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Background—Data are sparse regarding the impacts of habitual physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior on cardiovascular (CV) risk in older adults with mobility limitations.

Methods and Results—This study examined the baseline, cross-sectional association between CV risk and objectively measured PA among participants in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) study. The relationship between accelerometry measures and predicted 10-year Hard Coronary Heart Disease (HCHD) risk was modeled by using linear regression, stratified according to CVD history. Participants (n=1170, 79±5 years) spent 642±111 min/day in sedentary behavior (ie, <100 accelerometry counts/min). They also spent 138±43 min/day engaging in PA registering 100 to 499 accelerometry counts/min and 54±37 min/day engaging in PA ≥500 counts/min. Each minute per day spent being sedentary was associated with increased HCHD risk among both those with (0.04%, 95% CI 0.02% to 0.05%) and those without (0.03%, 95% CI 0.02% to 0.03%) CVD. The time spent engaging in activities 100 to 499 as well as ≥500 counts/min was associated with decreased risk among both those with and without CVD (P<0.05). The mean number of counts per minute of daily PA was not significantly associated with HCHD risk in any model (P>0.05). However, a significant interaction was observed between sex and count frequency (P=0.036) for those without CVD, as counts per minute was related to HCHD risk in women (β=-0.94, -1.48 to -0.41; P<0.001) but not in men (β=-0.14, -0.59 to 0.88; P=0.704).

Conclusions—Daily time spent being sedentary is positively associated with predicted 10-year HCHD risk among mobility-limited older adults. Duration, but not intensity (ie, mean counts/min), of daily PA is inversely associated with HCHD risk score in this population—although the association for intensity may be sex specific among persons without CVD.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: www.clinicaltrials.gov Unique identifier: NCT01072500 (J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4:e001288 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.001288)

Key Words: accelerometry • aging • CVD • Framingham • physical activity

Cardiovascular (CV) disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in the United States and worldwide.1 In the United States, ≥2200 Americans die every day from CV causes. Physical activity (PA) is one of the best known interventions to improve health and decrease the risk of CVD events in a variety of populations.2,3 However, most studies focus on engagement in programs consisting of moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA. Less is known about how lower-intensity activities influence the risk of CV events. Data in this area could have important implications for making PA recommendations, particularly among populations with health issues that limit their ability to engage in higher volumes or intensities of PA.

Older adults represent a particularly relevant population. Persons >65 years old account for nearly 70% of CVD-related deaths4 and for nearly 75% of CV health care expenditures.5 Although associations between the quantity of PA and CV risk factors have been reported in older adults, few have made
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these connections by using objective measurements of PA. To date, most studies have relied on self-reported measures of PA. As such, these data lack reliability in a clinical setting and do not accurately classify the volume and/or intensity of PA. Moreover, although moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA has been shown to have an inverse relationship with CV risk factors and morbidity, it is unknown to what extent lower-intensity activities reduce CV risk. Many older adults are sedentary and have physical limitations that impair their ability to engage in PA, particularly at higher intensities. Determining if lower-intensity activities influence CV risk could have important implications for sedentary and physically limited older adults.

Interest is also emerging in the potentially deleterious effects of sedentary behavior on CV risk—indeed, independent of engagement in PA. However, how this association manifests in older adults with physical limitations is currently unknown. Studies in middle-aged adults suggest that moderate to vigorous PA may not fully protect against the adverse health consequences of prolonged sedentary behavior, while others claim that low-intensity PA can ameliorate some of the cardiometabolic risk of sedentary behavior. To date, no studies have examined whether time spent performing lower-intensity activities mitigates the risks of sedentary behavior in older adults with physical limitations.

Accordingly, the objective of the present study was to evaluate associations among objectively measured PA, sedentary behavior, and CV risk factors in older adults with mobility limitations. This study is a cross-sectional analysis of data collected at baseline in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) Study. LIFE was a multisite, phase 3 randomized clinical trial designed to determine if long-term PA prevents mobility disability among sedentary older adults. The present analysis examined associations of indices of objectively measured PA and sedentary behavior with individual CV risk factors as well as predicted risk of cardiac events using the Framingham risk score for Hard Coronary Heart Disease (HCHD).

