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INTRODUCTION

GABA is the main neurotransmitter used in the basal ganglia network, and abnormal transmission at specific GABAergic synapses underlies some of the pathophysiological features of various basal ganglia diseases. A tight regulation of GABA homeostasis is essential to mediate normal basal ganglia functions. In this manuscript, we will provide a brief overview of the main characteristics of the different subtypes of GABA transporters in the mammalian CNS, and then discuss some of our recent findings and those from other laboratories about the localization and functions of GABA transporters (GATs) in the basal ganglia. This review does not intend to cover the extensive literature on GATs, but will specifically focus on the distribution and regulatory mechanisms by which these transporters modulate neuronal activity and synaptic transmission in the basal ganglia. Because of the limited amount of data available, this review does not aim at generating integrative concepts about GATs function in the basal ganglia. It is rather focused on the presentation of recent findings that have been gathered about these transporters in specific basal ganglia nuclei, and their potential importance for basal ganglia function and dysfunction. For a more comprehensive account of our current knowledge of GAT function in other brain regions, readers are referred to previous reviews (Borden, 1996; Gadea and Lopez-Colome, 2001; Dalby, 2003; Conti et al., 2004).

GENERAL FEATURES OF GABA TRANSPORTERS

GABA is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian brain. After release from presynaptic terminals, GABA is rapidly removed from the extracellular space by GATs, a regulatory mechanism that terminates inhibitory synaptic transmission (Borden, 1996; Richerson and Wu, 2003), regulates GABA spillover to neighboring synapses (Borden, 1996; Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003), and maintains GABA homeostasis to prevent excessive tonic activation of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA receptors (Borden, 1996; Semyanov et al., 2004). In addition, the reversal of the GATs function may result in additional GABA release under certain pathological and physiological conditions (Allen et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007).

GATs Cloning and Pharmacology

To date, four different GATs have been described, GAT-1, GAT-2, GAT-3, and the Betain/GABA transporter type 1 (BGT-1). These transporters are members of a large family of 12-transmembrane spanning Na+/Cl− coupled transporters (for review, see Borden, 1996). GAT-1 was the first GAT to be cloned (Guastella et al., 1990). The GAT-1 protein sequence in rat (Guastella et al., 1990), mouse (Liu et al., 1993), and human (Nelson et al., 1990) displays a high degree of homology and nearly identical pharmacological properties (Borden, 1996). The GAT-2 and GAT-3, cloned by Borden et al. (1992), display a higher degree of amino acid identity between each other (67% identity), and with the fourth GABA transporter, BGT-1 (68 and 65% identity for GAT-2 and GAT-3, respectively) than with GAT-1 (~52% amino acid identity). The amino acid sequence of GAT-3 in human, rat, and mouse is virtually identical with only a few substitutions (for review, see Borden, 1996). In contrast to other transporters, BGT-1, cloned by Yamauchi et al. (1992), utilizes both GABA and betaine as substrates.

GATs exchange GABA for Na+ and Cl−. The GABA-transporting function of GATs is particularly dependent on the Na+ gradient across the membrane. Although Cl− can significantly enhance the
GATs LOCALIZATION IN THE CNS

The cellular localization of GABA transporters has been studied in the rat brain using both in situ hybridization for mRNA (Rattray and Priestley, 1993; Brecha and Weigmann, 1994; Augood et al., 1995; Durkin et al., 1995; Jursky and Nelson, 1996; Nishimura et al., 1997; Yasumi et al., 1997; Ficková et al., 1999) and immunohistochemistry for transporters protein (Ikegaki et al., 1994; Augood et al., 1995; Minelli et al., 1995; Itouji et al., 1996; Ribak et al., 1996; Conti et al., 1998). The GAT-1 mRNA is expressed throughout the brain, but particularly enriched in the olfactory bulb, basal ganglia, interpeduncular nucleus, cerebellum, and retina (Augood et al., 1995; Durkin et al., 1995; Yasumi et al., 1997). Immunohistochemical studies using antibodies raised against recombinant proteins have shown that GAT-1 is not only expressed in GABAergic neurons, but also in non-GABAergic cells and glia in certain brain regions (for review, see Eulenburg and Gomez, 2010), although their function in these neurons remains poorly understood.

