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Abstract

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) affects synaptic plasticity and neural structure and plays key roles in learning and memory processes. Recent evidence also points to important, yet complex, roles for BDNF in rodent models of cocaine abuse and addiction. Here we examine the role of prefrontal cortical (PFC) BDNF in reward-related decision making and behavioral sensitivity to, and responding for, cocaine. We focus on BDNF within the medial and orbital PFC, its regulation by cocaine during early postnatal development and in adulthood, and how BDNF in turn influences responding for drug reinforcement, including in reinstatement models. When relevant, we draw comparisons and contrasts with experiments using natural (food) reinforcers. We also summarize findings supporting, or refuting, the possibility that BDNF in the medial and orbital PFC regulate the development and maintenance of stimulus-response habits. Further investigation could assist in the development of novel treatment approaches for cocaine use disorders.
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Introduction

Substance use disorders are profound public health concerns, with significant costs for affected individuals and the economy as a whole (Miller & Hendrie, 2008). In 2013, 1.5 million Americans aged 12 and over were current cocaine users (SAMHSA, 2014) and in 2010, cocaine was the leading cause of emergency room visits involving illicit drug usage (SAMHSA, 2012). Despite this, there is still currently no FDA-approved pharmaceutical treatment for cocaine dependence.

Substance use disorders are characterized by drug use despite negative consequences. Altered plasticity and aberrant changes in so-called “reward” and learning and memory circuits are thought to underlie, in part, maladaptive reward-related decision making in addiction (Everitt & Robbins, 2005; Hyman et al., 2006; Robbins et al., 2008; Dong & Nestler, 2014; Everitt, 2014). Understanding the mechanisms mediating drug-induced neurobiological changes that drive behaviors interpreted as drug-seeking in rodents could provide avenues to novel therapeutics that could break compulsive drug use in humans.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is involved in neural organization and synaptic plasticity during development and in adulthood (Huang & Reichardt, 2003; Binder & Scharffman, 2004; Park & Poo, 2013). Through activation of its high affinity receptor, tropomyosin receptor kinase B (trkB), BDNF activates signaling cascades that affect gene transcription and synaptic structure and plasticity (Atwal et al., 2000; Binder & Scharffman, 2004; Park & Poo, 2013). Genetic polymorphisms associated with reduced BDNF signaling (Egan et al., 2003) appear to increase the risk for the development of stimulant addiction (Cheng et al., 2005; Su et al., 2014). Additionally, blood serum BDNF levels rise during early periods of cocaine withdrawal (Von Diemen et al., 2014; Viola et al., 2014; Corominas-Roso et al., 2013,2015). Individuals with higher serum BDNF have been shown to relapse later than those with lower levels (Corominas-Roso et al., 2015); however, higher BDNF levels can also correlate with greater craving and loss of behavioral control (Corominas-Roso et al., 2013).

Abundant pre-clinical research has aimed at better understanding how brain BDNF is affected by cocaine exposure and how BDNF affects drug-seeking and decision-making behaviors. This review focuses on BDNF in the prefrontal cortex (PFC). The PFC plays an important role in learning and memory, decision-making processes, and in both the expression and inhibition of cocaine-reinforced behaviors (Robbins et al., 2008; Torregrossa et al., 2008; Peters et al., 2009; Lucantonio et al., 2012; Moorman et al., 2014). We first briefly summarize the neuroanatomy of the rodent PFC, as well as tasks commonly used to model aspects of drug abuse and addiction in rodents. We then review evidence that acute and repeated cocaine exposure affects BDNF and Bdnf expression in the PFC. Next, we consider whether drug-induced changes in BDNF levels in the PFC play a role in the development of behaviors interpreted as drug-seeking or is instead “protective.” We summarize the effects of direct manipulation of PFC BDNF expression on food- and drug-reinforced responding and on PFC-dependent decision making and discuss evidence for the “therapeutic-like” potential of manipulating trkB systems.
We focus this review in particular on PFC neurocircuits – including both medial and orbital regions of the PFC – and we refer readers to Russo et al. (2009), McGinty et al. (2010), Ghitza et al. (2010), Schmidt et al. (2013), Barker et al. (2014), and Li & Wolf (2015) for additional discussions regarding drug-mediated regulation of BDNF expression and activity throughout the multiple corticolimbic regions implicated in substance use disorders.

The rodent PFC: A brief overview

Broadly speaking, the rodent PFC can be divided into a lateral region, the orbitofrontal cortex (oPFC), and a medial region, referred to as the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). The mPFC can be further subdivided into the anterior cingulate cortex, prelimbic cortex (PL), infralimbic cortex (IL), and the medial oPFC (Ongur & Price, 2000) (fig. 1). The mPFC as a whole receives projections from multiple areas of the limbic systems involved in encoding reward salience and value, including the hippocampus and amygdala (e.g., Jay & Witter, 1991; McDonald, 1991; Gabbott et al., 2006; Mátyás et al., 2014; Zingg et al., 2014). This allows it to integrate information from multiple sources into decision-making processes, and to coordinate motor output via downstream structures. For instance, the PL innervates the nucleus accumbens (NAC) core and the basolateral and lateral nuclei of the amygdala, while the IL innervates the NAC shell and the basal, central, and medial amygdala (Sesack et al., 1989, McDonald et al., 1996; Heidbreder & Groenewegen, 2003). These two highly-studied structures (the PL and IL) regulate reward-related decision making, often with opposing influences (reviewed Moorman et al., 2014).