Methods

Participants and Study Entry

The LIFE Study team recruited a total of 1635 participants from 8 locations throughout the United States. Details about specific study inclusion and exclusion criteria of the LIFE Study have been reported previously. Briefly, participants were eligible for the study if they (1) were between the ages of 70 and 89 years, (2) were at a high risk for mobility disability based on objectively measured lower extremity functional limitations, (3) able to walk 400 m in ≤15 minutes, (4) reported spending <20 min/wk in moderate to vigorous PA, (5) displayed satisfactory cognitive function, and (6) were able to safely participate in the PA or health education intervention. The LIFE Study was registered with www.clinicaltrials.gov before enrollment in the trial (NCT01072500).

A thorough medical screening was performed to ensure the safety of potential participants. The screening included an initial telephone screening, a prescreening visit where the study was presented to the participant, a question and answer session, a prescreening consent form, tests of physical performance, and the Community Healthy Activities Model Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) PA questionnaire. Additional procedures relevant to the present study included the collection of demographic information and administration of the Modified Mini-Mental State (3MS) Examination, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), and the Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale (CES-D). All participants provided written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating institutions.

Accelerometry

Sedentary behavior and PA were objectively measured by using a hip-worn, solid-state triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph GT3X). Participants were asked to wear the device at all times—except while bathing, sleeping at night, or swimming—for a minimum of 7 consecutive days. Accelerometry data were collected at baseline, before randomization. Movement was captured along the vertical axis in 1-second epochs, and nonwear time was classified by using a previous published algorithm that flags areas where there is a 90-minute time window of 0 counts/min after allowing a 2-minute interval of nonzero counts for artifactual movement detection. In the present study, we limited our analyses to participants who wore the device for at least 10 hours per day for a minimum of 3 days. Currently, there are no well-accepted, evidence-based accelerometer cutpoints for sedentary behavior and PA in mobility-limited older adults. Therefore, cutpoints were chosen based on initial evaluation of data collected during a LIFE Study PA session, and current best practices from the literature. Sedentary behavior was defined as <100 counts/min and PA was categorized into 2 incremental intensity categories identified by accelerometer-detected ranges of 100 to 499 counts/min and activities registering ≥500 counts/min. The latter cutpoint was selected based on the relatively narrow range of activity intensities given the overall reduced activity level of the study population. For example, we found that no participants engaged in behaviors producing accelerometer values >2020 counts/min—a value used by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to categorize moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA in a general population.
Framingham Score

Predicted CV risk was calculated by using the Framingham HCHD risk prediction model. The Framingham Risk Score assesses the sex-specific risk for adverse CV health incidents. In general, the sex-specific Framingham predictions perform well among whites and blacks in different settings and can be applied to other ethnic groups after recalibration. Based on risk of experiencing a myocardial infarction or coronary death, the HCHD prediction was chosen for its applicability to the LIFE Study population. Predicted 10-year HCHD risk was calculated according to a previously established equation in the Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program. The risk score reflects the percent risk of experiencing a HCHD event within the next 10 years. Variables used to calculate the risk score include age, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, and use of antihypertensive medications.

Statistical Analysis

The present study includes cross-sectional data collected at baseline from a total of 1170 participants in the LIFE Study. Data were excluded from a total of 465 individuals to achieve baseline from a total of 1170 participants in the LIFE Study. The present study includes cross-sectional data collected at baseline from a total of 1170 participants in the LIFE Study. Predicted CV risk was calculated by using the Framingham Risk Score. Regression models were stratified according to variables to the predicted 10-year Framingham HCHD risk of experiencing a HCHD event within the next 10 years. Variables used to calculate the risk score include age, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, and use of antihypertensive medications.

Data were excluded from a total of 465 individuals to achieve baseline from a total of 1170 participants in the LIFE Study. The present study includes cross-sectional data collected at baseline from a total of 1170 participants in the LIFE Study. Predicted 10-year HCHD risk was calculated according to a previously established equation in the Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program. The risk score reflects the percent risk of experiencing a HCHD event within the next 10 years. Variables used to calculate the risk score include age, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, and use of antihypertensive medications.

