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CCR6 expression in colon cancer is associated with advanced disease and supports epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition
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Background: Adjuvant chemotherapy offered to treat colon cancer is based on the TNM staging system, which often fails due to molecular heterogeneity and undefined molecular mechanisms independent of TNM. Therefore, identification of markers to better predict therapeutic option and outcome is needed. In this study we have characterised the clinical association of CCR6 with colon cancer and defined CCR6-mediated molecular pathway.

Methods: Immunohistochemistry, RT-qPCR, western blot and FACS were used to determine expression of CCR6 and/or EMT markers in colon tissues/cells. BrdU assay and trans-well system were used to determine cell proliferation, migration and invasion in response to CCL20.

Results: CCR6 was higher in cancer cases compared to normal adjacent tissue and expression was associated with nodal status and distant metastasis. Similarly, CCR6 expression was higher in cells derived from node-positive cases and highest expression was in cells derived from metastatic cases. Significant changes in EMT markers, that is, E-cadherin, vimentin, β-catenin, N-cadherin, α-SMA, SNAIL1 and ZEB1 were observed in response to CCL20 along with decreased proliferation, increased migratory and invasive potential.

Conclusions: Results suggest CCR6 as a potential therapeutic target as well as biomarker in addition to nodal status for predicting therapeutic option.

Colon cancer is the third most common cancer worldwide, attributing to 49 700 deaths (26 100 males and 23 600 females) in 2015 in US alone (Siegel et al, 2015). Though the disease can be completely cured if diagnosed early, increasing deaths and poor survival of colon cancer patients are mainly due to metastasis and rapid progression. In addition to this, adjuvant chemotherapy offered to patients with positive lymph nodes or distant metastasis often fails to achieve optimal therapeutic outcomes. This poor therapeutic outcome of adjuvant chemotherapy is primarily due to limitation of TNM classification, used to predict if patient is candidate for adjuvant chemotherapy, which are often inaccurate because biological characteristics and predictors of tumour behaviour are not included in this assessment (Baxter et al, 2005; Sarli et al, 2005; Lee et al, 2007; Morris et al, 2007). Therefore, understanding and defining the molecular signature on colon cancer cell which dictates metastatic process and aggressiveness is needed to improve the disease outcome.

Cancer metastasis is a multi-step process beginning with morphological changes from compact to a more migratory phenotype, dissemination of primary cancerous cells, finally culminating into distant homing. The process of transition from less-motile epithelial- to more-motile spindle-shaped mesenchymal...
phenotype, known as epithelial-to-mesenchymal (EMT), is complex and correlates with disease progression. EMT involves downregulation of genes responsible for maintaining the sessile nature of epithelial cells with simultaneous increase in expression of genes that trigger migration and invasion (Kim et al, 2009; Thiery et al, 2009). Specifically, the level of proteins involved in various adherens junctions and cytoskeleton reorganisation are regulated in a coordinated manner. These proteins include, but are not limited to, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β-catenin, vimentin, α-SMA and occludin. Transcriptional regulators, for example, SNAIL1 and ZEB1, indirectly control this process (Lee et al, 2006). Unravelling factors that induce EMT would greatly aid in the development of diagnostic and prognostic markers, as well as better therapeutics for colon cancer.

Studies from our laboratory and others have shown that chemokine–chemokine receptor interaction supports metastatic process in many cancers including colon cancer (Singh et al, 2004; El-Haibi et al, 2006; Rubie et al, 2013), psoriasis (Harper et al, 2009), multiple sclerosis (Yamazaki et al, 2009), rheumatoid arthritis (Hirota et al, 2007). Reports suggest a direct correlation between chronic inflammation and neoplastic transformation leading to metastatic progression (Kuper et al, 2000; Wang et al, 2009), but the underlying molecular mechanisms responsible for this transformation remain elusive. In the present study, we show that increased CCR6 expression correlates with advanced colon cancer. In addition to this, we show the biological significance of CCR6–CCL20 axis in colon cancer and also demonstrate involvement of this chemokine in EMT transition, which is known to be involved in poor outcome of colon cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue specimens. Tissue microarray (TMA) was purchased from commercial source (AccuMax/ISU; Abxis Co. Ltd., San Diego, CA, USA) under the IRB guidelines. This study falls under exemption-4 (E4) category, because the specimens and/or data that have already been collected by the company and the patients information were not disclosed. Only information available with tissue was stage and grade. TMA contains adenocarcinoma (AC) tissues (45 cancer cases with 1 mm duplets from each case; n = 90) and adjacent normal tissues (n = 8). AC on TMA had no regional lymph node metastasis (NO: 24 spots in duplicate); single lymph node (N1: 13 spots in duplicate); two lymph nodes, (N2: 3 spots in duplicate) as well metastasis to distant sites (M1: 5 spots in duplicate).