The medial oPFC is positioned ventrally to the PL and IL, at the base of the medial wall (fig. 1). It innervates a thin strip of the dorsomedial striatum immediately adjacent to the ventricles, with projections extending ventrally to the NAC (e.g., see Schilman et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Romaguera et al., 2015). The medial oPFC has received less attention than the more dorsal regions of the mPFC, but despite this, recent reports indicate that the medial oPFC regulates the expression of conditioned fear and repetitive stereotyped behavior (Ahmari et al., 2013; Rodriguez-Romaguera et al., 2015). Further, inactivation of the medial oPFC induces perseverative-like responding for food reinforcers (Gourley et al., 2010), and this may be due to an inability to retrieve outcome-related information to guide response strategies (Bradfield et al., 2015). Medial oPFC inactivation also attenuates cocaine-primed reinstatement, an animal model of relapse (Fuchs et al., 2004). Together, these findings suggest that the medial oPFC regulates aspects of reward-related decision making in both food- and drug-related contexts.

The more lateral regions of the oPFC are essential for stimulus-dependent reward-related decision making and for integrating reward salience and expectancies to allow for “on-the-fly” response selection (Lucantonio et al., 2012; Stalnaker et al., 2015). The oPFC receives projections from the basal amygdala, and it innervates the amygdala and centrolateral and ventral striatum (Ongur & Price, 2000; Schilman et al., 2008; Hoover & Vertes, 2011; Gremel & Costa, 2013; Zingg et al., 2014).

There is much debate regarding what the oPFC does and does not do (cf., Stalnaker et al., 2015). This may be complicated by assuming homogeneity of oPFC projections. The
ventrolateral subregion of the oPFC, situated between the lateral oPFC and the medial oPFC, has overlapping, as well as distinct, projection properties, relative to the other oPFC subregions. For example, the ventrolateral oPFC innervates the dorsal striatum but largely spares the NAC; it also innervates the basolateral amygdala but to a lesser degree than the lateral oPFC (Schilman et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Romaguera et al., 2015; Zimmermann et al., 2015). These distinctions likely position the lateral and ventrolateral oPFC to differentially regulate reward-related behaviors.

**Animal models of drug seeking and habit formation**

In order to study the molecular- and circuit-level changes associated with cocaine exposure and addiction, researchers must model drug seeking and related behaviors using tractable experimental conditions. We will briefly summarize behavioral tasks relevant to this review. First, **conditioned place preference (CPP)** can be used to examine the development and extinction of a Pavlovian association between a previously neutral context and cocaine administration. Preference for a cocaine-paired context, and how long it lasts when cocaine is withheld, is thought to reflect a subject’s sensitivity to the drug and its ability to acquire and extinguish the context-drug association.

**Cocaine self-administration** studies allow subjects to control drug intake and can be used to quantify the acquisition and maintenance of a drug-reinforced response, binge-like behavior, and the reinstatement of drug seeking following extinction. In this case, mice or rats perform an operant response (e.g., lever press or nose poke) to receive a cocaine reinforcer. The reinforcer is most commonly a direct intravenous infusion, although cocaine can also be self-administered orally (e.g., Macenski et al., 1998; Miles et al., 2003; Gabriele et al., 2009). Cocaine delivery is often paired with a stimulus, such as a light or a tone. Thus, self-administration studies typically involve components of action-outcome conditioning — associating an operant response with reinforcer delivery — and stimulus-outcome conditioning — associating a cue with the drug.

To assess the **reinstatement of cocaine seeking**, an experimenter-administered cocaine injection, presentation of the drug-paired stimulus, or acute stressor (termed drug, cue, and stress-induced reinstatement, respectively) is used to reinstate operant responding. The amount of responding in extinction (no reinforcer is delivered during reinstatement) is considered a marker of drug seeking (for further discussion, see Marchant et al., 2013).

Another pair of tasks can be used to assess whether rodents use action-outcome (goal-directed) or stimulus-response (habitual) response strategies. Although these tasks typically use food as the reinforcers, they are relevant to issues of drug abuse because stimulus-elicted habits are considered etiological factors in the development and maintenance of addiction (Jentsch & Taylor, 1999; Everitt & Robbins, 2005; Schwabe et al., 2011; Torregrossa et al., 2011). In these tasks, the value of a reinforcer is reduced via prefeeding or transient LiCl-induced gastric malaise (**outcome devaluation**). Alternatively, the contingency between a trained response and the reinforcer is violated (**action-outcome contingency degradation**). Animals using goal-directed behavioral response strategies will adjust (decrease) their responding. Animals that have developed habits, however, will
continue responding, as previously (for further review of these tasks, see Yin et al., 2008; Balleine & O’Doherty, 2010).

**Cocaine rapidly regulates mPFC BDNF and *Bdnf*, and acute BDNF infusion can decrease cocaine-related responding**

BDNF is a member of the neurotrophin family that, in mammals, includes nerve growth factor, neurotrophin-3, and neurotrophin 4/5. BDNF is initially synthesized as a 32-kD pro-peptide (referred to as “pro-BDNF”) and is then cleaved into a 14-kD mature form. pro-BDNF preferentially binds and activates the p75 pro-apoptotic receptor. Meanwhile, mature BDNF preferentially stimulates the trkB receptor. Ligand binding and trkB receptor autophosphorylation initiate multiple intracellular signaling cascades through the MAP kinase, PI3-kinase, and the PLC\_γ pathways. Through these, BDNF regulates neuronal activity and synaptic and structural plasticity during both pre- and postnatal development, and in the mature brain (Reichardt, 2006; Lu et al., 2014).