GAT-2 mRNA is weakly expressed throughout the brain, primarily in arachnoid and ependymal cells, and to a much lesser extent, in neurons and astrocytes (Durkin et al., 1995; Conti et al., 1999). GAT-3 mRNA and protein are found predominantly in glial cells (Radin et al., 1990; Ikegaki et al., 1994; Durkin et al., 1995). The strongest GAT-3 expression is found in the glomerular layer of the olfactory bulb, the inner nucleus of the retina, the thalamic paraventricular nucleus, and the globus pallidus (GP; Clark et al., 1992; Ikegaki et al., 1994; Durkin et al., 1995; Minelli et al., 1996). Some of these studies showed that GAT-3 is nearly absent from the neocortex and cerebellar cortex, and very weakly expressed in the hippocampus (Clark et al., 1992; Brecha and Weigmann, 1994; Ikegaki et al., 1994; Durkin et al., 1995), while others provided evidence for significant neocortical expression in rodents (Minelli et al., 1996, 2003; Pow et al., 2005). Finally, low to moderate levels of BGT-1 are expressed in most brain regions (Durkin et al., 1995; Zhou and Ong, 2004).

GATs REGULATION OF SYNAPTIC TRANSMISSION AND PLASTICITY

The effects of GAT-1 modulation on synaptic transmission have been most studied in the CNS. A summary of the main effects of GAT blockade on GABA release and postsynaptic currents in various CNS regions is shown in Table 1. GAT-1 inhibitors increase the decay of evoked IPSCs, while not having significant effects on IPSC amplitude in many brain regions (Roepstorff and Lambert, 1992; Thompson and Gähwiler, 1992; Engel et al., 1998; Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003). GAT-1 inhibitors also increase GABA receptor-mediated tonic conductances in cerebellar granule cells (Rossi et al., 2003) as well as in granule cells and pyramidal neurons of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (Nusser and Mody, 2002; Semyanov et al., 2003; Siplà et al., 2007). A recent study also demonstrated that GAT-1 blockade or genetic deletion of GAT-1 specifically impairs long-term potentiation (LTP) induced by theta burst stimulation (Gong et al., 2009) in the CA1 region of mouse hippocampus. While there is compelling evidence that GAT-1 regulates GABAergic transmission in the hippocampus (Thompson and Gähwiler, 1992; Isaacson et al., 1993; Draguhn and Heinemann, 1996; Engel et al., 1998; Nusser and Mody, 2002; Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003; Semyanov et al., 2003), cerebral cortex (Keros and Hablitz, 2005; Bragina et al., 2008; Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2009), and cerebellum (Rossi et al., 2003), much less is known about the functional role of GAT-1 in the basal ganglia (Rossi et al., 2003; Galvan et al., 2005; Kinney, 2005; Kirmse et al., 2009). Despite its widespread and abundant expression in many brain regions (see Borden, 1996; Eulenburg and Gomez, 2010 for reviews), the role of GAT-3-mediated regulation of GABAergic transmission remains poorly understood compared with GAT-1 functions in most CNS regions, except for the cerebral cortex and some basal ganglia nuclei (Table 1).

GAT-1 AND GAT-3 IN THE BASAL GANGLIA

The relative importance of GAT-1 and GAT-3 in the normal and pathological functioning of the basal ganglia, and the possibility that their regulation could be used to achieve beneficial therapeutic responses in basal ganglia disorders remain largely unexplored. In the following sections, we describe the current knowledge of the localization and function of GAT-1 and GAT-3 in various basal ganglia nuclei, and critically discuss their potential relevance as targets for drug therapies of basal ganglia disorders, such as Parkinson’s disease.

STRIATUM

GAT-1 AND GAT-3 LOCALIZATION

Most neurons in the striatum, including medium spiny projection neurons and several interneuron subtypes are GABAergic (Kawaguchi et al., 1990). The maintenance of homeostasis in extracellular levels of GABA and GABAergic transmission is, therefore, critical for normal striatal functions. Although the chemical phenotype of most striatal GAT-1-positive cells remains to be determined, it is clear that a significant proportion of the GABAergic neurons in the striatum (including medium spiny neurons and a large proportion of parvalbumin-positive interneurons), express mRNA and immunoreactivity for GAT-1 (Augood et al., 1995; Durkin et al., 1995; Yasumi et al., 1997; Wang and Ong, 1999).

