Marvelous but Morbid: Infective endocarditis due to *Serratia marcescens*
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Abstract

A 46-year-old man with HIV infection and active intravenous drug use presented with approximately two weeks of fevers and body aches. On physical examination he was somnolent, had a new systolic murmur, bilateral conjunctival hemorrhages, diffuse petechiae, and left-sided arm weakness. Echocardiography revealed a large mitral valve vegetation and brain imaging demonstrated numerous embolic infarctions. Blood cultures grew *Serratia marcescens*. Despite aggressive treatment with meropenem the patient died due to intracranial hemorrhage complicated by herniation. *Serratia marcescens* is an uncommon cause of infective endocarditis. While this disease has historically been associated with intravenous drug use, more recent reports suggest that it is now largely a consequence of opportunistic infections of the chronically ill. Our case highlights several characteristic features of this infection, including isolation of a non-pigmented strain of the organism, an antibiotic susceptibility profile suggestive of AmpC β-lactamase production, and rapid clinical deterioration with multiple embolic complications resulting in death. In this review we discuss the history, epidemiology, and management of endovascular infections due to *Serratia* spp., emphasizing the continued importance of considering this organism in the differential diagnosis of endocarditis among intravenous drug users and as a potential indication for surgical therapy.
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Case presentation

A 46-year-old man with a history of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection presented to our hospital with fever and myalgias. Ten days prior to presentation he sought care at an outpatient clinic for fevers as high as 39.3°C, myalgias, and migratory arthralgias. He was diagnosed with a viral syndrome and prescribed fluids and anti-pyretics. His symptoms persisted and he also developed nausea, vomiting and watery non-bloody...
diarrhea, prompting an evaluation in the emergency room. He had no headache, visual disturbances, dyspnea, cough, or abdominal pain.

He had been diagnosed with HIV in 2000. In 2006 his CD4 count fell to a nadir of 204 per microliter (13.3% CD4 cells), and in 2007 he was initiated on antiretroviral therapy with atazanavir, ritonavir, and fixed dose emtricitabine/tenofovir. Within three months of starting this regimen his HIV viral load became undetectable (<50 copies/milliliter) and he had developed a chronically elevated bilirubin. He continued this regimen and approximately four months prior to this presentation his CD4 count had risen to 340 per microliter (25.6%). His medical history also included previously untreated chronic hepatitis C virus infection, recurrent herpes simplex virus keratitis for which he took suppressive valacyclovir, and previously treated chlamydia urethritis and syphilis. He did not use tobacco and drank alcohol socially. He had been an intravenous drug user (IVDU) for many years – most recently he reported injecting oxycodone tablets, and he was using boiled municipal tap water both to dissolve the tablets and to clean his needles prior to self-injection.

On examination he appeared cachectic, was febrile to 38.1°C, with a blood pressure of 118/75 mmHg, a heart rate of 77 beats per minute, and an oxygen saturation of 99% while breathing ambient air. He was somnolent, but arousable and able to cooperate with the examination. Notable findings included bilateral conjunctival petechiae, a blowing IV/VI holosystolic murmur that was loudest over the apex and radiated to the axilla, scattered petechiae on the trunk and all four extremities, and prominent left-sided upper extremity weakness.

Laboratory studies were notable for: white blood cell (WBC) count, 13,100 cells per microliter with 91% neutrophils; hemoglobin, 11.3 grams per deciliter; platelet count, 105,000 per microliter; sodium, 126 mmol per liter; total bilirubin 4.2 mg per deciliter; aspartate aminotransferase, 165 units per liter; alanine aminotransferase, 106 units per liter; alkaline phosphatase, 566 units per liter; and a urinalysis demonstrating 32 WBCs per high power field and 192 red blood cells per high power field. The CD4 count was 150 per microliter (25.3%) and his HIV viral load was <48 copies/milliliter. Two sets of blood cultures were obtained on admission and within 12 hours both were growing gram negative rods that appeared as non-pigmented colonies on solid media, subsequently identified as *Serratia marcescens*. Based on antibiotic susceptibility testing by broth microdilution the isolate was reported as having resistance to ampicillin, ampicillin/sulbactam, cefazolin, and cefuroxime, intermediate susceptibility to cefoxitin, pipercillin/tazobactam, and tobramycin, and full susceptibility to all other routinely tested antibiotics (including third and fourth-generation cephalosporins, levofloxacin and meropenem). Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) demonstrated a large 1.7×1.1 centimeter mobile vegetation on the anterior mitral leaflet/annulus with leaflet perforation, severe mitral regurgitation and a left ventricular ejection fraction of 60% (Figure 1a and b). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain demonstrated multiple hematogenously distributed embolic infarctions and a 0.5×0.5cm subcortical hemorrhage in the left parietal lobe (Figure 1c).

