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Abstract

Enveloped viruses infect target cells by fusing their membrane with cellular membrane through a process that is mediated by specialized viral glycoproteins. The inefficient and highly asynchronous nature of viral fusion complicates studies of virus entry on a population level. Single virus imaging in living cells has become an important tool for delineating the entry pathways and for mechanistic studies of viral fusion. We have previously demonstrated that incorporation of fluorescent labels into the viral membrane and trapping fluorescent proteins in the virus interior enables the visualization of single virus fusion in living cells. Here, we implement a new approach to non-invasively label the viral membrane glycoproteins through metabolic incorporation of unnatural sugars followed by click-reaction with organic fluorescent dyes. This approach allows for efficient labeling of diverse viral fusion glycoproteins on the surface of HIV pseudoviruses. Incorporation of a content marker into surface-labeled viral particles enables sensitive detection of single virus fusion with live cells.
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1. Introduction

Enveloped viruses infect cells by fusing their envelope membrane with a host cell membrane, a process that culminates in the release of the nucleocapsid into the cytoplasm. Viral envelope glycoprotein-mediated merger of viral and cellular membranes is generally asynchronous and relatively inefficient. As a result of this, most viruses fail to productively
enter the cells and are eventually degraded. Single virus imaging has emerged as a powerful tool to delineate the virus entry pathways into host cells in spite of virus heterogeneity and the stochastic nature of viral fusion. A number of virus labeling strategies suitable for imaging single particle entry have been introduced and validated in the recent years (reviewed in (Brandenburg and Zhuang, 2007; Huang and Xie, 2014)). Among these, tagging viral proteins with GFP or other fluorescent proteins and incorporation of lipophilic dyes into the viral membrane have been most widely implemented (see for example (Burdick, Hu, and Pathak, 2013; Ewers et al., 2005; Floyd et al., 2008; Lakadamyali et al., 2003; Lampe et al., 2007; McDonald et al., 2002; Miyauchi et al., 2009)).

In order to identify particles that undergo fusion, which is a prerequisite for the release of the nucleocapsid into the cytosol, viruses must be co-labeled with two distinct viral determinants – one that is released upon fusion (referred to as content marker) and a reference marker that is, at least transiently, retained by a virus or remains at the site of viral fusion (Campbell et al., 2007; Dale et al., 2011; Miyauchi et al., 2009; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013). Retroviruses are ideally suited for introducing a releasable viral content marker into virions, since their Gag polyprotein is cleaved at several locations by the viral protease upon virus maturation (Freed, 2001; Sundquist and Krausslich, 2012). Insertion of a fluorescent protein flanked by a protease cleavage site produces free fluorescent proteins that are released into the cytoplasm upon virus-cell fusion (Dale et al., 2011; Miyauchi et al., 2009; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013). Two strategies have been employed to provide a reference marker for tracking loss of viral content – labeling the retroviral membrane or the viral core (Albanese et al., 2008; Burdick et al., 2013; Campbell et al., 2007; Lampe et al., 2007; McDonald et al., 2002; Melikyan et al., 2005; Miyauchi et al., 2009; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2012).

Labeling of proteins in living cells is an active area of research. The goal is to achieve non-invasive, site-specific labeling with different photostable fluorescent dyes. The labeling strategies include direct labeling with amine-reactive dyes (for indiscriminate labeling of proteins) and introduction of various tags (biotin, SNAP, Halo, tetracysteine and others) for subsequent site-directed labeling with fluorescent probes (reviewed in (Crivat and Taraska, 2012; Dean and Palmer, 2014)). These approaches have been adapted for labeling of enveloped viruses (Arhel et al., 2006; Joo et al., 2008; Joo et al., 2010; Mengistu et al., 2015; Munro et al., 2014). Successful insertion of fluorescent proteins into viral fusion proteins has also been reported (Lehmann et al., 2005; Nakane, Iwamoto, and Matsuda, 2015). These strategies involve genetic or chemical modifications of proteins that could compromise the function of metastable viral fusion proteins (Munro et al., 2014; Nakane et al., 2015). We therefore sought to label the variable carbohydrate chains of viral glycoproteins – a modification that is well tolerated (Chu, Oum, and Carrico, 2015).

Copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) (“click chemistry”) has revolutionized the field of bioconjugation (Bertozzi, 2011; Best, 2009). Click chemistry is a highly selective chemical reaction that proceeds in the presence of a wide variety of other functionalities in the complex biological milieu (i.e., is bioorthogonal), and is well suited for the physiological reaction conditions such as aqueous solutions, pH ~7.0 and physiological temperatures. Azide and alkyne moieties are readily introducible into biomolecules due to
their small size and biological inertness. CuAAC has been adopted to label living systems (Baskin et al., 2010; Breidenbach et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2009; Prescher and Bertozzi, 2005) with a combination of selective metabolic engineering techniques, which include cellular (Fernandez-Suarez et al., 2007; Luchansky et al., 2003) and viral surfaces (Banerjee et al., 2010; Banerjee et al., 2011a; Banerjee et al., 2011b; Oum and Carrico, 2012). However, the requirement for a cytotoxic cuprous catalyst restricts the utility of CuAAC. Therefore, copper-free “click” reactions, such as the Staudinger ligation of azides with functionalized phosphines, strain-promoted alkyne-azide cycloaddition (SPAAC) and strain-promoted inverse-electron-demand Diels-Alder cycloaddition (SPIEDAC), have been developed (Arumugam et al., 2011; Carpenter, Hausner, and Sutcliffe, 2011; Nikic et al., 2014; Sachin et al., 2012) as alternatives.

Two recent studies (Chu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015) have demonstrated the utility of metabolic incorporation of peracylated azidomannosamine (Ac₄ManNAz) into viral glycoproteins. Metabolically labeled glycoproteins on the surface of lentiviral particles were selectively modified using click chemistry in order to retarget pseudoviruses to specific cell types. This approach has also enabled fluorescence labeling of the measles virus glycoproteins (Zhao et al., 2015). Here, we sought to explore the utility of click-labeling azido sugars on diverse viral proteins for single particle imaging in living cells. Ac₄ManNAz metabolically incorporated into viral glycoproteins was successfully click-labeled with fluorescent dyes without considerably compromising their function. This labeling strategy combined with incorporation of a viral content marker enables reliable visualization of single viral fusion events.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and cells

All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Bafilomycin A1 and neuraminidase were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO).Clickable dyes, Click-iT® Alexa Fluor® 488 DIBO and Click-iT® Alexa Fluor® 647 DIBO alkyne were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). HEK 293T/17 cells were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA). HeLa-derived indicator TZM-bl cells expressing CD4, CXCR4 and CCR5 were obtained from NIH AIDS Reference Reagent Program (donated by Drs. J.C. Kappes and X. Wu (Wei et al., 2002)). TZM-bl/TVA950 cells expressing high levels of the TVA950 receptor for Avian Sarcoma and Leukosis Virus subtype A (ASLV-A) have been described previously (Padilla-Parra et al., 2012a; Padilla-Parra et al., 2012b). CV-1 cells expressing CD4 and CXCR4 were kindly provided by Dr. D. Kabat (Kozak et al., 1997). HEK 293T/17, TZM-bl and CV-1/CD4/CXCR4 were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Cellgro, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone Laboratories, Logan, UT), 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA). Growth medium for HEK 293T/17 also contained 0.5 mg/ml G418 sulfate (Cellgro). CV-1/TVA950 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% Cosmic Calf Serum (HyClone) and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin.
2.2. Pseudovirus production