In contrast, the evidence for striatal GAT-3 expression remains controversial. Some of the existing in situ hybridization studies have reported negative data (Clark et al., 1992; Durkin et al., 1995),
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects/regions</th>
<th>Hippocampus</th>
<th>Cerebellum</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
<th>Striatum</th>
<th>GP</th>
<th>SNr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular GABA levels</td>
<td>↑ (Dalby, 2000)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↑ (Valdeir et al., 1992)</td>
<td>↑ (Galvan et al., 2005)*</td>
<td>↑ (Bahena-Trujillo and Arias-Montano, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIPSC decay</td>
<td>↑ (Roepstorff and Lambert, 1992; Roepstorff and Lambert, 1994; Thompson and Gahwiler, 1992; Isaacson et al., 1993; Draguhn and Heinemann, 1996; Engel et al., 1998; Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↑ (Keros and Hablitz, 2006; Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2008)</td>
<td>↑ (Kirmse et al., 2008)</td>
<td>↑ (Jin et al., 2011) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sIPSC decay</td>
<td>N (Jensen et al., 2003)*</td>
<td>↑ (Chiu et al., 2005)</td>
<td>↑ (Kinney, 2005)**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↑ (Chen et al., 2003) N ↑ (Jin et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sIPSC amplitude</td>
<td>N (Jensen et al., 2003)*</td>
<td>N (Chiu et al., 2005)</td>
<td>↑ (Kinney, 2005)**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N (Chen et al., 2003) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sIPSC frequency</td>
<td>N (Jensen et al., 2003)*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↑ (Kinney, 2005)**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓ (Chen et al., 2003) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIPSC decay</td>
<td>N (Overstreet et al., 2000)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N (Kirmse et al., 2008)</td>
<td>N ↑ (Jin et al., 2011) N (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIPSC amplitude</td>
<td>↓ (Overstreet et al., 2000)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓ (Kirmse et al., 2008)</td>
<td>N ↓ (Jin et al., 2011) N (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIPSC frequency</td>
<td>N (Jensen et al., 2003)*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓ (Kirmse et al., 2008)</td>
<td>N (Jin et al., 2011) N (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic currents</td>
<td>↑ (Jensen et al., 2003; Nusser and Mody, 2001; Semyanov et al., 2003; Sipila et al., 2007)</td>
<td>↑ (Chiu et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2003)</td>
<td>↑ (Keros and Hablitz, 2005)</td>
<td>↑ (Kirmse et al., 2008)</td>
<td>↑ (Jin et al., 2011) ↑ (Jin et al., 2011)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eEPSC amplitude</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓ (Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2008)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓ (Jin et al., 2009) ↓ (Jin et al., 2009)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Symbol (GAT-1 blockers) * GAT-1-deficient mice, # monkeys, ** GAT3 blocker; ↑ increase, ↓ decrease, N (no change), – (data not available), + GAT1 plus GAT3 blockers.

Abbreviations: GP, globus pallidus; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; eIPSC, evoked inhibitory postsynaptic current; sIPSC, spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic current; mIPSC, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current; eEPSC, evoked excitatory postsynaptic current.
Jin et al. GABA transporters in the basal ganglia

while others using similar methods or polymerase chain reaction (rt-PCR) techniques have demonstrated a significant level of striatal GAT-3 mRNA expression in the rat caudate–putamen (Yasumi et al., 1997; Ficková et al., 1999). GAT-3 immunoreactivity was also demonstrated in the monkey striatum (Ng et al., 2000). The cellular and chemical phenotypes of GAT-3-positive striatal elements remain poorly characterized in both primates and non-primates.

**FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF STRIATAL GAT-1**

Systemic or local application of the selective GAT-1 inhibitor, SKF 89976A, doubles the extracellular concentration of GABA in the rat striatum (Waldmeier et al., 1992). Consistent with this finding, GAT-1 blockade induces GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated tonic inhibition of striatal neurons (Kirmse et al., 2008), similar to previous reports in the hippocampus (Jensen et al., 2003; Semyanov et al., 2003; Scimemi et al., 2005) and cerebellar Purkinje cells (Chiu et al., 2005). Electrophysiologic brain slice recording studies have demonstrated that bath application of the GAT-1 inhibitors NO-711 prolongs the decay time of IPSCs evoked locally in striatum (Kirmse et al., 2008) and decreases the amplitude of eIPSCs produced by intrastriatal stimulation. The latter effect is most likely caused by a presynaptic mechanism because it was associated with a significant increase of the paired-pulse facilitation ratio (PPR; Figures 1A,B).