He had been started empirically on intravenous ceftriaxone, and was changed by the infectious diseases service to high dose intravenous meropenem for treatment of infective
endocarditis due to *S. marcescens* with cerebral emboli. The cardiac surgery service deferred immediate intervention due to the acute cerebral hemorrhage. On hospital day 9 the patient became unresponsive and bradycardic and required endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilator support. Computed tomography of the head demonstrated marked interval worsening of the left parietal hemorrhage with downward transtentorial and left uncal herniation. The patient's family declined neurosurgical intervention and on hospital day 10 the patient expired.

**Microbiology**

*Serratia marcescens* is an aerobic (facultative anaerobe), motile, oxidase-negative, non-lactose-fermenting gram negative bacillus. The organism was first identified in 1819 by Bartolemeo Bizio, but it did not acquire its modern taxonomic classification until 1980.\(^1\), \(^2\) Within the genus *Serratia*, *S. marcescens* is the most frequently recovered species in clinical practice, and has been identified as a pathogen in virtually every anatomic site including, but not limited to, the central nervous system and eye, the respiratory, hepatobiliary, and genitourinary tracts, as well as the skin, soft tissue, bones, and joints. In the laboratory the organism is readily isolated on routine media (sheep's blood, chocolate, or MacConkey agar) using standard bacteriologic techniques, with optimal growth at 37°C.

Perhaps the most well-known and defining characteristic of certain *Serratia* spp., including *S. marcescens*, is the production of a reddish pigment known as prodigiosin (Figure 2a).\(^2\) Certain strains can also produce another pigment, known as pyrimine.\(^2\) Colony pigmentation can be an important aid in laboratory identification, though this feature is certainly not unique to *Serratia* spp. Importantly, prodigiosin (from the Latin *prodigiosus*, meaning marvelous) production seems to be temperature-dependent with production declining above 28°C,\(^3\) which might partially explain the relative infrequency of pigmentation observed in clinical isolates (Figure 2b).

Whether prodigiosin (or pyrimine) production has any impact on the natural history of clinical infections is unknown. As noted above, pigmentation is actually less common among clinical isolates than environmental strains of *S. marcescens*.\(^4\) This may be attributable to some of the characteristics of prodigiosin that promote growth in the environment, including its influence on bacterial adhesion to hydrophobic surfaces\(^5\) and energy utilization during stationary phase,\(^6\) and also because its expression is co-regulated with swarming motility.\(^7\) Prodigiosin also has broad, albeit weak, *in vitro* antimicrobial activity\(^8\) – in some insect vectors, colonization of the midgut with prodigiosin-producing organisms such as *S. marcescens* can attenuate the viability of parasite species ingested during a bloodmeal.\(^9\) On the other hand, while prodigiosin is not considered a traditional virulence factor *in vivo*, it does exhibit important immuno-modulatory properties,\(^8\) and the same transcription factor (PigP) that regulates its expression may also control hemolysis.\(^7\) In some early studies pigment production was found to negatively impact the transferability of R-factor plasmid-mediated drug resistance;\(^10\) however other studies have detected no difference in drug susceptibility profiles between pigmented and non-pigmented clinical isolates.\(^11\)
Largely because of this pigmentation *Serratia*, among all Enterobacteriaceae, also has perhaps the most colorful history. For example *Serratia* has been implicated in the “miraculous” appearance of blood in various contexts for millennia, and one of these events prompted its ultimate identification in 1819. More recently, but prior to its recognition as a human pathogen, pigmented *Serratia* strains were used as biologic markers in experiments ranging from the study of airborne transmission of microbes in the British House of Commons to weaponized aerosolization of potential ocean-borne pathogens in San Francisco Bay. Despite speculation that such experiments were responsible for subsequent clusters of *Serratia* infections in various geographic regions this link has never been definitively proven.

*Serratia* spp. can be members of the human intestinal flora, but most clinical infections are thought to be exogenous. These organisms are ubiquitous in the environment and *S. marcescens* has been isolated from soil, a variety of plants and animals, and most importantly a wide range of water sources, both natural and municipal. This predilection for water likely accounts for the prominent contribution of *S. marcescens* to sporadic ventilator-associated pneumonias, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, and other hospital-acquired infections, as well as multiple nosocomial outbreaks associated with contaminated intravenous medications and medical devices.

