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Abstract

Background—HIV infection is clinically managed with antiretroviral therapy (ART), but only with sustained adherence. Cost-efficient interventions to improve and sustain ART adherence remain a pressing priority for populations challenged by non-adherence.

Purpose—To test the independent and interactive effects of (a) brief phone-delivered self-regulation counseling and (b) daily phone-delivered text message medication reminders on HIV adherence and HIV viral suppression.

Method—A randomized 2 (5-sessions of phone-delivered adherence support counseling vs. contact-matched control) × 2 (daily ART text reminders vs. no reminders) trial with primary endpoints of monthly phone-based unannounced pill count-determined ART adherence and HIV viral suppression monitored over 12-months.

Results—Self-regulation adherence counseling demonstrated significant improvements in achieving 90% ART adherence relative to the control group over the first 6-months of follow-up. Effects remained significant in sensitivity analyses conducted at 85% and 95% adherence. Counseling also demonstrated modest but significant effects on HIV suppression. There were no main effects or interactions for daily text message reminders, with some evidence for adverse effects on adherence self-efficacy.

Conclusions—Brief adherence support counseling delivered by phone demonstrates clinically meaningful improvements in ART adherence and HIV suppression, although these benefits were not evidenced in all patients or in the long-term. Advancing adherence interventions along with an effective means for sustaining gains in adherence remain priorities if ART is to achieve its potential clinical and public health benefits.
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Introduction

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has the potential to transform HIV infection into a manageable chronic illness and to slow HIV epidemics. But many people with HIV are not reaping the full benefits of ART (1). People living with HIV in the US often remain untreated and a significant number of those treated have unsuppressed virus (2, 3). Among the reasons for these missed opportunities is the challenge of achieving and sustaining HIV suppression through optimal ART adherence. Many people living with HIV require more frequent monitoring and greater support than is possible with routine clinic visits. In addition, there is evidence that patients who live in poverty are in even greater need of adherence assistance with fewer available resources (4).

Few interventions result in significant impacts on ART adherence, and even fewer demonstrate effects on HIV suppression (5). One exception has been supportive behavioral adherence counseling (6). Brief phone-delivered adherence counseling offers an approach to delivering low-cost and effective medication adherence interventions (7), with positive outcomes reported in managing multiple chronic conditions (8-12). Adherence counseling focuses on patient education, self-monitoring, direct patient feedback, and individualized problem solving (13).

Behavioral counseling grounded in Self-Regulation Theory and delivered by phone has shown particular promise for improving ART adherence (14-16). Although phone-delivered self-regulation counseling may improve adherence, studies repeatedly find patients faced with challenges to maintaining adherence over the long-term. A solution to sustained adherence may be short message service (SMS) text message reminders (17, 18), although the evidence is mixed for the effectiveness of various models (19, 20). Interventions designed to capitalize on low-cost mobile text message reminders to support adherence are promising (21), but have not been tested as a means of sustaining improvements in medication adherence following behavioral counseling.

In the current study, people living with HIV who demonstrated poor ART adherence were randomized in a 2 x 2 factorial design to test two independent interventions: (a) 5-sessions of brief bi-weekly adherence self-regulation counseling compared to a contact-matched control condition and (b) daily reminder text messages compared to no reminders. We hypothesized that the main effect for counseling as well as the counseling x text message reminder interaction would significantly improve ART adherence and HIV suppression relative to the control conditions. We also hypothesized that self-regulation processes of adherence self-efficacy and use of adherence strategies would mediate the counseling effects.
Methods

Participants and setting
Participants were 600 men and women recruited from infectious disease clinics throughout Atlanta, GA. Enrollment occurred between August 2011 and February 2014, and follow-ups were completed March 2015. Potential participants were screened for inclusion in an adherence-determining run-in period using the entry criteria: age 18 or older, receiving ART and self-reported less than 95% adherent in the past month as per a validated visual analogue adherence scale (22). Participants in the run-in period completed one-month of phone-based unannounced pill counts to determine trial eligibility, < 95% ART adherence. All study protocols were approved by the Connecticut Institutional Review Board and was registered in the clinical trials registry clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01359280).

Sample size
Sample size was determined by effect sizes observed from pilot study effects (15), previous research (14), and meta-analyses (16, 23). Moderate effect sizes were estimated for ART adherence and viral load, d = 59, d = .45, respectively. We assumed 80% retention and estimated 150 participants in each study arm (N = 600) to achieve 90% chance of detecting differences in main effects of counseling and text reminders, as well as 80% for the counseling x text reminders interaction.