Fluorescently labeled pseudoviruses bearing HIV-1 HXB2 Env glycoprotein (HXB2pp) were produced as described previously (Demirkhanyan et al., 2012; Desai et al., 2014). Briefly, HEK293T/17 cells were transfected with 1 μg of pR8ΔEnv, 2 μg of HIV-1 Gag-imCherry, 2 μg of YFP-Vpr, 1 μg of pcRev, and 4 μg of pCAGGS-HXB2 (encoding for HXB2 Env) using JetPRIME reagent (Polyplus-transfection SA, NY). Particles pseudotyped with ASLV Env or VSV-G were produced using the above mixture of plasmids in which HXB2 Env vector was replaced with 5 μg of a plasmid expressing either the cytoplasmic tail-deleted ASLV-A Env or 3 μg of PMDG-VSV-G, respectively. At 12 h post-transfection, the transfection medium was removed, and cells were further cultivated in fresh phenol red-free complete growth medium. Virus-containing medium was collected 48 h post-transfection, passed through a 0.45 μm filter, aliquoted and stored at −80 °C. The infectious titer was determined by β-galactosidase or luciferase assays, as described previously (Demirkhanyan et al., 2012; Miyauchi et al., 2009). Briefly, TZM-bl or TZM-bl//TV A950 cells were seeded in 96-well black/clear bottom plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells/well one day before infection. Cells were spinoculated with freshly diluted viral stocks (1 ng of p24, as measured by ELISA) for 30 min at 3,000 rpm, 4 °C, and cultured in a CO_2 incubator for 2 days. The expression levels of luciferase transgene were determined by a top-count luminometer (PerkinElmer TopCount NXT) using the Bright-Glo luciferase assay kit (Promega).

2.3. p24 ELISA assay

First, 96-well plates (Thermo Scientific) were coated with anti-CA 183 (anti-p24) monoclonal antibody diluted 1:1000 in PBS (100 μL/well). The plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight, washed with the washing buffer (0.2% Tween-20 in PBS) and blocked with 250 μL PBS/5% FBS. After 1 h at 37 °C, the plates were washed and incubated with viral lysates. The viruses were lysed using 10x lysis buffer (5% Triton-X100 in PBS (pH 7.4) with 0.2% azide) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete Mini, Roche) and serial 10-fold dilutions were prepared with sample dilution buffer (10% FBS, 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS), along with the p24 standards (from Alliance® HIV-I p24 ELISA Kit). One hundred μL of serially diluted samples and standards were transferred to the α-p24 antibody-coated 96-well plates and incubated at 4 °C overnight. The plates were washed and incubated with 100 μL of Human Anti-HIV IgG (NIH AIDS Reagent Program) diluted 1:2000. After 1 h incubation at 37 °C, the plates were washed, and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with 100 μL of secondary goat anti-human IgG-HRP (Thermo Scientific) diluted 1:5000. After additional washing, the plates were incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 100 μL HRP substrate (TMB Substrate Kit from Thermo Scientific) prepared from a 1:1 mixture of TMB peroxidase substrate and peroxidase solution. The reaction was quenched by H_2SO_4, and the optical densities at 450 nm were measured by a plate reader (Synergy HT, Bio-TEK).

2.4. Metabolic incorporation of SiaNAz into membrane glycoproteins and copper-free click labeling

SiaNAz (N-azidosialic acid) labeled pseudoviruses were produced by transfection of HEK/293T cells, as described above, except that the culture medium was supplemented with 50 μM of N-azido tetraacetyl mannosamine (Ac_4ManNAz, Pierce, product #88904), as
described in the text. SiaNAz-labeled pseudoviruses were harvested at 48 h post-transfection and used for click-labeling. Clickable dyes were added to 20 μL of SiaNAz-labeled virus stock to the final concentration of 100 μM, gently mixed and incubated at room temperature for at least 1 h. Excess of unreacted dye and Ac₄ManNAz remaining in the medium were removed by passing through a size exclusion column (Centri-Spin 20, Princeton Separations, Adelphia, NJ). The labeled viruses were used immediately or kept in aliquots at −80 °C.

