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ABSTRACT

Objective: Bone loss is typical in chronic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, ankylosing spondylitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, inﬂammatory bowel diseases, pemphigus vulgaris, and others. It is also typical in transplantation-related inﬂammation and during the process of aging. While we recognized that bone loss is tightly linked to immune system activation or inﬂammaging in the form of acute, chronic active, or chronic smoldering inﬂammation, bone loss is typically discussed to be an "accident of inﬂammation." Methods: Extensive literature search in PubMed central. Results: Using elements of evolutionary medicine, energy regulation, and neuroendocrine regulation of homeostasis and immune function, we work out that bone waste is an adaptive, evolutionarily positively selected program that is absolutely necessary during acute inﬂammation. However, when acute inﬂammation enters a chronic state due to the inability to terminate inﬂammation (e.g., in autoimmunity or in continuous immunity against microbes), the acute program of bone loss is a misguided adaptive program.

Conclusions: The article highlights the complexity of interwoven pathways of osteopenia.

Introduction

In patients with chronic inﬂammatory diseases, there are three major pillars that helped to explain debilitating and disabling symptoms typical for these diseases such as sickness behavior, anorexia, and many others (Table 1) [1]. These pillars are (1) evolutionary medicine, (2) regulation of energy expenditure and energy storage, and (3) neuroendocrine regulation of homeostasis and immune function [1]. This manuscript presents an integrative theory how the three pillars explain bone loss in the context of acute and chronic inﬂammation.

The ﬁrst pillar uses elements of evolutionary medicine that applies modern evolutionary theory to comprehend health and disease. Thus, evolutionary medicine is non-teleological in nature. It tries to understand why positively selected beneﬁcial adaptive programs can promote modern diseases in contemporary individuals [2]. For example, long-standing chronic inﬂammatory diseases over months and years with a high energy demand did not exist during most of our evolutionary time (including our primate and non-primate ancestors) [3,4]. Thus, genes and molecular networks have not been positively selected to serve long-standing, highly energy-consuming, chronic inﬂammation.

Secondly, high energy expenditure in consumer organs such as the immune system must happen in a transient manner during short-lived diseases such as infection [1]. Long-standing energy consumption as in chronic inﬂammatory diseases is incompatible with long life [1]. Thus, mechanism of strong energy expenditure were positively selected for short-lived highly energy-consuming immune activation but not for long-standing, highly energy-consuming chronic inﬂammatory diseases [1]. In contrast, energy storage of nutrients in storage organs (e.g., adipose tissue), immunoregulatory mechanisms, immune memory, and brain memory have been positively selected to save energy.

Thirdly, neuronal and hormonal pathways are instrumental in systemic regulation of energy expenditure and energy storage. In addition, systemic neuroendocrine regulation shapes the immune response and the immune response alters neuroendocrine
regulation in a tripartite way (neuronal system–endocrine system–immune system) [5]. The crosstalk between neuroendocrine networks and immune system has been positively selected to serve acute inflammation like infections but not highly energy-consuming chronic inflammatory diseases of modern days.

During the last 2 decades, there was enormous progress in understanding inflammation-related bone loss [6–11], but the resulting osteopenia and osteoporosis seem to be discussed as an “accident of inflammation.” This objective of this article is to refine the existing theory [1,4] based on the above-mentioned pillars by discussing the role of bone in inflammatory diseases. It advances the hypothesis that a burst of bone loss is an adaptive program for short-lived inflammatory episodes, but long-term use in chronic inflammation is a misguided program that inevitably leads to osteopenia.

**Sickness behavior, anorexia, and energy conservation lead to deficiency of essential nutrients**

One of the hallmarks of acute inflammation is sickness behavior (recently reviewed in Ref. [12]). Sickness behavior is a uniform response with the following major features: malaise, fatigue/daytime sleepiness, loss of “energy,” numbness, feeling “cold,” muscle and joint aches, loss of appetite, anxiety, and depressive mood [12,13]. Sickness behavior can be induced in animals and humans by injection of proinflammatory cytokines or endotoxin into the brain or periphery [12,13]. Likewise, severe infection is accompanied by very similar symptoms, which restrains activity and confines the affected individual to a safe place (rest).

