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Abstract

Friedreich’s ataxia (FRDA) is an inherited, progressive neurodegenerative disease that typically affects teenagers and young adults. Therapeutic strategies and disease insight have expanded rapidly over recent years, leading to hope for the FRDA population. There is currently no US FDA-approved treatment for FRDA, but advances in research of its pathogenesis have led to clinical trials of potential treatments. This article reviews emerging therapies and discusses future perspectives, including the need for more precise measures for detecting changes in neurologic symptoms as well as a disease-modifying agent.
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Background

Friedreich’s ataxia (FRDA) is the most common autosomal recessive form of ataxia. It has a prevalence of approximately 1.7–4.7/100,000 [1] in most parts of the world, except for East Asia (China, Japan, Korea and Southeast Asia), sub-Saharan Africa, and Amerindians where the disease has never been reported in the indigenous populations [2].

FRDA is caused by an unstable triplet (intronic GAA) repeat expansion in FXN that results in reduced transcription of a nuclear-encoded FXN gene and, thereby, a decreased production of the mitochondrial protein frataxin. The deficiency of frataxin results in abnormal mitochondrial respiration, increased free-radical production [1], and intramitochondrial iron accumulation in the heart, liver, dentate nucleus of the cerebellum, and fibroblasts. The age of symptom onset and length of GAA repeats are correlated, with greater repeats indicative of earlier onset of symptoms and more severe presentation [3]. The mean age of onset of FRDA is 15 years, with most cases developing before age 25 [1].

The disorder is characterized by progressive gait and limb ataxia, dysarthria, cardiomyopathy, diabetes, abnormal proprioception and vibratory sense, and loss of reflexes [1–5], with a slowly progressive course that culminates in reliance on hands-on assistance for self-care and wheelchair dependence. Nursing and rehabilitative interventions are the mainstays of treatment, as there are no curative therapies. However, research on pharmacologic treatments for FRDA has advanced significantly in the past decade. Potential agents such as antioxidants, frataxin-inducing agents (histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors and interferon gamma), and gene therapy are currently under investigation (Table 1).

FRDA clinical rating scales

Standardized rating scales are used to quantify and evaluate the severity and progression of FRDA [6–11]. The most commonly used are the International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale (ICARS), the Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA) and the Friedreich’s Ataxia Rating Scale (FARS). A variety of other scales that measure functionality and quality of life are commonly used in the evaluation of Friedreich’s ataxia including the Inventory of Non-Ataxia Signs (INAS), the Spinocerebellar Ataxia Functional Index (SCAFI), the neurocognitive phonemic verbal fluency test, Friedreich Ataxia Impact Scale, and the EQ-5D [6–8]. The ICARS has a range of 0–100 points and while it evaluates posture and gait, limb coordination, speech disorder, and oculomotor dysfunction, it is weighted in favor of kinetic function – which accounts for more than half the points. The ICARS has been validated in numerous studies of FRDA and spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) [9].
The SARA score ranges from 0–40 and consists of eight items evaluating gait, stance, sitting, speech, finger chase, nose-finger test, fast alternating hand movements, and heel-shin slide. Limb coordination items are rated independently for the patient’s left and right sides and the two scores averaged. The SARA has been validated in studies and is most often used when evaluating SCA and other ataxias that have a predominance of cerebellar symptoms [10].

The FARS, developed specifically for evaluating FRDA patients and consists four main sections: functional staging, activities of daily living subscale (ADLs), neurological exam, and quantitative timed activities. The functional staging section of the FARS examines patient mobility, and the ADLs section assesses ability to complete daily tasks such as speaking, dressing, and walking. The neurological exam targets specific areas impacted by FRDA including bulbar function, upper limb coordination, lower limb coordination, peripheral nerve, upright stability and gait functions. The quantitative timed activities include the 25-foot walk, 9-hole peg test, and PATA speech rate. Studies have demonstrated the validity of the FARS in the evaluation of FRDA patients [10,11].

**Treatment of FRDA: medications**

**Decrease oxidative stress &/or increase mitochondrial function**

Medications that have been tested or are currently being studied in FRDA patients include: idebenone (Raxone®/Catena®, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, Switzerland), coenzyme Q10, vitamin E, A0001, Vincerinone® (EPI-743), OX1®, resveratrol, L-acetylcarnitine, RTA-408 and dPufas. Furthermore, because FRDA is characterized by mitochondrial respiratory chain dysfunction and oxidative stress, patients may benefit from therapies that inhibit free radical formation.

**Idebenone**—Idebenone (Raxone/Catena), an analogue of coenzyme Q10, is an antioxidant and a potent-free radical scavenger [12]. Study results regarding treatment of FRDA with idebenone have been inconclusive. In a 6 month randomized, double-blind, controlled study, 70 pediatric patients were divided in to three groups. Patients in Group A received either 450 mg/d (if body weight ≤45 kg at baseline) or 900 mg/d (if body weight >45 kg at baseline), group B received 1,350 mg/d (if ≤45 kg) or 2,250 mg/d (if >45 kg), and group C received placebo. All patients were evaluated with echocardiography, EKGs, and the ICARS. The study did not find a significant decrease in left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy or improvement cardiac function [13]. Idebenone also had no significant effect on the neurologic status of the patients in the study [14]. However, several variables could have influenced these negative results. The study included only ambulatory children, and this highly selected population may not have included individuals with significant heart disease. Furthermore, while echocardiography is the most common method for evaluating FRDA patients, strain rate and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) would have been more sensitive in detecting changes in cardiac function, LV size and mass for patients in this short-term study. However, cardiac MRI is not yet practical for large studies due to cost inefficiency and a lack of uniformity across sites.
Conversely, two short-term follow-up trials reported a significant reduction of interventricular septal (IVS) thickness and LV mass, as well as a reduction of cardiac hypertrophy in subjects taking idebenone [15,16]. These studies consisted of small sample sizes, and found idebenone to be well tolerated, with only one subject in either of the studies developing tachycardia while on the drug [16]. The first study was an open label trial that included 38 patients, ages 4–22 years, who were administered oral idebenone 5 mg/kg/day. Cardiac status was evaluated via ultrasound both before the initial administration of the medication and after 6 months of therapy. A reduction in LV mass of more than 20% was reported in about half of subjects (p < 0.001) [15]. The next short-term trial was a randomized, placebo controlled 1-year trial that consisted of 29 patients receiving idebenone 5 mg/kg/day or placebo [16]. Cardiac ultrasound measured IVS thickness and LV mass at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. IVS thickness was reduced by 4.3% (p = 0.05) at 6 months and 4.6% (p = 0.004) at 12 months in the idebenone group versus an increase of 3.2% at 6 months and 5.5% at 12 months in the placebo group. There were no statistically significant changes in the ICARS scores of these patients during this time [16].