Cocaine dynamically regulates trkB, BDNF protein, and *Bdnf* mRNA expression in the mPFC (summarized in table 1). In mature rodents, acute experimenter-administered cocaine can increase *Bdnf* mRNA within ~2 hours of exposure, and this is associated with an increase in expression of the mature form of BDNF 24 hours after injection (Le Foll et al., 2005; Fumagalli et al., 2007,2009). In contrast, 22–72 hours after repeated exposure to cocaine, either experimenter- or self-administered, *Bdnf* levels drop (McGinty et al., 2010; Fumagalli et al., 2007). Accordingly, BDNF replacement in the PL suppresses cocaine-related responding in extinction and in reinstatement tests using cocaine-associated cues or a cocaine prime (Berglind et al., 2007,2009; Whitfield et al., 2011; McGinty et al., 2010) (table 1). Blockade of mPFC trkB activity occludes these effects, indicating that local BDNF-trkB binding can, at least in part, account for suppressive effects on cocaine seeking (see McGinty et al., 2010; Whitfield et al., 2011). Within the ventromedial PFC, local infusions of BDNF into the IL enhance the extinction of cocaine-CPP (Otis et al., 2014). IL BDNF infusions also rescue cocaine-induced deficiencies in fear extinction recall (Kabir et al., 2013).

The PL preferentially innervates the NAC core, and as with PL BDNF, trkB activity in the NAC core appears to oppose cocaine-seeking behaviors. Specifically, siRNA-mediated knockdown of the trkB receptor increases cue-induced responding when rats are tested immediately following a period of cocaine self-administration (Li et al., 2013). This is significant because cortical projections provide a primary source of BDNF in the striatum, which contains little *Bdnf* mRNA (Altar et al., 1997). Accordingly, PL-selective knockdown of *Bdnf* decreases BDNF protein expression in the striatum (Gourley et al., 2009a,2012a). Conversely, BDNF infusion increases BDNF expression in the NAC, and levels of phosphorylated (active) ERK1/2 also increase (Berglind et al., 2007; McGinty et al., 2010). Infusions of BDNF into the mPFC also normalize levels of extracellular glutamate and activity of the vesicular trafficking protein synapsin in the NAC following cocaine exposure (Berglind et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2014). These findings are particularly provocative given that the reinstatement of drug seeking after extinction is thought to reflect, at least in part,
disturbances in glutamatergic neurotransmission in a mPFC-NAC pathway, specifically, depleted levels following repeated cocaine exposure, followed by robust up-regulation after re-exposure to cocaine or cocaine-related cues (Kalivas, 2009).

**A history of cocaine exposure increases mPFC BDNF and Bdnf**

In the aforementioned studies of McGinty and colleagues, in which BDNF was infused into the PL of cocaine self-administering rats, BDNF was largely infused immediately following a period of cocaine self-administration, coinciding with low BDNF levels. In other experiments, infusions later in the drug abstinence period were ineffective (Berglind et al., 2007), suggesting the possibility that enhancing mPFC BDNF signaling (i.e., by replacing BDNF tone) has protective benefits during a quite narrow time window. This may be because endogenous BDNF protein and Bdnf mRNA appear to increase in the days and weeks following cocaine exposure, eventually exceeding typical levels (Hearing et al., 2008; McGinty et al. 2010; Sadri-Vakili et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015) (summarized table 1).

A history of early-life experimenter-administered cocaine exposure also progressively increases mPFC BDNF, leading to increased mPFC BDNF expression in adulthood (Lu et al., 2010; Giannotti et al., 2014). Notably, however, a recent study by Simchon-Tenenbaum et al., 2015 did not replicate this finding. This discrepancy may be due to differences in tissue dissection strategies, since Simchon-Tenenbaum et al. appeared to extract the whole PFC for analysis, which would include lateral (oPFC), in addition to medial, subregions. As will be discussed below, the oPFC may respond differently to cocaine exposure, which could preclude the detection of elevated mPFC BDNF in tissue samples containing both the mPFC and oPFC.

**Chronic deviations in typical mPFC BDNF-trkB tone influence locomotor sensitization and cocaine- and food-reinforced behaviors**

The prolonged augmentation of mPFC BDNF expression following cocaine exposure (see above) could conceivably be associated with increased behavioral sensitivity to the drug. Consistent with this notion, TrkB knockdown broadly throughout the mPFC modestly blunts the motoric response to cocaine in sensitized mice (Lu et al., 2010). Additionally, the development of cocaine-induced locomotor sensitization is delayed in Bdnf+/− mice (Horger et al., 1999). Locomotor sensitization can also be blocked by a combination of dopamine D1/D2 receptor and 5-HT3 receptor antagonists, which interferes with cocaine-induced increases in mPFC BDNF (Zhang et al., 2015). These studies suggest that mPFC BDNF-trkB could support the development and expression of cocaine-induced locomotor sensitization.

