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ABSTRACT

Occlusion therapy throughout early childhood is believed to be efficacious in treating deprivation amblyopia but has not been rigorously assessed in clinical trials. Further, tools to assess adherence to such therapy over an extended period of time are lacking. Using data from the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study, a randomized clinical trial of treatment for unilateral congenital cataract, we examined the use of quarterly 48-h recall interviews and annual 7-day prospective diaries to assess reported hours of patching in 114 children throughout the first 5 years of life. Consistency of data reported was assessed using correlation coefficients and intraclass correlation coefficients. Both interview and diary data showed excellent consistency with Cronbach’s Alpha ranging from 0.69 to 0.88 for hours of patching and 0.60 to 0.73 for hours of sleep. However, caregivers reported somewhat more adherence in prospective diaries than retrospective interviews. Completion rates, on the other hand, were substantially higher for telephone interviews than prospective diaries. For example, four years after surgery response rates to telephone interviews exceeded 75% versus completion rates of only 54% for diaries. In situations where occlusion dose monitors cannot be used for assessing adherence to occlusion therapy, such as in infants or over an extended period of time, quantitative assessments of occlusion therapy can be obtained by parental report, either as a series of prospective diaries or a series of recall interviews.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Deprivation amblyopia, such as that caused by unilateral infantile cataract, requires long-term occlusion of the unaffected eye [1,2]. Although occlusion is a standard part of treatment, its efficacy has not been rigorously assessed [3]. Further, because occlusion is often difficult for caregivers to administer [4,5], there is a high-level of non-concordance between prescribed and achieved occlusion [6–11]. Thus, the ability to assess adherence to occlusion therapy is important for clinicians caring for, as well as researchers.

Standard methods for assessing adherence to occlusion are not available. The assessment of adherence has utilized qualitative parent questionnaires [4,6,7,10] parental report combined with attendance at clinic visits [12], diaries and recall interviews [8,13], and occlusion dose monitors (ODMs) [14–18]. ODMs have the potential advantage of providing quantitative data [14–16,18,19] and have been successfully used over short periods of time (e.g., <6 months) in older children. However, these methods are often supplemented with patching diaries because of concerns about battery life and/or other technical problems [20]. Further, ODM use in infants may be unacceptable to caregivers, and may not be tolerated extended periods. ODMs also are less accurate in higher ambient temperatures and less reliable when the patch is worn under spectacles [21]. Finally, ODMs are not yet commercially available in the United States. Patching diaries can produce quantitative estimates of occlusion that correlate well with estimates obtained using ODMs [15,16], but have been criticized for overestimating adherence [22] and for being unreliable [15,23].

Thus, there is a need to develop and assess methods to assess adherence to prescribed patching over extended periods, starting in infancy. The Infant Aphakia Treatment Study (IATS) presented this...
opportunity in a well characterized sample of children. Our goals were to determine whether caregivers could reliably report adherence to prescribed patching in retrospective telephone interviews and/or prospective diaries, and the relative costs and benefits of assessing adherence using these two methods.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects and methods

The overall design of the IATS and results of the visual acuity assessment at 4.5 years of age have previously been published [24–26]. Briefly, the IATS was a randomized controlled trial comparing two treatments for unilateral congenital cataract in infants undergoing cataract extraction between 28 days and 7 months of age: contact lens (CL) correction of aphakia versus primary intra-ocular lens (IOL) implantation with spectacle correction of residual refractive error if needed. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating institutions and was in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Prescribed patching and visual correction

Patching was prescribed for all patients until their fifth birthday. Starting the second week after cataract surgery, caregivers were instructed to have the child wear an adhesive occlusive patch over the fellow eye 1 h daily per month of age until the child was eight months old. Thereafter, caregivers were told to patch their child 50% of waking hours. Patches were provided to patients at no cost to minimize financial barriers to patching. Deviations from prescribed patching protocols, both over- and under-patching, were not considered to be protocol violations.

Refractive correction was prescribed for all children 100% of waking hours. Within a week after cataract surgery, patients randomized to the CL group were fitted with a silicone (Silsoft; Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, New York) or a rigid gas permeable contact lens with a 2.0-D overcorrection to provide a near-point correction. Parents were provided with a spare contact lens. Both daily wear and extended wear protocols were acceptable, given the preferences of the treating physicians. At 2 years of age, the eye was corrected to emmetropia using a CL, and spectacles were prescribed with a D segment bifocal lens with an add of +3.0 D for near focus.