The effect of idebenone on the neurological progression of FRDA has been evaluated in several clinical trials. In one open label study, 10 pediatric patients and 14 adult patients with FRDA received treatment with 5–20 mg/kg idebenone daily for 3–5 years [17]. There were no significant changes in the ICARS after 5 years of follow-up, suggesting disease stabilizing effects, although there was a statistically significant increase (p ≤ 0.005) in the total ICARS in adult patients when compared with measurements taken 3 years earlier [17]. A double-blind study randomized 48 FRDA (ages 9–17) patients to receive idebenone (5 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg or 45 mg/kg/day) or placebo for 6 months [18]. No significant changes in the total ICARS, total FARS, or activity of daily living scores were reported. Due to limitations of the rating scale in evaluating wheelchair bound patients, study data may have been skewed and consequently, a secondary analysis was performed on data from patients with initial ICARS scores between 10 and 54. A significant improvement in the total ICARS was found (p = 0.01). Further evaluation of the data from the secondary analysis suggested a dose dependent result; patients who received higher doses of idebenone were found to have a more significant improvement in their total ICARS (p = 0.03), although this was not true for their total FARS (p = 0.14) and ADL scores (p = 0.16). One subject experienced neutropenia while on a high dose (45 mg/kg of idebenone) after 6 months. This resolved after discontinuation of treatment [18].

**Additional antioxidants**—Several small trials have evaluated the effect of *coenzyme Q10* and *vitamin E* in FRDA patients. In one study, 10 FRDA patients received coenzyme Q10 (dose of 400 mg/day) and vitamin E (dose of 2,100 IU/day) for 6 months [19]. Although the study failed to find any consistent benefits in neurological and echocardiographic testing, the maximum rate of skeletal muscle mitochondrial ATP production increased to 139% (p = 0.01) of baseline values following 3 months of testing [19]. Another open label study tested the long-term efficacy of antioxidant agents (coenzyme Q10, dose of 400 mg/day and vitamin E, dose of 2,100 IU/d) in FRDA patients for 47 months [20]. The study found significant improvements in cardiac and skeletal muscle bioenergetics. Conversely, a third study that compared low-dose coenzyme Q10 (30 mg/d) to high-dose coenzyme Q10 (600
mg/d) and vitamin E (2,100 IU/day) for 2 years found no differences in the ICARS scores from treatment [21].

A0001 (α-tocopheryl quinone, Edison Pharmaceuticals) is a potent antioxidant evaluated in 31 adult FRDA patients in a double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot trial [22]. The primary endpoint was the Disposition Index [22], a measure of ‘diabetic tendency’, and the secondary study measure the FARS. Following 4 weeks of therapy, there were no significant changes in the Disposition Index between the drug and placebo groups. However, there was a significant and dose-dependent improvement in the total FARS. Patients in the low-dose A0001 group improved by 4.9 points, while patients in the high dose group improved by 6.1 points (p < 0.01). A related compound, EPI-743 (Vincerinone® Edison Pharmaceuticals) was developed to treat life-threatening diseases that involve the mitochondrial respiratory chain such as Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON). It is currently being tested in a Phase II 6 month, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with an open label extension phase in 60 adult FRDA patients (www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01962363). Another potent anti-oxidant, indole-3-propionic acid (SHP622, Shire Pharmaceuticals [formerly VP20629®]), is also currently being evaluated in adults with FRDA in a Phase I, multidose, multicenter trial (www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01898884).

Resveratrol is a natural phenol and an antioxidant that has been found to increase Fxn gene expression in FRDA animal models [23]. An open-label study evaluated the effect of resveratrol in two doses (1 g and 5 g daily) on peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) frataxin levels in 24 FRDA patients for 12 weeks [24]. While there were no changes in PBMC frataxin levels in either dosage group at study endpoint, there was improvement in the total FARS score (~3.4 points) in patients taking high dose resveratrol (p = 0.036).

L-acetylcarnitine is a derivative of L-carnitine, a substance involved in fatty acid transport into the mitochondria [25]. Preclinical studies suggest L-acetylcarnitine can alter the levels of membrane proteins in the cerebellar mitochondria and thus may reduce oxidative stress [26]. One double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study investigated 24 patients with various degenerative ataxias, including 11 patients with confirmed FRDA, 10 patients with idiopathic late onset cerebellar ataxia (ILOCA), 2 patients with SCA2 and 1 patient with SCA1. Subjects received either 1,000 mg L-acetylcarnitine or placebo twice daily for 6 months, followed by a 1-month washout period and crossover to the alternate therapy for another 6 months [27]. No side effects were reported. The study utilized a modified ataxia rating scale (ARS) validated in 47 patients [28]. The scale assessed six domains: cranial nerves, coordination, tone, reflexes, peripheral signs, and evaluation of muscle strength [29]. A significant treatment effect (p < 0.007) on muscle tone at 6 months was observed. Improvement in overall coordination was also observed at month 3 (p < 0.04) and month 6 (p < 0.03) [27]. Conversely, another double-blind randomized, placebo-controlled, triple-crossover trial, investigating the effect of 3 g/d L-carnitine, 6.75 g/d creatine, in 16 ambulatory patients with FRDA found no significant improvements in neurological deficits or ICARS scores [25].