Drug-induced mPFC BDNF over-expression could also conceivably increase cocaine-seeking behaviors. In one study, cocaine increased mPFC levels of Bdnf exon IV, and aerobic exercise normalized Bdnf exon IV levels and reduced cocaine self-administration in tandem, suggesting that mitigating drug-related increases in mPFC Bdnf could be associated with cocaine resilience (Peterson et al., 2014). In a similar vein, PL-targeted Bdnf knockout can blunt cocaine-CPP (Choi et al., 2012).
Despite these findings, blocking drug-related increases in mPFC BDNF does not necessarily protect against cocaine vulnerabilities in all contexts. For example, mPFC-targeted Bdnf knockdown increases rather than decreases, cocaine self-administration on a progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement (Sadri-Vakili et al., 2010), even while decreasing responding for food reinforcement (Gourley et al., 2012a; fig. 2). Also, inhibiting Bdnf enhances the cytotoxic properties of cocaine in cultured cells (Yan et al., 2007), and PL-targeted Bdnf knockdown failed in one report to block biases towards habit-based decision making induced by adolescent cocaine exposure (Hinton et al., 2014).

As discussed, BDNF is subject to anterograde transport, such that selective BDNF overexpression in the dorsomedial PFC induces BDNF over-expression in the downstream amygdala (McGinty et al., 2010), and selective Bdnf knockdown in the oPFC reduces BDNF levels in the amygdala (Gourley et al., 2013a; Zimmermann et al., 2015). Interestingly, inhibition of BDNF-trkB signaling in the amygdala [another structure subject to cocaine-induced increases in BDNF expression (Grimm et al., 2003)] interferes with the extinction of cocaine-CPP (Heldt et al., 2014). Together, these findings further suggest that BDNF in certain PFC circuits supports key behavioral inhibitory functions.

These and other findings have led to the perspective that cocaine-induced increases in mPFC BDNF may have some protective properties. Indeed, the male offspring of cocaine self-administering rats are cocaine-resilient and also have higher levels of Bdnf and BDNF in the mPFC than control counterparts (Vassoler et al., 2013). Cocaine resilience in these rats is entirely blocked by administration of a trkB antagonist, providing evidence that mPFC BDNF-trkB systems can have protective properties. Additionally, typical rats that learn to approach reward-related stimuli (sign-trackers), instead of the location of food reinforcer delivery (goal-trackers), in a Pavlovian conditioned approach task have lower levels of PFC BDNF (Morrow et al., 2015). Sign-trackers also exhibit greater drug-seeking behavior in reinstatement tests (Saunders & Robinson, 2010, 2011; Yager & Robinson, 2013), again suggesting that PFC BDNF may be “protective” against certain drug-reinforced behaviors.

Increases in BDNF following cocaine abstinence are linked to cocaine-induced long-term potentiation in the mPFC, and mechanistically, this may occur via the reduction of cell-surface ionotropic GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors (Lu et al., 2010). This discovery led to subsequent investigations utilizing viral-mediated gene silencing of the predominant GABA\textsubscript{A} subunit, GABA\textsubscript{A}\alpha1, in the mPFC. Particularly when knockdown was initiated early in life, GABA\textsubscript{A}\alpha1 silencing induced a deferral to habit-based responding in food-reinforced operant conditioning tasks, mimicking the effects of cocaine (Butkovich et al., 2015). GABA\textsubscript{A}\alpha1-deficient mice were also delayed in acquiring a cocaine-reinforced response, but even when cocaine exposure was controlled, GABA\textsubscript{A}\alpha1 silencing had no effects on the reinstatement of cocaine seeking following extinction (Butkovich et al., 2015). These findings suggest that chronic changes in dorsomedial PFC GABA\textsubscript{A}\alpha1 systems (linked to changes in BDNF or other factors) do not obviously account for relapse in cocaine addiction.
Does mPFC BDNF influence habit-based behavior?

Several independent groups have reported using reinforcer devaluation and instrumental contingency degradation tasks that a history of repeated cocaine or amphetamine exposure can induce outcome-insensitive habits (Schoenbaum & Setlow, 2005; Nelson & Killcross, 2006, 2013; Nordquist et al., 2007; LeBlanc et al., 2013; Corbit et al., 2014; Hinton et al., 2014). Further, instrumental responding for cocaine can quickly become dominated by habit-like strategies (Miles et al., 2003; Zapata et al., 2010). Additionally, acute cocaine exposure can disrupt the consolidation of new action-outcome associative learning and memory, resulting in a deferral to habit-based response strategies (Gourley et al., 2013b), and pairing cocaine with a food-reinforced response also results in behavioral insensitivity to the devaluation of the food reinforcer (Schmitzer-Torbert et al., 2015). Thus, cocaine exposure biases response strategies towards habits.

The relationship between cocaine-induced augmentation of mPFC BDNF and the development and maintenance of cocaine-related habits is, in our view, opaque. Firstly, PL-directed BDNF infusion in mice can induce habit or habit-like behaviors, similar to the effects of cocaine (Graybeal et al., 2011; Gourley et al., 2012a). This may be because mPFC Bdnf increases during the initial acquisition of a food-reinforced instrumental response, but then decreases with proficiency (Rapanelli et al., 2010). Aberrant drug-induced elevations in mPFC BDNF that persist after task proficiency has been achieved could conceivably disrupt typical intracellular signaling essential for goal-directed action selection, causing mice to defer to habit-based decision making.