2.5. Single virus imaging and image analysis

Cells grown on glass-bottom Petri dishes (MatTek, MA) were chilled on ice and washed with cold PBS. Predetermined amount of viral suspension (MOI ~0.01) was added to the cells and spinoculated at 4 °C for 20 min, as described previously (Miyauchi et al., 2009). The cells were then washed twice with cold PBS and placed on the stage of a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope. Virus entry was initiated by adding 2.5 ml of pre-warmed imaging buffer and imaged at 37 °C using a C-Apo 40×/1.2NA water-immersion objective. Images were acquired every 7–8 s. The time-lapse images were first independently inspected by two trained individuals to identify particles that lost the content marker, mCherry. Particle trajectories and their mean/total fluorescence intensities were determined using Volocity imaging software (PerkinElmer, MA), as described previously.

3. Results

3.1. Metabolic incorporation of unnatural sugars and click-labeling of viral envelope glycoproteins

It has been demonstrated that peracylated azidomannosamine (Ac₄ManNAz) is metabolized to incorporate into sialeglycoproteins in the form of SiaNAz (Fig. 1) (Chang et al., 2009; Luchansky et al., 2004). Cellular glycoproteins containing SiaNAz can then be labeled by click chemistry. Successful metabolic incorporation of this unnatural sugar into lentiviral particles bearing the Vesicular Stomatitis Virus G glycoprotein (VSV-G) or the Sindbis Virus E1/E2 glycoproteins has been recently reported (Chu et al., 2015). We sought to determine whether the SiaNAz incorporation is tolerated by retroviral fusion proteins. Toward this goal, HIV-based viral particles were pseudotyped with HIV-1 Env (HXB2 strain) and Avian Sarcoma and Leukosis Virus subtype A Env (ASLV Env), as well as with VSV-G, as a control. These pseudoviruses denoted HXB2pp, ASLVpp and VSVpp, respectively, were produced by transfection of 293T cells, as described previously (Desai et al., 2015; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013).

Ac₄ManNAz was added at different times post-transfection, and the culture time with this sugar was varied to minimize the effect on the virus infectivity (Suppl. Fig. 1). Viruses were collected, their infectious titers were determined using the indicator TZM-bl cells and normalized to the amount of viral capsid protein (p24), as described in Methods. The highest viral titer was recovered when Ac₄ManNAz was added at 12 h post-transfection and maintained for additional 36 h before collecting the virus (Suppl. Fig. 1). We then used this protocol to find the optimal concentration of the unnatural sugar. As shown in Figure 2A–B, production of HXB2pp and VSVpp in the presence of up to 50 μM Ac₄ManNAz did not significantly affect the specific infectivity of these pseudoviruses. By contrast, the ASLVpp
infectivity was compromised (Fig. 2C, p<0.05). These results thus indicate that incorporation of unnatural sugars does not affect the ability of HIV-1 Env or VSV-G to mediate membrane fusion, but can interfere with the ASLV Env function.

Next, we tested whether click-labeling of SiaNAz-containing viral glycoproteins can interfere with the virus’ ability to infect target cells. Control pseudoviruses and pseudoviruses produced in the presence of varied doses of Ac₄ManNAz were labeled with 100 μM of green clickable dye AF488-DIBO (Invitrogen) for 2 h at room temperature followed by removal of excess dye by size-exclusion chromatography. In the absence of click-reactive sugars, this procedure resulted in ~2 fold decrease in specific infectivity of control HXB2pp and VSVpp produced in the absence of Ac₄ManNAz, while having a minor effect of the titer of ASLVpp (compare Fig. 2A–C to 2D–F). Thus, mere incubation of viruses with the dye for 2 h in the absence of the click-reaction followed by gel-filtration reduced the viral titer. For viruses containing SiaNAz, the above protocol led to efficient labeling, as assessed by fluorescence microscopy (see below). Importantly, the click reaction itself did not result in additional loss of infectivity of pseudoviruses produced in the presence of varied doses of Ac₄ManNAz, as compared to control viruses lacking this sugar (Fig. 2D–F). In other words, under our conditions, cyclo-addition of clickable-dye itself did not compromise the function of diverse viral glycoproteins beyond the effects of metabolic incorporation of Ac₄ManNAz or virus handling.