**Risk factors and epidemiology**

Infective endocarditis (IE) due to *S. marcescens* was first described in 1951, and the first case of IE due to a non-pigmented strain of *Serratia* was reported in 1970. *Serratia marcescens* also played an important role in historical experiments that elucidated the pathogenesis of endocarditis. Yet, IE due to this organism remained an obscure disease for decades until its association with IVDU, and even today endovascular infections are highly uncommon. For example, in the International Collaboration on Endocarditis (ICE) prospective cohort, out of 2,761 definite IE cases identified over a 5 year period, only 49 (1.8%) were due to non-HACEK (Haemophilus spp., Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Cardiobacterium hominis, Eikenella corrodens, or Kingella spp.) gram negative bacilli, and of those only 4 (0.14% of the total) were due to *S. marcescens*. In fact, only 19 cases of *Serratia* endocarditis have been reported in the English-language literature since 1980 (Table 1). Similar to the case presented here, virtually all of these previously reported patients had chronic medical conditions or cardiovascular abnormalities, more than half had involvement of left-sided valves, and more than one fifth of the patients died. A few non-valvular endovascular *Serratia* infections have also been reported, and most of these cases were associated with preceding cardiac surgery and the presence of prosthetic material.

Specific virulence factors that might predispose to invasive infection, and specifically endovascular invasion, have not been well studied. Like other Enterobacteriaceae, *S. marcescens* produces lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a pore-forming hemolysin (known as ShlA), as well as various adhesion factors (specifically, mannose-resistant and mannose-sensitive pili), and extracellular products (such as chitinase, lipase, and chloroperoxidase); certain strains also exhibit the capacity for swarming motility and...
quorum-sensing regulated biofilm production,42 both partly under the control of the FlhDC system. Notably, the activity of many of these virulence factors is orchestrated through the RssAB regulatory system, and inactivation of RssAB is associated with increased virulence.2 Despite these numerous virulence factors, like other gram-negative bacteria, S. marcescens adheres much less readily to the valvular endothelium as compared to the gram positive bacteria typically associated with IE.43, 44

_Serratia_ spp. are usually considered opportunistic pathogens. However, few host factors that would predispose to the development of IE due to _S. marcescens_ have been identified. While bloodstream infections due to this organism are not uncommon, IE is quite rare (Table 1). Our patient was infected with HIV, which is an important risk factor for pyogenic bacterial infections, including bloodstream infections.45 However in the largest (n=17) reported case series of _Serratia_ infections among HIV infected individuals, the authors found no patients with IE, and 64.7% of these infections were nosocomial in origin. No cases of IE due to _S. marcescens_ in HIV-infected patients have been reported previously. HIV infection may be an independent risk factor for endocarditis,46 including recurrent endocarditis,47 particularly among intravenous drug users,48, 49 However it does not seem to confer an increased risk of complications or death in IVDU-related endocarditis.48

Two reports, published in 1976 and 1980 from the San Francisco Bay area, describing two clusters of cases of IE due to _S. marcescens_ established the link between this disease and IVDU.50, 51 Together they described a total of 36 cases, more than triple the total number of such infections that had been reported in the preceding 25 years, and most (89%) were in IVDUs.50, 51 – in fact, approximately 15% of all the IVDU-associated endocarditis at the University of California, San Francisco hospitals from 1968-1974 was due to _S. marcescens_.50 Interestingly a similar association with IVDU has not been seen in other geographic regions or even during subsequent years in the San Francisco Bay area.52 In the IVDU population gram positive organisms like the Staphylococci and Streptococci predominate, and even among gram negative bacilli, _Pseudomonas_ has more frequently being described as a cause of IE, most extensively in Detroit,53-55 but also in Chicago56 and more recently in Spain as well.57 Some of this predilection for _Pseudomonas_ infections was previously attributed to the predominant drugs of abuse at the time (pentazocine and tripelennamine),58 which led many to speculate that drug paraphernalia or municipal water sources were the reservoir for these unusual pathogens. Yet, microbiologic surveys of injection drugs and related paraphernalia have rarely yielded _Serratia_ spp. or other causative organisms.51, 53, 59, 60 In our patient we speculate that he acquired the pathogen from the municipal tap water used for his IV drug use.