Immunohistochemistry. Colon TMA, containing neoplastic and normal adjacent tissues, were stained for CCR6. Briefly, slides were incubated at 60 °C for 1 h, de-paraffinized by three changes in xylene. Sections were rehydrated for 5 min each in decreasing concentrations of ethanol (100%, 95% and 70%) and washed in de-ionised water. The slide was then incubated with 3% H2O2 in PBS for 3 min for blocking endogenous peroxidase, rinsed three times with de-ionised water followed by Tris-buffer (pH 7.6). Biotin–Avidin blocking was performed at RT for 15 min using BioGenex kit, (BioGenex; San Ramon, CA, USA). Sections were blocked with 3% normal mouse serum for 30 min at RT and then incubated with 25 μg ml−1 anti-human CCR6 antibody (MAB195, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) overnight at 4 °C in a humidity chamber followed by incubation with multi-species link (BioGenex) at RT for 20 min. Next, sections were washed and incubated with multi-species label (BioGenex) for 20 min at RT. After washing the label, CCR6 immunohistochemical reaction colour was developed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 10 s. Counterstaining was done with hematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Subsequently, sections were dehydrated using 70%, 95% and absolute alcohol followed by three changes in xylene for 1 min each. The slide was finally mounted with Permount (Sigma-Aldrich). The slide was scanned using TissueFAXS and analysed by HistoQuest software (TissueGnostics GmbH, Vienna, Austria). Briefly, hematoxylin staining was used as a master marker for cellular identification. The range of intensities of CCR6 staining and master marker (hematoxylin) were set by autodetection feature of the software, and ring mask algorithm was used to measure the colour intensity of CCR6 staining at the surface of each cell. Identical settings were used to analyse all the images and intensity measurements were represented as CCR6-positive counts per unit area (mm²). Well-qualified pathologists further validated the results.

Cell lines and cell culture. Duke’s type C colon cancer cell lines (CCL221 and CCL225) derived from the node-positive AC patients and Duke’s type D colon cancer cell lines (CCL222 and CCL224) derived from the AC patients with distant metastasis were used for this study. Cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), 100 μg ml−1 of streptomycin and 100 U ml−1 of penicillin (HyClone). Normal colon epithelial cells (CRL-1790) were cultured in EMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

RNA isolation and RT-qPCR analysis. To determine the effect of CCR6–CCL20 interaction on EMT, colon cancer cells (2.5 × 106 cells) were seeded in T-25 flasks. After overnight culture cells were treated with CCL20 (100 ng ml−1) for different time intervals (15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h and 24 h). Untreated cells were used as negative control. Total cellular RNA was isolated using Tri-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich), precipitated and re-suspended in RNA Secure (Ambion, Life technologies, New York, NY, USA). Next, cDNA was generated by reverse transcribing 1 μg total RNA using Verso kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, USA). Transcripts of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β-catenin, α-SMA, Vimentin, SNAIL1 and ZEB1) and CCR6 were quantified by RT-qPCR using iQ SYBR-Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA; Mir et al, 2015). Absolute copy number of each target was calculated by means of a standard curve, and results are represented as copies of target gene per 106 copies of 18S rRNA or fold change in expression with respect to controls. All RT-qPCR experiments were done in duplicates and repeated three times to validate the results.

