Dividing a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains
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Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic chromosomes are organized into many independent topological domains. These topological domains may be formed through constraining each DNA end from rotating by interacting with nuclear proteins; i.e., DNA-binding proteins. However, so far, evidence to support this hypothesis is still elusive. Here we developed two biochemical methods; i.e., DNA-nicking and DNA-gyrase methods to examine whether certain sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins are capable of separating a supercoiled DNA molecule into distinct topological domains. Our approach is based on the successful construction of a series of plasmid DNA templates that contain many tandem copies of one or two DNA-binding sites in two different locations. With these approaches and atomic force microscopy, we discovered that several sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins; i.e., lac repressor, gal repressor, and λ O protein, are able to divide a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. These topological domains are stable under our experimental conditions. Our results can be explained by a topological barrier model in which nucleoprotein complexes confine DNA supercoils to localized regions. We propose that DNA topological barriers are certain nucleoprotein complexes that contain stable toroidal supercoils assembled from DNA-looping or tightly wrapping DNA around DNA-binding proteins. The DNA topological barrier model may be a general mechanism for certain DNA-binding proteins, such as histone or histone-like proteins, to modulate topology of chromosome DNA in vivo.

The Escherichia coli chromosome is comprised of a 4.6 Mb circular, negatively supercoiled DNA molecule. A single-stranded nick or double-stranded break should release all superhelical tension and therefore relax the circular DNA molecule. However, early studies showed that multiple single-stranded nicks are required to fully relax the E. coli DNA molecule (1, 2). These studies also suggested that the E. coli chromosome consists of 40 to 100 independent topological domains in vivo (2). More recently, Postow, et al. (3) reassessed the size of the topological domains and demonstrated that the E. coli chromosome is segregated into 400 to 500 different topological domains; the sizes of the topological domains are dynamic and variable ranging from 2 to 66 kb. These studies coupled with genetic studies (4) strongly support the existence of topological barriers that divide the E. coli chromosome into different topological domains (5). One question arises from these studies: What forms topological barriers in DNA? Several models have been proposed to explain the DNA topological barriers (5, 6). For instance, because transcription by an RNA polymerase generates positive and negative supercoils (7), transcription of a gene, especially a gene producing a membrane insertion protein can induce the formation of a topological domain barrier in vivo (8). Another interesting model is that certain DNA-binding proteins especially DNA-looping proteins may constrain DNA loops to serve as topological barriers (5, 9–11). To support this model, we previously showed that certain nucleoprotein complexes, resulting from the binding of several sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins to their recognition sites, could form topological barriers that impede the diffusion and merger of independent chromosomal supercoil domains (12, 13). Nevertheless, conclusive evidence to support these hypothetical models is still required.

Although several attempts have been made to decipher the mechanism by which the E. coli chromosome is divided into independent topological domains (1–3), the nature of the topological barriers is still a mystery. A primary difficulty in determining the identity of the topological barriers in DNA is the lack of a simple, effective system to examine what property or properties of DNA or protein-DNA complexes can serve as topological barriers to divide a DNA molecule into different topological domains. A simple barrier might divide a small supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. In this report, we present our efforts to establish a simple, in vitro system to examine which nucleoprotein complexes are capable of serving as topological barriers to confine free DNA supercoils within a defined region. With this unique approach, we discovered that certain sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins, such as lac repressor, gal repressor, and λ O protein, are able to act as topological barriers that prevent supercoil diffusion.

