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The Use of Cardiac Orienting Responses as an Early and Scalable Biomarker of Alcohol-Related Neurodevelopmental Impairment

Diego A. Mesa, Julie A. Kable, Claire D. Coles, Kenneth Lyons Jones, Lyubov Yevtushok, Yaroslav Kulikovsky, Wladimir Wertelecki, Todd P. Coleman, Christina D. Chambers, and the CIFASD

Background: Considered the leading cause of developmental disabilities worldwide, fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD) are a global health problem. To take advantage of neural plasticity, early identification of affected infants is critical. The cardiac orienting response (COR) has been shown to be sensitive to the effects of prenatal alcohol exposure and is an inexpensive, easy to administer assessment tool. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the COR effectiveness in assessing individual risk of developmental delay.

Methods: As part of an ongoing longitudinal cohort study in Ukraine, live-born infants of women with some to heavy amounts of alcohol consumption in pregnancy were recruited and compared to infants of women who consumed low or no alcohol. At 6 and 12 months, infants were evaluated with the Bayley Scales of Infant Development-II. CORs were also collected during a habituation/dishabituation learning paradigm. Using a supervised logistic regression classifier, we compared the predictive utility of the COR indices to that of the 6-month Bayley scores for identification of developmental delay based on 12-month Bayley scores. Heart rate collected at each second (Standard COR) was compared to key features (Key COR) extracted from the response.

Results: Negative predictive values (NPV) were 85% for Standard COR, 82% for Key COR, and 77% for the Bayley, and positive predictive values (PPV) were 66% for Standard COR, 62% for Key COR, and 43% for the Bayley.

Conclusions: Predictive analysis based on the COR resulted in better NPV and PPV than the 6-month Bayley score. As the resources required to obtain a Bayley score are substantially more than in a COR-based paradigm, the findings are suggestive of its utility as an early scalable screening tool based on the COR. Further work is needed to test its long-term predictive accuracy.
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of the assessment procedures previously discussed, one of the methods involves eliciting cardiac orienting responses (CORs) (Sokolov et al., 2002). CORs are characterized by a specific pattern of heart rate (HR) deceleration in the presence of novel stimuli and are the result of the heart gating oxygen to the central nervous system. They can be elicited through electrical stimulation of the PFC in animal models (Powell et al., 1994), suggesting they may provide an early index of the efficiency of PFC functioning to gate energy resources between basic attention and arousal systems. Behaviorally, these markers identify the infant’s neurophysiological encoding and memory of environmental events with specific aspects of their attention behavior associated with specific features of the COR (Richards, 1995).

CORs have previously been shown to be sensitive to the impact of PAE in human and animal models of exposure (Hunt and Phillips, 2004; Kable and Coles, 2004; Kable et al., 2015a; Morasch and Hunt, 2009) and can be obtained inexpensively with limited examiner expertise required. They are relatively easy to collect on a large scale, as they are based completely on an electrocardiogram (ECG) recording during the presentation of an auditory or visual stimulus. Increasingly, affordable and accurate wearable sensors are continuously being developed to monitor ECG and are being advanced rapidly as important tools in the health field (Jeong et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2011). The development of these sensing platforms will only increase the ease with which CORs can be collected, making the COR an ideal candidate for efficient and effective screening in infancy when elicited during standard information-processing paradigms (Colombo, 1993).

While previous work has established that such CORs collected during information-processing tasks are predictive of future developmental status—18-month Bayley scores (O’Connor, 1980) and 5-year Stanford–Binet IQs (O’Connor et al., 1984)—and are sensitive to the impact of PAE on human infants (Kable and Coles, 2004; Kable et al., 2015a), previous work with these responses has focused primarily on group-level comparisons rather than developing these tools for application to individuals. Previous methods of screening for individual risk have focused on school-aged children impacted by heavy PAE (Mattson et al., 2010, 2013), but the results are only applicable to children who are 5 years of age and older.