Western blot analysis. The relative expression of EMT markers was further confirmed by western blot analyses. Cells were treated with 100 ng ml−1 CCL20 and cell lysates were prepared using protease inhibitor cocktail containing RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) at different time points (30 min, 1 h, 2 h, and 6 h) after CCL20 treatment. Proteins isolated from untreated cells were used as control. Proteins were resolved using 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred electrophoretically onto PVDF membranes. The membrane was blocked using 5% skimmed milk for 1 h at RT and then probed for specific proteins using antibody against specific EMT markers purchased from Cell Signalling Technology (CST Inc., Danvers, MA, USA). Chemiluminescent substrate ECL kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
was used to develop the bands using manufacturer’s protocol, and GAPDH was used as the loading control. The immuno-reactive bands were visualised using ImageQuant LAS4010 imager (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

Flow cytometry. Surface expression of CCR6 in colon cancer cell lines was quantified by flow cytometry. Briefly, cells (10⁶) were incubated at 4°C for 30 min with FITC-conjugated mouse anti-human CCR6 antibody or corresponding isotype control (R&D Systems). After staining, cells were washed with FACS buffer (2% FBS in PBS) and fixed with 2% PFA in PBS for 10 min at RT. Fixative was removed and cells were suspended in 500 μl FACS buffer. Immunofluorescence was acquired using Guava flow cytometer (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and analysed using FlowJo 10.0.6 software (Treestar Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

Cell proliferation assay. Colon cancer cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10⁴ per 100 μl per well in 96-well plates. After overnight incubation, cells were stimulated with different concentrations (0–200 ng ml⁻¹) of recombinant CCL20 (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). BrdU labelling and detection Kit III (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN, USA) was used to determine the effect of CCL20 on colon cancer cell proliferation according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 10 μl BrdU labelling reagent was added to the cells and incorporated BrdU was detected using peroxidase-labeled monoclonal anti-BrdU-POD after 24 h. The bound conjugate was visualised with the soluble chromogenic ABTS substrate (2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)) and measured at 405 nm with a reference wavelength at 492 nm. All concentrations were tested in triplicates and the experiment was repeated three times.

Migration and invasion assays. BD Biocoat tumour migration and Matrigel tumour invasion chambers were used for migration and invasion studies, respectively. The inserts were activated with serum-free bicarbonate-based medium (DMEM) for 2 h at 37°C and rehydrated with 5% CO₂. Medium was carefully removed after rehydration without disturbing the matrigel matrix layer. Colon cancer cells (1.0 × 10⁵) with or without blocking CCR6 using 1.0 μg ml⁻¹ anti-human CCR6 antibody (MAB19, R&D Systems) were added to the top chamber and inserts and 100 ng ml⁻¹ CCL20 (Peprotech) was added to the bottom chamber as chemo-attractant. Cells were then allowed to migrate/invade under chemotactic gradient of CCL20 overnight in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Non-migrated/invaded cells from top chamber were removed with a cotton swab. Cells at the bottom surface of the insert were fixed with 100% methanol for 30 min with 5% CO₂. Medium was carefully removed after rehydration without disturbing the matrigel matrix layer. Colon cancer cells (1.0 × 10⁵) with or without blocking CCR6 using 1.0 μg ml⁻¹ anti-human CCR6 antibody (MAB19, R&D Systems) were added to the top chamber and 100 ng ml⁻¹ CCL20 (Peprotech) was added to the bottom chamber as chemo-attractant. Cells were then allowed to migrate/invade under chemotactic gradient of CCL20 overnight in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Non-migrated/invaded cells from top chamber were removed with a cotton swab. Cells at the bottom surface of the insert were fixed with 100% methanol for 30 min, stained for 2 min with 1% Toluidine Blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and rinsed twice with de-ionised water. Migrated/invaded cells were counted under the microscope at 40x magnification. All experiments were repeated three times to validate the results.

