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PURPOSE. To determine the effect of injection volume and formulation of a microneedle injection into the suprachoroidal space (SCS) on SCS thickness and closure kinetics.

METHODS. Microneedle injections containing 25 to 150 μL Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) were performed in the rabbit SCS ex vivo. Distribution of SCS thickness was measured by ultrasonography and three-dimensional (3D) cryo-reconstruction. Microneedle injections were performed in the rabbit SCS in vivo using HBSS, Discovisc, and 1% to 5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) in HBSS. Ultrasonography was used to track SCS thickness over time.

RESULTS. Increasing HBSS injection volume increased the area of expanded SCS, but did not increase SCS thickness ex vivo. With SCS injections in vivo, the SCS initially expanded to thicknesses of 0.43 ± 0.06 mm with HBSS, 1.5 ± 0.4 mm with Discovisc, and 0.69 to 2.1 mm with 1% to 5% CMC. After injection with HBSS, Discovisc, and 1% CMC solution, the SCS collapsed to baseline with time constants of 19 minutes, 6 hours, and 2.4 days, respectively. In contrast, injections with 3% to 5% CMC solution resulted in SCS expansion to 2.3 to 2.8 mm over the course of 2.8 to 9.1 hours, after which the SCS collapsed to baseline with time constants of 4.5 to 9.2 days.

CONCLUSIONS. With low-viscosity formulations, SCS expands to a thickness that remains roughly constant, independent of the volume of fluid injected. Increasing injection fluid viscosity significantly increased SCS thickness. Expansion of the SCS is hypothesized to be controlled by a balance between the viscous forces of the liquid formulation and the resistive biomechanical forces of the tissue.
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The suprachoroidal space (SCS) is a potential space found between the sclera and choroid. Due to its close proximity to the ciliary body, choroid, retina, and sclera, this space has recently drawn attention as a site for targeted drug delivery.1–7 Placement of glaucoma drainage devices8–11 and implantation of retinal prostheses12,13 As a site of drug administration, delivery into the SCS is noted for high bioavailability at targeted tissues in posterior segment diseases, as well as fast clearance by the choroidal vasculature.3,15 Access to the SCS is possible via surgical procedures of varying complexity4,7,14–18 and using microneedle injections that offer greater simplicity.3,5 A hollow microneedle with a length similar to the thickness of the sclera can be used to reliably access the SCS while preventing penetration deeper into the eye.5,5 Microneedle injections can be performed by ophthalmologists in the outpatient clinic setting, similar to the intravitreal injection procedure. The safety and efficacy of these microneedle injections were demonstrated in a recent open-label Phase II/II clinical trial (NCT017893520 and NCT02255032), and a Phase III clinical trial is ongoing (NCT02595398) to further study the efficacy of drug delivery to the SCS.

Although many studies have investigated the two-dimensional (2D) circumferential spread of particles1,5,19–22 and molecules4,15,20–24 within the SCS, few have studied the third dimension: the distensibility of the choroid off the sclera, also known as the SCS thickness. Seiler et al.21 measured the maximum SCS thickness over the injection site in an ex vivo porcine and canine eyes, and found that there was no difference in thickness with three injection volumes, especially once the eyes were inflated to a physiological intraocular pressure. They also determined the three-dimensional (3D) distribution of microbubbles in the porcine eye.24 However, microbubbles are not expected to distribute in the same way as neutral-density materials.23 Patel et al.3,5 used a fluorophotometer to assess the SCS thickness along the visual axis in rabbits. Gu et al.26 used optical coherence tomography to study the SCS thickness along the visual axis in guinea pigs. Since the injection occurs in the far periphery (near the limbus), the SCS...
thickness at the posterior pole may not be representative of the entire globe. Kadam et al.\textsuperscript{25} showed that the physiochemical properties of molecules injected into the SCS affected affinity to certain ocular layers, which may indirectly affect measured SCS thickness.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of injection volume and liquid formulation viscosity on SCS thickness at the time of injection and over time after the injection. We used two companion approaches to report on the distribution of the SCS thickness throughout the entire ocular globe (i.e., ultrasonography and 3D cryo-reconstruction).