Deuterated polyunsaturated fatty acids (D-PUFAs)—Studies of both mouse and human FRSA fibroblasts showed potential benefits of D-PUFAs in the reduction of
oxidative stress [30]. One agent, RT001, is currently in Phase I/II study as a potential treatment in FRDA (www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02445794). RT001 is deuterated linoleic acid that is chemically altered to enable its entry into the cell where it has the potential to reduce oxidative stress in the mitochondria and increase ATP production [31,32].

Iron chelators

FRDA is characterized by a deficiency in frataxin, an important component in the assembly of iron-sulfur clusters, with resultant mitochondrial iron accumulation [33]. The potential role of iron chelation has been considered as treatment for FRDA, but many potential chelators have been hampered by poor permeability in biological membranes [33].

Deferiprone—Deferiprone is an orally administered iron chelator with good permeability that has been tested treatment of FRDA. One double-blind, placebo-controlled study randomized 72 FRDA patients to receive deferiprone 20, 40, or 60 mg/kg/day or placebo for 6 months [34]. The primary objective was to determine the safety of deferiprone, and secondary measures included the FARS. Deferiprone was well tolerated at 20 mg/kg/day, while the 60 mg/kg/day dose was discontinued due to worsening ataxia in 2 patients. One patient on deferiprone 20 mg/kg/day also experienced reversible neutropenia. There were no significant changes in the FARS in the 20 mg/kg/day group, and worsening was observed in those patients who received 40 mg/kg/day. An open label study conducted over 11 months tested a combination of deferiprone and idebenone (20 mg/kg/day, respectively) [35]. While there were no significant improvements in the ICARS, a reduction in intraventricular septum thickness as well as left ventricular mass index was reported (p = 0.04 and p = 0.038, respectively) [35].

Modulators of frataxin-controlled metabolic pathways

Apoptosis occurs in FRDA due to reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the mitochondria of the neuronal, cardiac, and beta cells. This oxidative stress is caused by a lack of frataxin and decreased production of iron–sulphur (Fe–S) clusters leading to reduced activation of Fe–S enzymes and increased iron levels. The excessive iron in the mitochondria reacts with the ROS resulting in cellular destruction [36].

Incretin analogs—Incretin analogs have been found to reduce oxidative stress and increase cAMP production in beta cells lacking frataxin. Results were produced in both rat and human islet cells and two human iPS neurons. Specifically, glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and forskolin were found to reduce cell death, normalize free radical production and increase frataxin by 1.5–2 fold [37]. In a similar study of β-cells, analogs of GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) have been found in vitro to partially protect frataxin-deficient islet cells from apoptosis [38]. These compounds have not yet been tested in human FRDA patients.

Pioglitazone—Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR)-γ agonists, including rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, are used to treat diabetes, but may potentially be effective in treating FRDA. One PPAR-γ agonist, Azelaoyl PAF, has been found to increase FXN
mRNA expression and frataxin protein in primary fibroblasts from FRDA patients and healthy controls [39]. PPAR agonists may potentially treat FRDA by increasing frataxin levels and through anti-oxidative properties [40]. A Phase III efficacy trial of pioglitazone has been completed (www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00811681).

**Nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) activators**—Studies of fibroblasts have shown that the GAA repeats in the FXN gene inhibit Nrf2 in patients with FRDA, allowing oxidative mechanisms to predominate [30,40]. Therefore, the development of pharmaceuticals to activate Nrf2 will reduce intracellular oxidative stress and damage to the mitochondria in FRDA patients [30]. One such therapy, RTA 408, is in a Phase II trial (www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02255435).

**Frataxin stabilizers, enhancers & replacement**

**Erythropoietin**—Erythropoietin (EPO) is a hormone that controls erythropoiesis, or red blood cell production. Recombinant human erythropoietin has been found to increase frataxin in cellular models [41]. An open-label study in 12 FRDA patients who received 5,000 units of recombinant human erythropoietin administered subcutaneously three times weekly reported a significant increase in frataxin levels in isolated lymphocytes after 8 weeks (p < 0.01) [42]. However, several additional clinical trials have failed to report improvement in clinical outcomes with EPO testing. One double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluated the safety and tolerability of carbamylated EPO (CEPO) in 36 ambulatory FRDA patients with > 400 GAA repeats [43]. Patients were randomized to receive CEPO (325 mg three times a week) or placebo for a total of six doses. While CEPO was found to be safe and well tolerated, neither frataxin levels nor ataxia ratings differed between the drug and placebo groups. No adverse events occurred in the CEPO group compared with the placebo group. Similarly, in another 6 month, randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind, study that assessed the safety and efficacy of EPO in increasing frataxin levels in 16 adult FRDA patients, there were no significant clinical, or biochemical outcomes with EPO use [44].

**Ubiquitin competitors**—Ubiquitin competitors are in the discovery phase of development in the treatment of FRDA. Frataxin degradation is controlled by the ubiquitin–proteasome system. By blocking ubiquitination of frataxin, intracellular frataxin levels increase. A ubiquitin competing molecule, NSC620299, decreased frataxin degradation within the cell; however, further study must be completed on the effect within the mitochondria. Ubiquitin competitors show potential promise as treatment of FRDA [45].