Results

A Unique Strategy to Study DNA Topological Barriers In Vitro. In this study, we developed a unique strategy to examine whether certain sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins can block supercoil diffusion along DNA. Our first step was to construct a series of plasmids that contain one copy or several tandem copies of one or two distinct DNA-binding sites in one or two different locations (Fig. 1, Fig. S1, and Table S1). The DNA-binding sites at two locations divide the plasmid into two regions of different sizes, ~2.9 and ~1.2 kb. We also placed nicking restriction endonuclease recognition sites for Nt.BbvCI and Nb.BtsI into the plasmid, such that the Nt.BbvCI site resides in the 1.2 kb region and the Nb.BtsI site in the 2.9 kb region (Fig. 1, Fig. S1). For this report, we made DNA templates that contain multiple DNA-binding sites for lac repressor (LacI), gal repressor (GalR), or λ O protein (Table S1). Our next step was to examine whether these sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins can divide a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. For this purpose, we developed two methods: the DNA-nicking and gyrase methods (Fig. 2). In the DNA-nicking method (Fig. 24), one DNA-binding protein; e.g., LacI, will bind to the two groups of DNA-binding sites on the supercoiled DNA template. If the DNA-binding protein stably blocks supercoil diffusion, a nick generated by either Nt.BbvCI or Nb.BtsI should not fully release the superhelical stress of the DNA molecule. After the single nick
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is sealed by T4 DNA ligase, a partially supercoiled DNA molecule will be generated. In the DNA-gyrase assay (Fig. 2B), the DNA template has a nick in either the 1.2 kb or 2.9 kb region. Upon binding by a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein, the plasmid DNA molecule is divided into two regions. If the DNA-binding protein prevents supercoil diffusion, DNA gyrase should be able to supercoil the region without the nick. After ligation, (−) supercoiled DNA templates should result. Agarose gel electrophoresis and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used to examine the topological status of our DNA molecules.

**E. coli LacI Blocked Supercoil Diffusion and Divided a Supercoiled DNA Molecule into Two Independent Topological Domains.** *E. coli* LacI, a homotetramer (14) was chosen as the first DNA-binding protein for this study. As demonstrated previously, LacI simultaneously binds to two of three potential chromosomal sites; i.e., lac O1, O2, or O3 operators to form a DNA loop (15). A DNA template, pCB115 (Fig. 1) that contains two pairs of lac O1 operators in two different locations was used in our assays. The space between the two lac O1 operators in each location is 25 bp (Table S1). Because lac O1 site is a 21 bp DNA sequence and the head-to-tail distance of the lac O1 operators is 46 bp, it is reasonable to assume that each LacI tetramer cannot simultaneously bind to the neighboring lac O1 operators of pCB115 which face in opposite directions. Instead, two LacI tetramers are able to simultaneously bind to the four lac O1 operators to form two highly stable LacI-lac O1 nucleoprotein complexes. In this case, two stable DNA loops are formed. Fig. 3 shows results of the DNA-nicking and gyrase assays, which clearly demonstrate that LacI successfully blocked supercoil diffusion and divided the DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. In the absence of LacI, Nt.BbvCI or Nb.BsiI together removed all (+) supercoils from the (−) supercoiled DNA molecule (Fig. 3A, lanes 1, 2, and 3). In the presence of LacI, however, neither Nt.BbvCI nor Nb.BsiI alone could remove all supercoils from the (−) supercoiled DNA molecule (Fig. 3A, compare lane 2 to lane 1 and lane 5 to lane 4). Nt.BbvCI removed ~7 (+) supercoils that equal to supercoils constrained in the 1.2 kb region where Nt.BbvCI recognition site is located [Fig. 1; the supercoiling density of pCB115 was determined to be ~ −0.06; i.e., it has ~26 (+) supercoils. Fig. S2A was also used to calculate the constrained supercoils]. Nb.BsiI removed ~17 (−) supercoils that correspond to those constrained in the 2.9 kb region in which it nicks the plasmid. As expected, Nt.BbvCI and Nb.BsiI together removed all (+) supercoils from the DNA template (Fig. 3A, lane 8). Interestingly and also as expected, the constraining of DNA supercoils in defined regions by LacI is sensitive to the presence of its inducer, isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG), which lowers the affinity of LacI for its operators (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 4). Similar results were obtained when the DNA-gyrase method was used (Fig. 3B, Fig. S2B). In this assay, we first digested the DNA template using Nt. BbvCI to yield a nicked plasmid for the following reactions. In the absence of LacI and DNA gyrase, the DNA-nicking method. A (−) supercoiled DNA molecule (a) contains a nicking endonuclease Nt.BbvCI recognition site and several DNA-binding sites (green dots) of a site-specific DNA-binding protein in two different locations. The site-specific DNA-binding protein (red cylinder) binds to the DNA-binding sites to divide the DNA molecule into two DNA loops (b). After digestion by Nt.BbvCI, a DNA nick is formed. If the DNA-binding protein blocks DNA supercoil diffusion, two independent topological domains are formed (c). A large excess of an oligonucleotide containing an Nt.BbvCI recognition site is then added into the reaction mixtures to inhibit Nt.BbvCI activities. After ligation by T4 DNA ligase (d) and phenol extraction, a partially (−) supercoiled DNA molecule is produced (e). (B) The DNA-gyrase method. A nicked DNA molecule (a) containing several DNA-binding sites (green dots) of a site-specific DNA-binding protein in two different locations is used to bind to the DNA-binding protein (red cylinder). In this case, two DNA-loops are formed (b). *E. coli* DNA gyrase should be able to introduce supercoils to the DNA loop without the DNA nick (c). If the DNA-binding protein blocks supercoil diffusion, after the inhibition of DNA gyrase by novobiocin, the DNA supercoils should stay with the loop without the DNA nick (d). After ligation by T4 DNA ligase and phenol extraction, a (−) supercoiled DNA template should be generated (e).
In this article, we also demonstrated that the LacI-mediated DNA looping is required for the formation of topological barriers. For this purpose, we constructed a similar plasmid DNA template, pCB152 that contains four lac O1 operators equally distributed between two different locations. Because the space between the two lac O1 operators in each location is 20 bp (Table S1) and the head-to-tail distance of the lac O1 operators is 41 bp, each LacI tetramer is able to simultaneously bind to the neighboring lac O1 operators of pCB152 due to these DNA-binding sites locating on the same side. In this case, LacI is not capable of mediating the formation of DNA loops. This arrangement of lac O1 operators should not support the formation of the topological barriers on pCB152. Indeed, our results showed that LacI did not block supercoil diffusion and divide the plasmid into two stable topological domains (Fig. S3 C and D), suggesting that DNA looping is required for the formation of LacI-mediated topological barriers. Furthermore, our results show that the protein-DNA-looping complex resulting from one LacI tetramer binding to two lac O1 operators is sufficient to form a topological barrier to block supercoil diffusion, although the topological barrier is much less stable comparing with those containing multiple Lac-lac O1 nucleoprotein complexes.