The enormous role of sickness behavior must be discussed in the context of bodily energy conservation, because many features of this adaptive program save energy-rich fuels that can be provided to an activated immune system [1,4]. Usually, we need approximately 10,000 kJ/d in our sedentary way of life [14]. Energy expenditure of a mildly to moderately activated immune system reaches 2100 kJ per day (without inflammation it is ≈ 1600 kJ/d), which is similar to the amount of energy needed by the brain (2200 kJ/d) and resting muscles (2500 kJ/d) [1]. Anorexia in the context of acute infections has been recognized as an acute phase reaction or better, an adaptive behavioral program to support survival [15,16]. For example, injection of lipopolysaccharide into otherwise healthy mice reduces food intake by nearly 80% [17]. In order to get a better picture of the energy-saving value of anorexia, it can be important to study energy expenditure and intake in otherwise healthy people under natural conditions in the wild. In traditional Pygmy hunter-gatherers in Cameroon (they need less energy than tall Caucasians!), the energy balance on 3 typical days was negative between total energy intake per day (men, 7200 kJ/d; women, 6700 kJ/d) and total energy expenditure per day (men, 8100 kJ/d; women, 7300 kJ/d) [18]. In this observational study, under natural conditions in non-inflamed subjects, individuals spent more energy than they brought in. It tells that normal life in the wild with typical foraging behavior is pretty energy-demanding (and one expects that there are also better days). The question arises as to what would happen when a hunter-gatherer needs extra energy during acute inflammation.

With an activated immune system during systemic mild to moderate inflammation, a human needs approximately 400–500 kJ more per day as compared to a situation without inflammation [1]. Indeed, 400–500 kJ of extra energy consumption is a strong stimulus to induce an energy redistribution program, in which sickness behavior is a major component. Particularly, increased sleep and anorexia would save energy. Alone during sleep (resting brain and resting muscles), humans need 25% less energy compared to the wake state [19,20]. During the wake state, it was measured that humans need 6430 kJ in 16 h of wakefulness [19]. If one assumes that a similar amount would be needed during the remaining 8 h of sleep, consumption is computed to be 0.5 × 6430 kJ (3215 kJ). However, the actual number is lower because only 2000 kJ are needed during 8 h of sleep [19]. This indicates that we can save a lot of energy during sleep because brain and muscles are in a dormant state.

From the perspective of acute inflammation, sickness behavior with an increased time spent at rest and sleeping, and with
anorexia to avoid energy-consuming foraging, enough energy is conserved to nourish the activated immune system for a while. However, the consequence of this adaptive program during acute infection/inflammation would be deficiency of energy-rich fuels (carbohydrates, free fatty acids, and proteins), loss of essential ions such as calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, iron, and lower levels of essential vitamins like vitamin D and others. This can lead to malnutrition, which is a typical finding in many inflammatory diseases [21]. Intake of energy-rich fuels and other essential nutrients is reduced in rheumatoid arthritis [22,23], systemic sclerosis [24], multiple sclerosis [25], juvenile idiopathic arthritis [26], and other chronic inflammatory systemic diseases. Under anorexia conditions, the body is absolutely dependent on stored reserves. The question appears how long self-subsistence can happen during acute inflammation.

It was recently calculated that a modern human male subject with mild to moderate inflammation can survive for approximately 41 days, if anorexia is strong and uptake of major energy-rich nutrients is zero (carbohydrates, free fatty acids, and proteins) [4]. In a smaller and lighter Homo habilis this consumption time amounts to 22 days. This calculation does not account for the lack of essential nutrients other than free fatty acids and proteins and, thus, may be shorter than 22–41 days because of life-threatening deficiencies in ions, vitamins, or other factors.

In summary, acute inflammation induces sickness behavior and anorexia to conserve energy-rich fuels (redistribution program). Sickness behavior and anorexia are adaptive programs for transient inflammatory episodes. During acute inflammation, the body mainly depends on stored energy-rich fuels, essential ions, and vitamins. An important redistribution program is needed to break down stored nutrients and deviate them to activated immune cells. Some aspects of this energy redistribution program are demonstrated in Table 1. The bone-related aspects are given in the following text.