**Neurotrophic factors**

**Insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1)**

IGF-1 is a polypeptide hormone that is important to central nervous system cell proliferation and death, metabolism, and homeostasis. Neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Huntington’s disease, and spinocerebellar ataxia were thought to be caused in part by malfunction in the insulin-like system and, therefore, IGF-1 has been studied in these disease states. Currently, IGF-1 is being evaluated as a potential treatment in FRDA due to
its neuroprotective qualities in Purkinje cells. A total of 5 genetically confirmed FRDA patients with normal ventricular function participated in a 12-month open label study evaluating the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of IGF-1 therapy. Participants received 50 ug/kg twice a day subcutaneously. IGF-1 was well tolerated by study participants. Efficacy was determined by changes in SARA scores from baseline to end of study (assessed quarterly) and changes in echocardiogram from baseline to end of study. The annual worsening rate (AWR) of the SARA score in the study patients was −0.4+/−0.83(CI 95%) whereas the AWR for the control group was 2.05+/−1.23 (CI 95%). Echocardiograms of these patients remained normal. The main limitation of this study was its small sample size, but results indicated improvement or slowing of the progression of FRDA symptoms [46].

Agents that increase FRDA gene expression

Epigenetic silencing of a gene can occur due to its closeness to heterochromatin, or highly condensed DNA. The expanded GAA repeats in FRDA lead to heterochromatin formation, resulting in gene silencing and reduced transcription of FXN mRNA [47,48].

HDACi nhibitors

Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors could potentially restore affected genes to normal function, by increasing histone acetylation on the FXN gene. Studies have shown that HDAC inhibitors increase FXN in cellular and animal models [49,50] and increase FXN mRNA in FRDA lymphocytes [50]. One HDAC inhibitor, the pimelic 2-aminobenzamide, has been identified as an inducer of FXN gene expression and frataxin protein [51]. Pimelic 2-aminobenzamide also upregulates FXN expression in patient-induced pluripotent stem cells and in animal models [52].

An open-label study evaluated the effect of nicotinamide (Vitamin B3), a drug with HDAC properties, in 10 FRDA patients who were 18 years of age and older. Patients were treated with single doses of nicotinamide in first phase of the study, daily doses of 2–8 g of oral nicotinamide for 5 days in the second phase, and were treated for an additional 8 weeks in the third phase of the study [49]. The primary study outcome was upregulation of FXN expression; secondary outcomes included using chromatin immunoprecipitation on peripheral blood mononuclear cells to detect differences in chromatin structure in the FXN gene, and assessment clinical rating scales, including the SARA, the spinocerebellar ataxia functional index (SCAFI) [53], and the speech intelligibility test [49]. Nicotinamide was generally well tolerated, with the main side effect being mild and reversible nausea. However, three out of ten patients had abnormal results from liver function tests when taking high doses (mild to moderate in two patients and severe in one patient) that resolved with a reduction in nicotinamide dose. In the first phase, there was a dose-response proportional change in frataxin from baseline to 8 h after dose (p = 0·0004) although the dose of 8 g is much higher than the recommended daily dose. Further analysis suggested that 3.8 g of nicotinamide would cause a 1.5-times increase, and 7.5 g, a doubling of frataxin. There was a significant upregulation of FXN expression with repeated daily ingestion of 3.5–6 g, as well as changes in chromatin structure. No significant improvements were observed in clinical rating scales at end point compared with baseline, however.
**Interferon gamma**

Interferon gamma is a medication that is used in chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) and malignant osteopetrosis. The medication mimics the action of interferon gamma that is naturally occurring in the body by regulating nearly all phases of the immune and inflammatory response. Its effects mediate the activation and differentiation of T-cells, B-cells, macrophages, and NK cells. It is a cytokine that is involved in iron metabolism and immune response. Interferon gamma has shown to stimulate frataxin production by increasing transcription of the *FXN* gene in both cell and animal models of FRDA [54]. In one open label study, 12 pediatric patients with FRDA received 10 mcg/m² interferon gamma via subcutaneous injection three times weekly then titrated up to 50 mcg/m² over 4 weeks, and maintained for 8 weeks. For this study, the primary outcome measure was frataxin level in whole blood; however, secondary measures included frataxin levels in platelets, PBMC, RBC and buccal cells. Additionally, neurological progression was assessed via FARS. Interferon gamma was generally well tolerated with only two patients reporting severe flu-like symptoms. Side effects resolved with a 10 mcg/m² reduction of dose. Results showed significant improvement in the total FARS score (p = 0.0078) with the upper limb coordination subscale improving approximately 3.5 points (p = 0.02). Surprisingly, despite these positive findings, frataxin levels did not significantly improve for patients [55]. Interferon gamma is currently being studied in a human Phase III clinical trial and appears to be the most promising new therapy currently in clinical development.

**Combination therapy**

The treatment of FRDA currently focuses on monotherapy to treat a particular pathway or symptom of the disease. Several studies, however, focus on triple or combination therapy to combat multiple pathways in FRDA patients. Triple therapy with deferiprone (5–25 mg/kg/day), idebenone (10–20 mg/kg/day) and riboflavin (10–15 mg/kg/day) was evaluated via open-label study in 13 patients with FRDA and normal cardiac function over 15–45 months. The efficacy of treatment was evaluated through change in SARA score from baseline and change in baseline in echocardiogram. While triple therapy was generally well tolerated, four patients were removed from the study due to deferiprone-induced, low ferritin levels. There was statistically significant worsening of the SARA score from the fourth quarter of the study. The AWR of the SARA score, however, was 0.96% (CI 95%) on average in study participants whereas the theoretical AWR was 2.05+/−1.23 indicating a slowing in the progression of FRDA with the use of triple therapy. LVMI decrease by 6.5 g/m² in the 1st year of the study (not significant), but increased to basal value at the end of the 2nd year. LVEF remained stable in all patients except three [56].