In general, the sex-specific Framingham predictions perform well among whites and blacks in different settings and can be applied to other ethnic groups after recalibration. Based on risk of experiencing a myocardial infarction or coronary death, the HCHD prediction was chosen for its applicability to the LIFE Study population. Predicted 10-year HCHD risk was calculated according to a previously established equation in the Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program. The risk score reflects the percent risk of experiencing a HCHD event within the next 10 years. Variables used to calculate the risk score include age, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, and use of antihypertensive medications.
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Table 2. Participant Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Activity Patterns by CVD History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalent CVD</th>
<th>Yes (n=354)</th>
<th>No (n=818)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>29.4±5.9</td>
<td>30.7±6.1</td>
<td>30.3±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>128.3±18.2</td>
<td>127.5±17.8</td>
<td>127.8±17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>67.1±10.2</td>
<td>68.9±10.2</td>
<td>68.3±10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>106.7±28.5</td>
<td>102.7±20.9</td>
<td>103.9±23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>172.7±42.9</td>
<td>180.1±37.8</td>
<td>177.9±39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>59.8±17.5</td>
<td>61.8±18.1</td>
<td>61.2±18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smoking status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Former</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19 (5.4)</td>
<td>176 (49.7)</td>
<td>159 (44.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (2.1)</td>
<td>351 (42.9)</td>
<td>450 (55.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (3.0)</td>
<td>526 (45.0)</td>
<td>608 (52.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accelerometer wear time, min/day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time spent</th>
<th>Min/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100 counts/min</td>
<td>654.2±120.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 to 499 counts/min</td>
<td>133.5±45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500 counts/min</td>
<td>45.9±31.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activity counts/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity counts/min*</th>
<th>395.7±101.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>425.7±126.7</td>
<td>416.7±120.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reflect a cross-sectional analysis of baseline characteristics of 1170 older adults (≥70 years) at risk for mobility disability participating in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) Study. Data expressed as mean±SD or n (%). CVD indicates cardiovascular disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

*For all activity >100 accelerometer counts/min.

Figure 1. Distribution frequency of baseline 10-year Framingham Hard Coronary Heart Disease (HCHD) risk scores among 1170 sedentary older adults (70 to 89 years) at risk of mobility disability enrolled in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) study.
additional minute spent in activity registering 100 to 499 counts/min and activity registering ≥500 counts/min was associated with a significant decrease in 10-year HCHD risk. Regardless of CVD status, the mean counts per minute were not significantly associated with HCHD risk (Table 3). However, in a post hoc analysis, a significant sex × activity counts interaction was observed \((P = 0.036)\) among those without CVD indicating that the mean counts/min of daily PA was related to HCHD risk in women \((β = −0.94, 95\% CI −1.48 \text{ to } −0.41; P < 0.001)\) but not in men \((β = −0.14, 95\% CI −0.59 \text{ to } 0.88; P = 0.704)\). This interaction was not significant among participants with a history of CVD. No differences were observed for any variable between whites and nonwhite populations.

The association of accelerometry-based variables with individual HCHD risk factors is shown in Table 4. Differences in HDL cholesterol, particularly for the lower levels of activity, appeared to be the primary driver of changes in HCHD risk, although a trend toward significance was observed for total cholesterol in association with sedentary behavior. Each minute spent being sedentary was associated with a 0.03 mg/dL lower (95% CI −0.06 to 0.00) HDL cholesterol level among participants with CVD and a 0.02 mg/dL lower (95% CI −0.04 to 0.00) HDL cholesterol level among

Table 3. Association of Individual Accelerometry Measures With Estimated 10-Year HCHD Risk Among Adults At Risk for Mobility Disability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVD Status</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min/day &lt;100 counts/min</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03 to 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03 to 0.06)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 to 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/day 100 to 499 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.09 to −0.05)</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.09 to −0.05)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.08 to −0.03)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.08 to −0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mins/day ≥500 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.10 to −0.04)</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.09 to −0.05)</td>
<td>−0.06 (−0.09 to −0.03)</td>
<td>−0.06 (−0.09 to −0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity counts/min*</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.00)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.00)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.00)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/day &lt;100 counts/min</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mins/day 100 to 499 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.06 (−0.07 to −0.04)</td>
<td>−0.06 (−0.07 to −0.04)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.06 to −0.03)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.06 to −0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mins/day ≥500 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.05 to −0.02)</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.05 to −0.02)</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.04 to −0.01)</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.04 to −0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity counts/min*</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.00 to 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.00 to 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.00 to 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.00 to 0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reflect a cross-sectional analysis of baseline characteristics of 1170 older adults (≥70 years) at risk for mobility disability participating in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) Study. Values stratified by self-reported CVD history and expressed as percent change in HCHD risk (95% CI) per unit change in the accelerometry measure. Model 1 is adjusted for accelerometer wear time; model 2 was adjusted for wear time and prevalent diabetes. Model 3 was adjusted for model 2, demographics not already included in the HCHD risk score, and the use of antihypertensive and lipid lowering medications. Model 4 was adjusted for model 3 and non-CVD comorbidities (3MS, PSQI, and CES-D). 3MS indicates Modified Mini-Mental State Examination; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HCHD, hard coronary heart disease; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