We hypothesize that for a given liquid formulation, the SCS thickness expands to a constant value independent of the volume of fluid injected; that increasing viscosity of the liquid formulation increases the SCS thickness; that SCS thickness may continue to expand after injection of concentrated carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) solutions forming physically crosslinked hydrogels that swell; and that SCS thickness collapses to baseline over time after SCS injection.

**Materials and Methods**

All reagents and chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise specified. Red-fluorescent polystyrene particles (excitation: 580 nm; emission: 605 nm) with diameters of 200 nm were purchased from Life Technologies (Fluospheres; Carlsbad, CA, USA). Eyes of pigmented Silver Fox and American Blue rabbits (Brd River Pastures, Elberton, GA, USA) and albino New Zealand White rabbits (Pel-Freez, Rogers, AR, USA) were obtained within 1 day after euthanasia and stored in a −80°C freezer immediately upon arrival. Pigmented eyes were used to prevent vitreous glow (Supplementary Fig. S3). There was no gross anatomic difference among the eyes beyond eye size. All in vivo experiments were carried out in albino New Zealand White rabbits (Pel-Freez, Rogers, AR, USA) and albino New Zealand White rabbits (Pel-Freez, Rogers, AR, USA) were obtained within 1 day after euthanasia and stored in a −80°C freezer immediately upon arrival. Pigmented eyes were used to prevent vitreous glow (Supplementary Fig. S3). There was no gross anatomic difference among the eyes beyond eye size. All in vivo experiments were carried out in albino New Zealand White rabbits (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) and were approved by the Georgia Institute of Technology Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Practices complied with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Four replicates per group were performed unless otherwise specified.

**Ex Vivo Injection Procedure**

Using a rabbit eye pressurized to physiological intraocular pressure (IOP), a 750-μm-long, 33-gauge hollow microneedle attached to a syringe was used to make injections of red-fluorescent particles suspended in 25 to 150 μL Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) into the SCS. See Supplementary Information (SI) for details.

**Effect of Injection Volume on SCS Thickness**

To perform 3D cryo-reconstruction imaging, microneedle injections of 25 to 150 μL containing red-fluorescent particles were performed in pigmented rabbit eyes, which were frozen 3 minutes post injection and prepared for cryosectioning. Using a digital camera, one red-fluorescent image of the cryoblock of tissue was obtained every 300 μm by slicing the sample with the cryostat. Image stacks consisting of red-fluorescence images were analyzed to determine SCS thickness. See SI for details.

**Ultrasound B-Scan Method**

A high-frequency ultrasound (U/S) probe (UBM Plus; Accutome, Malvern, PA, USA) was used to determine SCS thickness by generating 2D cross-sectional images of the SCS in rabbit eyes ex vivo after injecting volumes ranging from 25 to 150 μL. Three minutes after injection, the U/S probe was used to acquire eight sagittal views around the eye. Postprocessing of the U/S B-scans was performed to find the thickness from the outer sclera to the inner retina at 1, 5, and 9 mm posterior to the scleral spur. See SI for details.

**Mechanical Testing of Sclera–Choroid Attachments**

After microneedle injection of 100 μL HBSS into the SCS of albino rabbit eyes ex vivo, two sagittal strips—one with no injection and one with SCS injection—from the same eye were each mounted on a force displacement station that peeled the sclera from the choroid to determine the average force to separate the tissues. See SI for details.

**Results**

**Effect of Liquid Formulation on SCS Thickness and Collapse Time**

After injection of a formulation into the SCS of an anesthetized rabbit, U/S B-scan was used to determine SCS thickness at multiple locations over time, from which the rate of SCS collapse was calculated. See SI for details. In companion experiments, the approximate clearance rate of injected fluorescent material from the SCS was found by taking fluorescence fundus images in the rabbit eye in vivo over time until fluorescence was no longer visible. See SI for details.