A second open-label study of triple therapy included 11 FRDA patients with no known history of cardiomyopathy were given darbepoetin alfa (150 μg every 2–3 weeks), idebenone (10–20 mg/kg/day TID), and riboflavin (10–15 mg/kg/day TID) for 32+/−19.4 months. The effect of treatment was evaluated via change in SARA score from baseline and change in cardiac function from baseline using echocardiogram. The therapy was generally well tolerated with no AEs reported. Two patients withdrew from the study at 3 months due to hemoglobin levels above 15.5 g/dl. The SARA score showed no statistically significant
improvement in participants during the first 24 months of the study. Nevertheless, the mean AWR of the SARA score for study participants at 24 months was $-1.42\pm0.51$ whereas theoretical AWR is $2.05\pm1.23$ in the general FRDA population. This appears to indicate a decreased rate of neurological progression in study participants. The LVMI and LVEF showed nonsignificant decrease during the 4 years of study treatment. LVMI decreased between 8.3 and 21.8 g/m$^2$ and LVEF decreased between 3.6 and 7.5%. Triple therapy yielded cardiac stability in participants during the 4 years of treatment [57].

The initial results of triple, or combination therapy show potential promise for FRDA patients. Triple therapy slowed neurological progression of FRDA and provided cardiac benefits to participants. Whether the efficacy of triple therapy is greater than monotherapy, requires further double-blind, placebo-controlled studies.

Miscellaneous medications

Physostigmine

Physostigmine is US FDA approved for use in anticholinergic toxicity and has been studied as a treatment in Alzheimer’s disease and cerebellar ataxia [58,59]. The rationale for evaluating physostigmine in ataxia, including FRDA, is its inhibition of acetylcholinesterase, which prolongs central and peripheral effects of acetylcholine [60]. A double blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study investigated the effects of oral physostigmine on ataxic symptoms in 21 patients with various hereditary ataxias (10 patients with FRDA) over four consecutive 3-month phases [61]. Patients were initially treated with physostigmine 3 mg daily and were then titrated up to 8 mg/daily over a 3-week period, and maintained at that dose for the remainder of the treatment phase. One 9-year old patient reported nausea, which resolved after the dosage was reduced by half. Evaluators assessed patients for nystagmus, dysmetria, conversational speech, repetitive speech, lingual movements, upper and lower limb coordination, posture, stance, and gait in a standardized ’scale’. Overall, 13 patients saw improvement in symptom scores while on physostigmine in comparison to placebo ($p < 0.025$) [61]. The authors did not provide specifics on the types of ataxias that responded to physostigmine, but stated that the drug appeared to have impacts on dysmetria, dysrhythmia and speed of movement.

Riluzole

Riluzole is currently used in the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and demonstrated positive effects on ataxia patients in one clinical trial [62]. It has been proposed that the mechanism of action may relate to the small conductance calcium-activated potassium channels that appear to regulate excitability in neurons found within deep cerebellar nuclei [64,65]. Abnormal, rapid firing of these neurons may contribute to ataxia, and it may be that riluzole reduces this hyperexcitability [62,66]. The efficacy of riluzole in ameliorating FRDA has not been examined in a focused trial; however, FRDA patients were included in a clinical study of various ataxias that showed mixed results [62]. In this double-blind placebo controlled trial, a sample of 40 patients with various cerebellar ataxias (eight of whom had FRDA) were randomly assigned to the riluzole 100 mg daily or placebo arm for 8 weeks [62]. The primary end point of the study was a decrease in the
ICARS score of 5 points or more at 4 and 8 weeks compared with baseline. About 68% of the participants who received riluzole experienced a decrease of 5 points or greater in their ICARS score. However, only one of the three FRDA patients in the riluzole group experienced a reduction of 5 or greater points in the ICARS score at 8 weeks, while another FRDA patient had an increase of 1 point in the ICARS at 8 weeks. One of the FRDA patients treated with placebo also had 5 points decrease in ICARS scores at 8 weeks. Two patients who received riluzole were observed to have a slight increase of alanine aminotransferase levels (<1.5 times above normal limit). Liver function tests in patients taking riluzole should be monitored once per month for 3 months, once every 3 months for the following 9 months, and intermittently thereafter [62]. Further study is necessary to determine whether riluzole has any benefit in patients with FRDA.

**Varenicline**

Varenicline (Chantix®, Pfizer Inc.), an alpha4 beta2 nicotinic partial agonist, has been demonstrated to have some clinical benefit in spinocerebellar type 3 (Machado Joseph) patients [67]. However, a Phase II trial to study the effect of varenicline in FRDA patients [68] was discontinued early due to concerns of safety in FRDA patients, several of whom reported worsening balance.

**Amantadine**

Amantadine is an antiviral medication that is used to treat dyskinesia in Parkinson’s disease and tardive dyskinesia arising from chronic neuroleptic therapy. An open-label study involving 16 FRDA patients investigated the effects of amantadine using the Functional Ataxia Scoring Scale (FASS), which evaluates ocular movements, lingual movements, upper limb coordination, lower limb coordination, posture and gait [69]. Two blinded evaluators scored unedited video recordings, cassette recordings of speech and writing samples of each patient. An average of the raters’ individual scores were used to calculate a total disability score for each patient both before and 1 h after the administration of amantadine syrup 1 mg per pound of patient body weight up to 100 mg. The 1-h postadministration TDS improved by an average of 29.5% (p < 0.0005) when compared with the preadministration TDS; however, the effects of amantadine gradually dissipated over 4 h. Patients who were less functionally disabled at baseline were found to have the best response to the treatment, and those without signs of congestive heart failure (n = 13) continued amantadine treatment beyond the single dose. The initial drug trial dosage for each patient was increased every 6 weeks to tolerance (max dose = 100 mg TID). While no cardiac side effects were encountered, hallucinations, constipation, livedo reticularis, and difficulty concentrating were reported [69].