DNA-Wrapping Proteins, such as λ O Protein and E. coli GalR also Divided a Supercoiled DNA Molecule into Two Independent Topological Domains. Due to availability and the biological importance of λ O protein and GalR, we decided to examine whether these DNA-wrapping proteins are also able to divide a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. As demonstrated previously (16), λ O protein specifically binds to the four repeating sequences (iterons) of λ DNA replication origin and forms a unique nucleoprotein complex, the “O-some” to initiate DNA replication. GalR is a dimer and specifically binds to the O2 and O1 operators of E. coli galactose operon to form a loop in the presence of HU protein (17), which inhibits transcription from two gal promoters P1 and P2 (18). Both proteins are capable of inducing DNA wrapping upon binding to their recognition sites (19). In order to test whether λ O protein is able to divide a supercoiled DNA molecule into two distinct topological domains, we made a DNA template, pCB138 containing eight λ DNA replication origins (a total of 32 λ O-binding sites) equally distributed between two locations (Fig. 1). In this scenario, the nucleoprotein complexes, which built from the DNA replication origins wrapping around λ O proteins, should divide the plasmid into two independent topological domains. Indeed, our results shown in Fig. 4 A and B, Fig. S4 C demonstrated that λ O protein was able to divide the plasmid into two distinct, stable topological domains. In the absence of λ O protein, a nick introduced by Nt.BbvCI or Nb.BtsI alone could not completely remove all (−) supercoils from the DNA template (Fig. 4 A, lanes 2 and 4). These results suggested that λ O protein upon binding to its recognition sites served as DNA topological barriers to block supercoil diffusion. Consistent with our previous results (19), the binding of λ O protein to the multiple λ O-binding sites on pCB138 caused DNA unwinding and introduced about eight (−) supercoils into the DNA template (Fig. 4 A, lane 6). Nevertheless, our control experiments showed that two barriers are required to divide the DNA template into two independent topological domains (Fig. 1, Fig. S4 B). Similar results were also obtained by using the DNA-gyrase assay (Fig. 4 B, lane 6).