Our interest is in the development of a concise early screening tool aimed at providing medical practitioners with an accessible method for managing risks associated with PAE as early as possible and on a global scale. The goal of this early screening tool is not necessarily for diagnostic purposes, but rather to identify infants at risk who could then be further investigated by appropriate clinical assessment to identify the individual’s habilitative care needs. Developing such an early screening tool would not only aid in identifying prenatal alcohol-affected individuals earlier in life, but could potentially also increase the impact of the limited medical infrastructure available in resource-poor settings and in

until long after infancy, when the child begins to struggle with performing in school (Senturias, 2014).

Early detection of prenatal alcohol-affected individuals has been limited by the lack of standardized tests that adequately capture important aspects of alcohol-related neurobehavioral impairments in the infancy and preschool periods (Jacobson, 1998; Olson et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2015). Assessment in infancy, when habilitative care may have its greatest impact (Fox et al., 2010), is particularly problematic in that standardized tests at this stage of development are recognized as only coarse predictors of later neurocognitive performance (Bornstein and Krasnegor, 1989; Colombo, 1993). As a result, early identification of neurodevelopmental impairment remains challenging.

In addition to the difficulties associated with the tools currently available for assessment of infants, there is a need to increase the scope and proliferation of the application of infant assessments in populations around the globe. However, to do this would require an inordinate amount of financial and physical resources. Such standardized developmental assessments require highly trained professionals and restandardization every time an instrument is implemented within a different cultural context. The process of adapting measurement tools across cultural contexts on a wide spread basis, in addition to gathering and distributing the necessary personnel and materials, referred to as scaling up, has become an important parameter in making global health decisions about resources. To put it more succinctly, existing tools do not scale well. As recently noted by O’Connor et al. in reference to a South African population, many women lack access to physicians in their communities, and among those available, there is a severe shortage of physicians trained in diagnosing FASD. She recommended training community workers to assist in the delivery of health care to compensate for these shortages (O’Connor et al., 2014). In recognition of the scarcity of clinical resources worldwide, to affect health on a global scale, special attention must be paid to the issue of a particular method’s scalability or its associated benefits and costs.

Specialized infant assessment protocols utilized in the context of research environments have been more successful in capturing the early impact of PAE (Burden et al., 2005; Jacobson et al., 2008; Kable and Coles, 2004). These tools focus on aspects of early classical conditioning using eyeblink reflexes (Jacobson et al., 2008) or information-processing skills (Burden et al., 2005; Kable and Coles, 2004) that are known to be mediated by prefrontal cortical (PFC) activity. In older children, PFC has been found to be differentially impacted by PAE in that PAE effects persist after controlling for the impact on whole brain volume (Kable et al., 2015b). In addition, later executive functioning skills, which are mediated by PFC, have been found to be important in differentiating individuals who are prenatal alcohol affected from typically developing children and those with other psychiatric conditions (Mattson et al., 2013).
disadvantaged populations. This would allow for more resources within these settings to be devoted to providing targeted interventions to those infants who are identified as being high risk rather than attempting to provide care to all alcohol-exposed infants.

In what follows, we explore the development and testing of an individual predictive model of prenatal alcohol-related neurobehavioral impairment in infancy based on a COR habituation/dishabituation paradigm using a sample of children enrolled in a prospective cohort study conducted in Ukraine. Specifically, we examine how well one can predict developmental delay on the Bayley Scales of Infant Development-II (BSID-II) (Bayley, 1993) exam at 12 months, comparing combinations of visual and auditory CORs obtained at 6 months with and without maternal PAE information included in the modeling. Additionally, we contrast these models of prediction to a reference point: those obtained from using 6-month developmental performance on the BSID-II as a predictor of 12-month developmental performance on the same test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

As part of the Collaborative Initiative on Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (CIFASD), a longitudinal cohort study of pregnant women who reported no to low alcohol consumption prenatally or some to heavy amounts of alcohol during pregnancy was conducted in Ukraine between 2008 and 2015. At one of the study sites, the offspring of a subset of these women were evaluated at 6 and 12 months of age with a habituation/dishabituation learning paradigm using visual and auditory stimuli and measurement of the COR. In each paradigm, baseline HR was collected for 30 seconds prior to stimulus onset and by sampling HR for 12 seconds post-stimulus onset for each trial. These same infants were also evaluated using the BSID-II at 6 and 12 months of age.