A double-blind cross-over study investigated the effects of amantadine hydrochloride in 12 patients with FRDA and 2 patients with autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxia. Patients were randomly assigned to a placebo–amantadine or amantadine–placebo treatment sequence that included a 2-week interval between treatments. Manual dexterity and writing assessments were videotaped at baseline and 90 min after administration of 100 mg amantadine or placebo. Three blinded evaluators used the FASS to independently score the videos, finding no treatment effects [70].
Rehabilitation therapy

Rehabilitation therapy is a cornerstone of present-day ataxia therapy and there is evidence that physical therapy improves symptoms of cerebellar degeneration in the short-term and that, with continued exercise, benefits can be maintained long-term. A study involving 16 patients with degenerative cerebellar disease, 3 of whom had FRDA, assessed the effectiveness of a 4-week intensive coordination-training program [71]. Subjects were assessed with the ICARS, SARA, individual goal attainment scores and quantitative movement analysis 8 weeks prior to treatment, immediately before, directly after, and 8 weeks after training. Improvement was evident for patients after rehabilitation intervention. Furthermore, patients with ataxia due to degeneration of the afferent pathways, including those with FRDA, had significant improvement in the SARA (p < 0.026), ICARS (p < 0.027) and Berg Balance scores (p < 0.03) [71]. In a follow-up study, these subjects were instructed to continue exercises at home for 1 year to evaluate long-term effects of rehabilitation therapy. Due to disease progression, subjects experienced a decline of motor function and an increase of ataxia symptoms after 1 year; however, the results implied long-term rehabilitation therapy impedes the natural disease progression, allowing patients to retain motor function and coordination longer than they otherwise would have [72].

Additionally, because FRDA begins in adolescence, videogame therapy provides a novel treatment tool for these patients. Physiotherapy exercises complemented by whole-body and coordinative training on commercially available videogame technology have been found to be beneficial for both early onset and advanced, multisystem degenerative ataxia patients [73–75]. Videogame-based training involves motivational reward incentives and stimulating exercise environments. This training incorporates interactive exercises within dynamic environments that can stimulate and train patients’ real-world activities and anticipatory coordination capacities [73]. And additional advantage of video game therapy for progressed ataxia patients with mobility impairments is the elimination of the burden of travel because the exercises can be performed in the home.

Gene therapy

Research in gene replacement therapy is another treatment under development. One study investigated the use of gene therapy in a conditional mouse model with complete Fxn deletion in cardiac and skeletal muscle (Mck-Cre-Fxn<sup>L3/L</sup>-mice). The mice received adeno-associated virus rh10 vector expressing human FXN intravenously. The treatment fully prevented cardiomyopathy in the mouse models. Additionally, the treatment was also given to the mice after the onset of heart failure. The late administration of the therapy completely reversed cardiomyopathy in the mice at the functional, cellular, and molecular levels [76].

A second genetic study used zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) to excise the GAA expansion repeat on one allele of FRDA patient cells. The study was performed on FRDA patient derived lymphoblast, fibroblasts, and iPSCs. Excision was performed on only one allele because gene silencing occurs in patients that are homozygous for GAA repeats. The excision of the GAA repeats lead to increase FXN mRNA and protein expression in lymphoblasts, fibroblasts, and iPSCs derived neuronal cells. Also noted in iPSC derived
neuronal cells were correction of the FRDA biomarker signature and increased aconitase
activity and ATP levels [77].

Another genetic study considered the effect of R loops, an RNA/DNA hybrid that forms on
repeat expansions of the FXN gene in FRDA. The R loops are resistant to degradation and
silence the expression of the FXN gene. This inhibition of the FXN gene in turn leads to the
formation of heterochromatin and oxidative stress. Therapies that target R loops are a
potential treatment in FRDA [78].

The effects of FXN deletion have also been examined in yeast strains. One strain expressing
single scaffold protein, M107I Isu1, in cells with FXN deletion facilitated Fe-S cluster
formation in the mitochondria although at a lower rate than normal. Thus M107I Isu1 is
viewed as having potential for FRDA treatment [79].

Conclusion

Our understanding of the mechanism of FRDA has lead us to identify frataxin deficiency as
the primary cause of the disease, and the replacement of frataxin is a critical mechanism-
based therapy. In addition, the monitoring peripheral frataxin levels have become an
important biomarker for treatment development. Both HDAC inhibitors and interferon
gamma show potential as frataxin enhancement therapies and thus could hold promise in
future treatment for FRDA. The Friedreich’s Ataxia Research Alliance has compiled a
research pipeline at [82] which provides the most current state of preclinical and clinical
research in FRDA.

Rehabilitative and pharmacologic interventions may offer FRDA patients symptom relief.
However the extent of benefit from pharmaceutical agents is unclear, as studies investigating
the treatment of FRDA have often provided inconclusive and contradicting results arising
from inhomogeneity in trial populations, and conflicting rates of improvement in the placebo
arms. In older studies, nonvalidated measures were used. Future studies can be expected to
evaluate treatments in homogenous populations. The use and development of model clinical
instruments and technologies such as computerized gait monitoring, speech evaluation, and
imaging along with molecular indices adds precision and new avenues for capturing ataxic
symptoms and physiologic functions. Thereby, resulting in more precise and extended
measurement of disease progression and therapeutic effects. There is currently no FDA-
approved disease-modifying agent to correct FRDA at the genetic or cellular level, and
development of such an agent is crucial to treat this disease.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as:

• of interest; •• of considerable interest

2. Labuda M, Labuda D, Miranda C, et al. Unique origin and specific ethnic distribution of the

Neurodegener Dis Manag. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 February 01.