Statistics. Unpaired non-parametric Mann–Whitney’s U-test was used to compare CCR6 immunostaining in AC tissue sections with adjacent normal tissues derived from colon cancer patients using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Results were declared significant when the P-value < 0.05. Analysis of CCR6 staining was assessed in a semi-quantitative manner by scoring the immunoreactivity as a function of per cent CCR6-positive cells as well as CCR6 immuno-intensity, both derived using HistoQuest software (TissueGnostics GmbH). Percentage of colon cancer cells stained positive for CCR6 were scored on the scale of 1 to 4: ‘1’ for <25%, ‘2’ for 25 to 50%, ‘3’ for 51 to 75% and ‘4’ for >75% positively stained cells (Table 1). Similar scoring grades were assigned based on differential immunostaining intensity, where ‘0’ represents no immunostaining, ‘1’ for weak staining (DAB intensity 5 to 50), ‘2’ for moderate staining (DAB intensity = 51 to 100), ‘3’ for high staining (DAB intensity = 101 to 200) and ‘4’ for very high staining (DAB intensity > 200). The mean composite score was calculated by summation of intensity score multiplied by CCR6-positive cells percentage score. Significance of correlation of CCR6 expression to disease progression was estimated using GraphPad prism contingency table analysis. Probabilities were computed by performing chi-square test with confidence interval set at 95%.

Comparison of CCR6 expression between colon cancer cell lines derived from AC patients and normal colon epithelial cells was performed by ordinary non-parametric one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, multiple comparison with control group). Mean fluorescence intensities of CCR6 surface expression in colon cancer cells were compared with normal colon cells using unpaired t-test. Comparative analysis for differential expression of EMT markers between CCL20-treated and untreated cells were done using unpaired multiple t-test, where results were considered significant when α < 0.05. Multiple t-tests using Holm–Sidak method with α = 5% were performed for BrdU proliferation, migration and invasion assays to determine the statistical significance. All in vitro experiments were repeated multiple times.

### RESULTS

CCR6 expression in colon cancer tissue and cell lines correlates with disease progression. Colon AC tissues were immuno-stained for CCR6 and mean composite scores were found to be higher in tissue section from metastatic cases (M1) compared with N0/N1/N2 and normal adjacent tissues (Table 1). When CCR6 immunostaining was calculated as DAB-positive signals per mm² of total membrane surface area of tissue sections, expression of CCR6 was significantly higher in cancer tissues (***P-value < 0.001), as compared with adjacent normal tissue (Figure 1A and B). CCR6 expression was further compared between non-metastatic cases vs cases with lymph node-positive or with distant metastasis. Expression of CCR6 was higher in cases with lymph node-positive and distant metastasis (****P-value < 0.0001) compared with non-metastatic cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCR6 immuno-intensity level</th>
<th>Percentage of CCR6-positive cells</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>12.52 (1)</td>
<td>1.86 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2</td>
<td>24.05 (1)</td>
<td>12.68 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3</td>
<td>49.9 (2)</td>
<td>69.17 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+4</td>
<td>13.53 (1)</td>
<td>16.29 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean composite score</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value compared with normal adjacent</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunostaining was scored based on the immuno-intensity of CCR6, where +1 = weak, +2 = moderate, +3 = high and +4 = very high intensity. Number in parentheses represents the scoring level for percentage of CCR6-positive cells in the respective immuno-intensity category. Mean composite score was calculated by summation of intensity score multiplied by percentage score of CCR6-positive cells.
To further elucidate the biological significance of CCR6 in colon cancer tissue, its expression was determined in Duke’s type C (CCL221 and CCL225) and Duke’s type D (CCL222 and CCL224) cell lines derived from colon AC patient with regional lymph node and distant metastasis, respectively. Similar to tissue expression, CCR6 surface protein (Figure 2A and B) and mRNA levels (Figure 2C) were significantly higher (**P-value < 0.001) in cancer cell lines compared to normal colon epithelial cell line (CRL-1790). Flow cytometry analysis revealed increase in surface expression of CCR6 in colon cancer cells (Figure 2A) with respect to isotype control as shown by shift in histogram. However, CCR6 signal was not changed in case of normal colon cells CRL-1790 (Figure 2A) as observed by overlapping CCR6 and isotype control histograms. Mean fluorescence intensities of CCR6 surface expression were significantly higher (**P-value < 0.001) in colon cancer cells as compared with normal colon cells (Figure 2B). CCR6 expression at mRNA levels was also significantly higher (*P-value < 0.05) in cell line derived from patient with distant metastasis compared to that derived from patient with regional lymph node metastasis (Figure 2C).