Interestingly, coapplication of NO-711 and the GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor antagonist CGP55845 only partly restored the GAT-1 blockade-mediated effects on the amplitude of eIPSCs but reduced the PPR to control levels (Figures 1C–E), suggesting that the effects of GAT-1 blockade upon eIPSCs are partially, but not fully mediated by GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-dependent mechanisms (Kirmse et al., 2008). This observation was recently extended to the hippocampus (Safiulina and Cherubini, 2009; Lindsy and Fraxier, 2010). Other mechanisms, including postsynaptic shunting and GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor desensitization due to a persistent activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors by high ambient GABA concentration in the presence of NO-711 could also contribute to these effects (Overstreet et al., 2000; Keros and Hablitz, 2005; Kirmse et al., 2008).

GATs, acting in reverse direction, also contribute to the action potential-independent release of GABA in the rat striatum (Bernath and Zigmund, 1989; Del Arco et al., 1998; Schoffelmeer et al., 2000). For instance, microdialysis studies have shown that nipeptic acid, a non-selective GAT blocker, attenuates the amphetamine-induced increase in extracellular concentration of GABA in the striatum of freely moving rats, using a calcium-free microdialysis medium (Del Arco et al., 1998). Nipeptic acid also attenuates glutamate NMDA and dopamine D1-like receptor-mediated [3H]-GABA release from striatal slice and cultured striatal neurons in the presence of the sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (Schoffelmeer et al., 2000). These results demonstrate that the release of GABA induced by amphetamine or activation of D1-like or NMDA receptors involves a GAT mechanism. This reversal of GAT function may play a role in the behaviorally activating effects of psychostimulant drugs (Schoffelmeer et al., 2000).

**FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF STRIATAL GAT-3**

Although the anatomical evidence for striatal GAT-3 expression is controversial compared with GAT-1 (Clark et al., 1992; Ficková et al., 1999; Ng et al., 2000), there is functional evidence for an active role of GAT-3 in the rat striatum (Kirmse et al., 2009). Despite the fact that the application of SNAP 5114 alone, a semiselective GAT-3 inhibitor, has no effect on striatal GABAergic transmission, and does not affect GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated tonic currents in striatal projection neurons (Kirmse et al., 2009), the coapplication of SNAP 5114 with the GAT-1 inhibitor NO-711 (10 μM) was shown to reduce the frequency of miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs) without affecting their amplitude or kinetic in striatal neurons (Figures 2A–C). As shown for GAT-1, GAT-3 blockade reduces the mean amplitude of eIPSCs through GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated presynaptic effects in the rat striatum (Kirmse et al., 2009). As the functional effects of GAT-3 blockade on GABAergic transmission may be masked by concomitant GAT-1-mediated effects, it is possible that GAT-3-mediated GABA uptake comes into play only under specific physiological or pathological conditions that result in increases in neuronal activity and GABA release beyond normal levels in the striatum (Kirmse et al., 2009). In other brain regions, it has been shown that that GAT-1 and GAT-3 are largely segregated in pre-terminal neuronal elements and glia, respectively, thus, it
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is possible that these transporters might play complementary and synergistic roles towards the regulation of GABAergic transmission in the striatum.

GLOBUS PALLIDUS
GAT-1 AND GAT-3 LOCALIZATION
The rat GP expresses strong mRNA for both GAT-1 and GAT-3 (Durkin et al., 1995; Yasaki et al., 1997). Consistent with these findings, our studies have demonstrated strong GAT-1 and GAT-3 immunoreactivity in the rat and monkey GP (Galvan et al., 2005, 2010; Jin et al., 2009, 2011; Figure 3). At the electron microscopic level, GAT-1 is largely expressed in small unmyelinated axons in the rat GP (Figure 3), and in both unmyelinated axons and glial processes in the external and internal segments of the GP (GPe and GPi, respectively) in the monkey (Figure 3). The pattern of GAT-3 immunoreactivity in the rat and monkey pallidum is strikingly different from that of GAT-1, being almost exclusively expressed in glial cell processes which, in some cases (Figure 3) are closely apposed to putative GABAergic terminals forming symmetrical synapses or wrapped around axo-dendritic complexes consisting of numerous unlabeled terminals and dendrites of pallidal neurons (Figure 3). Despite significant alterations in GAT function, there is no significant change in the general localization pattern of GAT-1 and GAT-3 in the GPe and GPi of MPTP-treated Parkinsonian monkeys (Figure 3 and below; Galvan et al., 2010).

FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF GAT-1 IN THE GLOBUS PALLIDUS
In normal monkeys, local intrapallidal administration of the GAT-1 antagonist (SKF 89976A) significantly increases the ambient GABA level in GPe, as measured by microdialysis (Figure 4A), and reduces the firing rate of GPe and GPi neurons (Figures 4B1,B2; Galvan et al., 2005). We found that the inhibitory effects of GATs blockade on GPi firing are strongly decreased in monkeys rendered Parkinsonians by systemic treatment with the dopaminergic neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP), while the effects on GPe discharge rates remain unaffected (Galvan et al., 2010). In line with our monkey data, systemic administration of tiagabine, a GAT-1 antagonist, increases extracellular levels of GABA by up to threefold in the rat GP (Fink-Jensen et al., 1992). Furthermore, bath application of tiagabine has several significant effects when used in rat brain slice recording experiments: (1) GAT-1 inhibition prolongs the decay time of IPSCs evoked by striatal stimulation, without affecting their amplitude (Figures 5A,B). Similar observations have been made in many brain regions, suggesting that it represents a general GAT-1 function in the CNS (Roepstorff and Lambert, 1992; Thompson and Gähwiler, 1992; Engel et al., 1998; Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003; for reviews, see Borden, 1996; Richerson and Wu, 2003). (2) GAT-1 blockade induces GABA A receptor-mediated tonic currents in rat GP neurons (Jin et al., 2011), another general role reminiscent of GAT-1-mediated effects in other brain regions (for reviews, see Borden, 1996; Richerson and Wu, 2003; Eulenburg and Gomez, 2010). (3) The effects of GAT-1 blockade on spontaneous and miniature IPSCs in rat GP are controversial. On one hand, application of tiagabine prolongs the decay kinetics and reduces the frequency of spontaneous and miniature IPSCs, in part through activation of presynaptic GABA A autoreceptors (Chen and Yung, 2003). However, we found that the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous, but not miniature, IPSCs is increased following GAT-1 blockade (Jin et al., 2011). The sources of the discrepancy between these different sets of data remain to be established. (4) GAT-1 blockade reduces the frequency, but not the amplitude of mEPSCs (Figures 5E,G), most likely through GABA A receptor-mediated presynaptic inhibitory effects upon glutamatergic transmission (Jin and Smith, 2009). Thus, together with data from the striatum, these findings indicate that GAT-1 regulates striatal and pallidal activity through modulation of persistent synaptic GABA A receptor-mediated currents (IPSCs), extrasynaptic GABA A receptor-mediated tonic currents, and presynaptic GABA A receptors in both GABAergic and glutamatergic terminals (Galvan et al., 2006).

The behavioral effects of GAT-1 blockade in the GP are poorly characterized. Apart from evidence that the unilateral administration of tiagabine in the rat GP induces ipsilateral rotations in rats (Chen and Yung, 2003), very little is known about the influence of GATs on behavior. Given the prominent role of altered GABAergic transmission in parkinsonism (Galvan and Wichmann, 2007), it would be particularly interesting to examine the potential antiparkinsonian effects of GATs. Taking into consideration the physiological effects of GAT-1 blockade on pallidal activity and the proposed pathophysiology of basal ganglia networks in parkinsonism (DeLong, 1990), one could predict that GAT-1 blockade in GPe could induce or exacerbate parkinsonism, due to the increased GABAergic transmission along the indirect pathway, while GAT-1 blockade in GPi could alleviate parkinsonism through increased inhibition of the overactive basal ganglia pallidal outflow to the thalamus and brainstem (DeLong, 1990). However, because we found that the effects of GAT-1 blockers in GPi of Parkinsonian monkeys are altered from normal (Galvan et al., 2010), these speculations are, at best, incomplete with the available data.
evoked IPSCs after striatal stimulation (Figures 5C,D), increases the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous IPSCs, and induces GABAA receptor-mediated tonic currents in GP neurons (Jin et al., 2011). The mechanisms by which GAT-3, but not GAT-1, blockade increases the amplitude of evoked IPSCs are unknown. One hypothesis put forward in our recent study (Jin et al., 2011) relates to the fact that GAT-3 blockade may result in the activation of a large pool of striatal GABAergic projections neurons and evoked IPSCs after striatal stimulation (Figures 5C,D).

FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF GAT-3 IN THE GLOBUS PALLIDUS

The strong expression of GAT-3 in the pallidum of monkeys and rats suggests that this transporter may play an important role in the clearance of extracellular GABA. Supporting this contention, local in vivo blockade of GAT-3 increases pallidal GABA levels (Figure 4A), and inhibits the firing rate of pallidal neurons in monkeys (Figures 4C1,C2). In rat brain slice recording studies, GAT-3 blockade increases the decay and amplitude of immunoreactivity in the monkey putamen (Put) and globus pallidus (external and internal segments, GPe, Gpi). (H) GAT-1-immunoreactive unmyelinated axons (AX) and astrocytic processes (AS) in the monkey GPe. Note that the labeled AS is in close contact with an unlabeled terminal (u.TE) that forms an axo-dendritic symmetric synapse (arrowhead; (i,J)). GAT-3-positive astrocytes in the monkey GPe (I) and Gpi (J). Note the close association between the immunoreactive AS processes and unlabeled axon terminals forming symmetric synapses (arrowheads in (I)). (K) Quantitative distribution of GAT-1 and GAT-3 across different neuronal and glial elements in the monkey GPe and Gpi (see Galvan et al., 2005, 2010 for more details). Scale bars: (A,B,F,G): 1 mm; (C,D,H,I,J): 1 μm.
GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the rat GP (Jin et al., 2009, 2011). These differential effects are consistent with localization studies showing that GAT-3 expression in the striatum is comparatively light, compared with the very strong glial expression (Figure 3; Clark et al., 1992; Durkin et al., 1995; Yasumi et al., 1997; Ng et al., 2000; Galvan et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2009, 2011). GAT-1 and GAT-3, thus, represent differential target sites through which GABA reuptake may subserve a complementary regulation of GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the pallidum.

SUBTHALAMIC NUCLEUS AND SUBSTANIA NIGRA

Despite their distinct glutamatergic phenotype, rat and human STN neurons, display intense GAT-1 mRNA expression (Yasumi et al., 1997; Augood et al., 1999). There is also evidence from other interneurons which, under specific conditions, can depolarize other projection neurons, thereby increase GABA release in the GP (Bracci and Panzeri, 2006; Ade et al., 2008).

GAT-3 blockade also reduces glutamatergic transmission, most likely from the subthalamic nucleus, through presynaptic GABAB-receptor activation (Figures 5F,H). In contrast with the striatum where the effects of GAT-3 blockade alone are fully masked by GAT-1 (Kirmse et al., 2009), the blockade of GAT-3, alone or in the presence of a GAT-1 blocker, produces significant effects on both GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the rat GP (Jin et al., 2009, 2011). These differential effects are consistent with localization studies showing that GAT-3 expression in the striatum is comparatively light, compared with the very strong glial expression (Figure 3; Clark et al., 1992; Durkin et al., 1995; Yasumi et al., 1997; Ng et al., 2000; Galvan et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2009, 2011). GAT-1 and GAT-3, thus, represent differential target sites through which GABA reuptake may subserve a complementary regulation of GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the pallidum.
brain regions such as cerebral cortex, inferior colliculus, and the deep cerebellar nuclei, that the number of GAT-1 mRNA-containing cells is much larger than that of GABAergic (GAD$_{\text{67}}$, mRNA-) positive cells (Swan et al., 1994; Yasumi et al., 1997), suggesting that GAT-1 expression extends beyond GABAergic neurons in these brain regions (Yasumi et al., 1997). The functional significance of GATs in non-GABAergic neurons remains unknown (Augood et al., 1999). However, it is interesting to note that an ongoing gene transfection clinical trial in PD aims at changing the phenotype of subthalamic neurons into GABAergic cells in order to reduce the overactive glutamatergic outflow from the subthalamic nucleus (Lewitt et al., 2011). The expression of GAT-1 into subthalamic terminals may increase the likelihood of success of this approach. As in other basal ganglia nuclei, GAT-3 immunoreactivity is expressed in astrocytic processes throughout the monkey STN (Ng et al., 2000).