**Effect of Injection Volume on SCS Thickness**

We measured the thickness of the SCS and investigated its distribution in rabbit eyes after microneedle injection ex vivo using a 3D cryo-reconstruction method. Two-dimensional mapping of the spread of particles (Fig. 1A) in the SCS after injection of different volumes of fluid indicated that the area of spreading increased with injection volume. Quantification of the SCS thickness throughout the area of spreading produced histograms (Fig. 1B) of the SCS thickness for each injection volume. Sites where SCS thickness was less than 25 μm were considered to have “unopened” SCS and were therefore not included in the analysis. All particle thickness histograms showed a characteristic spike at ~160 μm (i.e., the average mode value among the histograms collected at all conditions shown in Fig. 1 is 160 ± 25 μm [mean ± SEM]), and there were very few portions of the SCS open to smaller thicknesses. This peak value of SCS thickness did not significantly change as a function of injection volume (P = 0.43, 1-way ANOVA). This indicates that if the SCS is opened up, it readily expands to a thickness of at least ~160 μm.

The median value of SCS thickness was found to be 330 ± 30 μm, which was significantly different from the mode value (P < 0.001, unpaired t-test) indicating few points where the thickness was less than 150 μm. The median SCS thickness was independent of injection volume (P = 0.15, t-test for zero slope), as shown in Figure 1C. This finding is notable, because injection of larger volumes of fluid can increase the area of fluid spread in the SCS and/or the thickness of the SCS. These data indicate that the SCS expands to a maximum thickness, and that injection of additional fluid increases area of spreading in direct proportion to the volume injected. To further test this hypothesis, we plotted area of spreading versus injection volume and found that they increased in direct proportion to each other (Supplementary Fig. S4).
Finally, the mean values of SCS thickness were found to be 340 ± 40 μm among all the conditions tested. The mean values had a slight dependence on injection volume ($P = 0.04$, F-test for zero slope). The 5th, 25th, median, and 75th percentile SCS thickness was approximately constant for injection volumes greater than 25 μL, but the 95th percentile increased with injection volume. The fact that there was a spread of SCS thicknesses to values up to a few-fold larger than the median value indicates that SCS thickness can be spread well beyond ~300 μm in some cases. These sites of greater SCS thickness occurred in patches (see bright spots on Fig. 1A) that were often located near the site of injection.

### Ultrasound B-Scan Measurement

To validate the SCS thickness measurements calculated by the cryo-reconstruction method, we conducted additional experiments to measure SCS thickness by ultrasound B-scan in the rabbit eye ex vivo (Fig. 2). This U/S measurement yielded a median SCS thickness of 160 ± 20 μm, which was independent of injection volume ($P = 0.67$, F-test for zero slope) and was approximately half the value obtained by the cryo-reconstruction method (i.e., 330 ± 30 μm). However, the two methods both showed that SCS thickness values were independent of injection volume and had a median value between 150 and 350 μm. Since the eyes used in the U/S measurement were at room temperature and measured in real time shortly after injection, whereas the eyes used in 3D cryo-reconstruction were frozen shortly after injection and measured later while still in the frozen state, the observed differences in thickness may be due to differences in timing, temperature, solid versus liquid state tissue fluids, or artifacts due to freezing. Furthermore, the U/S measurement was not able to assess the SCS thickness at the posterior pole, which may have biased the results.