Recruitment and Interview Procedures

Between April of 2008 and August of 2012, women were screened for enrollment into the parent study based on their alcohol intake. A trained nurse interviewer screened all women for alcohol use during pregnancy at the first prenatal appointment. The women who were asked to participate in the some to heavy PAE group reported at least 1 or more of the following during the month around conception or in the most recent month: (i) weekly heavy episodic or binge drinking (5 or more), (ii) 5 or more episodes of 3 to 4 standard drinks, or (iii) 10 episodes of 1 to 2 standard drinks. The comparison or no to low PAE group screening criteria were defined as having all 3 of the following: (i) no binge episodes, (ii) minimal (<2 drinks on 1 occasion) or no alcohol in the month around conception, and (iii) no continued drinking in pregnancy. For each some to heavy PAE woman enrolled, a comparison woman who met the no to low PAE criteria was also sought, with a 1:1 recruitment ratio. All women agreeing to enroll gave written informed consent, and all women were given information about the risks of alcohol consumption during pregnancy.

A structured interview was conducted with all participants at enrollment and again in the third trimester. The interview asked about demographics, lifestyle, and substance use in pregnancy, including maternal and paternal alcohol and tobacco consumption. Day-by-day alcohol quantity and type consumed in the week around conception and in the 2 weeks before enrollment was assessed using a timeline follow-back procedure. Ounces of absolute alcohol per day (ozAA/d) and per drinking day (ozAA/dinking day) at each time point were computed from the amount, type, and frequency of alcohol intake reported by the mother resulting in 4 summary measures of PAE. The ozAA/dinking day variables were used to capture episodic or binge drinking behaviors.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA, and the Lviv National Medical University, Lviv, Ukraine.

Infant Neurophysiology Assessment

Mothers and infants were seen again at the study site for assessment when their infants were approximately 6 and 12 months of age. Visual and auditory stimuli were presented using a fixed-trial habituation/dishabituation paradigm to elicit CORs in infants that were approximately 6 months of age. Habituation trials involved repeated presentation of a stimulus, allowing for the assessment of initial stimulus encoding. In dishabituation trials, a similar but different stimulus was presented to determine whether the infant could differentiate the novel stimulus, allowing for an assessment of memory of the initial stimulus. Mothers were allowed to passively observe the testing procedure. All stimuli were digitized via the STIM stimulus presentation software; the Physiology System software performed the data collection, and the IBI Analysis System software performed the conversion to HR. All of these packages are available from the James Long Company (Adirondack Park, NY).

The standard auditory stimuli consisted of alternating 400- and 1,000-Hz pure tones presented continguously for 2 seconds each with a 5-ms controlled linear rise and fall time for each tone. The novel auditory stimulus consisted of alternating 700- and 1,000-Hz pure tones. The standard visual stimuli consisted of chromatic Caucasian faces of a baby, while the novel visual stimulus was that of a woman. The standard stimulus was presented for a total of 12 seconds followed by an interstimulus interval of 12 seconds until 10 repetitions were completed. The novel stimulus was then presented under similar conditions (12 seconds with 12 seconds interstimulus interval) for 5 trials. The total duration of the habituation/dishabituation procedure was approximately 12 minutes for each stimulus type.

Cardiac responses to the stimuli were monitored throughout the session using an ECG amplifier connected to a data acquisition computer that was triggered by the stimulus presentation software. A 30-second baseline period was collected prior to initial stimulus onset. Infant state after each presentation of stimulus was rated, with data collected during state 1: deep sleep or state 7: vigorous crying being excluded from analysis. The first 3 trials of the habituation and dishabituation trials were used for analysis as significant diminution of the COR occurs by the fourth trial of exposure (Kable and Coles, 2004; O’Connor, 1980).