The effects of GAT activity on the neuronal activity in the STN are unknown. Because of the preponderance of GABAergic pallidal terminals in the STN, and because of the fact that extrasynaptic GABA-B receptors appear to play important roles in the modulation of burst firing and the pallidosubthalamic "pacemaker" system (Plenz and Kitai, 1999; Bevan et al., 2002), a better understanding of the mechanisms by which GATs modulate GABAergic STN activity is clearly warranted (for review, see Bevan et al., 2007).

Both GAT-1 and GAT-3 mRNAs are moderately expressed in the rat substantia nigra as a whole. Surprisingly, GAT-1 expression is stronger in dopaminergic pars compacta (SNc) neurons than in the GABAergic pars reticulata (SNr) cells (Durkin et al., 1995; Yasumi et al., 1997), serving as another possible example of GAT-1-mediated function in non-GABAergic neurons. GAT-3 immunoreactivity is also strongly expressed in the monkey SN, where it appears to be preferentially associated with astrocytes (Ng et al., 2000). GAT-1 inhibition significantly reduces $[^{3}$H$] \text{GABA}$ uptake in synaptosomes prepared from the rat SNr (Bahena-Trujillo and Arias-Montano, 1999), and significantly increases extracellular GABA levels in the rat substantia nigra (Fink-Jensen et al., 1992). However, the overall regulatory functions of GATs on synaptic transmission in the SN remain unexplored.

**GATs AND PARKINSON’S DISEASE**

The data discussed in this review highlight the fact that GAT-1 and GAT-3 represent different target sites through which GABA reuptake may regulate GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the basal ganglia. Although still poorly understood, recent *in vitro* and *in vivo* data from our laboratory and others have demonstrated that GAT-1 and GAT-3 are strongly expressed in the GP, and that their blockade significantly impacts the activity of pallidal neurons under normal and Parkinsonian conditions, by increasing GABA levels and subsequent overactivation of GABA$_{\alpha}$- and GABA$_{\beta}$-receptors (Galvan et al., 2005, 2010; Jin et al., 2009, 2011).

Our recent data from primate experiments demonstrate that the impact of GAT-1 and GAT-3 blockade upon neuronal activity is reduced in the GPs of Parkinsonian animals compared with the normal state, despite the fact that the overall pattern of GAT distribution does not change (Galvan et al., 2010). The possible use of drugs that regulate GAT function to treat patients with Parkinson’s disease remains speculative. The essential need of tightly regulated GABA homeostasis for normal brain functions reduces the likelihood that systemic administration of GAT blockers could be done without the risk of significant side effects, although such an approach has shown some therapeutic benefits in patients with epilepsy and anxiety (Gadea and Lopez-Colome, 2001; Dalby, 2003; Sarup et al., 2003; Conti et al., 2004; Schwartz and Nihalani, 2006).

Recent evidence suggests that the interaction of GATs with other receptor systems may provide an alternative strategy for the development of Parkinson’s disease therapy. For instance, a recent study has demonstrated that adenosine inhibits GAT-1-mediated GABA uptake in the rat GP (Gonzalez et al., 2006). This finding, combined with the fact that A2A-receptor antagonists have significant antiparkinsonian effects (Kanda et al., 2000; Chase et al., 2003), raise the possibility that the antiparkinsonian effects of A2A-receptor antagonists are partly due to presynaptic modulation of GABA release at striatopallidal synapses through disinhibition of GAT-1 function. GABA uptake is also modulated by activation of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the rat GP (Venderova et al., 2005), providing another mechanism that could be used to regulate the overactive GABAergic striatopallidal transmission in Parkinson’s disease (Romero et al., 2002; Brotchie, 2003). These mechanisms of indirect modulation of GAT activity may represent a more promising therapeutic strategy in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease than use of primary GAT blockers (for review, see Conti et al., 2004).
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