For infants randomized to the IOL group, spectacles were prescribed by the 1-month postoperative visit if any of the following conditions existed: hyperopia of more than 1.0 D, myopia of more than 3.0 D, or astigmatism of more than 1.5 D. In children younger than 2 years, the aim was to correct the refractive error to 2.0 D of myopia, whereas in children 2 years or older, the aim was Emmetropia at distance with a near correction of +3.0 D. The phakic eye for both groups was corrected with spectacles if 1 of the following conditions existed: hyperopia > 5.0 D, myopia > 5.0 D, astigmatism > 1.5 D, or refractive esotropia. The aim was to correct the refractive error to the range of 0 to +3.0 D in the phakic eye. When required, spectacles were to be worn 100% of waking hours.

2.3. Assessment of adherence

Adherence to prescribed patching and refractive correction, and hours of sleep were reported by caregivers using two different methodologies: a retrospective telephone interview every 3 months and an annual prospective diary. The telephone interview and diary collected similar information. In the diary parents reported sleep patterns, patch use, contact lens wear and spectacle wear over a seven day prospective period (see appendix). Diaries were completed two months after surgery and at 13, 25, 37 and 49 months of age. The diary was mailed to the caregiver, who returned the diary to the DCC following completion. A staff member called the caregiver after mailing the diary to ensure that the diary had been received and to remind the caregiver to begin documenting use of the patch.

The telephone interviews were completed quarterly, starting 3 months after surgery and continuing until the child was five years of age, and used a semi-structured interview to elicit the same information as reported on the patching diary for the previous 48-h. The timing of the interview was determined using an algorithm that distributed the preferred day of the call evenly throughout the week since patching has been reported to differ on weekdays and weekend days [18]. Caregivers were not informed in advance about the specific day or times of the interview. The interviews were conducted by one of three trained interviewers (one English-speaking, one Spanish-speaking, and one Portuguese-speaking) in the caregiver’s primary language so that the caregiver was interviewed by the same person on each occasion. The vast majority of interviews (>95%) were performed by the English-speaking interviewer. The interviewers were located at the Data Coordinating Center to minimize the possibility that the respondent would exaggerate their adherence or that the interviewer’s interpretation of the information would be biased by knowledge of the child’s visual acuity or treatment.

Measures of adherence were derived from caregivers’ reports regarding the times that patch was placed and removed. Similar data were available regarding CL wear, spectacle wear and sleep. We used this information to calculate the number of hours per day that the patch was worn, and the number of hours that the child slept each day. For diaries, this represented an average over the 7 days covered by the diary; for interviews, this represented an average over 48 h. For purposes of the current analysis, we did not assess adherence to contact lens and spectacle wear because of the wide variety of prescribed regimens associated with refractive correction.

2.4. Analytic methods

Analyses were conducted using SPSS 21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC) statistical packages. Intraclass correlation coefficients were used to assess the consistency of reports of daily hours of patching and sleep over time. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used to compare daily hours of patching and sleep reported on each diary to the same information reported on the closest 48-h interview. Similarly, we estimated the mean difference in number of hours of patching reported on diaries to the same information reported on the closest 48-h interview to determine whether information reported on diaries differed systematically from information reported in the interviews.

3. Results

114 infants participated in IATS: 57 were randomized to receive a −1.0 D IOL at the time of cataract extraction and 57 were randomized to remain aphakic (Fig. 1). Surgery was performed on all infants between 28 days and 7 months of life. Additional details regarding the population are provided in other publications [25,26]. The current analyses exclude two children for whom patching of the fellow eye was discontinued because of adverse events resulting in loss of visual potential in the treated eye (n = 2) and a third child with Stickler’s Syndrome who had better vision in the treated eye than in the fellow eye.
3.1. Completion of interviews and diaries

Fig. 2 shows completion rates for the 48-h interviews by time since surgery (panel a) and the diaries by age (panel b). On average, completion rates were higher for the 48-h recall interviews than for the prospective diaries. For example, 87% of caregivers completed the first diary two months after surgery; one month later the completion rate for the 48-h interview was ten percent higher (97%). The completion rates of both interviews and diaries declined over time. Even so, nearly five years after surgery, the interviews were completed by nearly three-quarters of caregivers (73%) while only about half (54%) of the caregivers returned the diary when the children were four years of age. Forty-three (38%) of the caregivers completed all five diaries and an additional 24 (21%) completed four of five diaries. Eight (7.0%) caregivers did not complete any of the diaries. On the other hand, all caregivers completed at least four of the 48-h recall interviews and nearly two-thirds (n = 71, 62.2%) completed 17 or more interviews. All participants had adherence data available for the first year following surgery with three-quarters (n = 89, 78.1%) completing all five possible assessments in this first year. Although there was some tendency for caregivers who did not provide adherence data at subsequent time points to report less adherence with occlusion than those who did provide data, these differences were neither consistent nor did they approach statistical significance (data not shown).