Infant Standardized Developmental Assessment

In this study, a Russian translation of the BSID-II was selected as it is a well-standardized assessment tool that currently is more reliable than the third edition (Moore et al., 2012). Measuring both psychomotor and mental development, it provides 2 standardized scores: a Psychomotor Development Index and a Mental Development Index (MDI). Ukrainian child psychologists, who were trained and supervised by the authors, were blinded to the mothers’ group status and administered the 30- to 45-minute examination. Children were tested individually in a private office while seated in their caregiver’s laps. Norms based on a standardized U.S. census sample provided by the manufacturer of the test were used to convert raw scores to standardized scores as no norms were available for the Ukrainian population.
Data Collection and Handling

All relevant infant neurophysiological, neurobehavioral, and maternal interview data were collected and stored at the testing site in Ukraine. These data were then transmitted electronically to Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, and the University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, for storage and analysis.

Data Analysis

The analysis was framed as a classification problem, where the population of infants was represented as belonging to 2 different classes, delayed and normal. This designation reflected whether or not an infant was delayed at 12 months, as measured by the BSID-II: MDI score. For our analysis, we defined delayed as a scaled score of less than or equal to 85, which is consistent with the test developers’ designation for mild developmental delay (Bayley, 1993) and is a threshold (1 standard deviation below the mean) often used for identifying infants and toddlers in need of early interventions services (McManus et al., 2014). To assess the predictive utility of the 6-month COR, an infant’s COR was represented by a grouping of features. In general, these features were either the averaged HR in a given second poststimulus onset or the results of key features of the COR determined by specific calculations of the HR relative to stimulus onset.

As the purpose of this analysis was to explore the development of an individual predictive model for later impairment built on scalable features, we established different groupings of features allowing us to compare our classification performance across different feature groups, including nonscalable measures of maternal drinking patterns. This allowed us to assess the potential trade-offs associated with using only scalable features. In addition, we also analyzed the performance of the 6-month BSID-II as a predictor of 12-month BSID-II performance, providing an altogether separate method for comparison, and serving as a baseline or reference point against which we evaluated performance of our predictive models.

Feature Groupings. Table 1 outlines the 3 groupings of features used in our analysis, the first 2 of which were considered scalable as they were completely determined by a COR (see also Table S1). The first group is the Standard COR, and consists of the HR obtained at 1-second intervals during the habituation and dishabituation trials the 6-month COR across both auditory and visual paradigms which is then averaged over 3 separate trials.

The second group is the Key-Features COR, and represents features extracted from the raw COR time series, all having been previously discussed in the literature as being physiologically meaningful (Kable et al., 2015a). These features were calculated from the Standard COR, and represent clinically relevant summary statistics of the COR. The average trough was calculated as the average value during the interval between 2 and 7 seconds poststimulus onset. This interval typically includes the peak deceleration in HR in response to the stimulus, and provides an index of sustained attention to the stimuli with more deceleration in HR indicating greater interest. Latency of the COR is the time point where the response reaches 2 beats/min below the baseline HR, and poststimulus latency is the time point where the response reaches 2 beats/min below poststimulus onset. Finally, the average change in HR was computed by subtracting the average HR during the trough period from the average baseline HR. Also included in both Standard and Key-Features COR groups was a baseline HR (not shown in table) to normalize for individual differences (Manning and Dubois, 1962).

To assess the comparable effectiveness of these scalable features, a third feature group was included with indices of maternal drinking habits. This group was composed of the 4 alcohol consumption variables described above and represented maternal alcohol consumption at the time of conception and in the most recent 2 weeks prior to initial enrollment into the study. Included in all feature groups above was the infant’s adjusted gestational age at delivery (not shown in table).

In addition to the 3 feature groupings described above, 2 additional groups were formed by including indices of maternal drinking with either the Standard or Key-Features CORs, allowing us to see how much would be lost in only using scalable features as compared to a feature group composed of both scalable and nonscalable features. To build the predictive model, a supervised, weighted logistic regression model was fitted using the different feature groupings described above.