Recruitment and enrollment
We notified AIDS service providers and infectious disease clinics in Atlanta about the research opportunity and placed study brochures in waiting rooms. Interested persons phoned the research site to schedule an intake appointment. Individuals with adherence below 95% of ART confirmed during a one month run-in period measured by unannounced phone-based pill counts were recruited for participation in the trial. We selected 95% adherence as the cut-off to screen out individuals with optimal ART adherence while limiting false negatives. The only additional study entry criteria was age 18 or older.

Randomization and blinding
Participants were randomly assigned to conditions following informed consent, baseline assessments, training in phone operations and pill counting procedures. Allocation was accomplished by a project manager using a computer generated simple randomization scheme. Two participants living together and sharing adherence tools were assigned as a couple to avoid contamination. Recruitment, screening, and office-based assessment staff remained blinded to condition throughout the study and adherence counselors never conducted outcome assessments.

Intervention Conditions
Adherence support counseling—The experimental adherence counseling in this study was based on Self-Regulation Theory (24, 25) and was pilot tested (15). Self-Regulation Theory posits that adherence is directly influenced by illness experiences (e.g., symptoms, medication side effects), social interactions, sources of information, and cognitive/affective
processes. The phone delivered intervention was framed around corrective feedback and was delivered by an adherence counselor using techniques commonly employed in motivational interviewing (26). The initial session was conducted in a 45-minute face-to-face office visit and included information on how HIV impacts the immune system, how ART slows the progression of HIV disease, and developing a personalized adherence plan that included overcoming barriers to taking medications and creating a profile of times and dosing. The four subsequent biweekly counseling sessions occurred by phone. Each session began with a pill count followed by the counselor calculating adherence while on the phone and delivering immediate corrective feedback. Participants who were greater than or equal to 95% ART adherent according to the counseling-session pill count were reinforced and established a plan for remaining adherent. The counselor probed the participant regarding challenges he/she faced and decisions made about their medications over the previous two weeks.

Participants who were less than 95% adherent systematically worked through missed doses and problem solved barriers encountered. The counselor provided participants with feedback on their adherence and asked about the circumstances of their most recent missed medication. Challenges identified by the participant were subjected to a problem solving scheme that entailed an action plan for maintaining adherence under similar circumstances in the future. The adherence counselor specifically revisited past challenges and action plans at subsequent sessions. The final session ended with a detailed plan for participants to self-monitor and sustain their adherence.

**Contact matched counseling control condition**—The counseling control arm was a contact-matched non-contaminating health improvement intervention. This condition concentrated on improving general health and well-being in relation to living with HIV/AIDS. The structure and frequency of the control counseling mirrored the self-regulation adherence counseling.

**Daily text message reminders**—Upon completion of the adherence support and control phone counseling sessions, half of participants in each of these conditions were randomized to receive text message reminders for up to two daily medication times and half did not receive any additional intervention. The content of the text message was generated by the participant. Text messages were delivered to participants' personal and/or study phone by an automated system and signaled a light flashing, sound, and vibration upon delivery. Participants were trained in phone operations, including silencing all alert functions.

**Measures**

During the run-in period, all participants completed informed consent and audio-computer assisted structured interviews (ACASI) for demographic and health characteristics (27). All follow-up assessments were conducted using phone interviews.

**Primary Outcomes**

**ART adherence**: HIV treatment adherence was monitored with monthly unannounced phone-based pill counts. Note that these assessments were conducted independent of
counseling which included its own intervention adherence monitoring. The counselors and assessors never discussed participant adherence. Unannounced pill counts are reliable and valid when conducted in participants' homes and on the phone (28, 29). Participants were provided with a phone that restricted service for project contacts and emergency use and were called at unscheduled times to count their pills during baseline and for 12 consecutive months. These procedures have been validated in previous research (29). Pharmacy information from pill bottles was collected to verify number of pills dispensed between calls. Adherence was calculated as the ratio of pills counted relative to pills prescribed taking into account the number dispensed.

**HIV RNA viral load:** We used a participant assisted method for collecting baseline chart abstracted viral load and CD4 cell counts from medical records. Participants were given a form that requested their doctor's office to provide results and dates of their most recent viral load and CD4 cell counts that included the provider's office stamp or signature to assure authenticity.