CCR6–CCL20 interaction promotes EMT in colon cancer cells. EMT supports metastasis and has a negative impact on disease and therapeutic outcome. Hence, we evaluated the effect of CCR6–CCL20 interaction on EMT markers. Reduction in E-cadherin protein was observed 1 h after CCL20 treatment in all cell lines and reduction was continued until 6 h (Figure 3). N-cadherin, β-catenin and vimentin expression increased 30 min after CCL20 treatment of Duke’s type C and D cell lines compared with untreated cells. For CCL225 cells, the increase in these markers occurred 1 h after CCL20 treatment. Further, increase in z-SMA and SNAIL was observed 4 h after CCL20 treatment in colon cancer cells compared with untreated cells. z-SMA expression in CCL225 cells increased 6 h after CCL20 treatment. Similar expression pattern after CCL20 treatment was observed at mRNA level. In addition, transcripts of another mesenchymal marker, ZEB1, were elevated in colon cancer cells 4 h after CCL20 treatment (Figure 3). These results clearly demonstrate the role of CCR6–CCL20 interaction in EMT induction in colon cancer.

CCR6-activation affects proliferation, migration and invasion in colon cancer cells. Proliferation of all colon cancer cell lines decreased in a dose-dependent manner after CCL20 stimulation. Maximum reduction in proliferation was observed at 24 h following CCL20 treatment (Figure 4A). There was a major decrease (20–35%) in proliferation in colon cancer cells treated with CCL20 compared with untreated samples (Figure 4B). The effect of CCR6–CCL20 axis on colon cancer cell migration and invasion was characterised using trans-well migration and invasion chambers using CCL20 as a chemo-attractant. Colon cancer cell lines showed higher invasive and migratory potential toward CCL20 gradients, compared to respective untreated cells, which was significantly inhibited after CCR6 blockade (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Current adjuvant chemotherapies are inadequate in achieving optimal therapeutic response in colon cancer patients with lymph node metastasis. The TNM classification used to predict whether a patient is a good candidate for adjuvant chemotherapy is often inaccurate because biological characteristics and predictors of tumour behaviour are not part of this assessment (Baxter et al., 2005; Sarli et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2007). Higher CCR6 expression in tumour tissues derived from AC patients compared with normal adjacent colon tissue strongly suggests potential role of CCR6 in etiopathogenesis of colon cancer. Higher expression of CCR6 in node-positive cases compared with N0 and highest expression in metastatic cases further suggests CCR6...
is potential marker for disease aggressiveness. The receptor could be used as an independent biomarker for making decision of adjuvant chemotherapy, as well as potential adjuvant or neo-adjuvant therapeutic target.

To establish the biological significance of CCR6 in colon cancer, its expression was evaluated in colon AC cell lines derived from patients with positive regional lymph node and distant metastasis. Higher expression of CCR6 in AC cells compared with normal colon cells and highest CCR6 expression in cells derived from patient with distant metastasis compared with those derived from regional lymph node-positive corroborates with the clinical significance of CCR6 at cellular level.

To achieve metastatic goal tumour cells escape from primary site, which requires invasion, intravasation, systemic transport and colonisation at secondary site. Coordinated interaction of various signalling pathways dictates EMT that supports these metastatic processes (Lee et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2009; Thiery et al., 2009). Of these, chemokine signalling significantly contributes to this process (Kakinuma and Hwang, 2006; Balkwill, 2012; Wyler et al., 2014). Epithelial-cadherin (E-cadherin) is a calcium-dependent cell–cell adhesion glycoprotein responsible for maintaining mechanical rigidity of epithelium (van Roy and Berx, 2008). Downregulation of E-cadherin is essential for loss of adhesion and escape. Loss of E-cadherin and cancer progression through EMT has been well-established (Kim et al., 2002; Jeanes et al., 2008).