### Measurement of Force of Adhesion Between Sclera and Choroid

We investigated further why median SCS thickness was constant over the range of injection volumes studied. The presence of lamellae that attach the sclera to the choroid might explain this constant thickness, as they may limit expansion of the SCS beyond a certain thickness.\(^{16,26,27}\) We therefore performed a peel test (Fig. 3A) on scleral/chorioretinal strips from rabbit eyes that had either received or not received a SCS injection of HBSS ex vivo. We found that eyes with previous injection in the SCS required only 51% of the force to separate the sclera from the choroid compared with eyes having no SCS injection ($P < 0.005$, unpaired t-test, Fig. 3B). This suggests that the process of SCS injection weakens the adhesion strength between the sclera and choroid, possibly due to reorganizing, weakening, breaking, or otherwise altering fibers adhering the sclera to the choroid. Since the force to separate the tissue does not become zero after injection, adhesive forces between the sclera and choroid, possibly involving connective fibers, may play a role in limiting SCS expansion.

To further interpret these findings, we examined histologic sections for anatomic structures within the SCS of rabbit eyes that had either received or not received a SCS injection of HBSS the right side of the figure). The y-axis is counts with every 100 counts marked. (C) Box and whiskers represent 5th, 25th, 50th (median), 75th, and 95th percentile of SCS thickness after injection ($n = 3–7$ replicates per condition).
in the live rabbit. With no injection, the sclera and choroid were tightly apposed (Fig. 4A). After injection, the sclera and choroid were no longer tightly adhered (Fig. 4B), even more than 1 month after injection in vivo (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, there was evidence of structures that appear to be fibrils connecting the sclera and choroid (Figs. 4B, 4C). It cannot be determined at this time if these fibrils were intact or not. In companion experiments, the SCS thickness measured in vivo by U/S 30 minutes or longer post injection was found to be indistinguishable from preinjection thickness (i.e., zero), suggesting that processes in the living rabbit (e.g., intraocular pressure) were able to minimize the SCS thickness in vivo but were unable to maintain it after death. This finding further supports the hypothesis that there has been a loss in adhesion strength, possibly due to changes to the SCS lamellae.

**Effect of Liquid Formulation on SCS Thickness and Collapse Time**

We next evaluated the effect of liquid formulation on SCS thickness, as well as the SCS collapse rate over time in the living rabbit (Fig. 5A). We chose solutions of CMC at different concentrations in HBSS and the commercial viscoelastic product Discovisc (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) (which contains 1.65 MDa hyaluronic acid) as liquid formulations for this study, because these liquid formulations were previously shown to distribute differently in the SCS, compared with HBSS.19

The initial SCS thickness at the injection site varied greatly with choice of liquid formulation from 0.43 ± 0.06 mm with HBSS to 2.1 ± 0.1 mm with 5% CMC in HBSS (Figs. 5B, 6). The value for HBSS found here in the living rabbit eye is larger than what was found as explained above in the rabbit eye ex vivo. This could be because the in vivo measurement was made at the injection site, which was the site of maximum SCS thickness, whereas the ex vivo measurement was reported as the average SCS thickness through the expanded SCS. Use of Discovisc, which had previously been reported to initially remain near the site of injection in the SCS,19 showed a SCS thickness of 1.5 ± 0.4 mm, which was significantly larger than the value for HBSS (P < 0.01, Sidak’s multiple comparison test). Suprachoroidal space injection of solutions containing 1%, 3%, and 5% CMC in HBSS (viscous solutions that have also been reported to localize at the injection site19) had initial SCS thicknesses of 0.7 ± 0.1, 1.6 ± 0.2, and 2.1 ± 0.1 mm, respectively (Fig. 5B). These data indicate that changing the formulation (to increase viscosity) had a larger effect on SCS thickness than increasing injection volume for a given formulation.
We next monitored SCS thickness over time at eight positions around the globe for all the formulations tested (Supplementary Fig. S5). After injection of HBSS, the SCS thickness over the injection site achieved its peak value immediately after injection and then decreased according to a roughly first-order exponential decay; that is, there was no significant difference between SCS thickness immediately post injection \((\theta_0)\) and the maximal SCS thickness \((\theta_{\text{max}})\) \((P > 0.99, \text{Sidak's multiple comparison test, Fig. 5B})\). Measurements at other locations around the globe behaved similarly.