Synthetically Expanding the Training Set. As is common in machine learning in medical applications (Kononenko, 2001), our data set suffered from 2 problems: class imbalance and small sample sizes. To address the class imbalance, we oversampled the minority (delayed) class using the widely used Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) (Chawla and Bowyer, 2002) which creates “synthetic” samples. Each additional synthetic sample was not directly obtained from a measured infant but instead was synthetically generated from samples that were the most representative of the delayed class. Traditionally, this technique is used in conjunction with undersampling the majority (normal) class, but this was not done for this analysis due to our overall small sample sizes. In

Table 1. Feature Groupings Table, Explaining the Different Groupings of Features Used in Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Grouping</th>
<th>Standard COR</th>
<th>Key-Features COR</th>
<th>Maternal drinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average heart rate during 2-7 s</td>
<td>Average habituation time series for an audio stimulus, averaged over 3 trials</td>
<td>Average trough during a 2- to 7-second poststimulus onset</td>
<td>Drinks per day at conception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average trough poststimulus onset</td>
<td>Average dishabituation time series for an audio stimulus, averaged over 3 trials</td>
<td>Poststimulus latency</td>
<td>Drinks per drinking day at conception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average latency</td>
<td>Average habituation time series for a visual stimulus, averaged over 3 trials</td>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>First trimester drinks per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average change</td>
<td>Average dishabituation time series for a visual stimulus, averaged over 3 trials</td>
<td>Difference between average heart rate and baseline heart rate</td>
<td>First trimester drinks per drinking day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per day at time of conception</td>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per drinking day at time of conception</td>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per day during the first trimester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COR, cardiac orienting response.
addition, the actual classifier used was “weighted,” ensuring additional emphasis was placed on the minority class.

To address the small sample sizes, techniques were used to prevent overfitting and to decorrelate features in our representation. To prevent overfitting, a logistic regression classifier was used with an L1 penalty, to encourage sparsity in the solution by penalizing model complexity (Bishop, 2006). To prevent feature correlation as well as reduce feature dimension and correlation and further curb overfitting, we first applied a principal component analysis and then a linear discriminant analysis (Hastie et al., 2009). In addition, a 5-fold cross-fold validation was used after introducing the synthetic samples to ensure model robustness.

**Classifier Performance Metrics.** In the setting described above where we have small sample sizes and imbalanced classes, *accuracy* is no longer the best performance metric to use in assessing the strength of a prediction procedure. In our case, by simply always predicting “not delayed,” the prediction would be correct over 80% of the time. In these types of settings, more suitable performance metrics capture the classifier’s trade-off between false positives (type I errors) and false negatives (type II errors). We assessed classifier performance using 4 measures:

1. A cross-validated receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which captured how the model traded off between type I and type II errors.
2. An area under the curve (AUC) score for each ROC curve which captured its “total coverage” in this space, where 1 (100%) was the maximum value. These were then averaged and an average AUC score was computed for the average ROC curve.
3. An average confusion matrix which showed the average true-negative, false-positive, false-negative, and true-positive counts. An appropriately labeled confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 1.
4. The positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were also calculated, where PPV represented the proportion of delayed infants that were actually true positives and NPV represented the proportion of not delayed infants that were actually true negatives.

Of particular importance for our application was the NPV, as this specifies a model’s ability to not misclassify delayed infants as normal, perhaps causing them to miss out on habilitative care as early as possible.

**RESULTS**

The sample selected for this analysis consisted of infants who had at least completed both visual and/or audio COR paradigm at 6 months of age and a 12-month BSID-II examination. Of the 228 infants for whom we had a 12-month Bayley score, 104 were excluded for not having also completed either the visual or auditory COR paradigm at 6 months. The population subsequently analyzed consisted of 124 infants who had completed both the visual and/or auditory COR paradigm at 6 months and a 12-month BSID-II examination, in effect collapsing across all groups in order to assess individual differences. Differential attrition was previously analyzed in this population (Coles et al., 2015). Characteristics of the final sample used for the analysis by infant 12-month BSID-II score category are shown in Table 2.