The efficiency of labeling of viral surface glycoproteins using the above optimized protocol was determined by imaging pseudoviruses adhered to poly-lysine coated coverslips. In order to discriminate between labeling of pseudoviruses and cell membrane vesicles that are present in the viral preparations (e.g., (Cantin et al., 2008)), we incorporated the viral core marker, YFP-Vpr, into virions. To avoid spectral overlap between YFP and AF488-DIBO used in Figure 2, these viruses were co-labeled with the far-red AF647-DIBO dye (Fig. 3A). Depending on the virus batch, click-labeling produced detectable red fluorescence in 64–90% of particles containing YFP-Vpr. The labeling efficiency could be further improved by longer incubation times or by increasing the concentration of AF647-DIBO. However, these conditions were avoided, since they adversely affected virus infectivity (data not shown).

To control for the specificity of click labeling, we produced pseudoviruses lacking viral glycoproteins (“bald” particles) in the presence of 50 μM of Ac₄ManNAz and click-labeled those with 100 μM of AF647-DIBO. No significant labeling of these “bald” particles with AF647-DIBO dye was detected (Fig. 3B). Importantly, this result also shows that, in spite of the propensity of HIV-based pseudoviruses to incorporate many cell membrane proteins, the viral glycoproteins (in this case, VGV-G) represent the overwhelming majority of proteins on the surface of particles that incorporate SiaNAz. Therefore, our conditions allow for specific labeling of viral envelope glycoproteins with clickable organic dyes. As an additional control, VSVpp click-labeled with AF647-DIBO were treated with neuraminidase in order to remove sialic acid residues, including the metabolically incorporated SiaNAz, from the surface glycoproteins. This treatment quantitatively removed the SiaNAz from the viral membrane, as evidenced by the lack of effective labeling of these viruses with AF647-DIBO (Fig. 3B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the cyclo-addition reaction
almost exclusively labeled viral surface glycoproteins and that these glycoproteins represent the majority of SiaNAz-containing proteins in the viral membrane.

3.2. Imaging of click-labeled pseudovirus fusion with target cells

The utility of click-labeling of the viral surface glycoproteins was assessed by live cell imaging of particles co-labeled with AF488-DIBO (green) and a releasable content marker based on the Gag-imCherry (red) construct. Virus-incorporated Gag-imCherry is cleaved by the viral protease producing free mCherry, which is readily released upon viral fusion (Padilla-Parra et al., 2013). Loss of this content marker, but not of the membrane marker AF488-DIBO reports single virus fusion. Since the metabolic incorporation of Ac4ManNAz and click labeling did not considerably compromise VSVpp infectivity and since VSV G mediates much more efficient virus fusion than HXB2 Env (Desai et al., 2015; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013), we used VSV-G pseudoviruses for the initial imaging experiments. A quick loss of mCherry without significant changes in the AF488-DIBO signal (Fig. 4A, B) demonstrates that click-labeled VSVpp was fusion-competent. The AF488-DIBO signal was sufficiently bright and photostable (no significant photobleaching was observed in the course of the experiment, as shown in Fig. 4C, D) to enable reliable tracking of single particles in live cells. Whereas the mCherry signal was lost due to fusion and subsequent diffusion into the cytoplasm, AF488-DIBO retains its punctate appearance due to a limited dilution of this dye upon merger of viral and endosomal membranes.