There was also no difference between initial and maximal SCS thickness over the injection site for Discovisc and 1% CMC \((P \geq 0.97, \text{Sidak's multiple comparison test})\). However, the SCS thickness measured at the other sites behaved differently, which is consistent with a previous study \(^{19}\). With Discovisc, the decrease in SCS thickness at the injection site over time was accompanied by a concomitant increase in SCS thickness at adjacent sites in the SCS (Supplementary Fig. S5A, 4 hours). By 2 days, the SCS thickness throughout the entire eye had returned to baseline. In contrast, 1% CMC expanded the SCS only at or near the injection site for the entire time course (data not shown). Because Kim et al.\(^ {19}\) had shown that Discovisc was able to facilitate the distribution of particles throughout the SCS and that CMC was able to localize particles near the injection site, we hypothesize that the expansion of a region of SCS was necessary for particle deposition in that region.

With 3% CMC and 5% CMC solutions, \(\theta_0\) over the injection site was different than \(\theta_{\text{max}}\) \((P < 0.01, 2\text{-way ANOVA})\) because the SCS thickness initially increased over the course of hours after the injection. This expansion of the SCS could be explained by an osmotic and hydration effect of the CMC within the SCS, which could draw in water from the surrounding tissue to dilute the CMC and cause swelling of the gel. Besides the swelling at the site of injection, the behavior of the SCS thickness at other positions (Supplementary Fig. S4B) was similar to that found with 1% CMC.

To describe the time course of SCS thickness changes after injection over the injection site, we used a second-order exponential equation that could account for both the observed expansion and collapse of the SCS:

\[
0(t) = -Ae^{-t/\tau_{\text{exp}}} + Be^{-t/\tau_{\text{col}}},
\]

where \(t\) is the time post injection, \(0(t)\) is the SCS thickness as a function of time, \(A\) and \(B\) are thickness constants, \(\tau_{\text{exp}}\) is the expansion time constant, and \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) is the collapse time constant (Fig. 5C). This equation described the data from all the liquid formulations well (Pearson coefficient \(r^2 \geq 0.76\)).

Using this equation, we calculated the characteristic times associated with each of the liquid formulations. As expected, the liquid formulations that did not cause further expansion of the SCS after injection (i.e., HBSS, Discovisc, and 1% CMC) had calculated \(\tau_{\text{exp}}\) values were all on the order of seconds (Fig. 5C, left). In contrast, \(\tau_{\text{exp}}\) values for the 3% CMC and 5% CMC liquid formulations ranged from 2.8 to 9.1 hours, and there was no significant difference among these \(\tau_{\text{exp}}\) values \((P = 0.77, F\text{-test})\).

There were significant differences in \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) values among the liquid formulations tested (Fig. 5C, right). With HBSS as the liquid formulation, \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) was 19 ± 3 minutes. With the Discovisc liquid formulation, \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) was 6 ± 2 hours, which was significantly longer than the HBSS value \((P < 0.005, F\text{-test})\). With all of the CMC liquid formulations, \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) ranged from 2.4 to 9.2 days, which was also longer than for HBSS \((P < 0.0001, F\text{-test})\) but not different with respect to each other \((P = 0.47, F\text{-test})\). It is notable that collapse of SCS-containing 1% CMC solution (that did not swell after injection) and SCS-containing 5% CMC solution (which did swell after injection) had comparable \(\tau_{\text{col}}\) values, which suggests that dissociation of the crosslinks found in CMC gels\(^ {28}\) is the rate-limiting step to CMC clearance from the SCS resulting in collapse.
Effect of Liquid Formulation on Clearance of Fluorescent Molecules From the SCS

We investigated the effect of liquid formulation viscosity on the time scale of clearance of fluorescein from the SCS. Using fundus microscopy, we identified how long it took for there to be no visual evidence of fluorescein in the SCS. Total clearance of fluorescein injected into the SCS in HBSS was 0.33 ± 0.05 days; this was significantly faster than the clearance of fluorescein injected in 5% CMC solution, which was 2.7 ± 0.7 days (P < 0.0005, unpaired t-test, Fig. 7). This can probably be explained by the long-lived presence of viscous CMC gel in the SCS (as evidenced by the SCS remaining open for many days; Fig. 5; Supplementary Fig. S4), which can slow diffusion of fluorescein out of the SCS.