**Six-Month Bayley**

The left panel of Fig. 2 shows the normalized histogram and kernel density estimates of BSID-II scores at 12 months for both delayed and not delayed populations. It is clear that relatively low counts skewed the estimate, and led to considerable overlap in the scores. The right panel shows a scatter plot at 6 and 12 months for both delayed and not delayed infants, overlaid with a confusion matrix, assessing the ability of the BSID-II at 6 months to predict performance at 12 months. The vertical line separates delayed from not delayed on the 6-month BSID-II, and the horizontal line separates delayed from not delayed on the 12-month BSID-II. This separates the scatter plot into 4 different labeled regions. Also overlaid are the actual counts for the analyzed population, from which a PPV of 43% and NPV of 77% were calculated, serving as a baseline for later comparison.

**Cardiac Orienting Response**

Figures 3 and 4 show the various aspects of the 6-month COR in response to an auditory and visual stimulus, respectively, for both normal and delayed infants. All graphs are shown with their respective standard errors. The top right panel shows the group averages across the 3 habituation trials. Although the overall deceleration in the delayed group was less, there is significant overlap between the distributions.

**Classification Performance**

Figure 5 provides a comparison of the performance of the first 3 feature groupings. The left panel shows the classifier’s performance using the Standard COR where the average habituation and dishabituation under visual and audio stimuli were used, with an average AUC score of 81%, a NPV of 85%, and a PPV of 66%. The middle

![Fig. 1. Labeled confusion matrix.](image-url)
Fig. 2. Left—Normalized histogram of Bayley scores at 12 months for Normal and Delayed infants with estimated distributions and actual counts overlaid. Right—Scatter plot of Bayley scores at 6 and 12 months. Vertical line separates the delayed from normal on the 6-month Bayley. Horizontal line separates the delayed from normal on the 12-month Bayley. Also overlaid are the actual counts, from which positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) are calculated. MDI, Mental Development Index.

Table 2. Maternal and Infant Characteristics of the Sample by BSID-II Score at 12 Months of Age, Ukraine 2008 to 2012. For the Smoking Status and Education Variables, Frequencies (Percentages) are Given and p-Values are Reported from Fisher’s Exact Test; for all Other Variables, Means (Standard Deviations) are Given and p-Values Are From the Mann-Whitney U-Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>&gt;85 (N = 98)</th>
<th>≤85 (N = 26)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)—mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.08 (5.38)</td>
<td>24.54 (5.37)</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at enrollment (weeks)—mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.58 (6.68)</td>
<td>20.2484 (4.89)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age delivery (weeks)—mean (SD)</td>
<td>39.38 (1.69)</td>
<td>40 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status—n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>57 (58.2)</td>
<td>14 (53.8)</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smoker (quit before pregnancy)</td>
<td>10 (10.2)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smoker (quit after realized pregnant)</td>
<td>19 (19.4)</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>12 (12.2)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education—n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;High school</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>41 (41.8)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or higher</td>
<td>53 (54.5)</td>
<td>6 (23.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per day at time of conception—mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.433 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per drinking day at time of conception—mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.88 (1.15)</td>
<td>0.83 (1.09)</td>
<td>0.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per day in 2 weeks prior to enrollment—mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ounces of alcohol per drinking day in 2 weeks prior to enrollment—mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant age at 6-month Bayley (months)—mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.52 (1.10)</td>
<td>6.90 (1.29)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant age at 12-month Bayley (months)—mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.62 (2.14)</td>
<td>13.30 (2.23)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation.  
*p ≤ 0.05.  
**p ≤ 0.01.

Panel shows the classifier’s performance using the Key-Features COR where the average trough, latency, post-stimulus latency, and average change features were extracted from the Standard COR, with an average AUC score of 81%, a NPV of 82%, and a PPV of 62%. The right panel shows the classifier’s performance using indices of maternal drinking, with an average AUC score of 68%, a NPV of 75%, and a PPV of 49%.