### Calcium balance under normal and inflamed conditions

The normal calcium balance is depicted in Figure 1A. The average adult human body contains approximately 1 kg of calcium, which corresponds to 25 mol calcium [27]. A total of 99% is stored in bone and teeth. Most of the calcium is inaccessible to most physiological processes [27]. Approximately 1% of the stored calcium (10 g or 0.25 mol) is immediately accessible [27]. This

---

![Fig. 1. Calcium homeostasis in physiology (A) and inflammation (B). The major hormonal regulators are given in red. Doted arrows indicate strong reduction of calcium shifts due to anorexia. The numbers for the immune system (IS) demonstrate the total amount of calcium within all immune cells. Note that the activated immune system contains 10 times more calcium compared to the inactive immune system when all cells would be involved (which is not the case in reality). Numbers are derived from information of Refs. [1,27,28,30,31]. Calcit., calcitonin; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1; IS, immune system; PTH, parathyroid hormone; PTHrP, PTH-related peptide; Vit. D3, vitamin D3. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
fraction serves many different roles, which range from intracellular signaling and maintenance of membrane integrity to muscle contraction, neuronal transmission, and immune cell function. Immune cells depend on calcium as indicated by calcium release activated calcium channel (CRAC) channelopathies that lead to severe immunodeficiency in affected individuals [28]. Others demonstrated that extracellular calcium is important to stimulate the NLRP3 inflammasome through G protein-coupled calcium-sensing receptors [29]. This stimulation leads to increased intracellular calcium, inflammasome assembly, and caspase-1 activation. At this point, the question appears whether immune cells really need most of the accessible calcium for proper function in acute inflammation.

Since immune cells have a diameter of 12–20 μm and ≈ 5.8 × 10^4 immobilize cells exist in the body (total volume of all cells together in liter = 0.53–2.43 l) [1], the total amount of calcium in all immune cells together is 5.3–24.3 × 10^{-5} mmol, which is miniscule in relation to the accessible calcium store of 250 mmol (Fig. 1A). With activation of immune cells as in acute inflammation, intracellular calcium concentration increases from 10^{-7} mol/L to 10^{-6} mol/L [28]. This factor of 10 increases the amount of calcium in all immune cells in a minimal way (from 5.3–24.3 × 10^{-5} mmol to 5.3–24.3 × 10^{-4} mmol, if all immune cells would be activated, which is not the case).

From these considerations, it is clear that quantitative calcium redistribution from bone to activated immune cells is unlikely. In the presence of inflammation-induced anoxia, bone loss is directly stimulated by inflammatory factors from activated immune cells (Table 2). This uniform response of the immune system is surprisingly strong given the fact that immune cells do not need so much calcium. Thus, it seems that immune-mediated bone loss is a program to supply calcium to the rest of the non-skeleton body. Figure 1B summarizes the situation in inflammation, Phosphate balance under normal and inflamed conditions

Similar as with calcium, plasma concentration of inorganic phosphorus (Pi) depends on dietary intake, passive intestinal absorption, release from bone and non-skeleton tissue, and renal excretion (the picture would look like Figure 1A). Usually, 30 mmol are excreted with the urine, and dietary intake equals renal and fecal Pi excretion.

However, the situation is pretty different with Pi compared to calcium because Pi reabsorption in the kidneys can be almost 100% [34]. This adaptation happens very fast in conditions of low phosphate intake, and this adaptation is relatively independent of hormonal regulation [35]. In the case of acute inflammation, sickness behavior, and anoxia, the kidneys prevent phosphate loss. Given the above-mentioned 0.25 mol accessible calcium from skeleton tissue, approximately 0.14 mol of phosphate are also accessible, because the molar ratio of calcium to Pi in bone is ≈ 1.7:1 [36]. In summary, during acute inflammation with anoxia, there will never exist Pi deficiency due to the nearly complete reabsorption of Pi in the kidneys and the huge amount of accessible Pi from bone.

However, states of low phosphate serum levels can exist, which typically appear as refeeding hypophosphatemia after states of anoxia. This form of phosphate deficiency is not an absolute loss of Pi but rather a rapid transmembrane shift of Pi from extracellular to intracellular space, which is well known in the context of alkalosis or hyperglycemia/hyperinsulinemia [37]. This may lead to deficits in intracellular ATP in certain organs, which can lead to cardiac arrhythmias and heart failure, muscular fatigue (respiratory problems), even rhabdomyolysis, neurologic manifestations (confusion, seizure, weakness, and fatigue), and hemolytic anemia [37]. Such a situation might appear after refeeding after prolonged anoxia, and it can even be a critical factor in patients with anoxia nervosa [38]. Thus, there might exist a relative Pi deficiency after inflammation-induced anoxia due to refeeding. Nevertheless, it is expected that inflammation-induced bone resorption would counterbalance severe hypophosphatemia after refeeding since 0.14 mol Pi is immediately accessible. Another form of hypophosphatemia happens in rickets. These patients can demonstrate low Pi levels after vitamin D substitution and accelerated bone formation (hungry bone syndrome). Such a rapid bone formation is not expected after inflammation-induced anoxia and later refeeding.