DISCUSSION

This study examined the effects of injection volume, liquid formulation, and liquid formulation on the thickness and closure kinetics of the SCS following microneedle injection. The effects of other variables, such as injection location, intraocular pressure, molecular physiochemistry, or particle density, have been addressed previously. Since the focus of this study was to explore factors that modulate SCS thickness, the distribution, drainage routes, and kinetics of clearance from the SCS were not addressed here.

Effect of Injection Volume on SCS Thickness

We found that the SCS was spread to a roughly constant thickness, independent of injection volume. The observation was made in the rabbit eye ex vivo using two different measurement methods: 3D cryo-reconstruction and U/S B-scan imaging. This result was not necessarily expected. Injection of an increasing volume of fluid into the SCS could be
accommodated by an increase in SCS thickness, SCS area, or a combination of both. Our data indicate that the SCS readily expands to a certain thickness, after which additional fluid fills the SCS by expanding the SCS area containing fluid without expanding the SCS thickness further. This explanation was supported by demonstration that the area of fluid in the SCS increased in direct proportion with the volume injected.

We and others hypothesized that the constant SCS thickness could be due to fibrils running between the sclera and choroid that resist expansion of the thickness of this space. Results from a modified peel test to determine the strength of adhesion between sclera and choroid showed that a fluid injection into the SCS as a pretreatment reduced, but did not eliminate, the force needed to subsequently separate the tissues. This could be explained by a partial weakening of the adhesions between sclera and choroid, possibly due to reorganizing, weakening, breaking, or otherwise altering the fibrils with an injection. We also imaged evidence of these fibrils in the SCS, which was consistent with previous reports.

Previous studies were inconclusive regarding the relationship between SCS thickness and injection volume. Patel et al. used a fluorophotometer to assess the distribution of fluorescein along the visual axis and was able to demonstrate localization in the SCS. No comment was made on the SCS thickness.

Seiler et al. concluded that, in ex vivo canine and porcine eyes, there was a difference between the maximum thickness achieved with the smallest injection volume tested (250 µL) and higher injection volumes tested (500, 800, and 1000 µL). However, no difference in maximum thickness was seen once the eyes were inflated to physiological intraocular pressures. Increasing thickness requires overcoming biomechanical forces inherent to the tissue (such as the viscoelastic properties of the sclera and choroid, as well as the viscoelastic and failure mechanics of the SCS fibrils). A cartoon of this is presented in Figure 8. When fluid first enters the SCS, it can expand the thickness of the SCS at the site of injection, and/or it can expand the area of the SCS that it occupies. We propose that what determines how the SCS expands to accommodate the fluid is based on whether there is less physical resistance to increasing thickness or to increasing area. Increasing thickness requires overcoming biomechanical forces (e.g., from fibrils connecting sclera to choroid), elastic restoring forces of the sclera and choroid tissues, and intraocular pressure. Increasing area requires overcoming the viscous forces opposing flow of fluid circumferentially in the SCS.

We hypothesize that when the SCS is fully collapsed, the force required to expand the thickness of the SCS is less than the force to flow liquid through the adjacent collapsed SCS to expand the area. As more fluid is forced into the SCS, the SCS thickness continues to expand until the force required for further expansion of thickness of SCS exceeds the force required to flow fluid out into adjacent SCS. This switch occurs due to two factors: (1) As the SCS thickness increases, the force required to further increase thickness escalates; and (2) as the SCS thickness increases, the viscous forces to flow into adjacent SCS decrease because flow through wider channels exhibits less resistance to flow.