Figure 6 provides a comparison of the performance of the last 2 feature groups. The left panel shows the classifier’s performance using the Standard COR in addition to indices of maternal drinking, with an average AUC score of 84%, a NPV of 87%, and a PPV of 65%. The right panel shows the classifier’s performance using the Key-Features COR in addition to indices of maternal drinking, with an average AUC score of 80%, a NPV of 80%, and a PPV of 62%.

Table 3 summarizes our classification results across different feature groups and shows an additional row for the 6-month BSID-II, bolding the highest scores.
DISCUSSION

Identifying prenatal alcohol-affected individuals as early as possible is an important public health priority. Doing so requires focusing on both improving identification in younger populations, and considering issues pertaining to method scalability. This study addressed this problem by assessing the performance of a 6-month COR paradigm as a predictor of 12-month developmental delay as measured on a widely accepted measure of developmental status. We evaluated the performance of classification methods trained on different groupings of scalable features built around the COR paradigm, comparing its effectiveness with and without the inclusion of nonscalable features indicative of maternal prenatal drinking habits.

Predictive analysis based solely on the COR resulted in good NPV but poor PPV. The levels obtained by both models of the COR exceeded levels obtained by the 6-month BSID-II MDI score alone, which only had NPV in the fair range and PPV in the poor or failed range. As the resources required to generate a BSID-II MDI score are considerably more than those required in a COR-based paradigm and the predictive utility was improved with COR, these results suggest that the COR paradigm may be a more efficient method of identifying individuals with neurodevelopmental impairment.

Comparison of the Standard COR to the Key-Features COR in which the latter served as a summary measure, being composed of features previously shown to be sensitive to PAE, indicated comparable results with the Key-Features COR having slightly improved performance. As the Key-Features COR is essentially a function of the Standard COR in that is extracted from it, it allows us to use much less information to represent an infant. A concern would be that such models might come at a large cost in terms of prediction, but our results indicated that this is not the case. The results support the various clinical interpretations of the COR previously used (Kable and Coles, 2004), as well as suggests improved scalability, as comparable predictive performance was achieved with much less data.

Indices of maternal alcohol consumption were relatively poor at identifying infants who were mildly delayed at 12 months of age, and when included in models using indices of the COR, only minor improvements in prediction were obtained. This suggests that simply identifying levels of maternal alcohol consumption will not be sufficient to adequately identify children with alcohol-related neurodevelopmental impairment. This result should not be surprising as a large portion of infants who are prenatally exposed to alcohol do not exhibit deficits and the reliability and validity of self-report of maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy has been problematic (Bax et al., 2015).

Much of the literature on the design and assessment of the usefulness of large-scale screening tools focus on assessing (i)
Fig. 4. Six-month dishabituation cardiac orienting responses (Visual). Left—Trials 1 to 3 for normal infants. Middle: Trials 1 to 3 for delayed infants. Right—Average dishabituation for normal versus delayed.

Fig. 5. Visualizing classifier performance through ROC curves (top) and confusion matrix (bottom). Left—Classification using Standard COR. Middle—Classification using Key-Features COR. Right—Classification using indices of maternal drinking. COR, cardiac orienting response; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
the ease and cost of administration of the screening tool, (ii) the benefit and cost of early intervention in the screened population, and (iii) cost of follow-up testing (Berwick, 1985; Lin and Williamson, 2012; Maxim et al., 2014). Common to all is an attempt at assessing the various types of “cost.” For example, the material and bodily cost of intervening at a certain time versus that of potentially “missing out” on that early opportunity. Critical to how one compares these various notions are measures of performance such as PPV and NPV (Maxim et al., 2014). Above we have shown that a 6-month cardiac measure can do better than a 6-month developmental assessment score (BSID-II MDI). The most promising results of our analysis, however, shows that a COR-based paradigm can perform quite well at excluding infants from risk, as measured by its NPV: When someone is excluded from future risk (declared not at risk, or normal, at 6 months), there is a very high probability that the infant will indeed score in the normal range at 12 months.

