pattern leading up to the transition from stalk to GCN appeared less rigid in the H-133stemGCN construct, productive F triggering by both H-133stem-1GCN and H-133stem-2GCN was improved approximately 2-fold compared to that of the original H-133stemGCN construct (Fig. 3A, right panel).

**Correlation between H-stem intracellular transport competence and triggering activity.** For each H-stem truncation set, we selected two complete GCN modification series that contained the constructs with the highest bioactivities for biochemical characterization (Fig. 4A). With the exception of H-122stem-2GCN-∆15, H-133stemGCN, and 133stemGCN-5A8A, all of the 12 constructs analyzed showed plasma membrane steady-state levels that were at least 70% of that observed for standard H, confirming efficient intracellular transport. When they were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation experiments, we noted efficient physical association of all bioactive H-stems constructs with F (Fig. 4B). Independently of F-triggering activity, all H-stem variants followed a largely linear correlation of relative surface levels and coimmunoprecipitation efficiency (Fig. 4C), indicating that none of the surface-expressed H-stems spontaneously assumed an F binding-incompetent conformation. We have previously reported such a phenotype for a full-length H carrying an F111A point mutation (14). Plotting F-triggering activity relative to coprecipitation activity, however, illuminated three constructs, H-122stem-1GCN-5A8A, H-122stem-2GCN-∆15, and H-133stem-2GCN-5A8A, that efficiently (>50% of the level observed for standard H) interacted with F but were overproportionally triggering impaired or defective (Fig. 4D). This profile is reminiscent of the effect of an I98A mutation in full-length H, which tightly interacts with F but does not induce efficient cell-to-cell fusion (51). Introducing the I98A and F111A mutations into the H-122stemGCN-∆15 background reproduced the previously observed phenotypes (Fig. 4C to E). None of the H-stem constructs showed an overproportional increase in triggering activity relative to interaction with F and surface levels.

The coprecipitation results demonstrate that MeV fusion complexes reside preassembled in the host cell plasma membrane. H and F protein interaction is independent of the presence or absence of the H head domains. Overproportional reduction of trig-
ering activity of several H-stem variants relative to F binding suggests a tight balance between H stalk conformational stability and F activation. These stem constructs may be permanently locked into a transport- and F-docking-competent pretriggering conformation of the MeV H stalk (14).

Mechanistic characterization of an activity-optimized MeV H-stem. To quantify the overall gain in bioactivity through altered design of the tetramerization tags, we tested the most bioactive constructs of each H-stem set, H-122stemGCN-15/H9004 and H-133stem/H110025A, in kinetic fusion assays (Fig. 5A and B). For each candidate, regression modeling revealed that both the maximal rate and final extent of fusion were significantly increased relative to those of the H-122stemGCN or H-133stemGCN starting constructs (Fig. 5C), but values remained below those observed for standard H.

Concentrating on the more bioactive and shorter H-122stemGCN-15, we assessed the F specificity of the construct through coexpression with MeV F or PIV5 F in BHK cells. Syncytia were readily detectable when PIV5 F and HN were coexpressed or when the H-122stemGCN-15 was combined with MeV F (Fig. 5D). In contrast, coexpression of PIV5 F and the H-122stemGCN-15 did not result in cell-to-cell fusion, indicating specific MeV F triggering by the H-stems. Since BHK cells are MeV receptor negative, expression of standard, full-length MeV H and F likewise did not induce syncytium formation (Fig. 5D). This experiment therefore also confirmed that H-stem-mediated F activation is independent of the presence of standard MeV receptors. However, MeV F and H-122stemGCN-15-mediated syncytium formation remained sensitive to known inhibitors of MeV entry, FIP and the small-molecule F blocker AS-48 (37), demonstrating bona