As noted previously, infective endocarditis due to _Serratia_ and other non-HACEK gram negative bacilli has since become a disease of increased healthcare contact. In the ICE cohort, 57% of the cases of non-HACEK endocarditis were healthcare associated, and most of those were nosocomial in origin. Conversely only 4% were in IVDUs. In the 30 years following the landmark reports from San Francisco, the vast majority of the published cases of IE due to _Serratia_ spp. have been in individuals with chronic illnesses, immunocompromising conditions, or who have undergone recent surgery (Table 1) – in fact only two were in intravenous drug users. As such, the original 1951 description of IE due to _S.
marcescens in a chronically debilitated 67-year-old man with an indwelling urinary catheter was notably prescient for its time.

**Diagnosis**

Endocarditis due to *S. marcescens* is indistinguishable from that due to any other organism on clinical grounds alone. As noted above a history of IVDU can be suggestive, but empiric management of suspected IE in patients reporting active IVDU should account for the two more likely pathogens in that population, namely *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. While the minority of patients with bloodstream infections due to *S. marcescens* will develop IE, suggestive findings on physical examination or sustained bacteremia should prompt echocardiographic evaluation.

Intravenous drug users have higher rates of right-sided endocarditis compared to the general population. The mechanism for this predisposition is not fully understood, but likely involves a complex interplay between the infecting organism, the identity of the injection drug, other substances that are incidentally co-injected (e.g. particulate matter, diluent, etc.), and the underlying cardiac anatomy and immune status of the drug user. Based on the reported cases of *Serratia* endocarditis, the organism appears to have a predilection for the left-sided heart valves, even among IVDUs. In the two largest reports from San Francisco (total=36 patients, 89% IVDUs), 13/19 (68%) and 9/17 (53%) had involvement of the mitral or aortic valves, either alone or in combination with other valves. Of the non-IVDU-associated cases that have been reported since 1980 (Table 1), the trend toward left-sided valvular involvement has persisted, and remains unexplained.

**Treatment**

Clinical isolates of *Serratia marcescens* can be susceptible to third and fourth generation cephalosporins, monobactams, carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, and cotrimoxazole. They are generally intrinsically resistant to penicillins and first and second generation cephalosporins due to plasmid encoded β-lactamases (e.g. TEM1), as well as tetracyclines, macrolides, chloramphenicol, and colistin. Additional resistance can develop through a variety of mechanisms, including overexpression of AmpC β-lactamase, acquisition of plasmids encoding extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs), carbapenemases, or efflux pumps, and modifications to target proteins.

**AmpC β-lactamase**

*Serratia marcescens*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Citrobacter* spp., and several other organisms constitute a group of Enterobacteriaceae that possess a chromosomally-encoded AmpC β-lactamase (reviewed in detail by Jacoby, 2009). These enzymes readily hydrolyze penicillins and first, second, and third generation cephalosporins, and are uniformly resistant to all available β-lactamase inhibitors. They have significantly reduced activity against cefepime, carbapenems and monobactams. Their expression is typically maintained in a repressed state, but can increase to phenotypically apparent levels in two scenarios. First, exposure to β-lactam antibiotics can induce expression of AmpC enzymes via a signaling cascade initiated by the accumulation of peptidoglycan precursors. Certain β-lactams,
particularly cefoxitin and perhaps later generation cephalosporins as well, tend to induce expression more than others. Second, mutations in AmpD, a regulatory protein which helps orchestrate the repression of AmpC, can lead either to hyperinducibility or constitutive expression of AmpC enzymes. In both scenarios AmpC overexpression confers phenotypic resistance. In our patient we suspected at least some level of AmpC expression given baseline resistance to ampicillin and first- and second-generation cephalosporins.

Whether AmpC-mediated resistance emerging as a consequence of antibiotic therapy (either through induction or selection) is clinically relevant has been an issue of some controversy since the phenomenon was first described on a large-scale in a landmark study of *Enterobacter* bloodstream infections in 1991. In that study 11 of 118 cases (9%) were complicated by bacteriologic failure, defined as recovery of a second *Enterobacter* isolate of the same spp. with a more resistant antibiotic profile while on antibiotic therapy. Seven of those isolates were identical to the original clone by molecular typing, implying emergence of resistance while on therapy, and in 6 of those 7 cases the patient had been receiving treatment with a third-generation cephalosporin. These patients accounted for 19% of the patients being treated with such agents. Other subsequent studies have recapitulated this finding for *Enterobacter* infections at other sites that are treated with third-generation cephalosporins.