We suggest that for the population of PAE infants, this is a very desirable property to have in this type of large-scale risk stratification tool, where several follow-up early interventions exist that are relatively “low cost” and have potentially very high reward by reducing lifetime healthcare costs and improving developmental outcomes. Using the COR to declare an infant at 6 months of age as being at risk for later delay would serve to trigger further follow-up testing and perhaps candidacy for traditional early intervention services and more novel interventions such as nutritional supplementation (Wozniak et al., 2015). In a screening paradigm intended to identify at-risk infants as young as possible, misclassifying an infant at 6 months as being at risk for later delay (a type I error, meaning the infant will subsequently test normal) comes at a much lower ultimate detriment to the infant than the opposite. In other words, a missed opportunity for early intervention can have a high adverse impact on an alcohol-affected child, whereas providing follow-up...
testing and interventions for those who screen false positive would be expected to have little to no negative effects for that child. Future work should focus on attempting to carefully elucidate and model the various notions of costs, attempting to create an “optimal” screening tool for a PAE population.

As our results are focused primarily on early and scalable identification, an immediate comparison can be made to the early work of O’Connor and colleagues (1980), where they used an auditory COR paradigm at 4 months to predict performance on an 18-month Bayley examination, examining differences between preterm and full-term infants. While their findings were that female and not male responsiveness to novelty at 4 months was a strong predictor of 18-month mental performance, here we did not examine gender specific differences, instead collapsing across all groups to determine a robust characterization of later delay regardless of infant gender. In addition, our analysis used both auditory and visual stimuli and employed modern statistical analysis techniques, achieving better performance than using either one alone. Indeed, in many respects, this work can be considered a continuation and an extension of this early work.

Another ready-made comparison is the work of Mattson et al. in developing and testing a neurobehavioral profile of FASD (Mattson and Riley, 2011; Mattson et al., 2010, 2013). Their results in older children (from 6 to 12 years of age) yielded a classification accuracy of near 73% for both PAE and control groups and were based on the inclusion of many neuropsychological variables. In this study, we were able to show similar performance in much younger children, but were limited to predicting only their 12-month BSID-II MDI score. Our results are a natural first step toward developing screening tools comparable to those that Mattson et al. (Mattson and Riley, 2011; Mattson et al., 2010, 2013) developed for older children, but relevant for application in younger populations and on a larger scale.

Some limitations of the present work are the increased risk of overfitting to the given population. Steps were taken to mitigate these effects, but future work will focus on improving the sample size, improving the underlying classification model, and testing its performance across different clinical populations, helping to ensure its ability to generalize. An additional possible weakness of this study is due to recruitment and retention issues in the original sample, which may have altered the sample in the retained population. As previously described (Coles et al., 2015), greater percentages of women classified as high-risk drinkers did not return for the follow-up portion of the study, suggesting that the sample was not a random representative sample of the original cohort, and the lack of representativeness may have somehow attenuated our findings.

As the 12-month Bayley is a relatively coarse predictor of later performance and not the best outcome measure to evaluate the predictive validity of the COR, the focus of future work should be to assess the long-term predictive validity of a COR paradigm across different measures of intellectual functioning obtained in the preschool school and school-age periods of development. Additionally, as CORs are not necessarily specific to PAE, it is important not to suggest that this will be a way of identifying that PAE has occurred. Instead, it should be considered a way of identifying that there is neurodevelopmental impairment of which one potential cause is PAE.

The results described herein are indeed promising and suggestive of the usefulness of the COR as a concise and scalable early screening tool for identification of aspects of the neurobehavioral profile of FASD that historically have been unobtainable until later in childhood. Further research is needed to refine and validate the long-term predictive validity of the COR paradigm to determine whether it is an appropriate tool to improve access to early intervention services for children negatively impacted by PAE.
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