One caveat to this model is that experiments using BDNF infusions may rely on BDNF concentrations that exceed physiological levels (Li & Wolf, 2015). Additionally, PL-targeted Bdnf knockdown, which would presumably interfere with cocaine-induced increases in mPFC BDNF, failed in one report to block habits caused by adolescent cocaine exposure (Hinton et al., 2014). The suggestion that drug-related mPFC BDNF overexpression induces reward-seeking habits is also at odds with evidence that stressor exposure blocks cocaine-induced Bdnf up-regulation (Fumagalli et al., 2009) and at the same time facilitates habit formation in both rodents and humans (Dias-Ferreira et al., 2009; Schwabe, 2013; see also Gourley et al., 2012a). Further, the presence of the met allele at codon 66 of the BDNF gene in humans increases, rather than decreases, the likelihood that individuals will rely on habit-based strategies in spatial navigation tasks (Banner et al., 2011). These findings challenge a model in which cocaine-induced BDNF overexpression in the mPFC induces biases towards habit-based decision making.

Effects of trkB stimulation

To summarize, mPFC BDNF-trkB significantly impacts behavioral sensitivity to cocaine, and in ways that can appear contradictory. For example, mPFC BDNF-trkB appears to enhance locomotor sensitivity to cocaine (Lu et al., 2010), but also facilitate the extinction of a cocaine-reinforced response (Berglind et al., 2007) [even while interfering with the extinction of food-reinforced responding (Gourley et al., 2009a)]. Adding to this already
complicated picture is evidence that drug-induced BDNF over-expression in certain subcortical structures is implicated in drug-seeking behaviors (reviewed Li & Wolf, 2015).

These properties may suggest that BDNF-trkB has limited utility as a therapeutic target. Nonetheless, a bioactive, high-affinity trkB agonist that causes receptor dimerization and auto-phosphorylation was recently characterized (Jang et al., 2010), resulting in studies assessing the behavioral effects of this putative trkB agonist, 7,8-dihydroxyflavone (7,8-DHF). Systemic administration of 7,8-DHF dose-dependently attenuates methamphetamine-induced locomotor sensitization (Ren et al., 2014) and normalizes drug-induced impairments in prepulse inhibition (Ren et al., 2013). 7,8-DHF additionally interferes with cocaine seeking in mice that self-administered cocaine, were then subject to forced abstinence, and finally, were re-exposed to the cocaine-associated context (DePoy et al., in review). 7,8-DHF also blocks stimulus-response habits induced by response over-training (Zimmermann et al., 2015). This effect is reversed by co-administration of a trkB antagonist, raising the possibility that trkB-targeting manipulations could mitigate habits caused by drugs of abuse. Additionally, local infusions of 7,8-DHF into the IL enhance the extinction of cocaine-CPP (Otis et al., 2014), while systemic 7,8-DHF treatment has apparently no effects on the acquisition of cocaine-CPP in typical rodents (Tzeng et al., 2013). Another trkB agonist, LM22A-4, decreases compulsive-like alcohol consumption in mice (Warnault et al., 2015; see for further discussion, Logrip et al., 2015). These findings together highlight the possible utility of pairing trkB-based interventions with therapies for drug use disorders, though further research is certainly necessary.

**oPFC BDNF regulates reward-related and goal-directed decision making**

Studies utilizing viral-mediated gene silencing strategies to assess the role of Bdnf in the oPFC in complex decision making and cocaine-related behaviors indicate that Bdnf knockdown enhances the acquisition, and impairs the extinction, of cocaine-CPP (Gourley et al., 2013a). Additionally, oPFC-selective Bdnf knockdown induces stimulus-response habits that occur at the expense of goal-directed decision making (Gourley et al., 2013a; Zimmermann et al., 2015), mimicking the effects of cocaine exposure (discussed above).

Despite these and other findings, whether the oPFC regulates goal-directed action selection vs. habit behavior remains a contentious topic. Ostlund and Balleine (2007) generated large lesions encompassing the lateral and ventrolateral oPFC in rats and reported that oPFC damage did not impact behavioral sensitivity to reinforcer devaluation. In other words, oPFC damage apparently did not cause habits. Meanwhile, certain forms of Pavlovian (stimulus-outcome) conditioning were impaired, consistent with an historical focus on oPFC involvement in stimulus-outcome learning and memory (discussed Ostlund & Balleine, 2007). In 2013, however, Gremel and Costa placed lesions in the ventrolateral oPFC of the mouse, causing behavioral insensitivity to reinforcer devaluation, suggesting that oPFC damage causes habit-based decision making. They also found, using multi-site multi-electrode recordings, neural ensembles in the oPFC that encoded action-value information (Gremel & Costa, 2013). In the same year, the present authors reported that oPFC-selective knockdown of Bdnf and lesions disconnecting the oPFC from the dorsal striatum also induce habits (Gourley et al., 2013a). We additionally find that habit biases can be attributed to
failures in consolidating or retaining action-outcome memory (Zimmermann et al., 2015), and we have reported that viral-mediated knockdown of Gabra1 and Fmr1 in the oPFC also induce habit-based responding (Swanson et al., 2015; Gross et al., 2015). These findings suggest that the healthy oPFC is important for goal-directed (action-outcome) response selection.