To determine HIV RNA concentrations at the 12-month follow-up, participants provided blood specimens to test for HIV (RNA) viral load. Blood samples were drawn at the project office using standard phlebotomy and couriered to a university lab for processing. Whole blood specimens in EDTA tube (Becton Dickinson) were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min within 4 hours of collection. The plasma was recovered and aliquoted into 1 ml samples and stored at -70°C. For consistency across assays and baseline chart values, we defined viral suppression as < 100 copies/ml.

**Secondary outcomes**

**Medication adherence self-efficacy:** Based on theories of health behavior change (30) we used a measure of self-efficacy that allowed participants to judge how confident they were that they could take their medications in various situations (31). The scale consists of eight circumstances that potentially challenge adherence. The items varied in social relationships, affective states, and settings and were responded to using an 11-point ascending confidence scale, with responses 0 = “Not at all certain” to 10 = “Very certain”, alpha = .88.

**Behavioral adherence strategies:** Participants indicated whether they had used 14 common strategies for improving medication adherence. We asked about monthly use of a broad array of adherence strategies identified from previous research (32, 33), alpha = .70.

**Counselor training**

Interventionists were female Bachelors-level counselors with experience working in AIDS services. Counselors represented the skills and professional background that are common in clinics such as adherence nurses and case managers. The counselors were trained in the protocols and supervised weekly.

**Statistical analyses**

We first examined differences between conditions on demographic and health characteristics using analyses of variance (ANOVA) for continuous measures and contingency table chi-
square tests for categorical variables. We also used procedures suggested by Jurs and Glass (34) to test baseline equivalence between conditions and effects of attrition on dependent measures.

Primary and secondary outcome analyses used an intent-to-treat approach where all available follow-up data from participants was included in the analyses regardless of their exposure to intervention sessions. Primary outcome analyses for adherence used generalized estimating equations (GEE) with unstructured working correlation matrices. All outcome analyses controlled for baseline values (35). Counseling condition, text message condition, time of assessment, and all interactions were entered as model effects. Adherence outcomes represent over-dispersed count data and therefore used Poisson distribution. Adherence values were also dichotomized as below and above 90% ART taken over the course of the month at each assessment point. We also examined adherence using 85% and 95% cut-offs for sensitivity analyses. For viral load outcomes, we performed logistic regressions coding viral load as detectable (≥100 copies) = 1 / undetectable (< 100 copies) = 0. We tested all conditions in an omnibus model to initially determine proportional differences followed by subsequent models for main effects and interactions. Secondary outcomes for adherence self-efficacy and adherence strategies assessed at alternating post-intervention phone assessments (post-counseling months 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10) were analyzed in the full factorial model using repeated measures generalized linear models (GLM) with continuous linear distributions controlling for baseline scores.

To test whether ART adherence self-efficacy and adherence strategies mediated the intervention effects, we conducted multiple mediation models for time points that demonstrated intervention effects on adherence. We used methods described by Baron and Kenny (36) and the Process Macro designed by Preacher and Hayes (37). We computed 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the indirect effects of counseling on ART adherence self-efficacy and strategies estimated from 5,000 bootstrap resamples. All analyses controlled for baselines and defined statistical significance as p < .05.

Results

Figure 1 shows the complete study retention rates and Table 1 shows the demographic and health characteristics of participants allocated to each of the four conditions. All participants met the entry criteria of < 95% ART adherent on unannounced phone-based pill counts in the run-in period; 82% were < 90% and 61% were less than 85% adherent at baseline. One in four (24%) participants had unsuppressed HIV RNA (≥100 copies/ml) at baseline. Baseline comparisons demonstrated that there were no significant differences between intervention conditions on any demographic/health characteristics or outcome variables. Retention at 12-months follow-up was 90% and was evenly distributed across conditions. The randomization and retention procedures therefore resulted in balanced conditions without observed biases. There were no intervention-associated adverse events.

Primary Outcomes: ART Adherence

Results of the 2 × 2 factorial GEE model using the continuous adherence values with repeated monthly assessments and controlling for baseline, indicated a significant effect of
counseling condition over time, Wald $X^2 = 26.83$, $p < .01$. There was no effect of text messaging and the counseling × text messaging interaction was not significant. Table 2 shows the number and percent of participants achieving 90% adherence at each of the post-counseling phone-based unannounced pill counts (baseline shown in Table 1). GEE modeling was consistent with the analysis of continuous adherence values demonstrating significant effects of counseling over time, controlling for baseline, Wald $X^2 = 17.78$, $p < .05$. Post hoc tests showed that more participants in the adherence counseling condition had achieved 90% adherence at 1, 2, 3, and 6-months post counseling. Again, both the text messaging main effect and the counseling × text messaging interaction were not significant.