83. The Friedreich’s Ataxia Treatment Pipeline is a visual tool for communicating the progress of research and development on lead therapeutic candidates. www.curefa.org/pipeline
Practice points

- Friedreich’s ataxia is an inherited neurodegenerative disease, for which there is currently no US FDA-approved treatment.
- Therapeutic strategies and disease insight have expanded rapidly over recent years, providing new opportunities for disease relief.
- Advances in the study of disease mechanisms have been translated into clinical trials of potential treatments.
- Homogenous populations in clinical trials and the use of technologically advanced measures will advance the capacity to test promising treatments.
- Research in gene therapy provides a promising outlook in the future treatment of Friedreich’s ataxia.
Table 1

Studies of pharmaceutical treatments in Friedreich’s ataxia population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medications that decrease oxidative stress and/or increase mitochondrial function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 450 or 1350 mg/d (if body weight ≤5 kg at baseline) or 900 or 2250 mg/d (if body weight &gt;45 kg at baseline)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>No significant decrease in left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy or improvement in cardiac function</td>
<td>Lagedrost et al.</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First treatment arm: 450 idebenone per day (if body weight ≤5 kg) or 900 mg (if body weight &gt;45 kg)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Idebenone did not significantly improve the neurological status of pediatric patients with Friedreich ataxia compared with placebo (450 or 900 mg, p = 0.19 and 1350 or 2250 mg, p = 0.63)</td>
<td>Lynch et al.</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second treatment arm: 1350 or 2250 mg of idebenone per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 5 mg/kg of body weight per day</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Reduction in LV mass of more than 20% was reported in about half of subjects (p = 0.001)</td>
<td>Hause et al.</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 5 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Interventricular septal (IVS) thickness reduced by 4.3% (p = 0.05) at 6 months and 4.6% (p = 0.006) at 12 months in the idebenone group versus an increase of 3.2% at 6 months and 5.5% at 12 months in the placebo group</td>
<td>Mariotti et al.</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 5–20 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3–5 years</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>No significant changes in echocardiographic measurements</td>
<td>Pineda et al.</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 180–2250 mg/day based on body weight divided into low, intermediate and high doses</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Analysis on patients with ICARS scores between 10 and 54 at baseline found a significant improvement in the total ICARS (p = 0.01). Patients who received higher doses had more significant improvement in their total ICARS (p = 0.03); however this was not</td>
<td>DiProspero et al.</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Treatment duration</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Study (Year)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>true for their total FARS (p = 0.14) and ADL scores (p = 0.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurologic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Despite idebenone treatment, there was an increase in total ataxia scores (p = 0.039 at 8 months, p = 0.016 at 12 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 5 mg/kg/ day in three doses, maximum of 300 mg/ day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Findings showed a reduction of the LV mass index of approximately 20% in six of eight patients (p = 0.03)</td>
<td>Buyse et al.</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idebenone 5 mg/kg daily, in three doses</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4–9 months</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Decrease in left-ventricular mass (LVM) index occurred in all 3 patients. Patient 1 LVM decreased from 145 g at baseline to 114 g after 9 months of treatment. Patient 2 LVM decreased from 215 g at baseline to 151 g after 4 months of treatment. Patient 3 LVM decrease from 408 g at baseline to 279 g after 4 months of treatment</td>
<td>Rustin et al.</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coenzyme Q10</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No consistent benefits in echocardiographic testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coenzyme Q10 (dose of 400 mg/day) and vitamin E (dose of 2100 IU/day)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No consistent benefits in neurological testing</td>
<td>Lodi et al.</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coenzyme Q10 (dose of 400 mg/day) and vitamin E (dose of 2100 IU/day)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>47 months</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Significant improvements in cardiac and skeletal muscle bioenergetics that was maintained over 47 months</td>
<td>Hart et al.</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose coenzyme Q10 (30 mg/day) and a high-dose coenzyme Q10 (600 mg/day)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Total ICARS scores did not worsen over the trial period; however posture and gait scores worsened significantly (p &lt; 0.02)</td>
<td>Cooperation et al.</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0001</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>No significant changes in the Disposition Index between the drug and placebo groups; however, significant</td>
<td>Lynch et al.</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Treatment duration</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resveratrol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resveratrol 1g or 5 g/day</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>No changes in peripheral blood mononuclear cell frataxin levels in either dose group; however, improvement in the total FARS score (~3.4 points) in patients taking high dose resveratrol was seen after the 12 week treatment</td>
<td>Yiu et al. [24]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L-Carnitine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 g/d L-carnitine and 6.75 g/d creatine</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>No significant improvements of neurological deficits or ICARS scores</td>
<td>Schöls et al. [25]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-acetylcarnitine 1000 mg</td>
<td>24 (11 with FRDA)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Improvement in overall coordination for FRDA participants at both month 3 (p &lt; 0.04) and month 6 (p &lt; 0.03)</td>
<td>Sorbi et al. [27]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iron chelators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferiprone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferiprone 20, 40, or 60 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Deferiprone was well tolerated at 20 mg/kg/day. The 60 mg/kg/day dose was discontinued due to worsening ataxia in 2 patients. There were no significant changes in the FARS in the 20 mg/kg/day group, and a worsening of the FARS patients who received 40 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>Pandolfo et al. [33]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined idebenone (20 mg/kg/day) and deferiprone (20 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11 months</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Significant reduction of the interventricular septum thickness (p = 0.04) and left ventricular mass index (p = 0.038) The MRI values in the dentate nucleus showed a statistically significant reduction (p = 0.007). No significant differences were observed in total ICARS scores. Posture and gait scores increased significantly (p = 0.04). Kinetic function improved significantly (Wilcoxon’s test, p = 0.015)</td>
<td>Velasco-Sanchez et al. [35]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferiprone 20–30 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Reduced MRI H-relaxation rates R2* in dentate nuclei and proportionally to the initial R2* (r = 0.90)</td>
<td>Bodaert et al. [82]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frataxin stabilizers, enhancers, and replacement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erythropoietin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 units recombinant human erythropoietin three times weekly</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Significant increase in frataxin levels in isolated lymphocytes after 8 weeks (p =</td>
<td>Boesch et al. [42]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Treatment duration</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Study (Year)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamylated EPO (CEPO) 325 ug three times a week up to 6 doses</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Up to 103 days after baseline</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>CEPO was found to be safe and well tolerated, neither frataxin levels in blood and buccal samples nor ataxia ratings (SARA, FARS, and CGI-I/S) differed between the drug and placebo groups</td>
<td>Boesch et al.</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythropoietin 20,000 IU every 3 weeks, 40,000 IU every 3 weeks, or 40,000 IU every 2 weeks</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>No significant clinical, or biochemical outcomes with EPO use. SARA score, p = 0.19, LV PW thickness p = 0.19, IVS thickness p = 0.68 frataxin level p = 0.16</td>
<td>Mariotti et al.</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurologic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-1 therapy with 50 μg/kg twice a day subcutaneously</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>The echocardiograms of these patients remained normal</td>
<td>Rufini et al.</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agents that increase FRDA gene expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinamide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinamide (Vitamin B3) 2-8 g</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61 days</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Dose-response proportional change in FXN from baseline to 8 h after dose (p = 0.0004). Significant upregulation of FXN expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. No significant improvements were observed in clinical rating scales</td>
<td>Libri et al.</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon gamma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon gamma 10 mcg/m² 3 times weekly then titrated up to 50 mcg/m² over 4 weeks</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Results showed significant improvement in the total FARS score (p = 0.0078) with the upper limb coordination subscale improving approximately 3.5 points (p = 0.02); however, frataxin levels in RBC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells and platelets did not significantly improve</td>
<td>Seyer et al.</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple therapy with deferiprone (5-25 mg/ kg/day), idebenone (10–20 mg/kg/ day) and riboflavin (10–15 mg/ kg/day)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15–45 months</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>LVMI decrease by 6.5 g/m² in the 1st year of the study (not significant), but increased to basal value at the end of the second</td>
<td>Arpa et al.</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Cardiac Findings