To determine whether click labeling can adversely affect the efficiency or the kinetics of viral fusion, we compared entry/fusion of AF488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry labeled particles to pseudoviruses co-labeled with YFP-Vpr and Gag-imCherry. The latter labeling strategy has been employed by us and others to detect single virus fusion events (Desai et al., 2015; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013). Neither the fraction of double-labeled viruses undergoing fusion (Fig. 5A) nor the kinetics of fusion (Fig. 5B) was diminished by click-labeling. In fact, the extent of fusion was slightly greater than that observed in parallel experiments for YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry viruses (Fig. 5A). mCherry release could not be detected in control experiments in the presence of bafilomycin A1 (BafA1, Fig. 5A), which blocks VSV fusion by raising the endosomal pH.

Using the click-labeling of unnatural sugars, we also readily detected single ASLVpp fusion with target cells expressing the TVA950 receptor, which was evident by an abrupt loss of the mCherry signal (Fig. 6A, B and Supplemental Movie 1). The kinetics of single click-labeled ASLVpp fusion was identical to that of pseudoviruses co-labeled with YFP-Vpr and Gag-imCherry (Fig. 6C). In spite of the somewhat reduced infectivity of the click labeled ASLVpp (Fig. 2C), the extent of fusion was comparable to that of YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry-labeled viruses (Fig. 6B, inset and (Desai et al., 2015)). The apparent lack of an effect of metabolic labeling on single virus-cell fusion could be due to a large variance between the results of independent imaging experiments, as manifested in the large error bars (Fig. 6B, inset).

Finally, we examined the ability of click-labeled HXB2pp to fuse with target cells expressing CD4 and CXCR4. In spite of the well-documented low efficiency of HIV-1 Env-mediated fusion (Desai et al., 2015; Miyauchi et al., 2009; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013), single
virus imaging revealed that the viral content marker was released from about 2% of AF488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry labeled particles (Fig. 7). This result is in agreement with the previously published data using alternative HXB2pp labeling strategies (Desai et al., 2015; Miyauchi et al., 2009; Padilla-Parra et al., 2013). Thus, click-labeling of unnatural sugars incorporated into the viral surface glycoproteins provides a versatile platform for imaging single virus entry and fusion into target cells.

4. Discussion

We have demonstrated that click-labeling of sugar moieties of viral glycoproteins is an efficient and generalizable method for labeling the viral membrane without considerably compromising the virus’ ability to productively infect target cells. Importantly, this approach is compatible with single virus imaging and should also be compatible with super-resolution imaging of single virions by STORM (stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy). Compared to other strategies, such as viral lipid labeling or labeling of surface proteins with amine-reactive dyes, metabolic incorporation of sugars and click reaction are less invasive and yield robust labeling of nearly all viral particles. Importantly, click-labeling proceeded efficiently in serum-containing growth medium without the need to concentrate or purify the virus. Under our conditions, amine-labeling and lipid-dye labeling reduced specific infectivity and produced overwhelming background signals in live cells, thus precluding single particle tracking (data not shown).

Surprisingly, metabolic incorporation of SiaNAz diminished infectivity of ASLV Env, but not HIV-1 Env or VSV-G. Such differential sensitivity of viral glycoproteins could be due to differences in glycosylation sites and/or folding pathways. Further optimization of the ASLV Env labeling protocol, including lowering the concentration of Ac₄ManNAz and/or the labeling time, should help to minimize the adverse effect on this protein’s function. Future experiments will reveal whether the above labeling strategy provides a sufficiently stable reference marker for post-fusion endosomes which would allow identification and tracking of the released viral cores in the cytoplasm based on the spatial separation of a membrane and core markers (Padilla-Parra et al., 2012a).
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Highlights