When injecting HBSS (with a low viscosity comparable to water) into SCS of the rabbit, the force balance switches when the SCS is expanded to 150 to 350 µm in the rabbit eye ex vivo and to 400 to 500 µm in the rabbit eye in vivo; we can call this thickness the “equilibrium thickness,” because it represents the thickness when the force needed to expand thickness equals the forces needed to expand area of the SCS. In this way, SCS readily expands to the equilibrium thickness until further increasing SCS thickness requires more force than increasing SCS area. A distribution of equilibrium thicknesses is expected, as seen in Figure 8, due to variation in the mechanical properties of the SCS.
After injection is complete, no further growth of the SCS usually occurs (ocular tissue. This results in a new equilibrium thickness based on the force of the gel and the resistive biomechanical forces of the tissue. The new force balance is set up between the expansive swelling place, which primarily expands SCS thickness. In this case, a pulling fluid into the CMC gel formed in the SCS cause the gel to expand to its equilibrium value, diffusive forces requires greater force. Equilibrium thickness increases and expansion of SCS area involves slower normalization of ocular volume). There is evidence that the forces that limit expansion of SCS thickness may be in part related to fibrils that bind the sclera and choroid and that may need to stretch and/or break to accommodate SCS expansion. These findings that affect the extent and duration of expanded SCS thickness and area may be used to improve control over targeted drug delivery and placement of devices in the SCS for therapeutic applications.

In conclusion, microneedle injection of fluid into the SCS results in distension of the choroid off the sclera, which expands the thickness of the SCS. Liquid formulation injected into the SCS had a major effect on SCS thickness, where highly viscous fluids expanded SCS thickness more than low-viscosity HBSS. Surprisingly, increasing injection volume of HBSS had little effect on SCS thickness, such that injection of increasing volume of fluid was accommodated by increasing area of fluid spread in the SCS while maintaining constant SCS thickness. Expansion of SCS thickness in vivo ranged from 0.43 ± 0.06 mm after injection of HBSS and 0.7 to 2.8 mm after injection of viscous formulations. Injection of CMC solutions led to further expansion of SCS thickness over the course of hours after the injection was completed, which could be explained by swelling of CMC gel in the SCS. Clearance of HBSS from the SCS occurred within 1 hour and clearance of Discovisc and CMC took days to weeks.

These observations could be explained by SCS expansion controlled by a balance between the viscous forces of the injected liquid formulation (which increase with fluid viscosity) and the biomechanical forces that hold the sclera and choroid together (which are unaffected by fluid viscosity or fluid volume). There is evidence that the forces that limit expansion of SCS thickness may be in part related to fibrils that bind the sclera and choroid and that may need to stretch and/or break to accommodate SCS expansion. These findings that affect the extent and duration of expanded SCS thickness and area may be used to improve control over targeted drug delivery and placement of devices in the SCS for therapeutic applications.

The ability to modulate SCS thickness could have implications on emerging SCS technologies, such as targeted drug delivery, placement of glaucoma drainage devices, and suprachoroidal retinal prostheses. For example, increasing liquid formulation viscosity has a dual effect of expanding the SCS and localizing the circumferential spread at the site of injection. This might be useful in treating localized diseases, such as placing antiglaucoma agents in the anterior SCS near their site of action in the ciliary body or localizing anticancer agents in the SCS adjacent to intraocular tumors.
In another application, SCS injections of sodium hyaluronate have been made as an alternative to scleral buckling for the treatment of retinal detachment. As shown in the present study, greater distension and localization are achievable with CMC, which continues to expand after injection, compared with hyaluronic acid (i.e., a major component of DiscoveRx), which does not. Perhaps use of CMC as a liquid formulation may yield better results. Finally, microneedle injection of a viscous liquid formulation could be made prior to surgical implantation of suprachoroidal devices. This could transiently weaken sclera–choroid adhesion (e.g., stretch or break SCS fibrils), allowing for easier implantation.
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