How might we reconcile these findings with the early findings of Ostlund and Balleine (2007)? Key differences include species and sex, since Ostlund and Balleine (2007) utilized female rats, while Costa, Gremel, and the present authors have primarily utilized male mice. Another possible factor is the training history of the experimental animals. The rats used in the report of Ostlund and Balleine (2007) were first trained for 8 days to associate distinct auditory stimuli with two different outcomes (pellets vs. sucrose). Then, 11 days of instrumental conditioning followed, in which rats were trained to respond for the same two outcomes. Thus, rats had ample opportunity to form multiple stimulus-outcome and action-outcome associations prior to test. By contrast, the mice used by Gremel and Costa (2013) and Gourley et al. (2013a) responded for a single outcome and were not subject to explicit reward-associated stimuli. Further, the mice in the Gourley report also generated much lower response rates overall, which could minimize the opportunity to strongly encode or retain action-outcome information.

It may be that the oPFC is involved in early phases of forming or retaining action-outcome associations, but that with sufficient task experience, this information can be encoded and retained in the absence of a healthy oPFC. Consistent with this notion, mice with unilateral oPFC Bdnf knockdown and contralateral amygdala lesions “disconnecting” these structures are insensitive to instrumental contingency degradation, deferring to habit-based response strategies (Zimmermann et al., 2015). These same mice can, however, ultimately develop sensitivity to changes in instrumental contingencies with repeated training, suggesting that oPFC insult delays, but does not fully block, learning or retaining new information about action-outcome contingencies. This may also account for instances in which mice with oPFC damage fail to develop sensitivity to instrumental contingency degradation, but when tested in a reinforcer devaluation task following additional training, responding is intact (Gourley et al., 2013a; Swanson et al., 2015). Additional experiments would, however, be required to explicitly test this model.

Experiments using inhibitory Gi-coupled Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs) in the ventrolateral oPFC further suggest that the oPFC is involved in behavioral sensitivity to action-outcome relationships. Gremel and Costa (2013) found that activating Gi-DREADDs in the oPFC before outcome revaluation testing induced habit-like responding. Additionally, in a recent experiment, Gi-DREADDs were stimulated immediately following modifications in familiar action-outcome contingencies, during the presumptive consolidation of new learning. During a subsequent drug-free probe test, all mice were initially able to select responses that were more, vs. less, likely to be reinforced, a goal-directed response strategy. However, response preference rapidly decayed in mice expressing Gi-DREADDs in the oPFC, such that these mice ultimately deferred to habit-based strategies (Zimmermann et al., 2015). These studies further suggest that the oPFC is involved in retaining action-outcome-based memory.
Does cocaine impact BDNF expression in the oPFC?

As discussed, mPFC BDNF levels increase following repeated cocaine exposure, including cocaine exposure during early-life development (Lu et al., 2010; Giannotti et al., 2014). In contrast, early-life exposure to atomoxetine, which, like cocaine, inhibits the norepinephrine transporter, decreases Bdnf expression in the adult oPFC (Sun et al., 2012). In mature rodents, Bdnf in the oPFC increased following repeated cocaine self-administration in one report, and interestingly, this up-regulation was only detectable in rats that were re-exposed to the cocaine self-administration context prior to euthanasia (Hearing et al., 2008). Context-specific changes in oPFC Bdnf are consistent with the existence of projections from hippocampal structures to the oPFC (e.g., Morecraft et al., 1992). Given that oPFC Bdnf knockout degrades goal-directed response selection (Gourley et al., 2013a; Zimmermann et al., 2015), it is tempting to speculate that drug-related oPFC Bdnf deficiency could drive habit-based drug seeking, while oPFC Bdnf overexpression could conversely drive goal-oriented drug seeking in drug-related contexts, though additional studies are necessary.

Regulation of neuron structure

In addition to synaptic plasticity, BDNF-trkB interactions regulate the shape and structure of neurons. For example, stimulation of trkB promotes neurite outgrowth in several biological systems and acts at several steps to suppress p75 signaling (Reichardt, 2006). This is relevant because p75 activity can otherwise inhibit neural outgrowth via activation of the RhoA GTPase and substrates such as Rho-kinase. Accordingly, we have discovered that systemic administration of a Rho-kinase inhibitor corrects impulsive-like food-reinforced responding following oPFC Bdnf knockout in female mice (DePoy et al., 2013) and habit-based responding following oPFC Bdnf knockout in male mice (Zimmermann et al., 2015). These findings highlight another possible point of intervention in combatting cocaine seeking – that is, the regulation of cell shape and structure. This idea is reinforced by evidence that cocaine cue-induced neuroplasticity in the PL regulates changes in dendritic spine head size – a metric of synaptic strength – in the NAC following the presentation of cocaine-related cues (Gipson et al., 2013), and that inhibiting the activity of the cytoskeletal regulatory elements Arg kinase and β1-integrin in the oPFC and forebrain, respectively, greatly exaggerates cocaine-induced locomotor sensitization (Gourley et al., 2009b; Warren et al., 2012).