- Unnatural sugar, Ac₄ManNAz, can be efficiently incorporated into viral glycoproteins without significantly compromising their functional activity.
- Copper-free click labeling of viral glycoproteins containing unnatural sugars with organic fluorophores does not affect their ability to mediate membrane fusion.
- Click labeling of viral surface glycoproteins combined with incorporation of a genetically engineered fluorescent protein, which provides a releasable viral content marker, enables the visualization of single virus entry and fusion in living cells.
Figure 1.
Illustration of virus glycoprotein labeling by click reaction with a metabolically incorporated unnatural sugar.
Figure 2. Effects of SiaNAz incorporation and click labeling on pseudovirus infectivity
HIV-1 particles pseudotyped with HIV-1 HXB2 Env (A, D), VSV-G (B, E) and ASLV Env (C, F) were produced in 293T cells in the absence or in the presence of varied concentrations of Ac₄ManNAz (ManNAz). Virus infectivity was evaluated by a reporter luciferase assay using 1 ng of viral p24, as determined by ELISA, before (A–C) and after (D–F) labeling with indicated concentrations of Alexa488-DIBO, as described in Methods. Data are means and standard deviations from triplicate experiments.
Figure 3. Efficiency of pseudovirus labeling with Alexa647-DIBO

(A) HXB2, VSV-G and ASLV pseudoviruses produced in the presence of 50 μM Ac₄ManNAz were labeled with 100 μM Alexa647-DIBO (red), separated from free dye by gel filtration, and adhered to poly-lysine-coated coverslips. Viral cores were labeld with YFP-Vpr (green). The numbers on the left show percent of YFP-Vpr puncta that colocalized with Alexa647-DIBO. (B) Controls for specificity of click-labeling. VSV-G pseudoviruses were co-labeled with YFP-Vpr (green) and Alexa647-DIBO (red) and adhered to a poly-lysine-coated coverslip (top). SiaNAz-labeled virus stock (10 μL) was diluted 1:10 in MES buffer (pH 6.5) containing 20 mU neuraminidase, and the mixture was incubated at room
temperature for 1 h prior to adhering to a coverslip (middle). Viruses lacking VSV-G ("bald") were adhered to a coverslip (bottom). Scale bar 20 μm.
Figure 4. Fusion of single VSVpp co-labeled with Alexa488-DIBO (green) and Gag-imCherry (red)

(A) Images of two double-labeled VSVpp fusing with endosomes of CV-1-derived cells nearly at the same time, as manifested in change from colocalized yellow color to green color. Scale bar 2 μm. (B) Sum fluorescence intensity profiles for the lower particle in panel A obtained by single particle tracking. (C, D) images and fluorescence profiles for a control double-labeled particle that did not undergo fusion.
Figure 5. Comparison of the extent and kinetics of fusion of single VSVpp co-labeled with different markers

(A) The fraction of double-labeled particles that fuse with CV-1-derived cells within 1 h at 37 °C. Pseudoviruses co-labeled with YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry or with Alexa488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry were allowed to enter CV-1-derived cells and all fusion events were annotated. Control experiments (rightmost bar) were carried out in the presence of 200 nM Bafilomycin A1. Data are means and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments.

(B) Kinetics of fusion of VSVpp co-labeled with either YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry or Alexa488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry. The time intervals from shifting to 37 °C to individual fusion (mCherry release) events were determined and plotted as cumulative distributions normalized to the last time point.
Figure 6. Fusion of single ASLVpp co-labeled with Alexa488-DIBO (green) and Gag-imCherry (red)
The image panels (A) and the graph (B) show fusion of single ASLVpp particle with CV-1 cells expressing the TVA950 receptor. Inset to panel B: shows the mean fusion efficiency of pseudoviruses labeled with Alexa488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry and with YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry. (C) Kinetics of fusion of single ASLVpp co-labeled with either YFP-Vpr/Gag-imCherry or Alexa488-DIBO/Gag-imCherry. See also Supplemental Movie 1.
Figure 7. Analysis of fusion of single HXB2pp co-labeled with Alexa488-DIBO (green) and Gag-imCherry (red)

The image panels (A) and the graph (B) show fusion (mCherry release) of single HXB2pp particle with CV-1 cells expressing CD4 and CXCR4. (C) The extent of fusion (mean and standard deviation from 3 independent experiments).