*For all activity >100 accelerometry counts/min. All values rounded to 2 decimal places.
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### Discussion

Regular engagement in PA is an important factor in maintaining CV health. Indeed, regular moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA has been widely demonstrated to show generally dose-dependent protective effects against the development of CVD. As a result of these general benefits, several scientific and public health bodies have created minimum PA guidelines for improving CV health. Although these guidelines were created to apply to all adults, the gradations of CV risk among mobility-limited older adults who are unable to meet these recommendations are unknown. Therefore, it is currently unclear exactly what level of daily PA is able to reduce CV risk in this largely sedentary population. The primary findings of the present study indicate that among sedentary older adults with mobility limitations, objectively measured time spent engaging in PA was associated with lower predicted HCHD risk. Conversely, increased time spent in sedentary behavior was associated with higher HCHD risk. These findings add to the growing body of knowledge to inform PA recommendations for older persons, including those with mobility limitations.

Most PA guidelines recommend “moderate-intensity” PA on ≥3 days/wk. Prior analyses have used a threshold of 2020 accelerometry counts/min for moderate PA. Recently, Rejeski et al reported that even during supervised LIFE Study exercise sessions where individuals were challenged to engage in moderate- to vigorous-intensity activity, older persons with mobility impairments exercised at levels well below the threshold of 2020 counts/min (eg, 1000 to 1300 counts/min). Notably, these accelerometry counts were significantly influenced by the frequent need to stop and rest during activity sessions. Accordingly, this threshold of 2020 counts/min may not be realistic for sedentary older adults with mobility limitations.

Consideration of these cutpoints is important when interpreting the meaning of home-based accelerometry data from sedentary, mobility-limited older adults in the context of predicting CV risk. Participants in the present study spent <7% of their time in activities registering ≥500 counts/min, and the 99th percentile for all activity periods was represented by an activity count of only 845 counts/min. Thus, no one in this cohort participated in moderate-intensity PA when defined using current cutpoint guidelines. Yet the findings here suggest that, among mobility-limited older adults, CVD event risk may be mitigated by even lower-intensity activities that counteract sedentary behavior. Our findings may also be directly applicable to a broader group of adults unable to engage in moderate PA by the traditional classifications.

The present findings indicate that relatively more time spent being sedentary is associated with higher CV risk among mobility-limited older adults. Although this association has been reported previously in middle-aged adults, we are the first to demonstrate that the association extends to predicting risk of major CV events in older adults—particularly among those with mobility limitations that limit their

### Table 4. Difference in Modifiable HCHD Risk Factors Per Unit Change in Accelerometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVD Status</th>
<th>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)</th>
<th>HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/day &lt;100 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.05 to 0.02)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.01)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.08 to 0.00)</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.06 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/day 100 to 499 counts/min</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.02 to 0.07)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.00 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.04 (−0.07 to 0.14)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.00 to 0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/day ≥500 counts/min</td>
<td>0.02 (−0.04 to 0.09)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.01 to 0.11)</td>
<td>−0.03 (−0.18 to 0.11)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.04 to 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity counts/ min*</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.02 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.00 to 0.02)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.07 to 0.03)</td>
<td>−0.00 (−0.02 to 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/day &lt;100 counts/min</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.03 to 0.01)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.01 to 0.01)</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.08 to 0.00)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.04 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/day 100 to 499 counts/min</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.02 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.02 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.06 (−0.00 to 0.13)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01 to 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/day ≥500 counts/min</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.03 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.01 to 0.03)</td>
<td>0.04 (−0.03 to 0.12)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.03 to 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity counts/ min*</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.01 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0.00 (−0.00 to 0.01)</td>
<td>−0.00 (−0.03 to 0.02)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.02 to 0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data reflect a cross-sectional analysis of baseline characteristics of 1170 older adults (≥70 years) at risk for mobility disability participating in the Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) Study. Values stratified by self-reported CVD history and expressed as unit change in the risk factor per unit change in the accelerometry measure. Data are adjusted for accelerometer wear time, prevalent diabetes, relevant medication use (systolic and diastolic BP adjusted for antihypertensive use; total and HDL cholesterol adjusted for use of lipid-lowering medications), demographics, and non-CVD comorbidities not included in the HCHD risk score (3MS, PSQI, and CES-D). 3MS indicates Modified Mini-Mental State Examination; BP, blood pressure; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HCHD, hard coronary heart disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.