In sensitivity tests, at the upper bound of 95% adherence, the effect of counseling remained significant, Wald $X^2 = 26.41$, $p < .01$. Differences between counseling conditions were significant at the 1, 2, 3, and 6-month follow-ups, with no effects for text messaging and no counseling × text messaging interaction. The lower bound of 85% adherence showed the same pattern, with only an effect for counseling condition, Wald $X^2 = 15.29$, $p < .08$, with significant differences at 1, 2 and 6-months.

**HIV Suppression**

Logistic regression models conducted on detectable/undetectable blood plasma viral load as the dependent measure with the four intervention conditions entered as the omnibus predictor variable and controlling for baseline indicated a significant effect for counseling, OR = 1.24, 95% CI(1.01-1.52), $p < .05$ (see Table 2, baseline shown in Table 1). Adherence counseling demonstrated significantly greater viral suppression at the follow-up than control participants, OR = 1.23, CI(1.01-1.51), $p < .05$. The text messaging effect and counseling × text messaging interaction were not significant.

**Secondary Outcomes: Adherence Self-Efficacy and Strategies**

Table 3 shows the means for adherence self-efficacy scores (upper panel) and adherence strategies (lower panel). For self-efficacy, results indicated a significant main effect of counseling, Wilks’ $\lambda = 0.98$, $F(4, 471) = 2.41$, $p < .05$; participants receiving counseling demonstrated significantly greater adherence self-efficacy over time. Post hoc tests indicated the differences between conditions were significant at 2, 4, and 6-month follow-ups, but not at 8 and 10-months. There was also a main effect for text messaging on adherence self-efficacy, Wilks’ $\lambda = 0.97$, $F(4, 471) = 2.51$, $p < .05$; counter to intended outcomes participants who received daily text message reminders demonstrated poorer self-efficacy for ART adherence over time. Post hoc tests showed differences at the 6 and 8-month assessments. The interaction of counseling and text messages was not significant.

For adherence strategies, the main effect for counseling conditions was also significant, Wilks’ $\lambda = 0.97$, $F(4, 471) = 2.89$, $p < .05$; participants receiving adherence counseling reported more use of adherence strategies than the control condition. Subsequent tests showed significant differences at 2, 4, 6, and 8-months post counseling. There were no effects of text message reminders and the counseling by text message interaction was not significant.
**Self-Regulation Adherence Processes Mediation Models**

We tested whether self-regulation processes, adherence self-efficacy and strategies, mediated the intervention effects at 2-months and 4-months after counseling. Models for 2 and 4 months after counseling were significant in predicting ART adherence, $F(df = 6, 547) = 18.5, p < .01$, accounting for 15.9%, and, $F(df = 6, 535) = 19.67, p < .01$, accounting for 17.1% of the adjusted variance, respectively. Results of the more distal 4-month follow-up model testing self-regulation mediators of counseling on adherence are shown in Figure 2. Results showed that counseling significantly predicted self-efficacy and strategies ($a$ paths), self-efficacy and strategies significantly predicted ART adherence ($b$ paths), and the total effects of counseling on adherence were significant ($c$ path). Accounting for self-efficacy and strategies resulted in a non-significant association between counseling and ART adherence ($c'$ path). Based on 5,000 bootstrap resamples, the test of indirect effects of counseling on adherence through self-efficacy at 4 months post counseling was significant, 95%CI: 0.002 to 0.018, and the indirect effect of counseling on adherence through strategies was significant, 95%CI: 0.001 to 0.021. Combined, the total indirect effect was significant, 95%CI: 0.007 to 0.034. These same effects were observed at the two-month follow-up.

**Discussion**

Adherence support counseling for people living with HIV demonstrated significant improvements in ART adherence. In the first few months after counseling the number of participants who achieved 90% ART adherence was as much as 25% to 30% greater than participants in the control condition. The same pattern of effects was observed in sensitivity tests at the upper bound 95% and lower bound 85% adherence. In addition, self-regulation counseling was associated with viral suppression. Participants receiving adherence counseling were less likely than the contact-matched control group to revert from being viral suppressed to unsuppressed (20% vs. 25%), and more likely to improve from viral unsuppressed to suppressed (13% vs. 9%). These modest but significant differences in viral suppression occurred ten months after counseling, despite the relatively short-term impacts on ART adherence. Further supporting the effects of the counseling intervention, we observed strengthened adherence self-efficacy and increased use of adherence strategies relative to the control condition.