Similarly as discussed for calcium, after sickness behavior, anoxia, and subsequent refeeding, immune system-activated bone resorption and Pi provision can be seen as an offered service to the rest of the non-skeleton body. Figure 1B summarizes the situation in inflammation.

Other pathways of inflammation-stimulated bone loss

Due to energy requirements of the activated immune system in the presence of anoxia, fatigue, muscle breakdown (leading to a relative increase of fat over muscular tissue called cachectic obesity), and inflammation-related anemia are ways to support immobility. While immobility reduces energy requirements of activated brain and muscles (redistribution to the activated immune system), immobility also stimulates bone loss [39]. Bone loss happens already during the first 4–6 weeks of immobilization [40,41]. In addition, immobilization leads to hypercalcemia and subsequent hypercalciuria that strongly increased from week 1 to week 4 [42]. The fact that immobilization-related bone loss happens within 4–6 weeks is another good indication of an adaptive program because it well fits into the time window of 6 weeks given by the total consumption time (see above).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Typical inflammation-related factors of bone loss (recently reviewed in Refs. [6–11])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation-related factor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 + T cells via TNF, RANKL, IFN-γ, LIGHT, IL-15, and IL-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8 + T cells via TNF and RANKL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages via TNF, IL-1, and IL-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils via reactive oxygen species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunologically stimulated bone stromal cells via RANKL and M-CSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In contrast, T regulatory cells and B cells suppress bone resorption in ovariectomy-induced bone loss and in models of rheumatoid arthritis by secreting anti-osteoclastogenic factors like osteoprotegerin.
Another important aspect of acute inflammation is hypogonadism. This phenomenon has been described in rats after cytokine or endotoxin injection [43] and in humans with infectious diseases [44–47]. Subcutaneous injection of IL-6 into human volunteers rapidly decreased serum free testosterone within 24 h [48]. Since gonadal hormones play an instrumental role in bone generation, inflammation-related acute loss of gonadal hormones support bone resorption.

Two other important systems change bone homeostasis during acute inflammation. Both, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system, are activated during acute inflammation [5]. The major hormones of the two systems are cortisol and adrenaline/noradrenaline, whose role on bone resorption are well known. While negative effects of cortisol or glucocorticoids on bone are known since long, recent studies clearly demonstrated that the sympathetic nervous system via β2-adrenoceptors has strong bone-resorbing effects, too [49,50]. Along with sympathetic activation, a decrease of parasympathetic function is observed in inflammation [51]. This can lead to changes in gastrointestinal function leading to malabsorption.

In addition, anorexia-induced hypovitaminosis D (and K) is a well-known factor in acute inflammation and critical illness [52,53], but similarly in chronic inflammation (summarized in Ref. [54]). Such a situation would aggravate bone resorption during inflammatory episodes with anorexia.

Importantly, parathyroid hormone (PTH) is often elevated in acute inflammation such as septic shock [55], sepsis [56], or after endotoxin injection [57], but levels are normal, high, or low in chronic inflammation [58–62]. Catabolic effects of PTH on bone might be largely determined by parallel inflammation [6] or by an increase in parallel glucocorticoids [63]. The starvation associated with inflammation causes PTH to be secreted in a continuous fashion. Continuous PTH production causes cortical bone loss and often trabecular bone loss. Thus, under inflammatory conditions, hyperparathyroidism is common and the net effect of PTH is stimulation of bone resorption and bone loss. In addition, inflamed tissue and activated immune cells can produce parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP), which can be elevated in acute and chronic inflammation [64–66]. Endotoxin can directly induce PTHrP secretion [67], and blockade of PTHrP reduces bone loss in experimental arthritis [68]. Thus, both PTH and PTHrP, do not protect bone in acute and chronic inflammation, which most probably depends on the circumstances of high inflammation and appearance of other bone-resorbing factors.

Figure 2 summarizes the different factors leading to inflammation-related osteopenia. It is hypothesized that all these elements serve an adaptive program for acute inflammatory illness.