Based on these findings, many experts now recommend against the use of all cephalosporins for any AmpC-producing organism. However, in this regard *Enterobacter* and *Serratia* may be quite different. For example, in one study from South Korea that described outcomes of treatment for a variety of infections due to AmpC-producing organisms, none of 113 patients with *Serratia* infections had emergence of resistance while on therapy, including 33 who had bacteremia and 37 that were treated with a broad-spectrum cephalosporin (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime). Yet, in another study from the same center of 129 episodes of *Serratia* bacteremia, of the 91 cases that were treated appropriately 13 had been treated with third-generation cephalosporin monotherapy and three of those developed resistance while on therapy (23%). Moreover in their multivariate analysis, exposure to second or third-generation cephalosporins in the preceding three months was a risk factor for baseline third-generation cephalosporin resistance, however it is unclear if that resistance was AmpC-mediated. In a more recent propensity score matched retrospective comparison of cefepime and meropenem for the treatment of infections due to AmpC-producing organisms, only 15% of *Serratia* isolates tested positive for AmpC production at baseline compared to 38% of all *Enterobacter* isolates.

**Antimicrobial therapy**

As is the case for other non-HACEK gram negative bacilli where data are sparse, appropriate antimicrobial therapy for IE due to *S. marcescens* is not well defined. The 2005 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and 2009 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) infective endocarditis guidelines make no specific antimicrobial recommendations, though both suggest combination therapy with a β-lactam and an aminoglycoside, extrapolating from data on *Pseudomonas* spp.
Most of the data describing antimicrobial regimens and treatment outcomes for *Serratia* endocarditis again comes from the two case series from San Francisco. In those series all patients were treated with an aminoglycoside, either alone, or more often in combination with carbenicillin, cefazolin, chloramphenicol, or cotrimoxazole. Of note, both of the β-lactams used in those series are known substrates of AmpC β-lactamase. The reported outcomes were generally poor with 13/19 patients dying (68% mortality) in the original series.

There have been a few case reports in the era of extended-spectrum and anti-pseudomonal β-lactams and fluoroquinolones (Table 1), but treatment strategies have been heterogeneous, making it difficult to draw any definitive conclusions regarding relative efficacy.

Given the theoretical possibility of developing AmpC-mediated resistance, particularly in deep-seated infections (e.g. endovascular, bone, central nervous system) with a high inoculum, treatment with a carbapenem or fluoroquinolone may be the most reliable option. There are emerging data that cefepime, extended-spectrum penicillins (e.g. piperacillin), and cotrimoxazole may be suitable alternatives. However, global surveillance programs indicate that the rates of baseline resistance of *S. marcescens* to many of these agents vary substantially between regions, therefore for serious infections such as IE, empiric carbapenem use may be warranted while awaiting the results of susceptibility testing.

The added benefit of combination therapy is unclear. In experimental rabbit models of endocarditis due to *Enterobacter* concurrent aminoglycoside administration led to more rapid sterilization of affected valves, but the clinical significance of this finding remains unclear. In our patient given the initial antibiotic resistance profile and concern for baseline AmpC production, we elected to change his therapy from ceftriaxone to meropenem. His repeat blood cultures remained sterile so combination aminoglycoside therapy was deferred.

**Surgical therapy**

Endocarditis due to *Serratia* spp. is a highly morbid disease. Valve destruction, paravalvular complications, and distant embolic events are frequently described. This propensity for rapidly progressive disease likely reflects a selection for particularly virulent strains of the organism. As such, based largely on the experience in San Francisco from the 1970-80s, current guidelines recommend prompt (within 7-10 days of diagnosis) surgical intervention. Whether this recommendation is equally valid in the era of more reliably active antibiotics (e.g. carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, etc.) is unclear, but the pathogenicity of this organism should certainly be carefully considered in addition to the standard surgical indications when managing patients with IE.

**Prevention**

*Serratia marcescens* is frequently a hospital-acquired pathogen and it has been implicated in scores of nosocomial outbreaks, with sources including contaminated fluids, medications, medical devices, and hospital surfaces. Intensification of routine infection control measures, including scrupulous hand hygiene and cohorting of infected or colonized
patients, has played a central role in terminating many of these outbreaks, even in cases where the source could not be adequately identified. Given the propensity for S. marcescens to cause invasive disease that is often associated with significant morbidity and mortality, clusters of hospital-acquired infections due to S. marcescens warrant exhaustive epidemiologic investigation as well as heightened vigilance for breakdowns in infection control practices.