FIG 4 Stabilized H-stem variants are surface expressed and F interaction competent. (A) Surface expression of two complete series of each stem variant, associated with the highest triggering activities. (B) Coimmunoprecipitation (IP) of cross-linked, cell surface-exposed H-F complexes. Immunoblots (IB) were developed with specific antibodies directed against the HA epitope tag, affixed to the cytosolic tail of the F protein. For panels A and B, numbers show densitometry averages ± SEM relative to standard H of the results of three independent experiments. (C) Surface levels of individual H-stems tested as described for panel A directly correlate with co-IP efficiency. Average values obtained as described for panels A and B are plotted. The H-(F122A)-122stemGCN-15 mutant included for control is F binding defective. (D) Several H-stem constructs (specified) are overproportionally F triggering defective. Average kinetic fusion data of the 14-h time points (Fig. 3A to C) are plotted as a function of relative co-IP efficiencies. H-stem122 and H-stem133 series co-IP values were normalized separately for H-122stemGCN-15 and H-133stemGCN-5A8A, respectively. The H-(I98A)-122stemGCN-15 mutant included for control is F triggering defective. Gray areas in panels C and D represent the linear regression line of the data sets ± 1 standard deviation. (E) Surface biotinylation and H-F coprecipitation of the H-122stemGCN-15 (I98A) and (F111A) mutants used as described for panels C and D for control. Numbers represent densitometry averages of the results of three independent experiments ± SEM.
To determine whether spontaneous triggering is more closely linked to random molecular motion than to receptor-induced F activation, we assessed the effect of altered incubation temperatures on the kinetics of H-122stemGCN-Δ15-mediated triggering once F was triggered by the H-122stemGCN-Δ15 (Fig. 5E).

As expected, the triggering rate was reduced considerably when the temperature was lowered to 32°C (Fig. 5F). However, this was the case for both standard H and H-122stemGCN-Δ15. The differences between the two constructs remained statistically significant, underscoring that no clear distinction in the sensitivities of the two H constructs to altered temperature conditions was detectable. Increasing the incubation temperature to 39°C did not substantially improve the F-triggering rate by either H construct compared to the values obtained at 37°C.

These results confirm a significant increase in F-triggering efficiency through partial truncation or modification of the GCN zipper domain. Fusion activation by H-122stemGCN-Δ15 is receptor independent, but hetero-oligomerization for the formation of functional fusion complexes remains F specific.

**Recombinant MeV expressing an H-stem attachment protein.** To explore whether spontaneous triggering of cell-to-cell fusion is sufficient to sustain viral entry, we exchanged the H-encoding open reading frame with that of H-122stemGCN-Δ15 in a cDNA copy of the MeV Edmonston strain genome. An additional eGFP open reading frame was inserted at the primary position (Fig. 6A). After rescue transfection for recovery of recMeV-H-122stemGCN-Δ15 particles, infectious centers were detected microscopically based on cytopathicity and eGFP fluorescence (Fig. 6B). These centers were transferrable for successful reinfection of fresh target cells. However, recMeV-H-122stemGCN-Δ15 replication did not result in the extensive cell-to-cell fusion that is characteristic of MeV infections in tissue culture. Titters of infect-
tious particles also remained below 100 TCID$_{50}$ units/ml (com-
pared to the $10^5$ to $10^7$ TCID$_{50}$ units/ml typically seen with
standard recMeV), preventing the generation of virus growth curves.

To verify the molecular nature of the amplified recMeV-H-
122stemGCN-$\Delta15$ particles, we analyzed RNA preparations of in-
fected cells by RT-PCR of the H-encoding open reading frame
(Fig. 6C) and subjected the virus to neutralization assays. When
cells were infected in the presence of neutralizing monoclonal
antibodies (E81) that recognize an epitope in the MeV H head
domain and efficiently prevent receptor binding of full-length H
(48), standard recMeV-GFP was efficiently and specifically neu-
tralized, while entry of recMeV-H-122stemGCN-$\Delta15$ proceeded
uninhibited (Fig. 6D). Taken together, these findings confirm rec-
ceptor-independent entry of recMeV-H-122stemGCN-$\Delta15$ par-
ticles. Viral growth is severely impaired in the absence of the H
head domains, however, demonstrating that membrane fusion
through spontaneous F triggering by H-stems is highly inefficient
in the context of virus replication.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we examined basic requirements for productive
MeV F triggering in the context of transiently expressed envelope
glycoproteins and virus infection. While morbilliviruses, henipa-
viruses (52), and paramyxoviruses harboring HN-type attach-
ment proteins apparently follow distinct pathways toward the first
assembly of functional fusion complexes, our results show that
subsequent steps of the F-triggering process are remarkably con-
served. The membrane-proximal helical stalk domain of the
paramyxovirus attachment protein emerges consistently as the
necessary and sufficient effector unit for fusion activation. Recon-
structions of cryopreserved MeV recombinants, generation of
bioactive headless MeV H variants, and the recovery of infectious
particles harboring a truncated H variant support the concept that
this model, recently proposed for PIV5 HN (27), equally applies to
morbillivirus H.

Tomograms of MeV recombinants revealed that partial duplica-
tion of the H stalk indeed raises the H head domains substan-
tially above the viral envelope. Since these elongated H constructs
remain capable of efficient F triggering (16), we consider it un-
likely that the activation of MeV fusion complexes requires direct
functional contacts between the F and H head domains.