Substantial effort was required to collect these data. Half of all interviews were completed within two phone calls, regardless of the time since surgery. However, numerous attempts were required to successfully collect data on some participants. For example, at nearly all time points, more than 10 contact attempts were required in order to collect data on one or more participants.

3.2. Agreement between patching reports

There was substantial inter- and intra-subject variation in the amount of patching reported. For example, in the first twelve months after surgery, the range of average hours of patching per day ranged from 0.37 to 7.63 and the interquartile range of reported adherence ranged from just under 3 h per day to nearly 5 ½ hours. The intra-subject variation in reported hours of patching per day is demonstrated in Fig. 3 which shows the reported hours of patching by age for the five participants who completed at least sixteen
recall interviews and for whom the overall average hours patched per day was between 3.8 and 4.2 h, which was approximately the median amount of patching reported. Such variation was not present for reported hours of sleeping per day (see appendix).

Even considering the high degree of intra-subject variation in patching, data on adherence to patching were highly correlated with the same data reported three months later and were more strongly associated than were reports of hours of sleep per night (correlation coefficients ranging from 0.59 to 0.83 for occlusion and from 0.13 to 0.60 for hours of sleep). Further, the data reported on interviews and data reported on diaries were highly consistent. For example, the Cronbach’s Alpha for the four interviews conducted within the first twelve months after surgery is 0.69 for reported hours of patching per day and 0.67 for reported hours of sleep each night (Table 1). Additionally, daily hours of patching reported on each diary was strongly correlated with data reported by the
4. Discussion

The IATS experience provides information on assessing adherence to occlusion and visual correction in very young children in a clinical trial of treatment for unilateral congenital cataracts. Our findings suggest that caregivers can report information that can be used to distinguish families who are able to adhere to prescribed post-surgical treatment from those who struggle with patching. Such data are of importance in both research and clinical practice. In particular, a recent Cochrane Review recently recommended clinical trials be conducted to evaluate the efficacy of occlusion therapy for children with stimulus deprivation amblyopia, such as caused by unilateral cataract [3]. The methods we investigate here would allow for a quantitative estimation of adherence to occlusion therapy in such a trial. However, our data suggest that collecting these data requires a significant investment of resources in order to provide a relatively complete picture of adherence in young children.

These findings confirm reports that there is a high degree of intra- and inter-individual variability in reported adherence to patching [5], which is likely to reflect true variability in adherence day to day, as well as over more extended time periods such as the five year follow-up reported here. Further, our data highlight the potential differences between the dose rate, defined as the average number of hours of patching per day, and the accumulated dose of occlusion, defined as the total amount of patching experienced by a child [15]. Thus, for conditions, such as unilateral cataract, where occlusion therapy is prescribed for months, or even years, collecting these data over time provides a fuller picture of accumulated dose of patching.

Frequent contact with families demonstrated to caregivers that the study had a sincere interest in their child and allowed strong relationships between caregivers and study staff to develop, which may be an additional benefit of collecting these data on a regular basis.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of data collection</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Cronbach's alpha (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interviews conducted in 1st year post surgery(a)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.09 (2.80,5.44)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.58,0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews conducted in 2nd year post surgery(b)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.41 (1.43,5.00)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.79,0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews conducted in 3rd year post surgery(c)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.51 (1.96,5.46)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.82,0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews conducted in 4th year post surgery(d)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3.41 (1.73,5.52)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.82,0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Diaries(e)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.79 (1.73,5.00)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.78,0.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Interviews conducted 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after surgery.
\(b\) Interviews conducted 15, 18, 21 and 24 months after surgery.
\(c\) Interviews conducted 24, 27, 30, and 33 months after surgery.
\(d\) Interviews 36, 39, 42 and 45 months after surgery.
\(e\) Diaries 2 months after surgery and at 14, 26, 38 and 50 months of age.
basis in clinical trials. Specifically, in the IATS study, follow-up of participants was 100% for the assessment of visual acuity at twelve months of age [27] and 99% at age 4.5 years [26]. We ascribe at least some of the successful follow-up of these participants to the interpersonal relationships that families developed with the staff who completed the 48-h interviews every 3 months.