Conclusion

Infective endocarditis with Serratia marcescens is rare, but may be increasing. While historically associated with intravenous drug use, recent trends suggest that it is frequently a disease of healthcare contact. With a growing population of chronically ill, debilitated, and immunocompromised patients with frequent healthcare exposure and thus a higher risk for Serratia infections, there will certainly be more reports of IE due to Serratia spp. Yet, as illustrated by the patient discussed here, who exhibited many of the characteristic epidemiologic and clinical features that have been previously described for this condition, S. marcescens should remain an important consideration in IVDUs presenting with suspected IE. In our case, despite appropriate antibiotic therapy the patient’s disease rapidly progressed and he was not a candidate for surgical intervention. Treatment options for serious infections due to AmpC-producing organisms like S. marcescens remain limited therefore a multidisciplinary therapeutic approach may optimize patient outcomes.
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Figure 1a and b. Transesophageal echocardiogram demonstrating (a) large vegetation on the anterior leaflet of mitral valve (thick white arrow), and (b) Doppler flow imaging indicative of severe regurgitant flow (thin white arrows)
Figure 1c. Magnetic resonance image of the brain demonstrating multiple widely distributed infarctions (thin white arrows), presumably of embolic origin, with a left parietal lobe hemorrhage (thick white arrow)
Figure 3b

Figure 2. Representative clinical isolates of *Serratia marcescens*, including both (a) pigmented and (b) non-pigmented strains
(Photos courtesy of Lori Racsa, DO – Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine)
Table 1
Published (English-language) cases of infective endocarditis due to *Serratia* spp. since 1980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Year/Ref</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Risk factor(s)</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Valve(s)</th>
<th>Antimicrobial therapy</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyams/1981/22</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Extensive third-degree burns, central venous catheter</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>TV</td>
<td>Cefotaxime × 6 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbs/1982/3</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>56 years</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, ESRD, intra-abdominal surgery</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>TV, PV, AV, MV</td>
<td>Ampicillin + tobramycin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkowitz/1983/4</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Mitral valve repair for rheumatic mitral regurgitation</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>Cefazidime × 6 weeks</td>
<td>Mitral valve replacement x2</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ena/1991/5</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>29 years</td>
<td>IVDU</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>AV, MV, TV?</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin × 4 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ena/1991/5</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>56 years</td>
<td>Post-sternotomy mediastinitis</td>
<td><em>S. liquefaciens</em></td>
<td>AV (prosthetic)</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin × 4 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korner/1994/6</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>50 years</td>
<td>Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, central venous catheter</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>AV, MV</td>
<td>Azlocillin + gentamicin × 6 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riberi/1997/7</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td><em>Serratia</em> spp.</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Aortic valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearlman/1998/8</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>27 weeks</td>
<td>Prematurity</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>Right ventricle</td>
<td>Cefotaxime + gentamicin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mossad/2000/9</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>55 years</td>
<td>Short gut syndrome, central venous catheter with supplicative thrombophlebitis</td>
<td><em>S. liquefaciens</em></td>
<td>Right atrium</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuang/2007/0</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>41 years</td>
<td>IVDU, cirrhosis</td>
<td><em>S. liquefaciens</em></td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Imipenem-cilastatin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpeth/2007/1</td>
<td>Multi-national</td>
<td>NS (n=4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>β-lactam + fluoroquinolone (2/4), β-lactam + aminoglycoside (2/4)</td>
<td>Yes, details NS</td>
<td>Survived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang/2007/11</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>Intracardiac foreign body</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>Right and left atria</td>
<td>Cefminox + etimicin</td>
<td>Resection of foreign body</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baggish/2007/2</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>43 years</td>
<td>Splenectomy</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>Cefepime × 6 weeks + gentamicin × 2 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Silva/2009/3</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>67 years</td>
<td>Pacemaker</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>Pacing wire</td>
<td>Meropenem + gentamicin, then ciprofloxacin × 2 weeks</td>
<td>Explantation of pace-maker</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadano/2012/4</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>85 years</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>Cefazidime + gentamicin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study/ Year/Ref</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Risk factor(s)</td>
<td>Organism</td>
<td>Valve(s)</td>
<td>Antimicrobial therapy</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyall2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Post-Bentall procedure</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em></td>
<td>AV (prosthetic)</td>
<td>Meropenem + ciprofloxacin + gentamicin</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ESRD = end-stage renal disease, IVDU = intravenous drug use, TV = tricuspid valve, PV = pulmonic valve, AV = aortic valve, MV = mitral valve, NS = not specified