![Figure 2: Disease sequelae of inflammation leading to osteopenia](image_url)

CID-anemia; inflammation-related anemia of chronic inflammatory diseases; HPG axis, hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis; HPA axis; hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis; HPS axis, hypothalamic–pituitary–somatic axis (growth hormone, IGF-1); PSNS, parasympathetic nervous system; SNS, sympathetic nervous system; PTH, parathyroid hormone; PTHrP, PTH-related peptide; RES, reticuloendothelial system; SNS, sympathetic nervous system.
Evolutionary medicine

Infectious and non-infectious inflammation was present throughout human evolution and evolution of our non-human and non-mammalian ancestors. With rats and mice, we share many similar inflammatory mechanisms, although the last common ancestor of humans and rodents lived 80 million years ago [69]. Humans and chicken had their last common ancestor 310 million years ago, but both species use immunoglobulin gene rearrangement and somatic hypermutation to shape the antibody response towards infectious agents [69]. Thus, when we talk about activation of the immune system in the context of the most prevalent danger of infection, human share many similarities with non-human ancestors way back in evolutionary time. For example, rodents show immune responses but also sickness behavior and anorexia similar as compared to humans [17].

We recognize that acute inflammation must have been a common and old problem during evolution. However, a highly activated immune/repair system cannot be switched on for a long time because this would impose an excessive energy demand [4]. A highly activated immune system is accompanied by sickness behavior and anorexia, which prevents adequate food intake and necessitates life on stored reserves (inflammation-induced anorexia). Under systemic inflammatory conditions, breaking down all reserves in humans and their immediate ancestors takes 19–43 days [4]. Most acute disease states are terminated within this time frame such as infectious diseases, wound healing, and repair (e.g., bone fractures).

When we observe homeostatic regulation in chronic inflammation, we expect that they have not been evolutionarily conserved in the context of chronic energy-consuming inflammation but for acute inflammation. In acute inflammation, observed programs of Figure 2 are adaptive programs. They have been positively selected and maintained for eons to serve a short-lived energy-consuming inflammatory process.

In contrast, if programs were helpful to protect energy reserves, they were positively selected during evolution and maintained in modern species. It is hypothesized that this is true for energy storage of nutrients in storage organs (e.g., adipose tissue), for immunoregulatory mechanisms (T regulatory cells), immune memory (T and B lymphocytes), and brain memory (hippocampal neurogenesis), because memory saves energy. Another organ that stores important expedients for cellular energy reactions is bone. Bone stores calcium and phosphorus, and a small part of it can be used as accessible pool (250 mol of the total 25 mol) (Fig. 1).

We hypothesize that bone resorption stimulated by immune-mediated pathways (Table 2) is an adaptive program to provide calcium and phosphorus (and magnesium) to the non-skeleton tissue essential for survival over 19–43 days. At this point, the question appears as to why the immune system serves calcium to the rest of the non-skeleton body although it only needs miniscule amounts of calcium as demonstrated above.

Why does the immune system serves calcium to the rest of the non-skeleton body

In an environment without any calcium and phosphate stores, in the absence of an exoskeleton (in crustaceans or mussels) or endoskeleton (in bony fish or tetrapods) bone degradation does not exist. For example, this is true for the tunicate sea squirt (Ciona intestinalis), a sessile animal permanently attached to a rock under seawater (Protochordates). In need, C. intestinalis receives calcium from the surrounding seawater (seawater has a calcium concentration of 10 mmol/L; serum calcium in humans is approximately 2.4 mmol/L).

However, sea squirts already have a primitive immune system with eukaryotic orthologs of such important bone-degrading factors such as TNF, IL-17, and receptor activator of nuclear factor-xB (RANK) [70]. In addition, they possess the critical bone-degrading enzyme of osteoclasts, the tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase [70]. It was observed that sea squirts produce a TNF-like cytokine upon stimulation with lipopolysaccharide [71]. Thus, it is highly likely that C. intestinalis used TNF to overcome infectious disease. C. intestinalis also possess granulocytes and primitive ancestors of modern antigen receptors [72].

The role of an orthologous protein to RANK in C. intestinalis is presently not known. Such a Ciona RANK molecule might have something to do with insulin signaling because insulin has been found in C. intestinalis [73], and the RANK/RANKL system has been critically linked to insulin resistance in mammals [74]. It was discussed that insulin resistance is an adaptive program to deviate energy-rich fuels from stores to the activated immune system [1]. A similar mechanism might exist in C. intestinalis but this is hypothetical at the moment.