We also cloned a few plasmids to test whether GalR is capable of dividing a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. Among them are pCB132 and pCB155 that carry 36 gal O2 operators equally distributed between two locations (Fig. S1, Table S1). As described under Materials and Methods, the difference between these two plasmids is the space
In this article, we made three additional plasmids, pCB160, pCB162, and pCB163 that carry multiple tandem copies of two types of DNA-binding sites in two different locations (Table S1). pCB160 contains 18 gal OE operators in one location and 16 lac O1 operators in another location; pCB162 carries 16 λ O-binding sites in one location and another 16 lac O1 sites in a different location; and pCB163 has 16 λ O-binding sites in one location and 18 gal OE operators in another location. These plasmids were used to test whether two topological barriers deriving from unrelated DNA-binding proteins were able to divide a DNA molecule into two distinct topological domains. Our results summarized in Fig. S6 unambiguously demonstrated that two topological barriers resulting from different DNA-binding proteins are able to divide a supercoiled DNA molecule into two independent topological domains. In the absence or presence of only one DNA-binding protein; i.e., either λ O protein or GalR or LacI, a nick introduced by Nt.BbvCI fully relaxed the DNA templates (Fig. S6, lanes 1–3). However, in the presence of a combination of two DNA-binding proteins; i.e., λ O protein and GalR for pCB163, λ O protein and LacI for pCB162, and GalR and LacI for pCB160, Nt.BbvCI could not completely remove all (−) supercoils from these DNA templates (Fig S6, lanes 4). These results suggest that the topological barriers derived from the two unrelated DNA-binding proteins are able to confine free supercoils in a defined region and separate the supercoiled DNA molecules into two independent topological domains. As expected, galactose or IPTG had some inhibitory effects on the formation of the topological barriers (Fig. S6, lanes 5 and 6).

Atomic Force Microscope Images Revealed that LacI Divided a Supercoiled DNA Molecule into Two Distinct Topological Domains. AFM is a powerful technique that has provided high-resolution images for a variety of protein-DNA complexes (20–25). Here we used AFM to visualize whether LacI divides a supercoiled DNA molecule into distinct topological domains. Two plasmids, pCB115 and pCB109 were used. As described under Materials and Methods and also in Table S1, pCB115 and pCB109, respectively, contain 4 and 32 lac O1 operators equally distributed between two locations (Fig. 1). In addition, the neighboring lac O1 operators were cloned on the opposite directions such that LacI cannot simultaneously binds to the neighboring lac O1 sites. Instead, LacI tetramer binds to the lac O1 sites of the two different locations and divides the plasmids into two loops. We used the DNA-nicking method for our AFM imaging studies (Fig. 2). After supercoiled pCB115 and pCB109 were digested by Nt.BbvCI in the presence of LacI (step c of Fig. 2.4), the LacI-plasmid complexes were deposited on freshly cleaved mica surface and visualized using an AFM microscope. Our results are summarized in Fig. 5, Fig. S7. These results clearly demonstrate that LacI divided a supercoiled DNA molecule into two distinct topological domains. For plasmid pCB115, in the absence of LacI, the average contour length of the DNA molecules was measured to be 1,437.6±42.4 nm (Table S2). For B-form DNA with 0.34 nm per base pair, this contour length was calculated to be 4,228±125 nm, which is almost equivalent to the plasmid sequence length, 4,350 bp. Fig. 5 also shows that two LacI molecules bound to the specific DNA-binding sites and separated the plasmid into one relaxed and one supercoiled domain. Interestingly, the contour lengths of the relaxed and supercoiled domains were measured to be 423.9±18.1 nm (1,247.4±53 bp) and 983.1±44.5 nm (2,891±131 bp), respectively. These lengths are consistent with the DNA sequence lengths of the two topological domains (Table S2). For pCB109, LacI also divided the plasmid into one relaxed and one supercoiled domain (Fig. S7). The measured contour lengths of the relaxed and supercoiled domains are 413.5±30.4 nm (1,216±19976 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1109854108 Leng et al.