Indeed, engineered headless MeV H-stem constructs are capa-
bile of efficiently inducing MeV F refolding, provided terminal
tetramerization tags are added to the stem. Combined with the
recent demonstration that the introduction of cysteine residues
into the MeV H stalk can induce covalent H tetramerization (14,
15), this finding furthermore confirms that the 4-helix bundle
structure represents the conserved physiological configuration of
the paramyxovirus attachment protein stalk. However, the inher-
ent stability of the morbillivirus stalk bundle appears substantially
lower than that observed for PIV5 HN, since the latter did not
require additional oligomerization tags (27). Partial destabiliza-
tion of the GCN4-derived 4-helix bundle through point mutations
in, or shortening of, the zipper domain significantly enhanced the
MeV F-triggering kinetics, indicating that conformational flexibility
in the vicinity of the central H stalk section is instrumental for fusion
activation. This conclusion is corroborated by our observation that
the F-triggering block induced by the engineered disulfide bonds is
lifted when H stalk flexibility is restored through reduction of the
bonds (14). In contrast to predicting the effect of GCN4 zipper short-
ening, molecular modeling to estimate the outcome of modifying the
relative helical wheel organization of stalk and tag remains inconclu-
sive. We note, however, that the H-122stemGCN variants with an
only slightly disrupted helical pattern showed the highest bioactivity
levels, while the H-122stem$^{-1}$GCN constructs featuring a more
prominent disruption were either F triggering impaired or defective.
However, efficient surface expression and interaction with F of these
H-122stem$^{-1}$GCN constructs argue against gross protein misfolding
or presentation of the stalk in a forced post-F-triggering configura-
tion. Rather, disruption of the helical pattern may constrain the H
stalk in a pretriggering state (11), limiting the likelihood of spontane-
ous F activation.

Equally efficient coinmunoprecipitations of F with standard
H and bioactive H-stem variants confirmed that MeV fusion com-
plexes assemble in a manner independent of receptor binding,
likely in all cases in the secretory system of the host cell (31). This
may explain the necessity for conformational flexibility of the
morbillivirus H stalk, since the rearrangement of stalk residues
located at the H and F interface could serve as the distinctive
trigger for F refolding in preassembled complexes. In contrast,
HN-type attachment proteins reveal the F attachment sites in the
HN stalk only after receptor binding, and the subsequent docking
event of HN and F itself could constitute the trigger for F activa-
tion. However, a very recently identified mutation rendered an
NDV HN protein F binding competent but triggering defective
(53), resembling in phenotype the MeV H trigger mutation at
stalk position 98 (51). This finding suggests that conformational
rerrangements within the attachment protein stalk may, in fact,
represent the common molecular trigger for F refolding in all cases.

Despite efficient fusion activation by the H-122stemGCN-
$\Delta15$, recombinant MeV harboring this construct was, although
viable, severely growth impaired. While the growth phenotype
precludes the molecular characterization of the recombinant, this
may reflect that the H head domains directly contribute to effi-
cient particle formation or proper particle composition. For many
paramyxovirus family members, however, the expression of the
matrix and/or F protein results in the efficient formation of virus-
like particles (54–56), arguing against this notion. Interestingly,
a recent reconstruction of related RSV particles suggested corre-
lated refolding of F proteins present in individual particles (37).
Should this be generally applicable to other paramyxovirus family
members, MeV recombinants lacking the regulatory H head do-
 mains may alternatively encounter an unacceptably high propen-
sity for spontaneous, premature triggering of individual F mole-
 cules, resulting in the concerted refolding of the majority of F
trimers present on a particle and, consequently, loss of infectivity.

In summary, we illuminate in this study strong parallels between
the mechanisms of fusion activation by different paramyxovirus fam-
ily members. The cellular location and timing for the formation
of functional fusion complexes are distinct between different
Paramyxovirinae genera, but all attachment proteins appear to be
organized into a regulatory receptor binding head region and the
helical stem effector module. The latter is necessary and sufficient for
triggering of PIV5 (27) and MeV F and very likely serves an analogous
role in other paramyxovirus fusion systems. Receptor binding-in-
duced or, in the case of H-stem constructs, spontaneous rearrange-
ments of the central MeV H stalk bundle section emerge as the mo-
 lecular trigger for activation of preassembled MeV fusion complexes.
While high levels of spontaneous fusion activation can be achieved in
transient systems, efficient viral entry mandates the presence of the regulatory receptor binding domains of the attachment protein.
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