Interaction of E-cadherin intracellular domain with β-catenin is required for maintaining architectural rigidity of cell (Scott and Yap, 2006; Daugherty and Gottardi, 2007). Hence, decrease in E-cadherin and increase in β-catenin observed in our study following CCL20 treatment implicates potential role of CCR6–CCL20 axis in EMT, which also accords with the studies showing activation of EMT transcription factors by nuclear translocation of elevated β-catenin (Gottardi et al., 2001; Stockinger et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2002). SNAIL1 is another transcription factor and an important mesenchymal marker, which was elevated in CCL20-treated colon cancer cells. This EMT regulator recruits G9x-methyl transferase facilitating DNA methylation of the promoter region of E-cadherin (Dong et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2014) and downregulates E-cadherin (Batlle et al., 2000; Cano et al., 2000; Yokoyama et al., 2001) to promote the invasive phenotype in cancer cells (Yokoyama et al., 2001; Blanco et al., 2002).

E-A zinc-finger box-binding homeobox transcription factor 1 (ZEB1) is also overexpressed in colon cancer cells following CCL20 treatment. ZEB1 is a repressor of E-cadherin that can transcriptionally activate several mesenchymal genes like vimentin that facilitate EMT (Guaita et al., 2002; Pena et al., 2005; Spoelstra et al., 2006; Witta et al., 2006; Peinado et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008). We showed that vimentin was upregulated in colon cancer cells after CCL20 treatment. This ubiquitous mesenchymal protein protects cells against mechanical stress and maintains cellular integrity (Satelli and Li, 2011). It modulates the invasiveness of tumour cells by regulating the E-cadherin/β-catenin complex (Wei et al., 2008). Overexpression of vimentin correlates with invasive potential and tumour cell motility (McInroy and Maatta, 2007; Zhao et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011). In addition to ZEB1, vimentin could also be induced by SNAIL1 (Olmeda et al., 2007). Alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) was also upregulated in CCL20-treated colon cancer cells and is known to control cell motility by maintaining cytoskeletal structures (Lambrechts et al., 2004). Gene silencing experiments showed α-SMA regulates FAK and c-MET, which in turn influence the metastatic potential of cancer cells (Lee et al., 2013). Although there was a difference in activation time and duration in sustaining the expression of EMT protein in different colon cancer cells, change was consistent. This further suggests inclusion of increased CCR6–CCL20, in addition to the nodal status, as molecular signature while determining therapeutic regime for colon cancer.
Cell proliferation fuels tumour growth and this critical event substantially influences tumour fate and therapeutic outcomes. Our data show significant inhibition in cell proliferation following CCL20 treatment. This inhibition in cell proliferation could be due to the observed role of CCR6–CCL20 axis in promoting mesenchymal phenotype, which are slow proliferating compared with epithelial phenotype (Evdokimova et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2014). Furthermore, cancer cells utilise various migratory and invasive mechanisms in response to their external environment including EMT. Hence, decoding the molecular determinants, which support migratory and
invasive potential of colon cancer cell, may provide new therapeutic option. Our previous published data on various cancers show that chemokines and their corresponding receptor interactions mediate cell migration and invasion (Singh et al, 2004a; b; 2009a; Johnson et al, 2010; Johnson-Holiday et al, 2011; Gupta et al, 2014; Mir et al, 2015). Hence, our data showing higher migratory and invasive potential of colon cancer cells derived from node-positive and metastatic colon cancer in response to chemotactic gradient of CCL20 suggests a role of CCR6–CCL20 axis in colon cancer cell migration, and emphasises the potential of CCR6 as a therapeutic target.

In conclusion, higher expression of CCR6 in colon cancer tissues and cell lines compared with normal adjacent tissue and normal colon cell, as well as association of expression status with nodal involvement and distant metastasis implies its important role in colon cancer progression. Activation of EMT markers and inhibition of colon cancer cell proliferation following CCL20 stimulation, and high migratory and invasive potential towards CCL20 gradient implicates association of CCR6–CCL20 colon cancer progression. Overall these cellular and molecular process affected by CCR6–CCL20 are involved in cancer progression and poor therapeutic response. Hence, inclusion of CCR6 expression status with TNM may serve as a better predictor of disease and therapeutic outcome than TNM grading alone; although this would require validation in larger sample size. In addition to this our study provides rationale for CCR6-directed drug designing against this disease.
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