Fig. 4. DNA-wrapping proteins λ O protein and GalR divided supercoiled DNA molecules, pCB138, and pCB155 into two independent topological domains, respectively. (A) The DNA-nicking assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods and Fig. 2. In addition to 0.156 nM of plasmid pCB138, as indicated at the top of the image, the reaction mixtures also contained λ O protein (20 nM), Nt.BbvCI (4 units), and Nb.BtsI (4 units). After the assay, the DNA molecules (topoisomers) were isolated and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis in the absence of chloroquine as described under Materials and Methods. (B) The DNA-gyrase assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods and Fig. 2. In addition to 0.156 nM of plasmid pCB138, as specified at the top of the image, the reaction mixtures also contained GalR (22.5 nM), Nt.BbvCI (4 units), and novobiocin (3 μM). After the assay, the DNA molecules (topoisomers) were isolated and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. (C) The DNA-nicking assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods and Fig. 2. In addition to 0.156 nM of plasmid pCB155, as indicated at the top of the image, the reaction mixtures also contained GalR (22.5 nM), Nt.BbvCI (4 units), and Nb.BtsI (4 units), and galactose. After the assay, the DNA molecules (topoisomers) were isolated and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. (D) The DNA-gyrase assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods and Fig. 2. In addition to 0.156 nM of Nt.BbvCI-nicked pCB155, as specified at the top of the image, the reaction mixtures also contained GalR (22.5 nM), E. coli DNA gyrase (5 units), and novobiocin (3 μM) and galactose. After the assay, the DNA molecules (topoisomers) were isolated and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. These results clearly demonstrated that GalR is capable of dividing a supercoiled DNA molecule into two distinct topological domains and the operator phasing does not affect GalR as a topological barrier. Similar to λ O protein, the binding of GalR protein to the OE sites on pCB155 and pCB132 also caused DNA wrapping and introduced a few (−) supercoils into the DNA template, which is consistent with our previous results (19). Intriguingly, galactose did not completely abolish GalR as a topological barrier (Fig. 4 C and D, Fig. S5) although it showed some inhibitory effects on the formation of the DNA topological barriers in the DNA-nicking assay. Control experiments indicated that two barriers of GalR-OE complexes are required to divide the plasmid DNA template into two independent topological domains (Fig. S6).
We favor models depicted in Fig. 6 to explain our results. Model (I) is for DNA-looping proteins that are able to bring two or two groups of the DNA-binding sites together to fold into a topologically constrained nucleoprotein complex. DNA-looping proteins strongly stimulate transcription-coupled DNA supercoiling (12, 13). The discoveries presented here have great biological ramifications. Previously we showed that certain sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins strongly stimulate transcription-coupled DNA supercoiling (12, 13). We used the “twinsupercoiled-domain” model to explain these results where nucleoprotein complexes, especially those containing stable toroidal supercoils assembled as LacI upon binding to appropriate-spaced lac O1 operators formed highly stable nucleoprotein complexes and separated the plasmid DNA molecules into two loops (Fig. 5, Fig. S7). These two DNA loops are topologically independent (Fig. 5, Fig. S7). For DNA-wrapping proteins, we tested two proteins, λ O protein and GalR. Both are dimers and induce the wrapping of their DNA-binding sequences around themselves (19). Our results clearly showed that these two DNA-wrapping proteins restricted free supercoils to a defined region and divided the supercoiled DNA molecules into two independent topological domains (Fig. 4). We believe that DNA wrapping is the main cause for these two proteins to confine supercoils within defined regions. First, there is no strong evidence to suggest that these two proteins are able to form distinct, stable DNA loops under our experimental conditions. Second, our results show that a combination of two unrelated DNA-binding proteins; e.g., λ O protein and GalR also confined free supercoils to a defined region and separated the DNA molecules into two distinct topological domains (Fig. S6). These results suggest that DNA wrapping, rather than looping, is the main reason for these two DNA-wrapping proteins to divide the DNA molecules into different topological domains. Nevertheless, although our AFM images showed that λ O protein and GalR are able to divide the supercoiled plasmids into distinct topological domains (Fig. S8), we cannot fully exclude the role of protein-protein interactions of λ O-DNA complexes and GalR-DNA complexes in the formation of the two distinct topological domains.