Determining whether BDNF-trkB influences cocaine-induced cellular structural modifications throughout the cortico-limbic structures implicated in drug abuse and addiction may be a fruitful topic of future research. Under certain circumstances, the putative trkB agonist 7,8-DHF can induce dendritic spine proliferation in the hippocampus and oPFC (Zeng et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014a,b; Zimmermann et al., 2015), while cocaine decreases dendrite complexity and dendritic spine density in the oPFC (Gourley et al., 2012b; DePoy et al., 2014; Radley et al., 2015). Notably, one study found no changes in oPFC dendritic spine density following extended-access cocaine self-administration (Ferrario et al., 2005). It is possible that different methods in imaging and dendritic branch selection could explain the different results between studies, given that the Ferrario report (2005) utilized Golgi-Cox staining and sampled spines from third-order terminal tips or
greater, while other studies used fluorescence imaging and examined segments within 150 μm of the soma (Gourley et al., 2012b; Radley et al., 2015). Whether 7,8-DHF can block cocaine-induced spine loss in the oPFC has not, to our knowledge, been tested.

In another study using mice lacking Fmr1, dendritic spines aberrantly proliferated in the hippocampus, and 7,8-DHF reduced densities to typical levels (Tian et al., 2015). Together, these findings suggest that 7,8-DHF promotes homeostatic dendritic spine plasticity, rather than simply increasing or decreasing spine numbers. This is notable given that cocaine and other psychostimulants can both increase and decrease dendritic spine densities, depending on the brain region sampled (reviewed Kolb & Muhammad, 2014; DePoy & Gourley, 2015). Strategies that normalize structural and synaptic plasticity throughout multiple regions may be particularly attractive strategies for treating drug use disorders.

Conclusions

BDNF is involved in a wide range of brain functions, including neuronal differentiation and neurite outgrowth during development and synapse structure and plasticity throughout development and adulthood (Binder & Scharfman, 2004; Park & Poo, 2013). BDNF is also crucial for multiple forms of learning and memory (Yamada & Nabeshima, 2003; Lu et al., 2008) and is implicated in several psychiatric disorders, including depression, addiction, and obsessive-compulsive disorder (Binder & Scharfman, 2004; Autry & Monteggia, 2012). Studies reviewed here indicate that mPFC and oPFC BDNF systems are dynamically regulated by cocaine exposure, and in turn impact cocaine-related learning and memory and decision making. There is interest in treating substance use disorders with therapies that enhance flexible goal-directed decision-making processes, as opposed to, for example, mitigating the reinforcing effects of, or craving for, cocaine (see Everitt & Robbins, 2005; Pierce & Vanderschuren, 2010). The effects of BDNF on synapse formation and learning and memory could conceivably complement therapies aimed at strengthening goal-directed decision making or extinguishing connections between drug cues and craving. The complex effects of cocaine exposure on BDNF, as well as the complicated role of BDNF in reward-related decision making in general, may limit its therapeutic potential, but preliminary studies with systemic administration of 7,8-DHF (Ren et al., 2013, 2014; Zimmermann et al., 2015) provide some evidence of utility. Further understanding the intricacies of how site-specific, and global, stimulation of BDNF-trkB activity affect behavior could open avenues for the development of novel pharmacotherapies.
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Figure 1. Regions of the rodent prefrontal cortex
The mouse PFC can be divided into the anterior cingulate cortex (green), PL (gray), IL (purple), medial oPFC (yellow), ventrolateral oPFC (blue), and lateral oPFC (orange). The agranular insula, often studied in concert with the lateral oPFC, is lateral to the lateral oPFC. Regions outlined on coronal images from the Mouse Brain Library (Rosen et al., 2000).
Figure 2. PL BDNF regulates responding for “natural reward”

(a) Viral vectors expressing Cre Recombinase were delivered to the PL of ‘floxed’ Bdnf mice, generating a site-selective knockdown. Control mice received a viral vector expressing Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP). The largest viral vector spread is represented in gray, the smallest in black. (b) Bdnf knockdown caused a persistent drop in responding for food reinforcers on a progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement; meanwhile, knockdown increases cocaine-reinforced responding on a progressive ratio schedule (Sadri-Vakili et al., 2010). (c) We re-analyzed data from typical mice or mice chronically exposed to the stress hormone corticosterone in Gourley et al. (2012a), generating groups based on a median split of endogenous PL BDNF levels. Corticosterone reduced BDNF overall. Additionally, “high” BDNF was associated with high break point ratios, while “low” BDNF was associated with low break point ratios, again pointing to differential roles for mPFC BDNF in regulating food- vs. cocaine-reinforced responding on a progressive ratio schedule (cf., Sadri-Vakili et
al., 2010). Figure components are compiled or reprinted from Gourley et al., 2012a. Bars and symbols represent group means±SEMs, *p<0.05.
Table 1
Postnatal cocaine exposure regulates mPFC BDNF systems, and PL BDNF regulates appetitive conditioning: A summary