Nevertheless, it tells us that Ciona orthologs of bone-degrading cytokines already existed many million years before the development of an endoskeleton in an animal without bone or calcium stores. When the endoskeleton appeared during the process of evolution, the already existing bone-degrading cytokines were not counterproductive, but most probably necessary for an inflammation-dependent short-lived burst release of calcium from the endoskeleton, particularly, in terrestrial animals.

Low bone mass is a typical disease sequelae of many chronic inflammatory diseases

While we learned that episodic bone resorption is an adaptive program for acute inflammatory illness, long-term application of this program might induce low bone mass. Indeed, bone loss is absolutely typical in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, ankylosing spondylitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel diseases, pemphigus vulgaris, and others (recently reviewed in Refs. [75–81]). It is also typical in transplantation-related inflammation [6].

In addition, bone loss is a normal phenomenon of the aging process [82]. The aging process is associated with an increase in the levels of pro-osteoclastogenic inflammatory cytokines such as TNF and IL-6, and a decrease in bone-anabolic factors like gonadal hormones and adrenal androgens (reviewed in Ref. [83]). Increased C-reactive protein was linked to an increased fracture rate due to osteoporosis [84,85], and circulating levels of inflammatory markers predict change in bone mineral density and resorption in older adults [86]. Aging was compared to a process of chronic smoldering inflammation, called inflamm-aging [87].

At this point, one needs to recall that ageing on a population level, in men and women, beyond postmenopausal age is a new phenomenon of the last 200 years. The inflammatory process that appears during aging is new to the evolution of humans. Since we know that acute inflammation can stimulate an adaptive bone loss program (Fig. 2), it is not surprising that a chronic inflammatory process induces ever increasing osteopenia. This is also true for osteopenia in the chronic smoldering variant of inflamm-aging.

When does an acute inflammatory disease become chronic inflammation?

In this review, we repeatedly touched on a time span that lasts from 21 to 60 days, because either energy or calcium are lost from stores in the context of sickness behavior/anorexia. This time span...
is also visible when looking on germinal center reaction of B cells, maximum of calcium loss during immobilization, healing time of uncomplicated fractures, and empirical definition criteria of chronic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (Table 3).

As discussed above, phenomena leading to osteopenia during acute inflammation belong to an adaptive program (Fig. 2). This adaptive program cannot last forever. It needs to be terminated because, otherwise, it would be incompatible with life in the wild. From examples given in Table 3, it seems that acute inflammation should be terminated within 21–60 days, which includes complete restoration of normal tissue and function.

For this time span of 21–60 days, many coordinated and regulated mechanisms of starting and terminating acute inflammation have been positively selected because the affected individual survived acute inflammation. Necessarily, after termination of acute inflammation, survival of the individual supported his reproduction. Now, beneficial mutations that supported survival in a formerly affected subject can be transferred to the progeny. During evolution, this is the way how adaptedness can become an a posteriori result [88]. However, if an affected individual had chronic inflammation, reproductive capacity is lower (negative selection pressure).

From these considerations, it is expected that there exist a formal time limit between acute and chronic inflammation ranging between 21 and 60 days. Beyond this time limit, coordination of starting and terminating an inflammatory event does not exist anymore, because it is outside the time limit of adaptedness. Coordination of immune system, endocrine system, and neuronal system, etc. to fight an inflammatory trigger (e.g., microbe) was positively selected for acute inflammation. Beyond the time limit, an adaptive program can become a misguided program.

Conclusions

The three pillars of evolutionary medicine, energy regulation, and neuroendocrine regulation of homeostasis and immune function explain that adaptive programs for acute inflammation are wrongly used in chronic inflammation. One of these adaptive programs in acute inflammation is provision of calcium and phosphorus from bone to the non-skeleton tissues. Thus, an acute burst of bone loss is not an accident but an important program.

In order to steer calcium/phosphorus provision, many specific immune-mediated pathways are switched on (Table 2). Importantly, several specific immune-mediated pathways can induce bone resorption independent of classical hormonal pathways. This is indicative of adaptation to acute inflammation. While these considerations might explain the deeper meaning of bone resorption in inflammation, we are critically dependent on finding the major molecular pathways to better treat these disease sequelae. This review should also stimulate the idea that sometimes the critical pathways leading to osteopenia can be outside usual mainstream thinking. It also demonstrates that osteopenia must be multifactorial affair.
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