These data demonstrate that caregivers can continue to successfully patch their young children over an extended period of time, even if during specific periods, they achieve only minimal patching. As evidenced in Fig. 2, a number of caregivers reported very little patching at some points, but reported being able to successfully occlude their child on subsequent assessments. The message that suboptimal patching at one point in time does not necessarily predict the support, such as reminders the completion rates for the diaries might have an added benefit of enhancing retention in clinical research. Thus, it is possible that if we had performed additional telephone reminders the completion rates for the diaries might have improved. However, our findings suggest that collecting these data requires a substantial commitment of resources on the part of the investigators.
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Appendix 1. Example of data collection for diaries and interviews
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Appendix 2. Reported daily hours of sleep by age at assessment for 5 selected participants with reported patching that averaged between 3.8 and 4.2 h per day

Appendix 3. The Infant Aphakia Treatment Study Group

Administrative Units

Clinical Coordinating Center (Emory University): Scott R. Lambert, MD (Study Chair); Lindreth DuBois, MD, MMSc (National Coordinator).

Contact Lens Committee: Buddy Russell, COMT; Michael Ward, MMSc.

Data and Safety Monitoring Committee: Robert Hardy, PHD (Chair); Eileen Birch, PhD; Ken Cheng, MD; Richard Hertle, MD; Craig Kollman, PhD; Marshalyn Yeargin-Allsopp, MD (resigned); Cyd McDowell; Donald F. Everett, MA (ex officio).

Data Coordinating Center (Emory University): Michael Lynn MS (Director), Betsy Bridgman, BS; Marianne Celano PhD; Julia Cleveland, MSPH; George Cotsonis, MS; Carey Drews-Botsch, PhD; Nana Freret, MSN; Lu Lu, MS; Seegar Swanson; Thandeka Tutu-Gxashe, MPH.

Eye Movement Reading Center (University of Alabama, Birmingham and Retina Foundation of the Southwest, Dallas, TX): Claudio Busettini, PhD; Samuel Hayley, Joost Felius, PhD.

Medical Safety Monitor: Allen Beck, MD.

Program Office (National Eye Institute): Donald F. Everett, MA.

Steering Committee: Scott R. Lambert, MD; Edward G. Buckley, MD; David A. Plager, MD; M. Edward Wilson, MD; Michael Lynn, MS; Lindreth DuBois, Med MMSc; Carolyn Drews-Botsch, PhD; E. Eugenie Hartmann, PhD; Donald F. Everett, MA.

Vision and Developmental Testing Center (University of Alabama, Birmingham): E. Eugenie Hartmann, PhD (Director); Anna K Carrigan, MPH; Clara Edwards; Participating Clinical Centers (In order by the number of patients enrolled):

Medical University of South Carolina; Charleston, South Carolina (14): M. Edward Wilson, MD; Margaret Bozic, CCRC, COA.

Harvard University; Boston, Massachusetts (14): Deborah K. Vanderveen, MD; Theresa A. Mansfield, RN; Kathryn Bisceglia Miller, OD.

University of Minnesota; Minneapolis, Minnesota (13): Stephen P. Christiansen, MD; Erick D. Bothun, MD; Ann Holleschau, B.A.; Jason Jedlicka, OD; Patricia Winters, OD; Jacob Lang, O.D.

Cleveland Clinic; Cleveland, Ohio (10): Elias I. Traboulsi, MD; Susan Crowe, BS, COT; Heather Hasley Cimino, OD.

Baylor College of Medicine; Houston, Texas (10): Kimberly G. Yen, MD; Maria Castanes, MPH; Alma Sanchez, COA; Shirley York.

Emory University; Atlanta, Georgia (9): Scott R. Lambert, MD; Amy K. Hutchinson, MD; Lindreth Dubois, Med, MMSc; Rachel Robb, MMSc; Marla J. Shainberg, CO.

Oregon Health and Science University; Portland, Oregon (9): David T Wheeler, MD; Ann U. Stout, MD; Paula Rauch, OT, CRC; Kimberly Beaudet, CO, COMT; Pam Berg, CO, COMT.

Duke University; Durham, North Carolina (8): Edward G. Buckley, MD; Sharon F. Freedman, MD; Lois Duncan, BS; B.W. Phillips, FCLSA; John T. Petrowski, OD.

Vanderbilt University: Nashville, Tennessee (8): David Morrisson, MD; Sandy Owings COA, CCRP; Ron Biernacki CO, COMT; Christine Franklin, COT.

Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana (7): David A. Plager, MD; Daniel E. Neely, MD; Michele Whitaker, COT; Donna Bates, COA; Dana Donaldson, OD.

Miami Children’s Hospital, Miami, Florida (6): Stacey Kruger, MD; Charlotte Tibi, CO; Susan Vega.

University of Texas Southwestern; Dallas, Texas (6): David R.
Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conctc.2016.05.009.
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