Report synopses are provided at left, with the corresponding references at right. These studies highlight the temporally dynamic regulation of BDNF or Bdnf following acute (gray cells) vs. repeated (white cells) cocaine. Epigenetic factors (dark green cells) and effects of early-life cocaine exposure (light green cells) are also reported. The bottom half of the table addresses the effects of direct manipulations of PL BDNF on reinstatement (beige cells); cocaine- vs. food-reinforced responding (blue cells); and cocaine-CPP and habits (orange cells).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cocaine regulates Bdnf and BDNF (Tissue samples collected from the mPFC except those marked “*”, connoting samples collected from the frontal cortex)</th>
<th>Brief synopsis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Acute cocaine</em> (20 mg/kg) increases Bdnf 2–3 hours following exposure, and expression is typical by 5 hours. Methamphetamine has similar effects.</td>
<td>Le Foll et al., 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acute cocaine</em> (40 mg/kg) increases Bdnf exon I and IV 4 hours following exposure.</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2006*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acute cocaine</em> (5 mg/kg) increases Bdnf mRNA 2–24 hours after exposure; expression of mature BDNF protein is increased at the 24 hour time point.</td>
<td>Fumagalli et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acute cocaine</em> (10 mg/kg) increases Bdnf, TrkB (full-length), synaptic trkB, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation within 2 hours of injection. Chronic stressor exposure blocks these effects.</td>
<td>Fumagalli et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration (1 hr/day; 10 days) and experimenter-administered cocaine (20 mg/kg/day; 10 days) does not impact Bdnf expression as measured 1, 30, or 90 days (self-administration) or 4 hours (experimenter-administered) after cocaine.</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2006*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> exposure (non-contingent; 5 mg/kg/day; 5 days) increases Bdnf and CREB expression and phosphorylation 2 hours after the last exposure. However, both pro-BDNF and mature BDNF protein levels are reduced 2 and 72 hours after repeated cocaine exposure.</td>
<td>Fumagalli et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration (2 hr/day; 10 days) reduces Bdnf expression when assessed 22 hours following the last infusion, but only if a cocaine-associated cue is present. Following 15 days of abstinence Bdnf is upregulated regardless of cue presence.</td>
<td>Hearing et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration reduces Bdnf expression within 22 hours of a final infusion, and then BDNF expression levels increase above control within 21 days.</td>
<td>McGinty et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration (2 hr/day; 14 days) increases Bdnf (exon IV) and BDNF levels when measured 1 week after the last exposure. Cocaine increases the association of phosphorylated CREB with Bdnf exon IV.</td>
<td>Sadri-Vakili et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration or yoked exposure (14 days) increases mature BDNF and Bdnf exon I within 24 hours of the last session, but Bdnf exon IV is reduced and Bdnf exon VI is unchanged. One week later, BDNF protein levels are unchanged.</td>
<td>Fumagalli et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration (24 hr/day; 4 trials/hr; 10 days) increases Bdnf exon IV when tested 14 days following the last session.</td>
<td>Peterson et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> self-administration (6 hr/day; 10 days) does not modify Bdnf or BDNF when tested 45 days after exposure.</td>
<td>Li et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Repeated cocaine</em> exposure (non-contingent; 25 mg/kg/day; 5 days) increases BDNF and trkB expression 25 days after administration. Protein levels were assessed following a cocaine prime (7.5 mg/kg) given one day prior to euthanasia.</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The male offspring of cocaine self-administering rats are cocaine-resilient and have increased mPFC Bdnf exon IV, and BDNF. Resilience can be blocked with a trkB antagonist, which augments cocaine self-administration.

Sign-tracking rats, known to have higher rates of cocaine-seeking behavior in reinstatement, have lower levels of BDNF.

*Early-life cocaine* exposure (10 mg/kg/day; postnatal days 28–42) increases Bdnf exon IV, pro-BDNF, mature BDNF, and synaptic trkB. This is detectable 48, but not 3, days following exposure. Concurrently, levels of tPA, the enzyme responsible for the cleavage of pro-BDNF into mature BDNF, are upregulated. Phosphorylation of Akt, mTOR, and S6K also increases.

Giannotti et al., 2014
### Cocaine regulates Bdnf and BDNF (Tissue samples collected from the mPFC except those marked "*," connoting samples collected from the frontal cortex)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief synopsis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early-life cocaine exposure (15 mg/kg/day; postnatal days 18–24) increases BDNF expression at 8 and 14 days following exposure (but not 1 or 3 days). No changes to trkB.</td>
<td>Lu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bdnf and BDNF in the PL regulate appetitive decision making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief synopsis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute BDNF infusion suppresses cue- and cocaine-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking and normalizes ERK phosphorylation in the downstream NAC, but not dorsal striatum. No effects on the reinstatement of food seeking.</td>
<td>Berglind et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute BDNF infusion suppresses the reinstatement of cocaine seeking and normalizes extracellular glutamate levels in the NAC.</td>
<td>Berglind et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute BDNF infusion suppresses the reinstatement of cocaine seeking, and effects are associated with local trkB-ERK1/2 activation.</td>
<td>Whitfield et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute BDNF infusion immediately following repeated cocaine self-administration can enhance the extinction of a cocaine-reinforced response. Effects are most robust during initial training.</td>
<td>Berglind et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral-mediated Bdnf knockdown enhances the extinction of a food-reinforced operant response; effects are most robust during initial training. BDNF infusion has no effects at a concentration that decreases adrenal gland weight.</td>
<td>Gourley et al., 2009a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral-mediated Bdnf knockdown increases cocaine-reinforced responding on a progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement. No effects on response acquisition.</td>
<td>Sadr-Vakili et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral-mediated Bdnf knockdown decreases food-reinforced responding on a progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement.</td>
<td>Gourley et al., 2012a; see fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral-mediated Bdnf knockdown interferes with cocaine-CPP.</td>
<td>Choi et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute BDNF infusion induces habit-like behavior in typical mice.</td>
<td>Gourley et al., 2012a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral-mediated Bdnf knockdown is unable to protect against habits induced by adolescent cocaine exposure.</td>
<td>Hinton et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>