Discussion
In this article, we demonstrated that the binding of a DNA-binding protein to its recognition sites in two different locations on a supercoiled DNA molecule can confine free supercoils to a defined region and divide the DNA molecule into two distinct topological domains: a relaxed and a supercoiled domain. The AFM imaging experiments were performed as described under Materials and Methods. Arrows indicate two LacI tetramers binding to four lac O1 operators in two different locations and dividing the DNA molecule into two loops and also into two topological domains. (Scale bar represents 100 nm).

89 bp) and 986.5 ± 66.7 nm (2,901 ± 196 bp), respectively (Table S2). These lengths are also consistent with the DNA sequence lengths of the two topological domains calculated from the DNA map (Table S2). Intriguingly, our AFM images show that multiple LacI tetramers (up to 16 molecules) bound to the DNA molecule in a zigzag manner and formed a long filament between two DNA domains (Fig. S7). It is likely that this long filament represents LacI binding to the 32 lac O1 operators of the two locations on the plasmid. The length of the LacI-DNA filament was measured to be 196.7 ± 22.0 nm, significantly shorter than the length of the 16 lac O1 operators cloned in one location of pCB109. These results indicate that LacI binding to the lac O1 operators caused the wrapping of lac O1 operators around the LacI molecules. These results are consistent with our results of gel electrophoresis and also with our previous interpretation of LacI-induced ΔLk (model D of Fig. S8 of ref. 19).

Fig. 5. AFM images to demonstrate that LacI divided a supercoiled DNA molecule, plasmid pCB115 into two independent topological domains: a relaxed and a supercoiled domain. The AFM imaging experiments were performed as described under Materials and Methods. Arrows indicate two LacI tetramers binding to four lac O1 operators in two different locations and dividing the DNA molecule into two loops and also into two topological domains. (Scale bar represents 100 nm).
from DNA looping or tightly wrapping DNA around these DNA-binding proteins, can form topological barriers that impede the diffusion and merger of independent chromosomal supercoil domains (12). Our results in this report demonstrated that these nucleoprotein complexes are indeed able to form topological barriers to block supercoil diffusion (Figs. 3, 4, 5, Figs. S5 and S7). In addition, our results can be used to explain transcription activation of bacterial phage λ, a hallmark of λ DNA replication (30). Specifically, the O-some (26) assembled from wrapping DNA around O protein in the replication origin blocks, confines, and captures transcription-coupled DNA supercoiling, which causes structural changes in λ DNA replication origin (30). In this case, the DNA replication origin is unwound and DNA gyrase is recruited. This mechanism can also be used to explain transcriptional dependence of E. coli DNA replication initiation (31). In this scenario, DnaA, the E. coli replication initiator coupled with HU protein, binds to specific DnaA boxes in the oriC replication origin to form a nucleoprotein complex to unwind the AT-rich DNA sequence in the origin. Transcription-coupled DNA supercoiling should greatly stimulate this process.

Our topological barrier model also provides unique insights into how the E. coli chromosome is divided into many different topological domains. As demonstrated previously (32, 33), the E. coli chromosomal DNA is associated with several abundant histone-like proteins, such as HU, H-NS, FIS, and IHF and folded into a compact nucleoid structure (1, 34). These histone-like DNA-binding proteins also wrap and loop DNA, which constrain DNA supercoils in vitro and in vivo (35–38). It is possible that the nucleoprotein complexes generated from these histone-like proteins serve as general DNA topological barriers to modulate localized DNA supercoiling. Indeed, our recent results showed that HU and H-NS are able to confine supercoils to a defined region. Nevertheless, the topological barriers stemming from nonspecific binding of HU or H-NS to DNA were less stable, which is consistent with the dynamic nature of E. coli chromosome topological domains (3, 39). Furthermore, nuclesomes may use the same mechanism to modulate DNA topology of eukaryotic chromosome (40).

Materials and Methods

Details of the preparation of purified proteins and the construction of plasmid DNA templates are described in SI Materials and Methods. The DNA-nicking and DNA-gyrase methods are summarized in Fig. 2. Details of the procedures are also described in SI Materials and Methods. For AFM, the LacI-DNA samples were prepared according to the DNA-nicking method. After the supercoiled DNA templates were digested by Nt.BbvCI, the LacI-DNA complexes were deposited on freshly cleaved mica and visualized with a NanoScope MultiMode AFM microscope. Details of the AFM procedure are described in SI Materials and Methods.
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