The LVMI and LVEF showed nonsignificant decrease during the 4 years of study treatment. LVMI decreased between 8.3 and 21.8 g/m² and LVEF decreased between 3.6 and 7.5%. Triple therapy yielded cardiac stability in participants during the four years of treatment.

### Neurologic Findings

The mean AWR of the SARA score for study participants at 24 months was −1.42±0.51 whereas theoretical AWR is 2.05±1.23 in the general FRDA population. This appears to indicate a decreased rate of neurological progression in study participants.

### Miscellaneous Medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ddarbepoitin alfa (150 μg every 2–3 weeks), idebenone (10–20 mg/day TID), and riboflavin (10–15 mg/ kg/day TID) for 2±3–19.4 months</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>LVEF remained stable in all patients except three whereas the theoretical AWR was 2.05+/−1.23 indicating a slowing in the progression of FRDA with the use of triple therapy.</td>
<td>Arpa et al.</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physostigmine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 patients, 'responders', had improvement in their tests of ataxia scores as group while on physostigmine in comparison to placebo group (p &lt; 0.025)</td>
<td>Kark et al.</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Riluzole</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approximately 68% of participants who received riluzole experienced a decrease of 5 points or greater in their ICARS score, two of these participants had FRDA</td>
<td>Ristori et al.</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amantadine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There was a significant decrease in SARA scores of 14 of the 28 patients on treatment when compared with the placebo group (OR 8·00, 95% CI 1·95–32·83; p = 0·002)</td>
<td>Romano et al.</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physostigmine 3 mg daily, then titrated up to 8 mg/daily over a 3-week period</strong></td>
<td>21 (10 with FRDA)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>13 patients, 'responders', had improvement in their tests of ataxia scores as a group while on physostigmine in comparison to placebo group (p &lt; 0.025)</td>
<td>Kark et al.</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Riluzole 100 mg daily</strong></td>
<td>40 (3 with FRDA)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Approximately 68% of participants who received riluzole experienced a decrease of 5 points or greater in their ICARS score, two of these participants had FRDA</td>
<td>Ristori et al.</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Riluzole 50 mg twice daily</strong></td>
<td>60 (28 with FRDA)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>There was a significant decrease in SARA scores of 14 of the 28 patients on treatment when compared with the placebo group (OR 8·00, 95% CI 1·95–32·83; p = 0·002)</td>
<td>Romano et al.</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amantadine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The total disability score improved by an average of 29.5% (p &lt; 0.0005) after the single dose treatment when compared with the preadministration of amantadine; however, the effects of gradually dissipated over 4 h</td>
<td>Peterson et al.</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amantadine hydrochloride 100 mg</strong></td>
<td>14 (12 with FRDA)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>No significant changes found according to treatment time, i.e., basal score, first treatment, second treatment, (chi-square test)</td>
<td>Filla et al.</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Treatment duration</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Study (Year)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (Year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= 5.29; df = 3 or study treatment (chi-square = 2.46; df = 3).