Our study design allowed for a test of the independent and interactive effects of daily medication text message reminders. Results failed to demonstrate any added benefit of daily text message reminders, neither as a stand-alone intervention nor as a means of sustaining adherence following counseling. The one significant finding for daily reminders was an adverse effect on adherence self-efficacy. We speculate that participants may habituate to daily adherence reminders, which may even demotivate adherence by cuing participants to their non-adherence. In contrast, other studies have shown weekly intermittent text message reminders are effective in increasing ART adherence and sustaining HIV suppression (19, 38). For example, in a head-to-head comparison, weekly text messages increased adherence while daily messages did not (39). In addition, our sample represented patients living with HIV for an extended time, and text message interventions may be more effective earlier in treatment (40).
The outcomes of the trial should be considered in light of its limitations. Adherence greater than 95% was not achieved for more than half of participants receiving counseling and more than one-third of participants receiving counseling did not even reach the lower-bound of 85% adherence. And for those who did improve their adherence the gains were not sustained in the long-term. Our study design was limited by only assessing HIV RNA at baseline and 12-months later. Because adherence improvements were not sustained beyond six months from counseling, we may have missed the opportunity to observe shorter-term effects on viral suppression. In addition, our participants had variable experience with text messaging which may have accounted for the lack of effects observed with the text message reminder intervention. With these limitations in mind, we conclude that brief adherence counseling delivered by phone to patients facing significant challenges to ART adherence demonstrates clinically meaningful outcomes, but further refinements are needed to optimize and sustain the effects of adherence support counseling.

One factor that may have limited the impact of counseling on adherence and viral suppression was the limited number of sessions. We set the number of intervention sessions at five based on past ART adherence trials. For example, Reynolds et al. (14) tested 14 sessions of brief phone ART adherence counseling, but with only 70% session attendance. Examining the number of sessions needed to achieve 95% adherence in our trial, we found that 34% of participants had achieved success by the second session, and 48% achieved this criteria by the fifth session. Given the decision to limit counseling to five sessions was not grounded in any empirical findings, it is unknown whether additional sessions would have brought a majority of individuals to achieve 95% adherence. Alternatively, adherence counseling may be more efficiently delivered on an as-needed basis. For example, real-time electronic medication monitoring can be paired with phone counseling to offer in-the-moment adherence problem solving (41). Future research is needed to determine the number of sessions and optimal timing needed to achieve clinically meaningful outcomes for all patients and at what cost.
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Figure 1.
Flow chart of participants in the randomized trial of self-regulation counseling and daily text reminders to improve ART adherence and HIV suppression.
Figure 2.
Mediation model for counseling effects on adherence self-efficacy, adherence strategies, and ART adherence. Note, * p < .05, ** p < .01
Table 1
Baseline demographic and health characteristics of clinical trial participants across four conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling (N = 149)</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
<th>Contact Matched Control (N = 151)</th>
<th>Contact Matched + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since testing HIV+</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV symptoms</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Side effects</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count</td>
<td>487.2</td>
<td>322.0</td>
<td>437.1</td>
<td>252.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence self-efficacy</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence Strategies</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender identity</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives disability</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; $10,000 year</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 count &lt; 200 cell/cc</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacks transportation</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use in past month</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESD Score &gt; 16</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART adherence &lt; 85%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART adherence &lt; 90%</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV RNA &lt; 100 copies/mL</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: No significant differences between conditions were detected across groups on any baseline characteristics.
Table 2

Proportion of participants achieving 90% adherence at baseline and follow-up unannounced pill counts for the four intervention conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Post Counseling</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling (N = 149)</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
<th>Contact Matched Control (N = 151)</th>
<th>Contact Matched + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-month</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-months</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-months</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-months</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-months</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-months</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-months</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-months</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-months</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 months</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIV RNA < 100 copies/ml

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Post Counseling</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-months</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Counseling occurred over two months. The 10 month post counseling reflects 12-months from baseline.
Table 3

Adherence self-efficacy and strategies at baseline and follow-up assessments for the four intervention conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Self-efficacy scale</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling (N = 149)</th>
<th>Adherence Counseling + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
<th>Contact Matched Control (N = 151)</th>
<th>Contact Matched + Reminders (N = 150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-months</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-months</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-months</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-months</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-months</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence Strategies</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-months</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-months</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-months</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-months</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Counseling occurred over two months. The 10 month post counseling reflects 12-months from baseline.