*For all activity >100 accelerometry counts/min. All values rounded to 2 decimal places.
engagement in PA. The present data indicate that HCHD risk increases by 0.03% to 0.04% for each minute spent being sedentary. These values translate to a 1% increase in predicted HCHD risk for every 25 to 30 minutes of sedentary behavior per day. These data are extremely concerning as older adults in the United States spend ≈60% of their waking hours being sedentary,\(^{26}\) a value that was even higher (77%) in the present cohort of seniors with mobility limitations. Accordingly, the development of methods to reduce the daily duration of "sedentariness" by mobility-limited older adults, and consequently increase the duration of activity, may have tremendous public health implications.

Importantly, recent developments in the field have indicated that sedentary behavior is a more complex phenomenon than simply the absence of PA. As such, sedentary behavior is increasingly being conceived as a unique risk factor for a variety of health outcomes. Time spent in sedentary behavior has been associated with obesity,\(^{29}\) elevations in metabolic risk factors,\(^{30,31}\) as well as heart failure,\(^{32}\) all-cause mortality and CV death.\(^{26}\) Most of these associations have been made using data of self-reported sedentary behavior and moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA. However, Gennuso et al\(^{33}\) analyzed accelerometry-based data to explore the interaction between sedentary behavior and moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA in relation to cardiometabolic risk factors. They reported no interactions between sedentary behavior and PA and that a sufficient level of PA was not able to provide a protective effect against sedentary behavior, for cardiometabolic risk. Accordingly, it is important to note that, although the results of the present study indicate that findings for PA and sedentary behavior (based on chosen cutpoints) are consistent with one another, disparate findings have been noted in the literature. This can be attributed to the present study measuring the total volume of PA as opposed to the more limited volume of activity above the moderate to vigorous PA threshold.

The present study data do not indicate that the relative intensity of activity categorized herein influenced HCHD risk score across both sexes. Although higher intensities of PA have been widely hypothesized to decrease CV risk, conclusive evidence of this hypothesis is lacking for many populations. Previously, Foulds et al reported an association between activity intensity and triglycerides, yet found no association between activity intensity and total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, small artery compliance, or larger artery compliance.\(^{34}\) Additionally, Mohr et al\(^{35}\) concluded that the positive effects on CV health were similar in moderate-intensity and high-intensity intervention groups among postmenopausal women. Moreover, high- and low-intensity exercise interventions decreased CV risk similarly among obese adolescents.\(^{36}\) Thus, the present data regarding the relative intensity of low-level activity are in line with several prior reports, although discrepancies do exist.\(^{37}\) It should be noted that the present findings may be limited by the narrow range of intensities performed by the present cohort. Moreover, these results may be applicable only to lower-intensity activities and differential results may be observed with participation in regular, structured PA or exercise interventions.

Notably, the present findings indicate that the influence counts/min of low-level activities may be sex specific as this variable was associated with reduced HCHD risk in women but not men without CVD. Although the underlying cause of this association is currently unclear, several potential explanations exist. For instance, this difference may be explained by factors related to the study design such as the smaller proportion of men or by the use of the sex-specific HCHD equations. However, there may be a biologic mechanism that underlines this association that remains to be determined. It also remains to be seen why this interaction might manifest only among those without a prior history of CVD.

The present study had several strengths including a clinically relevant study population, multisite design, relatively large sample size, and use of an objective measurement of PA. Limitations include the inability to make causal inferences from the cross-sectional data, and that these data only provide a projection of actual CV risk. Prospective studies are needed to examine the temporal relationship between PA, sedentary behavior, and incident CV risk in this high-risk population. Additionally, the lack of established guidelines for classifying accelerometry measures in this population limits the ability to immediately translate these findings into public health recommendations.

In conclusion, the present study indicates that older, mobility-limited men and women who spend less time being sedentary and more time engaging in PA have a lower predicted risk of experiencing an HCHD event (ie, myocardial infarction or coronary death). The relative intensity of these activities did not significantly influence HCHD risk scores, though the data do suggest that this association may differ based on sex and CVD history. There are several potential implications of the present findings. First, the CV risk of mobility-limited older adults may be decreased by increasing the amount of time spent in PA of any intensity and reducing time spent in sedentary behavior. Put another way, replacing sedentary behavior with any intensity of PA seems beneficial for this population. For mobility-limited older adults, this may be achieved simply by being intentional about moving around or leaving the home more often. Second, the observed difference in the association of activity intensity on CVD risk between sexes may have implications for the development of sex-specific activity recommendations for this population.
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